11.3K ک **幻** 15.2K ₹

Companies

Given an integer array [nums] sorted in non-decreasing order, remove the duplicates in-place such that each unique element appears only once. The relative order of the elements should be kept the same. Then return the number of unique elements in (nums).

O

Consider the number of unique elements of nums to be k, to get accepted, you need to do the following things:

- Change the array nums such that the first k elements of nums contain the unique elements in the order they were present in nums initially. The remaining elements of nums are not important as well as the size of nums.
- Return k.

Custom Judge:

The judge will test your solution with the following code:

int[] nums = [...]; // Input array int[] expectedNums = [...]; // The expected answer with correct length int k = removeDuplicates(nums); // Calls your implementation assert k == expectedNums.length; for (int i = 0; i < k; i++) { assert nums[i] == expectedNums[i];

If all assertions pass, then your solution will be accepted.

Example 1:

Input: nums = [1,1,2]Output: 2, nums = $[1,2,_]$ Explanation: Your function should return k = 2, with the first two elements of nums being 1 and 2 respectively. It does not matter what you leave beyond the returned k (hence they are underscores).

Example 2:

Input: nums = [0,0,1,1,1,2,2,3,3,4]Output: 5, nums = $[0,1,2,3,4,_,_,_,_]$ Explanation: Your function should return k = 5, with the first five elements of nums being 0, 1, 2, 3, and 4 respectively. It does not matter what you leave beyond the returned k (hence they are underscores).

Constraints:

- 1 <= nums.length <= 3 * 10⁴
- -100 <= nums[i] <= 100
- nums is sorted in non-decreasing order.

Naive approach:

There are different naive ways for solving this problem we can use hashmap or sets. or we can use an xtra array to store unique clements.

Optimal approach:

Two pointers we can use two pointers i & j.

I : That points to the position where the next unique element needs to be placed.

I : This pointer is used to check for unique element in the array using consecutive element comparisions.

```
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7

8 6 7 8 9

1 = 0 x 2 3 4

1 = 0 x 2 3 4

1 = 0 x 2 3 4 5 6 7 8
```

16 };

Compared to the above code the below code is little more efficient and simple.