

UM 204 (WINTER 2024) - WEEK 4

Examples. Closed intervals are closed sets. $E = [0, 1]$ is neither open nor closed. $\bar{E} = [0, 1]$, $E^\circ = (0, 1)$ and $\partial E = \{0, 1\}$. Finite sets are always closed. If d is the discrete metric on a set X , then every set is both open and closed.

Proposition 0.1. *A set E is closed if and only if its complement, $E^c = X \setminus E$, is open.*

Proof. Suppose E is closed. Let $z \in X \setminus E$. Since z is not an accumulation point of E , there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $B(z; \varepsilon) \cap E \supseteq \{z\}$. However, $z \notin E$, so $B(z; \varepsilon) \subseteq X \setminus E$.

Suppose E is open. Let $z \in E$. There is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $B(z; \varepsilon) \subseteq E$, i.e., $B(z; \varepsilon)$ contains no point of $X \setminus E$. Thus, z is not an accumulation point of $X \setminus E$.

Suppose E contains an accumulation point z of $X \setminus E$. T

□

Corollary 0.2. *The intersection of an arbitrary collection of closed sets is closed. The union of a finite collection of closed sets is closed.*

Proposition 0.3. (i) *The closure of a set is closed.*

(ii) *If $A \subseteq B$, then $\bar{A} \subseteq \bar{B}$.*

(iii) *\bar{E} is the smallest closed set containing E , $\bar{E} = \bigcap_{E \subset F: F \text{ is closed}} F$.*

Proof. (i) Let $z \in X$ be an accumulation point of \bar{E} . Then, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a $w_\varepsilon \in \bar{E} \cap B(z; \varepsilon)$ such that $w_\varepsilon \neq z$. Either $w_\varepsilon \in E$, or $w_\varepsilon \in \bar{E} \setminus E$. In the former case, let $u_\varepsilon = w_\varepsilon$. In the latter case, let $\delta = \varepsilon - d(z, w_\varepsilon)$. Then, there is some $u_\varepsilon \in E \cap B(w_\varepsilon; \delta)$. But $B(w_\varepsilon; \delta) \subseteq B(z; \varepsilon)$. Thus, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a $u_\varepsilon \in E \cap B(z; \varepsilon)$. Thus, $z \in \bar{E}$.

(ii) We will show the contrapositive. Let $z \in X \setminus \bar{B}$. Then, there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $B(z; \varepsilon) \subseteq B^c \subseteq A^c$. Thus, $z \in (\bar{A})^c$.

(iii) Let's call the intersection E' . By (i) and (ii), we already have that $\bar{E} \subseteq E'$. Conversely, \bar{E} is a closed set containing E , so $E' \subseteq \bar{E}$. □

Consider the following two questions:

- (1) Is $(0, 1)$ an open set?
- (2) Is \mathbb{Q} a closed set?

These questions are ambiguous if you do not state the underlying metric space. For instance, $(0, 1)$ is open in the standard metric on \mathbb{R} , but not open in the standard metric on \mathbb{R}^2 .

Definition 0.4. Given a metric space (X, d) and a subsets $E \subset Y$, we say that E is **open (closed) relative to Y** if E is an open (closed) subset of $(Y, d|_Y)$.

Proposition 0.5. (Relative topology) Let (X, d) be a metric space and $E \subset Y \subset X$. Then E is open (closed) relative to Y if and only if there is an open (closed) set $F \subset X$ such that $F \cap Y = E$.

Proof. Suppose E is open in (Y, d) . For every $z \in E$, there is an $\varepsilon_z > 0$ such that

$$B_{d_Y}(z; \varepsilon_z) = \{y \in Y : d(z, y) < \varepsilon_z\} = B_d(z; \varepsilon_z) \cap Y$$

is contained in E . Let

$$F = \cup_{z \in E} B_d(z; \varepsilon_z).$$

Then, F is open in X , and $E = F \cap Y$. If E is closed, then $Y \setminus E$ is open, and there is some open $G \subset X$ such that $G \cap Y = Y \setminus E$. Now, $F = X \setminus G$ is closed and $F \cap Y = (X \setminus G) \cap Y = Y \setminus (Y \setminus E) = E$.

Conversely, suppose $E = F \cap Y$ for some closed set $F \subset X$. Let $z \in Y$ be an accumulation point of E . Then, clearly z is an acc. point of F , and thus, $z \in F \cap Y = E$. The case when F is open now follows easily (why?). \square

END OF LECTURE 9

0.1. Compactness. A prototypical example of a closed set is the closed interval $[0, 1]$. However, the interval $[0, \infty)$ is also closed, and we know that continuous functions don't behave as well on such intervals as they do on intervals of the form $[a, b]$.

Definition 0.6. A subset $E \subset (X, d)$ is said to be bounded if there is a $p \in X$ and $M > 0$ such that $E \subseteq B(p; M)$.

We are hoping to extract the essential features of the intervals $[a, b]$, but in general metric spaces, even closed and boundedness doesn't quite cut it.

Definition 0.7. Let $E \subset (X, d)$. An **open cover** of E in X is a collection $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ of open sets in X such that $E \subset \cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} U_\alpha$. The set E is said to be **compact** if every open cover $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ admits a finite subcover, i.e., there exist $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \Lambda$ such that $E \subset U_{\alpha_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{\alpha_n}$.

Examples. 1. Any finite set $E = \{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$ is compact (in any metric space). Let $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be an open cover of E . For each p_j , there is some $\alpha_j \in \Lambda$ such that $p_j \in U_{\alpha_j}$. Thus, $\{U_{\alpha_j}\}_{j=1}^k$ is a finite subcover.

2. $(0, 1)$ is not compact space (in the standard metric). Let

$$U_n = \left(\frac{1}{n+1}, \frac{1}{n} \right), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_+.$$

Then, $(0, 1) \subset \cup_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n$ (why?) but $\{U_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ admits no finite subcover (why?).

3. $[0, 1]$ is compact in the standard metric. In fact, any rectangle $[a_1, b_1] \times \cdots \times [a_n, b_n]$ is compact in \mathbb{R}^n but it takes a bit of effort to prove. The effort is worth it because one then obtains, as a consequence, a part of the following theorem.

Theorem 0.8. *Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. T.F.A.E.*

- (1) E is compact.
- (2) E is closed and bounded.
- (3) Every infinite subset of E admits a limit point in E .

Remark The equivalence between (1) and (2) is referred to as the Heine Borel theorem.

Proof. The proof relies on many results. First, we show that (1) \Rightarrow (2) in any metric space.

Theorem 0.9. *Let (X, d) be a metric space and $E \subset X$ be a compact set. Then, E is closed and bounded.*

Proof. We will show that E^c is open. Let $p \in E^c$. For any $x \in E$, let $U_x = B_d(x; \frac{1}{2}d(x, p))$. Note that $U_x^c \supset B_d(p; \frac{1}{2}d(x, p))$. Now, $E \subset \cup_{x \in E} U_x$. By the compactness of E , there exist $x_1, \dots, x_n \in E$ such that $E \subset U_{x_1} \cup \cdots \cup U_{x_n}$. Thus, $E^c \supseteq U_{x_1}^c \cup \cdots \cup U_{x_n}^c \supseteq B_d(p; \delta)$, where $\delta = \min\{d(x_j, p) : j = 1, \dots, n\}$.

For boundedness of E , fix $p \in X$, and observe that $E \subset \cup_{r>0} B_d(p; r)$. The compactness of E yields an $R > 0$ such that $E \subset B_d(p; R)$. \square

Next, we establish that (2) \Rightarrow (1) in \mathbb{R}^n . Our strategy is based on the observation that any bounded set E is contained in a rectangle of the form $[0, R]^n$ for some $R > 0$ (why?). Then, we prove that

Theorem 0.10. *All rectangles of the form $[0, R]^n$, $R > 0$, are compact.*

Theorem 0.11. *A closed subset of a compact set is compact.*

Proof of Theorem 0.10. Fix $R > 0$ and let $I_0 = [0, R]^n$. Note that

$$\text{diam}(I_0) = \max\{\|x - y\| : x, y \in I_0\} \leq \sqrt{n}R.$$

Suppose $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha\}$ is an open cover of I_0 that does not contain a finite subcover of I_0 . Now consider a sequence of rectangles $I_0 \supset I_1 \supset I_2 \supset \cdots$ constructed (inductively) as follows. Consider the 2^n rectangles of the form $J_1 \times \cdots \times J_n$, where each J_ℓ is either $[0, R/2]$ or $[R/2, R]$. These rectangles partition I_0 , and at least one of them is not covered by a finite subcover of \mathcal{U} . Call such a rectangle I_1 . Subdividing I_1 further and repeating this process, we obtain rectangles $I_0 \supset I_1 \supset I_2 \supset \cdots$ such that

- (1) no I_j is covered by a finite subcover of \mathcal{U} ,
- (2) $\text{diam}(I_j) \leq \frac{R\sqrt{n}}{2^j} = 2^{-j}C$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, which implies that $I_j \subset B(z; 2^{-j}C)$ for all $z \in I_j$.

END OF LECTURE 10

We now use the following fact:

Lemma 0.12. *If $I_0 \supset I_1 \supset \cdots$ is a sequence of closed rectangles, then $\cap_{j=1}^{\infty} I_j$ is non-empty.*

Assuming this fact, we let $a \in \cap_{j=0}^{\infty} I_j$. Then, there is some $\alpha \in \Lambda$ such that $a \in U_\alpha$. So, there is some $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $B(a; \varepsilon) \subseteq U_\alpha$. Now, by the Archimedean property of \mathbb{R} , there is some $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $2^{-j}C < \varepsilon$. Thus, $I_j \subseteq B(a; 2^{-j}C) \subseteq B(a; \varepsilon) \subset U_\alpha$. But I_j was not covered by a finite subcover of \mathcal{U} ! Thus, $\text{mathscr{U}}$ admits a finite subcover of I_0 . \square

Lemma 0.12 is the higher-dimensional version of the nested interval property discussed in Lecture 16 of UM 101. The proof generalizes easily from the case of \mathbb{R} and is left as an [exercise](#).

Proof of Theorem 0.11. Let $E \subseteq F \subseteq X$, where F is compact in X and E is closed in X . Let $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ be an open cover of E . Let $V = E^c$. Then, $\mathcal{U} = \{V\} \cup \{U_\alpha\}$ is an open cover of F . By the compactness of F , \mathcal{U} contains a finite subcover \mathcal{V} of F . Either the cover contains V , in which case we throw it out and the rest cover E , or the subcover only consists of finitely many elements from $\{U_\alpha\}$, in which case, once again, we get a finite subcover of E . \square

We will show that $(1) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (2)$.

For $(1) \Rightarrow (3)$, let $F \subset E$ be an infinite set. Suppose no point of E is a limit point of F . Then, for every $z \in E$, there is a ball $B(z; \varepsilon_z)$ that contains no point from F , other than possibly z . This gives an open cover of E , and each element of F is in exactly one element of this cover. This cover cannot contain a finite subcover.

For $(3) \Rightarrow (2)$, first assume that E is not bounded. Then, we can inductively construct a sequence $\{x_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\|x_j\| > \|x_{j-1}\| + 1$. Such a sequence cannot have a limit point ([why?](#)).

Next, assume E is not closed. Let $z \in \overline{E} \setminus E$. There is a subsequence of distinct points $\{x_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset E$ such that $\|z - x_j\| < 1/j$. Thus, $S = \{x_j : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is an infinite subset of E . For any $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $y \neq z$, we have that

$$\|x_j - y\| \geq \|y - x_0\| - \|x_j - x_0\| \geq \|y - x_0\| - \frac{1}{j} > \frac{1}{2} \|y - x_0\|$$

for sufficiently large j . Thus, y is not a limit point of S and the only limit point of S is not in E . \square

Corollary 0.13. *Every bounded infinite subset of \mathbb{R}^n has a limit point in \mathbb{R}^n .*

Theorem 0.14. *If $\mathcal{K} = \{K_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Lambda}$ is a collection of compact subsets of (X, d) such that every finite subcollection of \mathcal{K} has nonempty intersection, then $\cap_{\alpha \in \Lambda} K_\alpha$ is nonempty.*

Proof. Suppose the claim does not hold. Then, there is some $K = K_\alpha \in \mathcal{K}$ such that no element in K is in every other $K_\beta \in \mathcal{K}$. I.e.,

$$K \subset \cup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} K_\alpha^c.$$

Thus, $\{K_\alpha^c\}$ is an open cover of K . By compactness, there exist $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in \Lambda$ such that

$$K \subseteq K_{\alpha_1}^c \cup \dots \cup K_{\alpha_k}^c = (K_{\alpha_1} \cap \dots \cap K_{\alpha_k})^c.$$

But, the finite intersection $K \cap K_{\alpha_1} \cap \dots \cap K_{\alpha_k}$ is empty, which contradicts the hypothesis on \mathcal{K} . \square

Corollary 0.15. *Suppose $K_0 \supseteq K_1 \supset K_2 \supseteq \dots$ is a collection of nonempty compact sets in a metric space. Then, $\bigcap_{j=0}^\infty K_j \neq \emptyset$.*

Theorem 0.16. *Let $E \subset Y \subset (X, d)$. Then, E is compact relative to Y if and only if E is compact in X .*

Proof. We will only sketch the idea: every open cover of E in Y extends to an open cover of E in X , and every open cover of E in X restricts to an open cover of E in Y (by the characterization of relatively open sets in Y). \square

END OF LECTURE 11