A communication architecture for UAV swarms

A thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements

for the degree of Master of Technology

by

Sai Aditya Chundi

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL ENGINEERING

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY KANPUR

May 2019

Certificate

It is certified that the work contained in this thesis entitled "A communication architecture for UAV swarms" by "Sai Aditya Chundi" has been carried out under my supervision and that it has not been submitted elsewhere for a degree.

Ketan Rajawat

May 2019

Professor

Department of Electrical Engineering

Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur

Abstract

Name of the student: **Sai Aditya Chundi**   Roll No: **Roll No.**

Degree for which submitted: **M.Tech.**   Department: **Electrical Engineering**

Thesis title: **A communication architecture for UAV swarms**

Thesis supervisor: **Ketan Rajawat**

Month and year of thesis submission: **May 2019**

UAVs have received considerable attention in the last decade, both in industry and academia. Potential applications are wide and varied, encompassing both military and domestic spaces. Search and rescue missions during disasters, environmental monitoring and surveillance, precision agriculture and farming are some of the domestic applications, while the scope of their usage in military space can be readily appreciated.

Communication is a critical component in realizing swarms of UAVs. There are two aspects of the communication architecture while considering UAV swarms. While there needs to be a reliable communication between the UAVs and a ground station, communication between individual UAVs is essential in enabling a distributed architecture for swarm applications. Wireless ad-hoc networks offer an appealing solution for inter UAV communication. While there have been works which used 802.11 based ad-hoc networks for the communication in multi UAV setups, these are short range links which are not suitable for the long range link between UAVs and the ground station. On the other hand, the commonly used 900 Mhz based radios for the communication between UAVs and the ground station in long range, are not well suited for inter UAV communication.

In this work, we present a novel communication architecture for UAV swarms, which

combines both the long range and short range architectures. Moreover, our communication architecture is based on Robot Operating System(ROS), which ensures that any distributed application can be easily integrated into, and extended by the capabilities of ROS.

Acknowledgements

I would extend my sincerest gratitude...

Contents

List of Figures

List of Tables

Abbreviations

FEA   **F**inite **E**lement **A**nalysis

FEM   **F**inite **E**lement **M**ethod

LVDT   **L**inear **V**ariable **D**ifferential **T**ransformer

RC   **R**einforced **C**oncrete

For/Dedicated to/To my. . .

Chapter 1

Introduction

1.1 Rise of Unmanned Aerial Vehicles

Unmanned aerial vehicles or UAVs have become ubiquitous in the past decade, both in research and industry. A myriad of applications involving UAVs in diverse fields has made them quite popular. Potential domestic applications include Environmental monitoring and surveillance [6], traffic management [11], remote sensing [14], precision agriculture and farming [5], disaster management [7], to name a few. The use of UAVs for defence purposes is only poised to grow in the next decade. It is not hard to see the appeal of UAVs in the defence sector with applications ranging from simple surveillance and reconnaissance missions to offensives like *search and destroy* missions and targeted hits.

Much of the rise of this interest in UAVs can be attributed to associated advances in robotics, largely driven by the progress in robust and cheap sensors and communication technology. The emergence of scalable and extensible software architectures like the Robot Operating System(ROS), which enables easy integration of various subsystems further pushed the progress in these domains.

While early applications of UAVs were single UAV based, the focus is now shifting towards applications involving multiple UAVs, cooperatively completing tasks. There are

several advantages of multi-UAV systems over single UAV systems. In certain applications like search and rescue missions, for instance, the use of multiple UAVs for surveying an area can significantly reduce the time taken to complete the task [15]. As given by [18], other advantages of multi-UAV systems over single UAV systems include cost, scalability, survivability and speed.

Though UAV swarms have promising capabilities, they have quite a few challenges to overcome. Communication is one of the main hindrances to realize robust swarms of UAVs.

1.2   The Challenge of Communications in UAV swarms

While there is much literature regarding communication in UAV systems, [18] and [8] are two good survey articles regarding the current trends and issues in communication in UAV swarms. Besides pointing out the shifting interest towards multi UAV systems, due to their advantages over single UAV systems, they also identify the challenges in these systems, communication among the UAVs being the most notable one.

Since the first applications were single UAV based, communication architecture in these systems was simple and straightforward. Often, there only needed to be a communication link between the UAV and the ground station. In some cases where the UAV has to cover a larger area, there could be multiple ground stations, and the UAV would have to communicate with the ground station near it. Even then, the overall architecture was pretty basic. As we noted earlier, there is a rapidly growing interest in realizing swarms of cooperative and collaborative UAVs, accomplishing complex tasks. Robust and reliable communication among the UAVs is an essential and critical component in enabling cooperative and collaborative behaviour in these UAV swarms.

While there is some interest in infrastructure based communication in UAVs, like using cellular infrastructure for UAVs [17], much of the research community considers enabling UAV communications in infrastructure less environments, more significant. These so called

(A) Star Configuration   (B) Mesh Configuration

FIGURE 1.1: Possible network configurations for communications in UAVs

adhoc networks are important, for instance in disaster management scenarios where the existing infrastructure is damaged, or in military applications where there is no pre-existing infrastructure. The authors in [8] try to identify several possible communication architectures for multi UAV networks.

Figure 1.1a shows the star topology, where all the UAVs are connected to the ground station. In this configuration, the necessary communication among UAVs also needs to be routed through the ground station. Figure 1.1b shows the mesh topology, where the UAVs communicate among themselves using an adhoc network, they themselves form. Typically, the ground station is also a part of the adhoc network. In the star configuration, since all the data has to be routed through the ground station, there would be higher latency and congestion in the network.

On top of that, if the UAVs are considerably far from the ground station, the network in star configuration may not provide reasonably high bandwidth for the communication among the UAVs. Moreover, if any of the UAVs loses its link to the ground, it cannot be accessible by any other UAVs, even if they are close. It is clear to see that the mesh configuration has a considerable advantage over the star configuration. Indeed, there

is a consensus in the literature that realizing adhoc networks is the best solution for communication in multi UAV systems. There is a growing intereset in FANETs, the Flying Adhoc Networks. There is much literature in the filed and [18] introduces and outlines the current and future trends in FANETs.

1.3   Motivation and the Current Work

With the advent of Internet of Things(IOT), there are now several communication standards which enable mesh networks like Bluetooth mesh networks [4], Thread [2, 13] and Zwave [16], where the last two protocols are based on the IEEE standard 802.15 [1]. Despite these, mesh networks which are based on the wifi, as standardized in IEEE 802.11s, better suit the needs of UAVs because of their high data rate and range.

While there are previous works which have used wifi based adhoc networks in UAVs like AUGNET [3] and UAVNET [10], they have several issues, not least of which is the range of the networks. Though the mesh networks enable reliable communication among the UAVs at an acceptable range, the link between the UAVs and the ground station is much more vulnerable. While the distance between the neighbouring UAVs can be kept relatively small, one cannot restrict the distance between the ground station and a UAV. A typical scenario would be that of a group of UAVs flying as a swarm far from the ground station. Even though the UAVs are in range and allow communication among themselves via the wifi mesh, the ground station at a larger distance can no longer be a part of the mesh. This is certainly a limiting factor in mesh networks for UAVs. This is one of the motivations for this work, in which we address this issue by using two different radio modules, a long range and a short range one(the wifi mesh). We propose a new architecture which blends short range and long range aspects of the communication. Note that the long range radios typically provide low bandwidth and are not suited for robust inter communication among the UAVs, demanded by many emerging distributed applications. This is not a concern in the case of the link between the UAVs and the ground station as long as, much data is not needed at the ground station, which is the case in autonomous applications, where much

of the decision making happeds on board the UAV and ground station is merely used for monitoring.

Another aspect of motivation for this work is its integration with the Robot Operating System or ROS. ROS is a widely accepted software platform for research in robotics, which became popular as *Linux for Robotics.* ROS, with its distributed and modular architecture, active development, collaborative environment and vibrant community has seen widespread adoption by individuals and organizations, across the board in robotics research. Thus the goal was to integrate our communication architecture into ROS, enabling development of distributed applications among UAVs without the need to worry about the underlying communication architecture.

1.4 Organizaiton of the thesis

We have introduced and motivated the problem of communication in UAV swarms in chapter 1. In chapter 1, we shall look at the development of the mesh architecutre. We shall look at the long range communication architecture in chapter 1. We shall look at some future work and finally conclude this thesis with chapter 1.

Chapter 2

The Mesh Architecture

In this chapter, we will look at the short range communication architecture for inter communication among the UAVs which entails realizing a wifi mesh network based on the IEEE standard 802.11s. We shall also look at how it is integrated into ROS.

2.1   IEEE 802.11s

The IEEE WLAN 802.11 standard is quite a popular solution for high bandwidth networking services at reasonable distances. But, it is based on a centrailized architecture, where every networking node is a *Station*, STA. In the simplest architecture, one of the STAs acts as an *Access point*, AP, a centralized node which provides integration services to other STAs. It is a single hop architecture where *STAs* directly communicate with *APs*, and all the communication between *STAs* is routed through the *AP* to which they are connected. Essentially, it is a network in star configuration, at MAC layer.

With growing demand for more diverse wireless infrastructure and multihop networks, 802.11s [9] emerged as an ammendment to the original standard to accommodate mesh networking architecture. The 802.11s standard extends the 802.11 MAC layer, allowing a MAC based multihop architecture.

FIGURE 2.1: A comparison of 802.11 wlan and 802.11s mesh networks

source: Portmann, Marius. (2006). Wireless Mesh Networks for Public Safety and Disaster Recovery Applications. 10.1201/9781420013542.ch16.

2.1.1   Routing in 802.11s

Bibliography

[1] Akyildiz, I. F., Wang, X., and Wang, W. (2005). Wireless mesh networks: a survey. *Computer Networks*, 47(4):445 – 487.

[2] Alm, A. (2019). Internet of things mesh network : Using the thread networking protocol.

[3] Brown, T., Argrow, B., Dixon, C., Doshi, S., Thekkekunnel, R.-G., and Henkel, D. (2004). Ad hoc uav ground network (augnet). *AIAA 3rd "Unmanned Unlimited" Technical Conference, Workshop and Exhibit*.

[4] Darroudi, S. M. and Gomez, C. (2017). Bluetooth low energy mesh networks: A survey. *Sensors*, 17(7).

[5] Das, J., Cross, G., Qu, C., Makineni, A., Tokekar, P., Mulgaonkar, Y., and Kumar, V. (2015). Devices, systems, and methods for automated monitoring enabling precision agriculture. In *2015 IEEE International Conference on Automation Science and Engineering (CASE)*, pages 462–469.

[6] Dunbabin, M. and Marques, L. (2012). Robots for environmental monitoring: Significant advancements and applications. *IEEE Robotics & Automation Magazine - IEEE ROBOT AUTOMAT*, 19:24–39.

[7] Erdelj, M., Natalizio, E., Chowdhury, K. R., and Akyildiz, I. F. (2017). Help from the sky: Leveraging uavs for disaster management. *IEEE Pervasive Computing*, 16(1):24–32.

[8] Gupta, L., Jain, R., and Vaszkun, G. (2016). Survey of important issues in uav communication networks. *IEEE Communications Surveys Tutorials*, 18(2):1123–1152.

[9] Hiertz, G., Denteneer, T., Max, S., Taori, R., Cardona, J., Berlemann, L., and Walke, B. (2010). Ieee 802.11s: the wlan mesh standard. *Wireless Communications, IEEE*, 17:104 – 111.

[10] Morgenthaler, S., Braun, T., Zhao, Z., Staub, T., and Anwander, M. (2012). Uavnet: A mobile wireless mesh network using unmanned aerial vehicles. In *2012 IEEE Globecom Workshops*, pages 1603–1608.

[11] Puri, A. (2005). A survey of unmanned aerial vehicles (uavs) for traffic surveillance. *University of South Florida - internal report*.

[12] Sun, J. and Zhang, X. (2009). Study of zigbee wireless mesh networks. In *2009 Ninth International Conference on Hybrid Intelligent Systems*, volume 2, pages 264–267.

[13] Unwala, I., Taqvi, Z., and Lu, J. (2018). Thread: An iot protocol. In *2018 IEEE Green Technologies Conference (GreenTech)*, pages 161–167.

[14] Whitehead, K. and Hugenholtz, C. H. (2014). Remote sensing of the environment with small unmanned aircraft systems (uass), part 1: a review of progress and challenges. *Journal of Unmanned Vehicle Systems*, 02(03):69–85.

[15] Yang Y, Polycarpou MM, M. A. (2007). Multi-uav cooperative search using an opportunistic learning method. *Journal of Dynamic Systems, Measuremnet, and Control.*, pages 716–728.

[16] Yassein, M. B., Mardini, W., and Khalil, A. (2016). Smart homes automation using z-wave protocol. In *2016 International Conference on Engineering MIS (ICEMIS)*, pages 1–6.

[17] Zeng, Y., Lyu, J., and Zhang, R. (2019). Cellular-connected uav: Potential, challenges, and promising technologies. *IEEE Wireless Communications*, 26(1):120–127.

[18] İlker Bekmezci, Sahingoz, O. K., and Şamil Temel (2013). Flying ad-hoc networks (fanets): A survey. *Ad Hoc Networks*, 11(3):1254 – 1270.