

UM 204 (WINTER 2024) - WEEK 8

0.1. Series. We have already encountered two instances where we wanted to take the sum of infinitely many real numbers:

- (1) when discussing decimal and tertiary expansions;
- (2) when discussing the “length” of the Cantor set.

We now give a rigorous procedure of doing so.

Definition 0.1. Given a sequence $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of complex numbers, we say that the infinite series

$$(0.1) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$$

converges to a if and only if the **sequence of partial sums (sops)** $\{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ given by

$$s_j = a_0 + \cdots + a_j, \quad j \in \mathbb{N},$$

converges to a . In this case, a is called the sum of the series (0.1). If $\{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ diverges, then we say that the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ diverges.

Example. Let $z \in \mathbb{C}$, and $a_n = z^n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, note that

$$s_n = z^0 + z^1 + \cdots + z^n.$$

Thus, $(1 - z)s_n = 1 - z^{n+1}$, and if $z \neq 1$, then

$$s_n = \frac{1 - z^{n+1}}{1 - z}.$$

Now, if $|z| < 1$, then $\{z^{n+1}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to 0, and thus, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = \frac{1}{1-z}$.

If $|z| > 1$, then $\{z^{n+1}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ diverges, and therefore, $\{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ diverges. Thus, the sum $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n$ diverges.

If $|z| = 1$, then the series also diverges. We consider a couple of special cases, and then give a general argument.

If $z = 1$, then the series is

$$1 + 1 + \cdots +$$

In this case, the sops is $\{n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, which clearly diverges.

If $z = -1$, the series is

$$1 - 1 + 1 - \cdots$$

In this case, the sops is $\{1 + (-1)^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, which also diverges.

Theorem 0.2. Let $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{C}$.

(1) $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n$ converges if and only if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq m \geq N$,

$$\left| \sum_{j=m}^n a_j \right| < \varepsilon.$$

(2) If $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n$ converges, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$.

(3) Suppose $a_n \geq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n$ converges if and only if its sops is a bounded sequence.

Proof. (1) We use the fact that $(\mathbb{C}, |\cdot|)$ is complete. Then, the convergence of $\{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is equivalent to its Cauchy-ness. Thus, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ converges if and only if $\{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy. This happens iff for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is an $\tilde{N} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq m \geq \tilde{N} + 1$,

$$|s_n - s_{m-1}| = \left| \sum_{j=m}^n a_j \right| < \varepsilon.$$

(2) Let $n = m$ in the previous part. We obtain: if $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n$ converges, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|a_n| < \varepsilon$ for all $n \geq N$.

This is the monotone convergence theorem since $s_n = s_{n-1} + a_n \geq s_{n-1}$ for all $n \geq 1$. □

Now, note that if $|z| = 1$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z^n \neq 0$, thus the geometric series cannot converge when $|z| = 1$.

The convergence/divergence of some standard examples such as $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_+} \frac{1}{n}$ and $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{n^2}$ follows from the Cauchy condensation test.

Theorem 0.3. Suppose $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq a_3 \cdots \geq 0$. Then, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ converges if and only if the series

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^k a_{2^k} = a_1 + 2a_2 + 4a_4 + 8a_8 + \cdots$$

converges.

Exercise. Read the statements and proofs of the following tests:

- (1) Comparison test
- (2) Root test
- (3) Ratio test

END OF LECTURE 19

Proof. Let $\{s_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_+}$ and $\{t_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_+}$ denote the sops of $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_+} a_n$ and $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} 2^k a_{2^k}$, respectively. Note that both the sequences are monotone. Thus, it suffices to show that one is bounded above if and only if the other is bounded above. Note that for a fixed $k \geq 1$ and $n < 2^k$,

$$\begin{aligned} s_n &= a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_n \\ &\leq a_1 + (a_2 + a_3) + (a_4 + \cdots + a_7) + \cdots + (a_{2^k} + \cdots + a_{2^{k+1}-1}) \\ &\leq a_1 + 2a_2 + 4a_4 + \cdots + 2^k a_{2^k} = t_k. \end{aligned}$$

So, for $n < 2^k$, $s_n \leq t_k$.

If $n > 2^k$,

$$\begin{aligned} s_n &\geq a_1 + a_2 + (a_3 + a_4) + (a_5 + \cdots + a_8) + \cdots + (a_{2^{k-1}+1} + \cdots + a_{2^k}) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}a_1 + a_2 + 2a_4 + 4a_8 + \cdots + 2^{k-1}a_{2^k} = \frac{1}{2}t_k. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for $n > 2^k$, $s_n \geq (1/2)t_k$. Thus, the two sops are either both bounded above, or neither are bounded above. \square

Corollary 0.4. Given $p \in \mathbb{R}$, the series $\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n^p}$ converges if $p > 1$ and diverges if $p \leq 1$.

Proof. If $p \leq 0$, the individual terms do not converge to 0.

If $p > 0$, then the individual terms are decreasing, and we can use the previous theorem. Note that $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} 2^k \frac{1}{2^{kp}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{(1-p)k}$. Now, use your knowledge of geometric series. \square

Recall that we had defined the number e as the sum of the following convergent series in UM 101:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!}.$$

Recall that the convergence of this sum follows from the fact that $n! \geq 2^{n-1}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and the comparison test. You also established the irrationality of e using this definition. Now we may invoke the following exercise to talk about the natural logarithm, and claim that $\ln(n)$ is increasing in n .

Exercise Work out problem 7, Chapter 1, from Rudin's book. It gives the existence of a unique $x \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $b^x = y$, whenever $b > 1$ and $y > 0$. This will be our definition of $\log_b y$.

Corollary 0.5. The series

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(\ln n)^p}$$

diverges if $p \leq 1$ and converges if $p > 1$.

Proof. Suppose $p \leq 0$. Since $\ln(n)^p > 1$ for $n \geq 3$, $\frac{1}{n(\ln n)^p} \geq \frac{1}{n}$ for $n \geq 3$. Thus, by the comparison test, the series diverges.

For $p > 0$, we use that $n(\ln n)^p$ is increasing in n . Thus, by the CCT, we need to examine

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{2^n}{(2^n)(\ln 2)^p n^p},$$

which diverges if $p \leq 1$ and converges if $p > 1$. □

Before moving on, we give an alternate description of e .

Theorem 0.6.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n = e.$$

Proof. We want to show that the following two sequences have the same limit:

$$\begin{aligned} s_n &= \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{1}{n!} \\ t_n &= \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^j = \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{1}{j!} \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-j+1)}{n^j} \\ &= 1 + 1 + \frac{1}{2!} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) + \frac{1}{3!} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \left(1 - \frac{2}{n}\right) + \cdots + \frac{1}{n!} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \left(1 - \frac{2}{n}\right) \cdots \left(1 - \frac{n-1}{n}\right) \\ &\leq s_n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, since we don't yet know that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n$ exists, we can say that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = e.$$

Since the number of additive terms in each t_n is increasing as n increases, we can't just use the fact that $1/n \rightarrow 0$ to get rid of those terms. To keep the number of additive terms fixed, so that we can do this, we use the following trick. Fix $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Now, for $n \geq m \geq 3$,

$$\begin{aligned} t_n &= 1 + 1 + \frac{1}{2!} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) + \frac{1}{3!} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \left(1 - \frac{2}{n}\right) + \cdots + \frac{1}{n!} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \left(1 - \frac{2}{n}\right) \cdots \left(1 - \frac{n-1}{n}\right) \\ &\geq 1 + 1 + \frac{1}{2!} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) + \frac{1}{3!} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \left(1 - \frac{2}{n}\right) + \cdots + \frac{1}{m!} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \left(1 - \frac{2}{n}\right) \cdots \left(1 - \frac{m-1}{n}\right) \\ &= u_n^m. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the sequence $\{u_n^m\}_{n \geq 3}$ is a sum of $m+1$ sequences, and taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ (for m fixed), we get that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n^m = 1 + 1 + \frac{1}{2!} + \frac{1}{3!} + \cdots + \frac{1}{m!} = s_m.$$

Now, taking limit $m \rightarrow \infty$, we get that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n \geq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} s_m = e.$$

□

An important significance of infinite series is in being able to represent certain functions as power series (especially in complex analysis).

Definition 0.7. Given a sequence $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{C}$, the series (whether convergent or not)

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$$

is called a **power series**.

Here, z denotes an arbitrary complex number. The series will converge or diverge, depending on the choice of z . We apply the root test to obtain information about the region of convergence of a power series.

Theorem 0.8. *Given the power series*

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j z^j,$$

let $R = 1/\alpha$, where

$$\alpha = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n|^{1/n},$$

where $R = +\infty$ if $\alpha = 0$ and $R = 0$ if $\alpha = +\infty$. Then the given series converges for $|z| < R$ and diverges for $|z| > R$.

Remark. The behavior for $|z| = R$ can be quite varied (and delicate).

END OF LECTURE 20

Examples. (1) $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n!}$ is convergent everywhere.

(2) We already completely described the behavior of $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} z^n$.

(3) The series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n / n$ converges for $|z| < 1$ and diverges for $|z| > 1$. It converges for all $|z| = 1$ other than $z = 1$ (to be proved later).

0.2. Combining series. I. (Addition) Suppose $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n$ and $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} b_n$ are convergent (to a and b , respectively), then so is $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n + b_n$, and $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n + b_n = a + b$.

II. (Scalar multiplication) Suppose $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n$ converges to a and $c \in \mathbb{C}$. Then, $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} ca_n$ converges to ca .

III. (Termwise product) Suppose $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n$ and $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} b_n$ are convergent (to a and b , respectively), then what can we say about

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n b_n?$$

This need not always be true! For example, take $a_n = b_n = \frac{(-1)^n}{n}$.

Theorem 0.9. Let $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \{b_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{C}$. Suppose

- (1) The sops, $\{A_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, of $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n$ is bounded,
- (2) $b_0 \geq b_1 \geq \dots$,
- (3) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = 0$.

Then, $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n b_n$ converges.

Remark. Note that their divergent series $\sum a_n$ and $\sum b_n$ that satisfy the hypotheses above!

Proof. We will use the Cauchy criterion. Note that for $q \geq p$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=p}^q a_n b_n &= \sum_{n=p}^q (A_n - A_{n-1}) b_n = \sum_{n=p}^q A_n - \sum_{n=p}^q A_{n-1} b_n = \sum_{n=p}^q A_n b_n - \sum_{m=p-1}^{q-1} A_m b_{m+1} \\ &= \sum_{n=p}^{q-1} A_n (b_n - b_{n+1}) + A_q b_q - A_{p-1} b_p. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $M > 0$ such that $|A_n| \leq M$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, since $b_n - b_{n+1} \geq 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that

$$\left| \sum_{n=p}^q a_n b_n \right| \leq 2M b_p.$$

Since $\{b_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to 0, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $p \geq N$, we have that $2M b_p < \varepsilon$. This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 0.10 (The alternating series test). Suppose $b_0 \geq b_1 \geq \dots$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n = 0$. Then,

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (-1)^n b_n$$

converges.

Proof. Apply the above theorem to $a_n = (-1)^n$ and b_n as given. Note that the sops of $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n$ is $1/2(1 + (-1)^n)$ which is bounded. \square

Corollary 0.11. Suppose $\{b_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a decreasing sequence of real numbers converging to 0, and such that the radius of convergence of the power series $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} b_n z^n$ is 1. Then, the power series converges for all $|z| = 1$, except possibly at $z = 1$.

Proof. Apply the above theorem to the given $\{b_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $a_n = z^n$. You must prove that the sops of $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} z^n$ is bounded ([Exercise](#)). \square

IV. (Product of series) Given $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n$ and $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} b_n$, the **product** of the two series is defined as the series $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} c_n$ where

$$c_n = \sum_{j=0}^n a_j b_{n-j}.$$

This grouping of terms is motivated by looking at the coefficients of z^n in the term-by-term product of

$$(a_0 + a_1 z + a_2 z^2 + \cdots)(b_0 + b_1 z + b_2 z^2 + \cdots).$$

END OF LECTURE 21