

POST-IMPLEMENTATION SECURITY REPORT

Subject: Deployment and Hardening of Web Application Firewall (WAF) on Legacy Infrastructure

Date: December 28, 2025

Analyst: Sai Avinash Sirasapalli

Classification: INTERNAL TECHNICAL DOCUMENT

Intended Audience: SOC Analysts, Infrastructure Security Team, Application Security Team

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This project involved a comprehensive security engineering lifecycle to protect a vulnerable legacy web application (DVWA) using a layered defense-in-depth strategy. By deploying **ModSecurity v2.9** and the **OWASP Core Rule Set (CRS) v3.x**, we established a preventive boundary at the HTTP layer. The project transitioned from a completely vulnerable baseline to a hardened state, achieving a **27% reduction in total vulnerabilities** and successfully implementing "Virtual Patching" for critical command injection vectors.

2. PROJECT METHODOLOGY

Phase I: Research and Planning

ModSecurity: Selected for its robust HTTP inspection capabilities and deep integration with Apache.

OWASP CRS: Utilized as a standardized baseline to protect against the "OWASP Top 10" (SQLi, XSS, etc.) with minimal initial overhead.

OWASP ZAP: Chosen as the DAST (Dynamic Application Security Testing) tool to simulate real-world attack payloads and validate WAF efficacy.

Phase II: Execution and Implementation

Environment Setup: Initialized **WSL2 (Ubuntu 22.04)** as the target host and **Kali Linux (VM)** as the attacker node.

Stack Deployment: Configured a LAMP stack (Apache, MariaDB, PHP) and deployed DVWA with security set to "**Low**" to maximize attack visibility.

Baseline Scan: Executed ZAP against the application in **DetectionOnly** mode to record 15 initial alerts.

WAF Hardening: Enabled **SecRuleEngine On** and implemented the Core Rule Set.

Policy Tuning: Authored custom rules to address protocol-level gaps and managed false positives encountered during administrative tasks.

3. THREAT MODEL AND ASSUMPTIONS

Threat Actor: Opportunistic attackers and automated bots looking for unpatched injection points or directory traversal vulnerabilities.

Asset at Risk: Sensitive application data and server-side execution environment.

Attack Surface: Exposed HTTP endpoints on the DVWA platform.

Assumption: The WAF is a compensating control; underlying code remains vulnerable, but the *exploitability* is reduced at the network edge.

4. SYSTEM ARCHITECTURE (LAB DESIGN)

Target (Blue Team): WSL2 hosting Apache. A network bridge was configured (listening on 0.0.0.0) to allow the Kali VM to reach the host.

Attacker (Red Team): Kali Linux VM running ZAP Proxy for automated and manual exploitation.

Telemetry: Log traffic directed to `/var/log/apache2/modsec_audit.log` for granular event analysis.

5. QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS & METRICS

Severity	Baseline (No WAF)	Stage 1 (CRS Active)	Stage 2 (Custom Rules)	Improvement
High	0*	0	0	-
Medium	5	3	2	60% Reduction
Low	4	4	3	25% Reduction
Informational	6	6	6	-
TOTAL ALERTS	15	13	11	27% Total

**Note: High risks (SQLi/XSS) are present in the app but are dropped by the WAF before ZAP can confirm them, resulting in a "clean" scan result for confirmed vulnerabilities.*

6. OBSERVATIONS AND TECHNICAL ANALYSIS

6.1 Phase 1: CRS Effectiveness (Blocking Reconnaissance)

Alerts Mitigated: *Directory Browsing* and *Hidden File Found*.

Mechanism: The CRS identifies patterns in URI requests that target sensitive files (e.g., `.git`, `.htaccess`, `config.inc`).

Analysis: When ZAP attempted to crawl the directory structure, the CRS matched the request against **Rule 930110** (Sensitive Path Probing). The WAF intercepted the request and issued a **403 Forbidden**, preventing the attacker from mapping the server's internal file system.

6.2 Phase 2: Surgical Virtual Patching and Protocol Hardening

A. Input Validation & Virtual Patching (Rules 10001, 10003, 10004)

OS Reconnaissance (Rule 10001): Specifically targeted the `whoami` string. By blocking this reconnaissance command, we prevent attackers from confirming successful command execution or identifying the web server's user privileges.

Positive Security Model (Rule 10003): Implemented strict type-enforcement on the `id` parameter.

Logic: `SecRule ARGS:id "!@rx ^\d+$"`
`"id:10003,phase:2,deny,status:403..."`

Analysis: This rule adopts a "Positive Security" stance by allowing *only* numeric digits. This effectively immunizes the parameter against both SQL Injection (SQLi) and Cross-Site Scripting (XSS) by rejecting any non-numeric payload.

XSS Virtual Patch (Rule 10004): Targeted the `<script>` tag within the `name` field.

Security Value: This acts as a surgical intervention for the Reflected XSS vulnerability identified by ZAP. It drops the request at the WAF layer before the script can be echoed back to the user's browser.

B. Policy Tuning & Availability (Rule 10002)

Logic: `SecRule REQUEST_URI "@contains setup.php"`
`"id:10002...ctl:ruleRemoveById=942100"`

Analysis: Aggressive SQLi signatures in the CRS often generate "False Positives" during administrative tasks. Rule 10002 demonstrates **Strategic Tuning**—it disables a specific conflicting rule *only* for the setup page, ensuring security doesn't break business-critical functionality.

C. HTTP Response Header Hardening To address the "Medium" severity alerts found in the ZAP baseline, the following security headers were enforced via the custom configuration:

X-Frame-Options ("DENY"): Prevents the application from being loaded in an `<iframe>`, neutralizing **Clickjacking (UI Redressing)** attacks.

X-Content-Type-Options ("nosniff"): Disables "MIME-sniffing," forcing the browser to strictly follow the declared Content-Type. This prevents **MIME-type Confusion** attacks where an attacker tries to execute a text file as a script.

X-XSS-Protection ("1; mode=block"):

Logic: Header set `X-XSS-Protection "1; mode=block"`

Analysis: This activates the browser's built-in XSS filter. The `mode=block` setting ensures that if a reflected XSS attack is detected, the browser will refuse to render the entire page rather than just sanitizing the script, providing a much higher level of safety for the end-user.

7. CHALLENGES FACED (STAR ANALOGY)

Situation: Upon deploying the `RESPONSE-999-CUSTOM.conf` file, the Apache2 service failed to start, reporting a critical **AH00526 syntax error**. Additionally, during initial testing, custom rules appeared to be ignored in favor of the default OWASP CRS signatures.

Task: Restore service availability and ensure that custom "Virtual Patches" were being correctly prioritized and logged by the WAF engine.

Action:

1. **Dependency Resolution:** Used `sudo apache2ctl configtest` to isolate the error. Identified that Windows-style line endings (**CRLF**) from the initial rule creation were causing "Invalid Command" errors in the Linux environment; utilized `sed -i 's/\r//'` [filename] to sanitize the configuration file.
2. **Rule Isolation & Validation:** Observed that the OWASP CRS (900-series) was "shadowing" custom rules. To verify the efficacy of Rule 10001 and 10004, the corresponding CRS configuration files were temporarily renamed/isolated. This allowed for the definitive capture of custom Rule IDs in the `modsec_audit.log`.
3. **Service Restoration:** Performed a systematic restart of the Apache service (`systemctl restart apache2`) after each configuration change to verify the integrity of the rule-loading order.

Result: Service was restored with **"Syntax OK."** The "Chain of Evidence" was successfully established, with logs definitively proving that custom rules were providing high-fidelity protection alongside the CRS.

8. GAP ANALYSIS: REMAINING RISKS & MITIGATION

Remaining Alert	Risk	Mitigation Strategy
CSP Header Not Set	Med	Implementation: Define a "Content Security Policy" header. This prevents unauthorized scripts from executing, neutralizing XSS even if the WAF is bypassed.
HTTP Only Site	Med	Implementation: Upgrade to HTTPS (TLS 1.3). This prevents Man-in-the-Middle (MITM) attacks that bypass WAF inspection via unencrypted traffic.
Cookie w/o SameSite	Low	Implementation: Update <code>php.ini</code> to set <code>session.cookie_samesite=Lax</code> . This prevents CSRF attacks by ensuring cookies are only sent to the original site.

9. FURTHER IMPROVEMENTS & ROADMAP

SIEM Forwarding: Integrate `modsec_audit.log` with a platform like **Wazuh** or **ELK Stack**. This allows us to correlate WAF blocks with other system telemetry, such as failed SSH login attempts.

Zero Trust Architecture: Transition from a "Detection" mindset to a "Whitelisting" mindset for critical endpoints like `login.php`, only allowing specific character sets.

CI/CD Integration: Integrate ZAP into the development pipeline so that every time the app code changes, a new scan validates that the WAF rules still provide adequate coverage.

10. MITRE ATT&CK MAPPING (APPENDIX)

Attack Technique	MITRE ID	Mitigation Method
Exploit Public-Facing App	T1190	ModSecurity/CRS Request Inspection
Directory/File Discovery	T1083	CRS Reconnaissance Signatures
OS Command Injection	T1059	Custom Virtual Patch (Rule 10001)
MIME-Type Abuse	T1036	X-Content-Type-Options Hardening
Clickjacking	T1189	X-Frame-Options Header Enforcement