

NaturePill

Creating an immersive virtual reality environment capturing the relaxing properties of nature.

Project Proposal

Lynn Weyn

Department of Computer Science
University of Cape Town
Cape Town, South Africa
WYNLYN004@myuct.ac.za

Soo Kyung Ahn

Department of Computer Science
University of Cape Town
Cape Town, South Africa
AHNSOO002@myuct.ac.za

1 Project Description

Spending time in nature is important since it has a positive impact on people's mental health and wellbeing [1], by helping to reduce stress [2], improve sleep [3], and bring greater happiness and life satisfaction [4]. But not everyone has access to nature sites, whether it be due to lack of time, poor proximity [5], or lack of transportation and/or mobility due to physical disabilities [6]. With the benefits of this nature interaction, healthcare providers in some countries have started to prescribe nature, often referred to as "nature pills".

As technology improves, we have found a shift in habits in urban environments, and with availability of online shopping and virtual meetings with friends, the need to go outdoors decreases, which leads to increased screen time and time spent indoors. Therefore, we need to start looking for alternative ways to access the benefits of nature. Nature pills in the context of this review is a digitalised exposure session to nature. Research shows that even just looking at nature can help recover from mental fatigue [7], and from stress [8], and creating a virtual environment would help provide properties of real nature from the comfort of one's own home [9].

We therefore plan to tackle the following problem: access the therapeutic benefits of nature through building a multi-sensory Virtual Reality (VR) environment that simulates nature and allows for interactive exploration.

2 Related Work

2.1 Existing Nature Pills

Virtual nature environments have been created in the past to see the effects virtual nature can have on an individual. McAllister [10], Kjerllgren [11], and Brooks [12] et al. showed that 2D screen-based exposures produced similar positive effects on an individual compared to exposure to real nature. Their studies did not place

users in an immersive, nor explorative environment, which led to some participants feeling bored [11, 12]. Adding immersion and exploration could be more useful in reproducing the positive effects of nature, as a more immersive environment (by increasing screen size) has been found to generate stronger stress reducing effects [8].

VR headsets could allow users to be completely immersed in a virtual environment. Browning [13], Calogiuri [14], and Yu [15] et al. have done studies making use of 360-degree videos of nature, which can be experienced in VR. Browning et al. [13] found that exposure to virtual nature had similar effects as real outdoor nature exposure. Calogiuri et al. [14] compares real and virtual nature walks using 360-degree videos, but motion sickness negatively impacted their findings. Yu et al. [15] compared the influence of virtual and urban VR environments on restoration, and generated greater psychological benefits when participants were immersed in the forest environment. These three environments were not interactive, and users were only passive spectators of first-person videos.

Computer Generated Virtual Reality (CG-VR) environments create a lot of new opportunities to allow users to explore and interact with the environment, and as development tools become more and more powerful, this allows for more realistic and immersive environments to be developed. Yeo et al [16] found that CG-VR offers a qualitatively different experience when compared to the television and 360-degree video exposure methods. Their CG-VR environment allowed users to move around in an underwater environment within the confines of the room and allowed interaction with fish and corals using provided handheld controllers. The environment was not created with the intent of relaxation, and users could not venture beyond the bounds of a single room.

Deltcho et al. [17] created a CG-VR forest environment to compare the restorative effects of nature of that environment to a slide show presented in VR. They found that the explorative environment promoted restorative effects, and that the artificial nature of the

forest did not negate the benefits of the virtual nature walk. Their study is an excellent example of an environment that uses all four senses you would usually experience in a virtual forest. The environment was rendered in real time in high resolution with realistic lighting (sight), had nature sounds (hearing), used forest air freshener in the real room (smell), and had somatosensory¹ feedback (touch) by having users stand on a rumble pad that would shake slightly upon every step taken in the VR environment. Though all these senses were incorporated, their environment did not allow for interaction with objects in the scene, and the addition of this could lead to a more pleasant user experience.

3 Problem Statement and Research Question

A user's feeling of immersion in a Virtual Reality environment corresponds to the feeling of being physically present in the non-physical world. Presence refers to how real the user feels the virtual environment is. We hypothesize that by including interaction and exploration in a multi-sensory environment, the user will feel more immersed in the virtual environment. Since it will be closer to a real nature environment, the benefits of real nature can be captured. As a precursor to testing this hypothesis, the virtual environment needs to be created.

The aim of this project is to create a nature pill in the form of a natural forest within a virtual reality environment. The environment will allow the user to explore a small section of the virtual forest, where they can interact with certain elements of the environment whilst being completely immersed due to the inclusion of sight, hearing, smell, and touch. To the best of our knowledge, there is no existing nature pill that incorporates all these aspects.

Our research questions focus on the design of such an environment:

- What is the best environmental design to incorporate each of the senses?
- What elements should we model in the virtual environment in order to maximise relaxation?
- What is the most suitable locomotion technique for our natural environment given the challenges of a fixed element in the scene, and that we want to maximise immersion while minimising cyber sickness (motion sickness experienced in VR)?.

4 Procedures and Methods

4.1 Design Features and Challenges

We plan to create an immersive, multi-sensory environment. Since our research questions revolve around the design of this environment, in this section we mention what aspects we plan to incorporate and research, as well as provide the initial layout of our

environment, which we expect to change in the duration of this project.

Figure 1 shows the initial birds-eye view of the elements we plan to add to the virtual environment. Each aspect is discussed in more detail below.




Figure 1: A birds-eye view of the initial environment layout

Since we plan to create a forest environment, one of the most important elements that need to be present are trees. These will be rendered using textures mapped onto polygons for close range viewing or within the area the user can interact with, but as this is expensive, we would reduce the level of detail as the distance from the viewer increases. Billboards, or quadrilaterals with texture mapped onto them, will be used to render further trees, with multiple trees being mapped to a single billboard for the furthest trees.

To model the sky, clouds, and other celestial objects such as the sun we will use a skybox which is a dome which encloses the virtual environment. Skyboxes are similar to billboards where the entire dome has a single texture mapped onto it, and have the property of being unreachable and have images projected onto them. Thus, they are very suitable for objects at infinite distance, where parallax would not be an issue.

A real bench will be bought and placed in the physical room where users will be testing the virtual environment. This real bench will be modelled and placed in the bottom right block of the virtual environment. When in this block, users will be able to sit on the bench both physically and virtually. The user will be able to feel the bench's texture, adding to the immersive experience. Two big challenges come with this addition. The first is to find the best way

¹ "The somatosensory system is the part of the sensory system concerned with the conscious perception of touch, pressure, pain, temperature, position, movement, and vibration, which arise from the muscles, joints, skin, and fascia." [18]

to keep users immersed while indicating that they can really sit on the bench. Perhaps a virtual sign within the environment would be useful. The bench could have a phrase such as “relax, sit down” engraved on it. Alternatively, we could inform the user that the bench is something you can sit on before entering the virtual environment. The second challenge is to find a way to allow the user to move freely within other blocks and indicate when the bench is in the correct position for sitting. HTC Vive trackers will be attached to the bench so that the program can track where it is in the environment.

Similar to the bench, a real-life physical branch will be modelled and placed in the virtual environment, with attached trackers to track its movements. The user will be able to pick up and feel the textured branch. Possible usages of this prop will be researched, but the initial plan is to use it as an aid for teleportation, where the teleportation arc (see figure 2) spans from where the branch is pointing to, to where the user will be teleported to.

We plan to (initially) have four blocks/virtual rooms worth of area which the user can move to and explore. When the user approaches the boundary of a box a grid will appear indicating that they are approaching the bounds of the physical room they are in, and should stop before colliding with real-world objects. Due to the physical bench in the real world, the explorable area of all other blocks will be slightly smaller to prevent the user from colliding with the bench.

The best method of locomotion between areas will require extensive research. We want the user to move freely between boxes, while incorporating the fixed bench. An initial suggestion is teleportation between the blocks with the teleportation arc locking to a position in the block being pointed at by the user, that makes sense in the context of the real world. For example, if the user is in the top left corner of block 1 (and so also in the top left corner of the real room) and wants to go to block 2, the teleportation arc will lock on the top left corner of block 2, so that the user can know where they will teleport to. Though this is a good solution to the fixed bench issue, and is well known to not induce motion sickness, it restricts motion and so other methods of locomotion and their suitability to our project will be researched. Motion sickness, immersion, and ease of aligning the user with the bench will need to be considered.




Figure 2: an example of a teleportation arc [19]

Other elements we plan to include in our forest environment are a river and textured ground. We will research whether terrain elevations will be a valuable addition to our nature pill and adapt our plan accordingly.

We suspect that including other senses such as sound and smell would help provide a more immersive experience. Initial suggestions are the addition of wind and bird sounds, and the use of air fresheners in the room. The specifics of what will constitute good sound and smell design in a virtual forest environment will be researched further.

If time permits, we will look into more ways we could have the user interact with the environment. One possible extension could be to allow the stick to make markings in the sand on the ground, letting users draw on the ground while sitting on the bench. Adding movement in the trees due to the wind, or winds periodically generated by a real fan mapped to virtual wind sounds would be interesting extensions that could add to the immersive experience.

4.2 Implementation Strategy

We plan to create the environment using the Unity game engine. For the development of the environment, we plan to follow an agile model with iterative development cycles of the various elements in the scene. Starting with the low-fidelity prototype sketch in figure 1, elements will be researched and slowly added to the scene. Work by the two members will be integrated from an early stage using Unity Collaborate. We plan to have three iterations, each with a prototype release. After each release, heuristic evaluation from preselected testers will provide feedback for our next release.

The first iteration will be the equivalent of a “first playable”, an environment that allows users to move around with some aspects still being placeholders. The second iteration will replace placeholders with their intended objects. The third and final iteration will be adding final details to the scene, and incorporate sound and smell design. However, this is all subject to finalisation through research.

4.3 System Evaluation

The system evaluation would be done with respect to whether the environment is sufficiently responsive, realistic, and does not induce motion sickness with moderate usage. We are not doing a full user evaluation in this project, and instead we will use heuristic evaluation while keeping track of important metrics such as latency, frame rates, and visual fidelity.

Low latency would be important as the increase in latency has been found to increase the chance of cybersickness occurring. Brunnström et al. [20] have shown that a display latency greater than 20ms starts to cause cybersickness in some users and should be avoided and kept below 30-35ms. They also show that for hand controllers longer delays can be tolerated but should be kept below

500ms before it becomes noticeable enough to affect the experience negatively. Their focus was not on whether it affects the emotional state but rather simulator sickness while performing some task using a joystick, so it would be worthwhile to investigate whether such latencies would significantly influence the emotional state of the user.

Frame rates are to be ideally close to 90fps, which is the benchmark advised by a VR software company called IRIS VR [21]. Considering our environment will not have rapid rotations, it may be tolerable to have lower fps, but it would likely need to remain higher than 60 fps so the stutter that results would not be in cybersickness which is associated with lower frame rates.

Graphics quality affects the perceived fidelity of visual and overall experience as shown by Debattista et al. [22]. Higher graphics quality would be desirable for a better experience, and it may even correlate with stress relief (but remains an open question requiring research). However, Tiiro [23] notes there is some evidence that visually highly realistic virtual environments are more likely to induce cybersickness. Realism on their part meant levels of detail, animated models, lighting and fog. This study did not refer to the frame rates of highly realistic renderings compared with the frame rates of less realistic renderings, so there could be a false correlation due to lower frame rates resulting from highly realistic renderings which may have been a major factor in inducing simulator sickness. Additional shortcomings of the work are: not establishing a baseline metric for simulator sickness, using two different systems to run the experiment, and testing some users more than once, which would have affected the validity of their experiment. It would be worth investigating how realism affects stress relief, but user evaluation is out of scope. Visual fidelity for this project will need to be high while maintaining high frame rates. Visual fidelity will be a qualitative assessment of realistic lighting, and the ability to maintain a high level of detail while using realistic models of trees, and applying texture to the ground to have grass and branches on the ground.

4.4 Expected Challenges

We expect there may be challenges in obtaining suitable models. Due to time constraints, we will be looking for existing models on the Unity Assets store or from artists. For the branch and bench in particular it will be difficult to find a model closely resembling the real object, which means we will likely have to model these by hand (which would take time). Safety would also be of concern where these physical objects are involved. We expect the incorporation of a physical bench would be an issue that requires more research. As discussed in design features, the user moves within the physical environment while wearing the HMD (Head-Mounted Device), and must be directed away from the bench as necessary while not breaking the immersion too much.

Cybersickness is to be avoided whenever possible as it would go against our purpose of providing a restorative experience. Keeping

within the recommended performance requirements, with high frame rates and low latency, will be challenging.

Choosing the best locomotion technique will be a challenge, as various techniques would need to be compared in order to choose the best technique in our context. A brief look at Colburn [24] reveals that cybersickness is caused the most by manual movement, but discomfort and disorientation results from teleportation and flying.

5 Ethical Professional and Legal Issues

We constrain our project to the development of the environment tested by heuristic evaluation by the project developers and the supervisors, and perhaps a few selected individuals. A formal user experience study beyond this is beyond the scope of our project, and this does not require ethical clearance from the university board.

The intellectual property for this project belongs to the researchers, Soo Kyung Ahn and Lynn Weyn, as well as the University of Cape Town, following the standard UCT Intellectual Property Policy. The publication of this paper is at the discretion of our supervisor, James Gain, and does not influence the success of this project.

6 Anticipated Outcomes

6.1 System

Upon the successful completion of the project, we expect a relaxing natural environment to be fully functional and ready for exploration.

6.1.1 Software

The software deliverable we expect to produce will be executable on the HTC Vive Pro VR headset within the room setup (trackers, props and physical bench) discussed in section 4.1.

6.1.2 Key Features

- A natural scene created with
 - Trees
 - Clouds
 - A river
 - Textured terrain
- A bench present virtually and in the real world
- A branch prop present virtually and in the real world
- Scent of the forest
- Nature sounds
- Realism

6.1.3 Design Challenges

- Realism of the forest
- True-to-life modelling of the branch and bench
- Free motion with a fixed object (bench)
- Integration of natural scene components
- User immersion
- Minimising motion sickness
- Efficiency

6.2 Expected Impact

We aim to have anyone who immerses themselves into our final virtual environment to become relaxed, getting some of the benefits of being in real nature from indoors. Successful outcomes for this project could provide new opportunities for those who do not have access to nature.

6.3 Key Success Factors

The success of this project will be dependent on whether we can create a realistic environment that is responsive, providing a restorative experience. Key success factors would include meeting the project deadlines, getting the physical and virtual resources, and communication between team members for the integration of different components.

Deltcho et al.'s [17] study is an excellent example of an environment that uses as many senses as possible, being the only study reviewed that used four senses out of the four you would usually experience in a forest (since it is unlikely you would be tasting the environment). Their environment only allowed participants to walk around, and unlike Yeo et al.'s [16] environment, they did not allow interaction with objects in the scene, and the addition of this could possibly make for a more pleasant user experience, but this is yet to be researched.

Although impressive virtual environments were used, both Yeo [16] and Deltcho et al.'s [17] studies do not address cyber sickness and its potential impacts on their findings. Yeo et al. takes no physiological measures and has a larger sample size of 96, compared to Deltcho et al.'s 22, who did however measure heart rate and skin conductivity. This influences the reliability of their findings.

5 How to make pleasant immersive environments

This leaves us with an important question: how do we best make an interactive environment that users will find easy to immerse themselves into?

Of course, making realistic looking elements of the forest is an important aspect to improving user experiences, but it is also important to consider the other senses. One study using eye-

tracking experiments to investigate audio-visual interaction on forest landscapes (with images and sounds) found that light music with ancient temple bells, or bird twittering, insect chirping, and water flowing sounds enhanced participant's sense of immersion and involvement while reducing mental strain [21]. This suggests that suitable auditive nature sounds should be added to all environments aiming to reproduce the relaxation properties of nature. Scent has also been found to influence and enhance virtual experiences [22], and though can sometimes be difficult to include, should be kept in mind in planning for user experiences.

It is also important to keep cybersickness at a minimum. Something to keep in mind with 360-degree videos is that the camera stabilisation is vital in order to reduce motion sickness and to improve the virtual experience [23]. This is not as big of an issue for computer generated environments who do not suffer from shaky cameras, however there are tips to reducing motion sickness in interactive environments, such as creating modes that would require fewer drastic head motions, by including transport mechanisms such as "teleporting" [24].

7 Project Plan

7.1 Risk and Risk Management Strategies

Existing research indicates that virtual nature environments are beneficial and can help reduce stress. Most of these involves exposing participants to 360-degree videos where they can look around freely, but not move in any direction or interact with the environment. Though two environments discussed

7.2 Timeline and milestones

Work on this project started on the 16th of May and will run until 18th of October. The timeline and milestones can be seen in Appendix B - the Gantt chart.

7.3 Resources Required

7.3.1 Hardware

- VR Head mounted display and controllers (HTC Vive Pro) - provided by the CS department.
- High-end computers capable of running VR - both researchers have laptops with suitable graphics cards (NVIDIA GeForce GTX 1660 Ti and NVIDIA GeForce RTX 2060) for development, and laboratory computers will be used for heuristic testing.
- Sensors to attach to the bench and branch - provided by the CS department.

7.3.2 Software

- Tree models, provided by our supervisor, James Gain.
- Unity - Personal version.

- Unity Collaborate - the free version will suffice.
- Unity Assets.

7.3.3 Human resources

- Support and knowledge from our supervisor.
- Advice on accessing the psychological benefits of nature from a representative of the psychology department, Gosia Lipinska.

7.3.4 Other

- A bench, sourced by the researchers, and paid for by our supervisor.
- A branch, to be found in a forest.

7.4 Deliverables

Deliverable	Completion Date
Literature Review	04/06/2021
Project Proposal	23/06/2021
Project Proposal Presentation	09/07/2021
Revised Project Proposal	30/07/2021
First Prototype (Initial Software Feasibility)	10/08/2021
Final weighting for project marking	30/08/2021
Draft of Project Paper	06/09/2021
Final Project Paper	17/09/2021
Final Project Code	20/09/2021
Final Project Demonstration	04/10/2021
Poster	11/10/2021
Web Page	18/10/2021

7.5 Work Allocation

Since the rendering of the trees is such a big aspect of the natural environment, with different rendering techniques for near, medium, and far trees, we have given fewer tasks to that individual. Figure 4 shows which features will be worked on by each member. It should be noted that while we have split it in a manner so that the success of one does not depend on the other, both members will give input on the entirety of the project and the project as a whole is intended to be collaborative. We will work together to create a relaxing environment.

Soo Kyung Ahn	Lynn Weyn
Trees	Reachable areas and locomotion
Sky/clouds	Bench
Lighting	Terrain texture and elevations
Smell design	Terrain elevations
	River
	Branch prop
	Sound design

REFERENCES

- [1] Frumkin Howard, Bratman Gregory N., Breslow Sara Jo, Cochran Bobby, Kahn Jr Peter H., Lawler Joshua J., Levin Phillip S., Tandon Pooja S., Caranasi Usha, Wolf Kathleen L. and Spencer A. Wood. 2017. "Nature contact and human health: a research agenda". *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 125(7), p. 75001. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1289/EHP1663>
- [2] Catharine Ward Thompson, Peter Aspinall, Jenny Roe, Lynette Robertson and David Miller. 2016. "Mitigating Stress and Supporting Health in Deprived Urban Communities: The Importance of Green Space and the Social Environment". *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 13(4), p. 440. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph13040440>
- [3] Diana S. Grigsby-Toussaint, Kadir N. Turi, Mark Krupa, Natasha J. Williams, Seithikurippu R. Pandi-Perumal and Girardin Jean-Louis. 2015. "Sleep insufficiency and the natural environment: Results from the US Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System survey". *Preventive Medicine*, 78, pp. 78-84. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2015.07.011>
- [4] Chia-chen Chang, Rachel Rui Ying Oh, Thi Phuong Le Nghiem, Yuchen Zhang, Claudia L.Y. Tan, Brenda B. Lin, Kevin J. Gaston, Richard A. Fuller and L. Roman Carrasco. 2020. "Life satisfaction linked to the diversity of nature experiences and nature views from the window". *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 202, p. 103874. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2020.103874>
- [5] Abdullah Akpinar. 2016. "How is quality of urban green spaces associated with physical activity and health?" *Urban forestry & urban greening*, 16 (2016), pp. 76-83.
- [6] Jim Burt, Sarah Preston, and Tom Costley. 2012. "Monitor of Engagement with the Natural Environment Survey (2009-2012): Difference in Access to the Natural Environment Between Social Groups Within the Adult English Population". *Natural England*
- [7] Rita Berto. 2005. "Exposure to restorative environments helps restore attentional capacity". *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 25 (3) pp. 249-259. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2005.07.001>
- [8] Y.A.W. de Kort, A.L. Meijnders, A.A.G. Sponselee, W.A. IJsselstein. 2006. "What's wrong with virtual trees? Restoring from stress in a mediated environment". *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 26(4) pp. 309-320. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2006.09.001>
- [9] Matthew P. White, Nicola L. Yeo, Peeter Vassiljev, Rikard Lundstedt, Mattias Wallergård, Maria Albin, and Mare Löhmus. 2018. A prescription for "nature" - the potential of using virtual nature in therapeutics. *Neuropsychiatric disease and treatment* 14 (08 Nov 2018), 3001-3013. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.2147/NDT.S179038>
- [10] Elizabeth McAllister, Navjot Bhullar and Nicola S. Schutte. 2017. "Into the Woods or a Stroll in the Park: How Virtual Contact with Nature Impacts Positive and Negative Affect". *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 14(7) p. 786. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph14070786>
- [11] Anette Kjellgren and Hanne Buhrkall. 2010. "A comparison of the restorative effect of a natural environment with that of a simulated

- natural environment". *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 30(4) pp. 464-472. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2010.01.011>
- [12] Aeliesha M. Brooks, Katherine M. Ottley, Katherine D. Arbuthnott and Phillip Sevigny. 2017. "Nature-related mood effects: Season and type of nature contact". *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 54 p. 91-102. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2017.10.004>
 - [13] Matthew H. E. M. Browning, Katherine J. Mimnaugh, Carena J. van Riper, Heidemarie K. Laurent and Steven M. LaValle. 2020. "Can Simulated Nature Support Mental Health? Comparing Short, Single-Doses of 360-Degree Nature Videos in Virtual Reality With the Outdoors". *Frontiers in Psychology*, 10 p. 2667. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.02667>
 - [14] Giovanna Calogiuri, Sigbjørn Litlekare, Kaia A. Fagerheim, Tore L. Rydgren, Elena Brambilla and Miranda Thurston. 2018. "Experiencing Nature through Immersive Virtual Environments: Environmental Perceptions, Physical Engagement, and Affective Responses during a Simulated Nature Walk". *Frontiers in Psychology*, 8 p. 2321. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.02321>
 - [15] Chia-Pin Yu, Hsiao-Yun Lee and Xiang-Yi Luo. 2018. "The effect of virtual reality forest and urban environments on physiological and psychological responses". *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, 25 pp. 106-114. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2018.08.013>
 - [16] N.L. Yeo, M.P. White, I. Alcock, R. Garside, S.G. Dean, A.J. Smalley and B. Gatersleben. 2020. "What is the best way of delivering virtual nature for improving mood? An experimental comparison of high definition TV, 360° video, and computer generated virtual reality". *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 72 p. 101500. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2020.101500>
 - [17] Valtchanov Deltcho, Kevin R. Barton, and Colin Ellard. 2010. "Restorative effects of virtual nature settings". *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking*, 13(5) pp. 503-512. <https://doi.org/10.1089/cyber.2009.0308>
 - [18] Nida Gleveckas-Martens. "Somatosensory System Anatomy". (July 2013). Retrieved June 2, 2021 from <https://emedicine.medscape.com/article/1948621-overview>
 - [19] Sam Tague. "VR Arc Teleporter". Retrieved June 23, 2021 from <https://massgames.co.uk/portfolio/vr-arc-teleporter/>
 - [20] Kjell Brunnström, Elijs Dima, Tahir Qureshi, Mathias Johanson, Mattias Andersson, and Mårten Sjöström. 2020. "Latency impact on Quality of Experience in a virtual reality simulator for remote control of machines". *Signal Processing: Image Communication*, 89, p. 116005. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.image.2020.116005>
 - [21] IrisVR. "The Importance of Frame Rates". Retrieved June 23, 2021 from <https://help.irisvr.com/hc/en-us/articles/215884547-The-Importance-of-Frame-Rates>
 - [22] Kurt Debattista, Thomas Bashford-Rogers, Carlo Harvey, Brian Waterfield and Alan Chalmers. 2018. "Subjective Evaluation of High-Fidelity Virtual Environments for Driving Simulations". *IEEE Transactions on Human-Machine Systems*, 48(1), pp. 30-40. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1109/THMS.2017.2762632>
 - [23] Arttu Tiiri. 2018. "Effect of visual realism on cybersickness in virtual reality". University of Oulu, 350.
 - [24] Joshua Q Coburn. 2017. "An analysis of enabling techniques for highly-accessible low-cost virtual reality hardware in the collaborative engineering design process". Brigham Young University.

Appendix A: Risk Matrix

Risk	Probability	Impact	Mitigation	Monitoring	Management
Power outages	High	Med	Ensure battery-powered devices are charged. Save frequently	Keep track of load shedding schedules	Plan to ensure meetings do not coincide with load shedding, and use battery powered devices during the power outage
Lack of expertise	High	Low	Research the topics, refer to online resources	Track whether a task is taking longer than expected. Ask for assistance earlier than later.	Look for resources online, request assistance from supervisors if online resources are not sufficient
Conflict between team members (during integration of projects)	Low	Med	Have regular meetings so both members are on the same page	Track participation in meeting and assess tension between members	Plan a meeting where members can discuss conflict. If unable to resolve, reach out to an unbiased mediator.
Poor integration between environmental objects	Med	Med	Integrate early, have regular meetings.	Each time a new element is added to the scene, test interaction with other elements.	Attempt to resolve the issue over a meeting. Consult the supervisor if no solution is found.
Failure to find and/or receive key resources (e.g. VR equipment, bench, or branch)	Low	High	Request equipment and follow up early. Search for props early.	Communication with resource providers.	Work on other aspects that do not require the resources.
Failure to meet deadlines	Low	High	Start early	Keep track of progress on Gantt chart. Set own intermediary deadlines.	Update overall schedule, possibly reduce scope.
Participants get injured from real objects during experiments (bench/branch)	Med	High	When designing the virtual environment, make sure the user is aware of the bench or otherwise kept away from the bench through visual cues.	Communicate with resource providers.	Get objects that do not have sharp edges. Place the bench against the walls of the real room and in the corner to prevent tripping and maximise space.
Modelling of bench and branch not realistic (breaking immersion)	Med	high	Start modelling early on, find online resources on how to model realistically	Do heuristic testing when each model is created	Attempt to remodel, seek advice from supervisor

Appendix B: Gantt Chart

Gantt Chart

Read-only view, generated on 20 Jun 2021

