Undecidability of the Halting Problem

Deepak D'Souza

Department of Computer Science and Automation
Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore.

14 March 2024

Outline

Universal Turing machine

- We can construct a TM U that takes the encoding of a TM M and its input x, and "interprets" M on the input x.
- U accepts if M accepts x, rejects if M rejects x, and loops if M loops on x.

Encoding a TM as a $\{0, 1\}$-string

$$0^n 10^m 10^k 10^s 10^t 10^r 10^u 10^v 1\ 0^p 10^a 10^q 10^b 10 1\ 0^{p'} 10^{a'} 10^{q'} 10^{b'} 100 \cdots 1\ 0^{p''} 10^{a''} 10^{q''} 10^{b''} 10.$$

represents a TM M with

- states $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$.
- Tape alphabet $\{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$.
- Input alphabet $\{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ (with $k < m$).
- Start state $s \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$.
- Accept state $t \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$.
- Reject state $r \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$.
- Left-end marker symbol $u \in \{k + 1, \ldots, m\}$.
- Blank symbol $v \in \{k + 1, \ldots, m\}$.
- Each string $0^p 10^a 10^q 10^b 10$ represents the transition $(p, a) \to (q, b, L)$.

Example encoding of TM and its input

Input is encoded as $0^a 1 0^b 1 0^c$ etc.

Exercise: What does the following TM do on input 001010?

Example encoding of a TM

00010000100101001000100010000 1 01000101000100 1 0100100100100 1 010101010.

[Assume accept and reject states are sink states]

Example encoding of TM and its input

Input is encoded as $0^a10^b10^c$ etc.

Exercise: What does the following TM do on input 001010?

Example encoding of a TM

00010000100101001000100010000 1 01000101000100 1 0100100100100 1 010101010.

[Assume accept and reject states are sink states]

How the Universal Turing machine works

- Use 3 tapes: for input $M\#x$, for current configuration, and for current state and position of head.
- Repeat:
    - Execute the transition of M applicable in the current config.
- Accept if M gets into t state, Reject if M gets into r state.

Halting Problem for Turing machines

- Fix an encoding *enc* of TMs as above.
- Define the language

$$\mathrm{HP} = \{enc(M)\#enc(x) \mid M \text{ halts on } x\}.$$

- What can we say about the language HP?

Halting Problem for Turing machines

- Fix an encoding *enc* of TMs as above.
- Define the language

$$\mathrm{HP} = \{enc(M)\#enc(x) \mid M \text{ halts on } x\}.$$

- What can we say about the language HP?
  - It is recursively enumerable, since we can use the Universal TM to accept it.

Undecidability of HP

Theorem (Turing 1936)

The language HP *is not recursive.*

Proving undecidability of HP

Assume that we have a Turing machine M which decides HP. Then we can compute each entry of the table below:

	ϵ	0	1	00	01	10	11	000	001	010	011	111	$\cdots$
M_ϵ	L	H	L	L	L	H	H	L	L	L	L	L	$\cdots$
M_0	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	$\cdots$
M_1	H	H	L	H	L	H	H	L	L	H	L	H	$\cdots$
M_{00}	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	$\cdots$
M_{01}	L	H	L	L	L	H	H	L	L	L	L	L	$\cdots$
M_{10}	H	H	L	H	L	H	H	L	L	H	L	H	$\cdots$
M_{11}	L	H	L	L	L	H	H	L	L	L	L	L	$\cdots$
M_{000}	L	L	L	L	L	L	H	L	L	L	H	L	$\cdots$
$\vdots$													

- For each $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$ let M_x denote the TM
  - M, if x is the encoding of a TM M.
  - M_{loop} otherwise, where M_{loop} is a one-state Turing machine that loops on all its inputs.
- Table entry (x, y) tells whether TM M_x halts on the encoded input y. If y is not a valid encoding of an input to M_x, M_x is deemed to loop on y.

A TM N that behaves differently from all TM's

- Let us assume we have a TM N that decides HP.
- Then we can define a TM N' as follows: Given input $x \in \{0, 1\}^*$, it
  - checks if x is valid encoding of a TM and x is a valid encoding of an input to it; if not, accept.
  - runs as N on $x\#x$.
  - If N accepts (i.e. M_x halts on $enc^{-1}(x)$), goes to a new "looping" state l' and loops there.
  - If N rejects (i.e. M_x loops on $enc^{-1}(x)$), goes to the accept state t'.

A TM N' that behaves differently from all TM's

- N' essentially "complements the diagonal" of the table: Given input $x \in \{0,1\}^*$ it halts iff M_x loops on x.
- Consider $y = enc(N')$. Then y cannot occur as any row of the table since the behaviour of N' differs from all rows in the table. This is a contradiction.

How N behaves

	ϵ	0	1	00	01	10	11	000	001	010	011	111	$\cdots$
M_ϵ	L	H	L	L	L	H	H	L	L	L	L	L	$\cdots$
M_0	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	$\cdots$
M_1	H	H	L	H	L	H	H	L	L	H	L	H	$\cdots$
M_{00}	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	$\cdots$
M_{01}	L	H	L	L	L	H	H	L	L	L	L	L	$\cdots$
M_{10}	H	H	L	H	L	H	H	L	L	H	L	H	$\cdots$
M_{11}	L	H	L	L	L	H	H	L	L	L	L	L	$\cdots$
M_{000}	L	L	L	L	L	L	H	L	L	L	H	L	$\cdots$
$\vdots$													
N	H	H	H	H	H	L	L	H	$\cdots$				
$\vdots$													

The constructed TM N complements the diagonal of the table, and hence does not occur as any of the TM's listed. This is not possible!

Complement of HP is not r.e.

Fact 1: If L and $\overline{L}$ are both r.e. then L (and $\overline{L}$) must be recursive.

- Let M accept L and M' accept $\overline{L}$.
- We can construct a total TM that simulates M and M' on given input, one step at a time.
- Accept if M accepts, Reject if M' accepts.

Fact 2: HP is recursively enumerable.

- Just run the universal TM U on input $M \# x$; accept iff U halts (i.e. M accepts or rejects x).

Corollary

The language $\neg\mathrm{HP}$ is not even recursively enumerable.

Where HP lies