
A ROS based communication architecture for UAV swarms

*A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements
for the degree of Master of Technology*

by

Sai Aditya Chundi



DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY KANPUR

May 2019

Certificate

It is certified that the work contained in this thesis entitled "A ROS based communication architecture for UAV swarms" by "Sai Aditya Chundi" has been carried out under my supervision and that it has not been submitted elsewhere for a degree.

Ketan Rajawat

Professor

Department of Electrical Engineering
Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur

May 2019

Abstract

Name of the student: **Sai Aditya Chundi**

Roll No: **Roll No.**

Degree for which submitted: **M.Tech.**

Department: **Electrical Engineering**

Thesis title: **A ROS based communication architecture for UAV swarms**

Thesis supervisor: **Ketan Rajawat**

Month and year of thesis submission: **May 2019**

UAVs have received considerable attention in the last decade, both in industry and academia. Potential applications are wide and varied, encompassing both military and domestic spaces. Search and rescue missions during disasters, environmental monitoring and surveillance, precision agriculture and farming are some of the domestic applications, while the scope of their usage in military space can be readily appreciated.

Communication is a critical component in realizing swarms of UAVs. There are two aspects of the communication architecture while considering UAV swarms. While there needs to be a reliable communication between the UAVs and a ground station, communication between individual UAVs is essential in enabling a distributed architecture for swarm applications. Wireless ad-hoc networks offer an appealing solution for inter UAV communication. While there have been works which used 802.11 based ad-hoc networks for the communication in multi UAV setups, these are short range links which are not suitable for the long range link between UAVs and the ground station. On the other hand, the commonly used 900 Mhz based radios for the communication between UAVs and the ground station in long range, are not well suited for inter UAV communication.

In this work, we present a novel communication architecture for UAV swarms, which

combines both the long range and short range architectures. Moreover, our communication architecture is based on Robot Operating System(ROS), which ensures that any distributed application can be easily integrated into, and extended by the capabilities of ROS.

Acknowledgements

I would extend my sincerest gratitude...

Contents

Certificate	i
Abstract	ii
Acknowledgements	iv
Contents	v
List of Figures	vi
List of Tables	vii
Abbreviations	viii
Symbols	ix
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Rise of Unmanned Aerial Vehicles	1
1.2 The Challenge of Communications in UAV swarms	2
A Appendix A	4
Bibliography	5

List of Figures

1.1 Possible network configurations for communications in UAVs	3
--	---

List of Tables

Abbreviations

FEA	F inite E lement A nalysis
FEM	F inite E lement M ethod
LVDT	L inear V ariable D ifferential T ransformer
RC	R einforced C oncrete

Symbols

D^{el}	elasticity tensor
σ	stress tensor
ε	strain tensor

For/Dedicated to/To my...

Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Rise of Unmanned Aerial Vehicles

Unmanned aerial vehicles or UAVs have become ubiquitous in the past decade, both in research and industry. A myriad of applications involving UAVs in diverse fields has made them quite popular. Potential domestic applications include Environmental monitoring and surveillance [2], traffic management [5], remote sensing [6], precision agriculture and farming [1], disaster management [3], to name a few. The use of UAVs for defence purposes is only poised to grow in the next decade. It is not hard to see the appeal of UAVs in the defence sector with applications ranging from simple surveillance and reconnaissance missions to offensives like *search and destroy* missions and targeted hits.

Much of the rise of this interest in UAVs can be attributed to associated advances in robotics, largely driven by the progress in robust and cheap sensors and communication technology. The emergence of scalable and extensible software architectures like the Robot Operating System(ROS), which enables easy integration of various subsystems further pushed the progress in these domains.

While early applications of UAVs were single UAV based, the focus is now shifting towards applications involving multiple UAVs, cooperatively completing tasks. There are

several advantages of multi-UAV systems over single UAV systems. In certain applications like search and rescue missions, for instance, the use of multiple UAVs for surveying an area can significantly reduce the time taken to complete the task [7]. As given by [9], other advantages of multi-UAV systems over single UAV systems include cost, scalability, survivability and speed.

Though UAV swarms have promising capabilities, they have quite a few challenges to overcome. Communication is one of the main hindrances to realize robust swarms of UAVs.

1.2 The Challenge of Communications in UAV swarms

While there is much literature regarding communication in UAV systems, [9] and [4] are two good survey articles regarding the current trends and issues in communication in UAV swarms. Besides pointing out the shifting interest towards multi UAV systems, due to their advantages over single UAV systems, they also identify the challenges in these systems, communication among the UAVs being the most notable one.

Since the first applications were single UAV based, communication architecture in these systems was simple and straightforward. Often, there only needed to be a communication link between the UAV and the ground station. In some cases where the UAV has to cover a larger area, there could be multiple ground stations, and the UAV would have to communicate with the ground station near it. Even then, the overall architecture was pretty basic. As we noted earlier, there is a rapidly growing interest in realizing swarms of cooperative and collaborative UAVs, accomplishing complex tasks. Robust and reliable communication among the UAVs is an essential and critical component in enabling cooperative and collaborative behaviour in these UAV swarms.

While there is some interest in infrastructure based communication in UAVs, like using cellular infrastructure for UAVs [8], much of the research community considers enabling UAV communications in infrastructure less environments, more significant. These so called




FIGURE 1.1: Possible network configurations for communications in UAVs

adhoc networks are important, for instance in disaster management scenarios where the existing infrastructure is damaged, or in military applications where there is no pre-existing infrastructure. The authors in [4] try to identify several possible communication architectures for multi UAV networks.

Figure 1.1a shows the star topology, where all the UAVs are connected to the ground station. In this configuration, the necessary communication among UAVs also needs to be routed through the ground station. Figure 1.1b shows the mesh topology, where the UAVs communicate among themselves using an adhoc network, they themselves form. Typically, the ground station is also a part of the adhoc network.

Appendix A

Appendix A

Write your Appendix content here.

Bibliography

- [1] Das, J., Cross, G., Qu, C., Makineni, A., Tokekar, P., Mulgaonkar, Y., and Kumar, V. (2015). Devices, systems, and methods for automated monitoring enabling precision agriculture. In *2015 IEEE International Conference on Automation Science and Engineering (CASE)*, pages 462–469.
- [2] Dunbabin, M. and Marques, L. (2012). Robots for environmental monitoring: Significant advancements and applications. *IEEE Robotics & Automation Magazine - IEEE ROBOT AUTOMAT*, 19:24–39.
- [3] Erdelj, M., Natalizio, E., Chowdhury, K. R., and Akyildiz, I. F. (2017). Help from the sky: Leveraging uavs for disaster management. *IEEE Pervasive Computing*, 16(1):24–32.
- [4] Gupta, L., Jain, R., and Vaszkun, G. (2016). Survey of important issues in uav communication networks. *IEEE Communications Surveys Tutorials*, 18(2):1123–1152.
- [5] Puri, A. (2005). A survey of unmanned aerial vehicles (uavs) for traffic surveillance. *University of South Florida - internal report*.
- [6] Whitehead, K. and Hugenholtz, C. H. (2014). Remote sensing of the environment with small unmanned aircraft systems (uass), part 1: a review of progress and challenges. *Journal of Unmanned Vehicle Systems*, 02(03):69–85.
- [7] Yang Y, Polycarpou MM, M. A. (2007). Multi-uav cooperative search using an opportunistic learning method. *Journal of Dynamic Systems, Measurement, and Control*, pages 716–728.
- [8] Zeng, Y., Lyu, J., and Zhang, R. (2019). Cellular-connected uav: Potential, challenges, and promising technologies. *IEEE Wireless Communications*, 26(1):120–127.
- [9] İlker Bekmezci, Sahingoz, O. K., and Şamil Temel (2013). Flying ad-hoc networks (fanets): A survey. *Ad Hoc Networks*, 11(3):1254 – 1270.