

## UM 204 (WINTER 2024) - WEEK 6

### 1. SEQUENCES AND SERIES

#### 1.1. Convergence and subsequential limits. Contd...

**Examples.** Let  $x = \{x_n = (1/n, (-1)^n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Then,

$$y_k = x_{2k} = \left(\frac{1}{2k}, 1\right), z_k = x_{2k+1} = \left(\frac{1}{2k+1}, -1\right),$$

are (convergent) subsequences of  $x$ . Thus, their limits,  $(0, 1)$  and  $(0, -1)$  are subsequential limits of  $x$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset (X, d)$ . Then,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$  if and only if every subsequence of  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $x$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$ . Let  $\{y_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a subsequence of  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ , i.e.,

$$y_k = x_{n_k}$$

for some choice of  $0 \leq n_0 < n_1 < n_2 < \dots$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then, there is an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$d(x_n, x) < \varepsilon, \quad \forall n \geq N.$$

But  $n_k \geq k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus, for all  $k \geq N$ , we have that  $n_k \geq N$ . Thus

$$d(y_k, x) = d(x_{n_k}, x) < \varepsilon.$$

Conversely, suppose every subsequence of the given sequence converges to  $x$ . Since  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a subsequence of itself, it converges to  $x$ .  $\square$

We can now state one more characterization of compactness in metric spaces.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space, and  $E \subset X$ . T.F.A.E.

- (1)  $E$  is compact.
- (2) Every infinite subset of  $E$  has a limit point in  $E$ .
- (3) Every sequence in  $E$  admits a convergent subsequence that converges to a limit in  $E$ .

**Remark.** We have already shown that  $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$  in general, and  $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We will show that, in general,  $(2) \iff (3)$ . Although, our proof is only complete in the special case of  $\mathbb{R}^k$ , you are allowed to cite this theorem for a general metric space in this course.

*Proof.* Suppose  $E$  satisfies (2). Let  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset E$  be a sequence. If the collection  $S = \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a finite set. Then, there is some  $x \in E$  such that  $x_n = x$  for infinitely many  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This yields a subsequence that converges to  $x \in E$ . If  $S$  is infinite, let  $p \in E$  be a limit point of  $S$ . We choose a subsequence of  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  inductively as follows: let  $\varepsilon = 1$ , then there is some  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $d(x_{n_1}, p) < 1$ . Now,  $p$  is also a limit point of  $S \setminus \{x_0, \dots, x_{n_1}\}$ . Choosing  $\varepsilon = 1/2$ , we find an  $n_2 > n_1$  such that  $d(x_{n_2}, p) < 1/2$ . Continuing this way, we obtain  $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$  so that

$$d(x_{n_k}, p) < 1/k.$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Choose  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $1/\varepsilon < N$ . Then, for all  $k \geq N$ , we have that

$$d(x_{n_k}, p) < \varepsilon.$$

Thus,  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_k} = p$ .

Suppose  $E$  satisfies (3). Let  $S \subset E$  be an infinite set that admits no limit points in  $E$ . Since  $S$  is infinite, we may choose a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset E$  such that  $x_j \neq x_k$  for an  $j \neq k$ . Then, by hypothesis, there is a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_k} = x$  for some  $x \in E$ . Thus, by the sequential characterization of closed sets,  $x \in \bar{S}$ . You may [check](#) that  $x$  is a limit point of  $S$  in  $E$ , which contradicts our assumption.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.3.** *Let  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}^k$  be a bounded sequence. Then,  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  admits a convergent subsequence.*

*Proof.* Since the sequence is bounded, there is a  $p \in \mathbb{R}^k$  and  $R > 0$  such that  $x_n \in B(p; R)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus,  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in the compact ([why](#)) set  $\overline{B(p; R)}$ . The result follows from the above theorem.  $\square$

## END OF LECTURE 15

**1.2. Cauchy sequences and completeness.** In HW02, we encountered the sequence

$$x_n = \begin{cases} 2, & \text{if } n = 0, \\ x_{n-1} - \frac{x_{n-1}^2 - 2}{2x_{n-1}}, & \text{if } n \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

You checked that this sequence is Cauchy, and argued that it does not have a limit within  $\mathbb{Q}$ . This is an example of a common iteration scheme known as the Newton-Raphson method. Given a ‘nice’ function  $f$  and an initial guess, say  $x_0$ , for a root of  $f$ , one gets better approximations by considering

$$x_n = x_{n-1} - \frac{f(x_{n-1})}{f'(x_{n-1})}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

If  $f$  satisfies certain conditions, then  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a Cauchy sequence. If,  $\{f'(x_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is bounded,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_{n-1}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f'(x_{n-1})(x_{n-1} - x_n) = 0.$$

If such a sequence converges (to say  $\ell$ ), and  $f$  is continuous, then we get that  $0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = f(\ell)$ .

**Definition 1.4.** A sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset (X, d)$  is said to be **Cauchy** if, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$d(x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon, \quad \forall n, m \geq N.$$

**Example.** (Assignment 02) Every bounded above increasing sequence in  $\mathbb{Q}$  (or  $\mathbb{R}$ ) is Cauchy. Let  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $x_n \leq x_{n+1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and there is some  $M \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that

$$|x_n| < M, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Suppose the sequence is not Cauchy. Then, there is an  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exist  $n > m \geq k$  such that  $|x_n - x_m| > \varepsilon$ . We build a subsequence iteratively as follows. For  $k = 0$ , let  $m_0 = m$  and  $n_0 = n$ , as above. Next, let  $k = \max\{1, n_0\}$ , and choose  $m_1 = m$  and  $n_1 = n$  as above, and continue so that  $n_k > m_k \geq \max\{k, n_0, \dots, n_{k-1}\}$ . Then, we have that  $|x_{n_k} - x_{m_k}| = x_{n_k} - x_{m_k} > \varepsilon$ . Thus,

$$x_{n_k} > \varepsilon + x_{m_k} \geq \varepsilon + x_{n_{k-1}} > k\varepsilon + x_{n_0}.$$

Choose  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we can make the RHS greater than  $M$ , which is a contradiction.

**Theorem 1.5.** *Every convergent sequence is Cauchy. Every Cauchy sequence is bounded.*

**Definition 1.6.** A metric space is said to be **complete** if every Cauchy sequence is convergent.

**Example.**  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $(0, 1)$  (both with the standard metric) are not complete.

**Theorem 1.7.** *Every compact metric space is a complete metric space.*

*Proof.* Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space. Let  $\alpha = \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset X$  be a Cauchy sequence. By the sequential characterization of compactness, there is a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  of  $\alpha$  that converges to some  $x \in X$ . We claim that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x.$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By Cauchyness of  $\alpha$ , there is an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$d(x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon/2, \quad \forall m, n \geq N.$$

By convergence of  $\{x_{n_k}\}$ , there is a  $K \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$d(x_{n_\ell}, x) < \varepsilon/2, \quad \forall \ell \geq K.$$

Now, choose  $N_0 = \max\{N, K\}$ . Then, for  $\ell \geq N_0$ , we have that  $\ell \geq K$  and  $\ell, n_\ell \geq N$ . Thus,

$$d(x_\ell, x) \leq d(x_\ell, x_{n_\ell}) + d(x_{n_\ell}, x) < \varepsilon, \quad \forall \ell \geq N_0.$$

□

**Theorem 1.8.**  $(\mathbb{R}^n, |\cdot|)$  is a complete metric space.

*Proof.* Let  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then, it is a bounded sequence, and has a convergent subsequence. Now repeat the above argument. □

## END OF LECTURE 16

**1.3. The extended real line and sequences in  $\mathbb{R}$ .** The extended real number system consists of all real numbers, and two formal symbols,  $+\infty$  and  $-\infty$ . This extended set will be denoted by  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , and will be endowed with the usual order on  $\mathbb{R}$ , along with

$$-\infty < x < +\infty, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The l.u.b. (g.l.b.) property continues to hold in  $(\overline{\mathbb{R}}, <)$ , where if a subset  $A \subset \mathbb{R}$  is bounded above in  $\mathbb{R}$ , then it has an l.u.b. in  $\mathbb{R}$ , and it is not bounded above in  $\mathbb{R}$ , then

$$\sup A = +\infty.$$

The usual algebraic operations are extended to  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  as follows (here  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ ):

- (1)  $x + \infty = \infty, x - \infty = -\infty$
- (2) If  $x > 0$ , then  $x \cdot (+\infty) = +\infty$  and  $x \cdot (-\infty) = -\infty$
- (3) If  $x < 0$ , then  $x \cdot (+\infty) = -\infty$  and  $x \cdot (-\infty) = +\infty$
- (4)  $\frac{x}{+\infty} = \frac{x}{-\infty} = 0$

We next consider a concept that gives us a finer understanding of the clustering behavior of sequences beyond 'convergence' and 'divergence'. Before that, we extend the meaning of the symbol  $\rightarrow$ .

**Definition 1.9.** Given a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}$ , we say that

$$x_n \rightarrow +\infty$$

if, for every  $M \in \mathbb{R}$ , there is some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x_n \geq M$  for all  $n \geq N$ . Similarly, we say that

$$x_n \rightarrow -\infty$$

if, for every  $L \in \mathbb{R}$ , there is an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x_n \leq L$  for all  $n \geq N$ .

**Remark.** In the above case, the sequences are NOT considered to be convergent! However,  $+\infty$  and  $-\infty$  are said to be limits in the extended real line.

**Definition 1.10.** Given a sequence  $\alpha = \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}$ , let  $E \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  be the set of subsequential limits of  $\alpha$  in the *extended real line*. Then, the **limit superior/upper limit** and **limit inferior/lower limit** of  $\alpha$  are given by  $\sup E$  and  $\inf E$ , respectively. These are denote by

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \sup E \quad \text{and} \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \inf E.$$

**Examples.** (1)  $x_n = (-1)^n$ . You can check that  $E = \{-1, 1\}$ , so  $\limsup x_n = 1$  and  $\liminf x_n = -1$ .

(2)  $x_n = n$ . Then  $E = \{+\infty\}$ . So,  $\liminf x_n = \limsup x_n = \infty$ .

(3) Let  $\{x_n\}$  be an enumeration of all the rational numbers. Then, every (extended) real number occurs as a subsequential limit of this sequence. Thus,  $E = \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ ,  $\liminf x_n = -\infty$  and  $\limsup x_n = +\infty$ .

**Theorem 1.11.** (1) Let  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  be sequences such that for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x_n \leq y_n$  for all  $n \geq N$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup x_n &\leq \limsup y_n \\ \liminf x_n &\leq \liminf y_n. \end{aligned}$$

(2) Let  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a real sequence. Then,  $x_n \rightarrow x \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  if and only if  $\limsup x_n = \liminf x_n = x$ .

*Proof.* [Exercise](#). □

**Theorem 1.12.** Let  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}$  be a sequence and  $E$  be it set of subsequential limits (in  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ ).

- (1)  $E$  is nonempty and bounded above in  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ .
- (2)  $\limsup x_n \in E$ .
- (3) If  $x > \limsup x_n$ , there is an  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x_n < x$  for all  $n \geq N$ .
- (4)  $\limsup x_n$  is the only (extended) real number satisfying (2) and (3).

[Exercise](#). State and prove an analogous theorem for the existence and properties of the lower limit.

*Proof.* (1) Note that  $+\infty$  is an upper bound in  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$  of any subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ , so we need to show that  $E$  is nonempty.

(a) If  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded in  $\mathbb{R}$  (say  $|x_n| \leq M$ ), then it has a convergent subsequence. In this case,  $E$  is nonempty.

(b) If  $\{x_n\}$  is not bounded above, we construct a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  such that  $x_{n_k} \rightarrow +\infty$ .

Let  $M(1) = 1$ . Since  $\{x_n\}$  is not bounded above, there is some  $n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$x_{n_1} > M(1) = 1.$$

Next, let  $M(2) = \max\{2, x_0, \dots, x_{n_1}\}$ . Since  $\{x_n\}$  is not bounded above, there is some  $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$x_{n_2} > M(2) \geq 2.$$

Since  $M(2) \geq x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n_1}$ , it must be that  $n_2 > n_1$ .

Suppose  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k$  have been chosen so that  $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_k$  and

$$x_{n_k} > k.$$

Let  $M(k+1) = \max\{k+1, x_0, \dots, x_{n_k}\}$ . Then, there must be some  $n_{k+1} > n_k$  such that

$$x_{n_{k+1}} > M(k+1) \geq k+1.$$

This yields a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  such that  $x_{n_k} \rightarrow \infty$ .

(c)  $\{x_n\}$  is not bounded below. Consider  $\{-x_n\}$  and repeat the above argument.

(2) (a) Suppose  $\sup E \in \mathbb{R}$ . For any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is some  $e_k \in E$  such that

$$\sup E - \frac{1}{k} < e_k \leq \sup E < \sup E + \frac{1}{k}.$$

Since  $e_k$  is a subsequential limit of  $\{x_n\}$ , there is an increasing sequence

$$n_{k1} < n_{k2} < n_{k3} < \dots$$

such that

$$|x_{n_{k\ell}} - e_k| < \frac{1}{k}, \quad \forall \ell \geq 1.$$

Let  $n_1 = n_{11}$ . Since  $\lim_{\ell \rightarrow \infty} n_{2\ell} = \infty$ , there is some  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_+$  such that  $n_{2\ell} > n_1$ . Let  $n_2 = n_{2\ell}$ . Continuing this way, we obtain

$$n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < \dots$$

such that

$$|x_{n_k} - e_k| < \frac{1}{k}.$$

But then,

$$|x_{n_k} - \sup E| < \frac{1}{2k} \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus,  $\sup E \in E$ .

(b) Suppose  $\sup E = +\infty$ . We claim that  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is not bounded above, and therefore, by (1)(b),  $+\infty$  is a subsequential limit. Suppose not, i.e.,  $\exists M \in \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$x_n \leq M, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then, any subsequence is bounded above by  $M$ , and therefore, any subsequential limit is bounded above by  $M$ . Thus,  $E$  is bounded above by  $M$ , and  $\sup E \leq M < \infty$ .

(c) Suppose  $\sup E = +\infty$ . Apply the above argument to  $\{-x_n\}$  to show that  $\{x_n\}$  is not bounded below, and therefore,  $-\infty$  is a subsequential limit.

REST OF THE PROOF TO BE COMPLETED NEXT TIME

□

**END OF LECTURE 16**