

DEVELOPMENT OF AN ALGORITHM IMPROVING LABEL ARRANGEMENTS IN OFFSET PRINTING

GEUN SOO JANG, TAEHYEONG KIM, HYUN-MIN KIM, KI MAN KONG,
JEONG RYE PARK, JONG-HYEON SEO, SANG-HYUP SEO[†], AND SHIN WON YOON

ABSTRACT. One of the most classic problems in the manufacturing industry is inventory processing. There is a way to effectively reduce inventory by changing the array of pieces on the printing plates in the offset printing. It is by setting an acceptable upper limit for each plate, and carrying out complete enumeration. This method drastically reduces the operating time of the algorithm. The advantage of this method is that it focuses on changing the arrangement of the pieces on the plates.

1. INTRODUCTION

A combination with repetition is the number of cases, where k elements are selected from different n elements allowing repetition [1]. It is indicated with the symbol ${}_nH_k$ and the following is established.

$$(1.1) \quad {}_nH_k = {}_{n+k-1}C_k = \frac{(n+k-1)!}{(n-1)!k!}$$

For instance, the combination with repetition ${}_2H_4$ to select four elements from among two elements A and B comprises the following five cases.

- (1) [A, A, A, A] : a list consisting of four A's
- (2) [A, A, A, B] : a list consisting of three A's and one B
- (3) [A, A, B, B] : a list consisting of two each of A's and B's
- (4) [A, B, B, B] : a list consisting of one A and three B's
- (5) [B, B, B, B] : a list consisting of four B's

Among the above cases, if we want to obtain three A's and nine B's, we can choose [A, B, B, B] \times 3. Also, we consider the following as another case.

$$(1.2) \quad [A, A, B, B] \times 1 + [A, B, B, B] \times 1 + [B, B, B, B] \times 1$$

In this case, we can get the three A's and nine B's. However, the former case seems to be a 'Better' because there are three different lists in (1.2). Let us examine the other case.

$$(1.3) \quad [A, A, A, A] \times 1 + [B, B, B, B] \times 3 - [A] \times 1 - [B] \times 3$$

[A, B, B, B] \times 3 also seems to be a 'Better' because there is no loss. Under the following conditions, [A, B, B, B] \times 3 is the 'Best' method.

- (1) Minimize the number of list.
- (2) Minimize the loss of lists.

Offset printing, also called offset lithography, or litho-offset, in commercial printing, widely used printing technique in which the inked image on a printing plate is printed on a rubber cylinder and then transferred (i.e., offset) to paper or other

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 68U99, 90C90.

Key words and phrases. Offset Printings and Combinations with Repetition and Label Arrangements and Inventory Managements.

[†]Corresponding author.

28 material. The rubber cylinder gives great flexibility, permitting printing on wood,
29 cloth, metal, leather, and rough paper (see Figure 1.1) [5].




FIGURE 1.1. Offset Printing

30 Offset printing is one of the most common ways of creating printed materials.
31 A few of its common applications include: newspapers, magazines, brochures, sta-
32 tionery, and books. Compared to other printing methods, offset printing is best
33 suited for economically producing large volumes of high quality prints in a manner
34 that requires little maintenance [6]. To improve printing process, there were many
35 researches in [2,3,7]. As another improvement, how to make the initial plates is an
36 important issue. The above example denotes what is the best arrangement in such
37 print method.

38 In the past, production was based on the ordering of products from companies
39 and predictions of consumption. However, as the internet market has become pop-
40 ular, the production systems have been altered by consumers. Now, many factories
41 produce only products ordered by consumers.

42 World Komax is a company that produces labels using the offset printing. The
43 labels refer to stickers containing bar-codes attached to garments or shoes as follows
44 (see Figure 1.2). Each bar-code in the label contains fixed information such as
45 product names and colors, and variable information such as the manufactured date.



FIGURE 1.2. Sneaker Review : Nike Air Huarache

46 Since the program of World Komax is not suitable for small quantity batch pro-
47 ductions, the number of labels loss increased compared to the past.


48 Section 2 of this paper will describe the process of label printing using offsets.
49 The modeling of the problem will be carried out in Section 3, and examples to help
50 the understanding of the problem will be prepared in Section 4. The final results
51 of the algorithm will be described in Section 5.

52 2. OFFSET LABEL PRINTING PROCESS

53 **2.1. Label printing process.** Before discussing the process of label printing, we
54 define the following terms.

- 55 * **Plate** : A printing plate for the offset printing (see Figure 2.1)
- 56 * **Loss** : The number of labels printed in excess of the order-quantity

2.2. Major points for cost saving. The constraints and major points that will be considered in this paper for cost saving are as follows. First, one type of label should be placed on only one plate. This is to prevent different types of labels from being mixed when collected by type after the printed sheets are cut. Meanwhile, the total number of labels placed on each plate is also constant because the sizes of individual plates are constant and the sizes of labels in one order are also constant. In addition, the number of plates should be as minimized as possible because plates are made using molds and the costs are high. Finally, the Loss should be minimized because overprinted labels cannot be used and should be entirely discarded.



Since the existing sorting report output method was not suitable for small quantity batch production systems, the algorithm had to be improved. Therefore, this study was conducted to develop new algorithms suitable for small quantity batch production systems too.

2037-CORPORATE ADULT SAVING REPORT (11/52018 3:03:53 PM)							
PC/SHEET# : 24		LIMIT : 3000					
KXND02 - MA10500-MA10500-MA10514-MA10518							
	PLATE	DESCRIPTION	SIZE	ACTION	PCS	SHEET QTY	EXCESS
4	852481-001	NINE AIR MAX SEQUENT 2	MA10500	10	1601	6	108
	852481-001	NINE AIR MAX SEQUENT 2	MA10500	12	969	2	285
	852481-001	NINE AIR MAX SEQUENT 2	MA10500	9	1135	4	285
	852481-003	NINE AIR MAX SEQUENT 3	MA10502	8.5	278	1	285
	921084-014	NINE AIR MAX SEQUENT 1	MA10518	9	1683	6	205
	942638-002	NINE FREE RN 2016	MA10518	11	822	3	285
	942638-002	NINE FREE RN 2016	MA10518	8	550	2	285
	942638-002	NINE FREE RN 2016	MA10518	8	550	2	285
	852481-001	NINE AIR MAX SEQUENT 2	MA10500	8	1286	8	176
	852481-001	NINE AIR MAX SEQUENT 2	MA10500	8.5	1215	7	178
	852481-001	NINE AIR MAX SEQUENT 2	MA10500	9.5	1209	7	178
	852481-001	NINE AIR MAX SEQUENT 2	MA10502	9.5	356	2	178
6	852481-001	NINE AIR MAX SEQUENT 2	MA10500	7	622	3	213
	852481-003	NINE AIR MAX SEQUENT 3	MA10502	12	410	2	213
	852481-001	NINE AIR MAX SEQUENT 2	MA10500	9	1082	5	213
	942638-002	NINE FREE RN 2016	MA10518	10	1159	6	213
	942638-002	NINE FREE RN 2016	MA10518	10.5	396	2	213
	942638-002	NINE FREE RN 2016	MA10518	8.5	624	3	213
	942638-002	NINE FREE RN 2016	MA10518	9	614	3	213
7	852481-003	NINE AIR MAX SEQUENT 3	MA10502	8	634	3	329
	921084-014	NINE AIR MAX SEQUENT 1	MA10518	8	2600	8	329
	921084-014	NINE AIR MAX SEQUENT 1	MA10518	8.5	2518	8	329
	921084-014	NINE AIR MAX SEQUENT 1	MA10513	9.5	1925	3	329
8	921084-014	NINE AIR MAX SEQUENT 1	MA10513	10	1277	24	54
		Total Plate					
		Total Sheet		1,287			
		PC/Sheet 24					
		Total Order Qty		12,373			
		Total Proc Qty		33,828			
		Total Excess					

FIGURE 2.2. An Order Form(left) and a Sorting Report(right)
(Source: World Komax Co., Ltd.)

3. MODELING AND FLOWCHART

To formulate our problem as a mathematical optimization problem, we first need to define our notation.

- Let I be a set of products.
- For each product $i \in I$, let b_i be the number of order.
- Let $\mathbf{b} = (b_i | i \in I)$ be a vector of order-quantities.
- k is the total number of labels that can be placed in one Plate.
- π is a partition of I such that $1 \leq |P| \leq k$ for any $P \in \pi$.
- Let Γ_π be a set of matrix $A \in \text{Mat}_{\pi \times I}(\mathbf{Z})$ satisfy the following:
 - For all $(P, i) \in \pi \times I$, $A_{P,i} \geq 0$, and $A_{P,i} = 0$ if and only if $i \notin P$.
 - For each $P \in \pi$, $\sum_{i \in P} A_{P,i} = k$

Using the above notation,

$$(3.1) \quad \left\lceil \max \left\{ \frac{b_i}{A_{P,i}} \mid i \in P \right\} \right\rceil$$

is the printing number of Plate P , where $\lceil \cdot \rceil$ means the ceiling. Assume that α is the cost to produce one Plate, and β is the cost of the loss of one label. Then, our goal is to obtain the following

$$(3.2) \quad \min_{\pi} \{ \alpha |\pi| + \beta E_{A,b} \mid A \in \Gamma_\pi \}$$

where

$$(3.3) \quad E_{A,b} = \sum_{P \in \pi} \sum_{i \in I} \left(\left\lceil \max \left\{ \frac{b_i}{A_{P,i}} \mid i \in P \right\} \right\rceil \cdot A_{P,i} - b_i \right)$$

means the total number of losses of labels.

Naturally, the complete enumeration using combinations with repetition is the surest way. However, this method takes too much time. For instance, when $n = 65$ and $k = 24$, the combination with repetition ${}_{65}H_{24}$ comprises about 2.36×10^{21} cases. Then, the calculation takes more than 658 hours, that is, more than 27 days using a super computer that can calculate 10^{15} partitions per second. Given that there are time constraints from the date of order receipts to the delivery date, this is a very long computation time.

In this algorithm, this problem was solved by introducing any positive integers as thresholds. Thresholds mean the allowed amount of losses occurring in each plate. Adopting a partition that does not exceed the threshold will dramatically reduce the time taken. Based on the foregoing, the flowchart of the algorithm can be set forth as follows (see Figure 3.1).

In Figure 3.1, z is the threshold and the others are explained in the notation above. This algorithm outputs matrix $A = \bigoplus_P A_{P,i}$ containing the label of each product when I and \mathbf{b} have been inputted for z , k , num . One of the results of $loop(k, z, num)$, P is the set of products that contains the Plate (see Figure 3.2). By removing P from I , each plate does not have the same product. The algorithm repeats until I is empty.

Meanwhile, in the case of the $loop(k, z, num)$ function, the flowchart in Figure 3.2 should be followed. First, the $Part(k, num)$ function finds partitions using the combination with repetition ${}_{num}H_k$ which is indicated in the form of a list. We set the result as $Part_list$. For example, let $k = 6$ and $num = 3$, then $Part_list = Part(6, 3) = \{[4, 1, 1], [3, 2, 1], [2, 2, 2]\}$. N is a printing number that expressed in (3.1). An appropriate P that has num pieces of products is selected from I and the loss is obtained using $Part_list$ and the printing number.





FIGURE 3.1. The Main Flowchart

FIGURE 3.2. The Flowchart of $loop(k, z, num)$

129 If the loss exceeds the threshold z , another $Part_list$ will be selected and the
 130 foregoing will be repeated while adjusting num until the threshold z is not exceeded.
 131 As a result of this process, $A_{P,i}$ whose loss does not exceed the threshold is obtained.

4. EXAMPLES

This example was described to help the understanding of the problem. For the next two examples, we assume that k is equal to 4.

Example 4.1. Assume that $I = \{1, 2, 3\}$, and $\mathbf{b} = (50, 30, 20)$.

We consider $\pi = \{\{1, 2\}, \{3\}\}$, a partition that matches \mathbf{b} . Without loss of generality, assume that $P_1 = \{1, 2\}, P_2 = \{3\}$. Since $k = 4$, the matrix A can be found as follows.

$$(4.1) \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

In this case, the printing numbers (3.1) of P_1 and P_2 are as follows, respectively.

$$(4.2) \quad \left\lceil \max \left\{ \frac{b_i}{A_{P_1, i}} \mid i \in P_1 \right\} \right\rceil = \left\lceil \max \left\{ \frac{50}{2}, \frac{30}{2} \right\} \right\rceil = 25$$

and

$$(4.3) \quad \left\lceil \max \left\{ \frac{b_i}{A_{P_2, i}} \mid i \in P_2 \right\} \right\rceil = \left\lceil \max \left\{ \frac{20}{4} \right\} \right\rceil = 5.$$

We can see the Figure 4.1 for more details.




FIGURE 4.1

It can be seen that the total number of Loss $E_{A, \mathbf{b}}$ is 20.

Now, we consider a new partition $\pi = \{\{1, 2, 3\}\}$. In this case, $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, and printing number (3.1) is

$$(4.4) \quad \left\lceil \max \left\{ \frac{b_i}{A_{P, i}} \mid i \in P \right\} \right\rceil = \left\lceil \max \left\{ \frac{50}{2}, \frac{30}{1}, \frac{20}{1} \right\} \right\rceil = 30.$$

In addition, it can be easily seen that the total number of Loss $E_{A, \mathbf{b}}$ is 20 (see Figure 4.2). The array shown in Figure 4.2 is more efficient since its Loss is the same but its number of plates is smaller.




FIGURE 4.2

148 **Example 4.2.** Assume that $I = \{1, 2\}$, and $\mathbf{b} = (50, 20)$.

149 We consider $\pi = \{\{1, 2\}\}$, a partition that matches \mathbf{b} . Since $k = 4$, the matrix
 150 $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$, and the printing number (3.1) is

$$(4.5) \quad \left\lceil \max \left\{ \frac{b_i}{A_{P,i}} \mid i \in P \right\} \right\rceil = \left\lceil \max \left\{ \frac{50}{2}, \frac{20}{2} \right\} \right\rceil = 25.$$

151 The total number of Loss $E_{A,\mathbf{b}}$ is 30 (see Figure 4.3).




FIGURE 4.3

152 For the same partition π , matrix $A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ can be considered. In this case,
 153 the printing number (3.1) is

$$(4.6) \quad \left\lceil \max \left\{ \frac{b_i}{A_{P,i}} \mid i \in P \right\} \right\rceil = \left\lceil \max \left\{ \frac{50}{3}, \frac{20}{1} \right\} \right\rceil = 20.$$

154 and the total number of Loss $E_{A,\mathbf{b}}$ is 10 (see Figure 4.4). The array shown in Figure
 155 4.4 is more efficient because its number of plate is the same but fewer losses occur.




FIGURE 4.4

156

157 If there are too many products and large order-quantities, it requires a lot of
 158 iteration. Now, we consider real problem of World Komax. In this case, $k = 18$,
 159 $\alpha = 300$, $\beta = 1$. Table 4.1 is an actual sorting report of an order from World
 160 Komax.

161 As we can see from Table 4.1, there are 14 products and the largest order-quantity
 162 is 1059. It uses 3 plates and total Loss is 611. Total cost is 1511.

163 **Example 4.3.** We obtain another partition of the sorting report using our algo-
 164 rithms. Table 4.2 shows this result.

TABLE 4.1. the sorting report of World Komax

Plate	product	order-quantity	psc	printing-number	production	Loss
1	1	59	2	32	64	5
	2	156	5		160	4
	3	9	1		32	23
	4	162	6		192	30
	5	102	4		128	26
2	6	1059	5	228	1140	81
	7	886	4		912	26
	8	228	1		228	0
	9	832	4		912	80
	10	862	4		912	50
3	11	532	4	133	532	0
	12	532	5		665	133
	13	482	4		532	50
	14	582	5		665	103

TABLE 4.2. the result of our algorithm

Plate	product	order-quantity	psc	printing-number	production	Loss
1	7	886	5	178	890	4
	10	862	5		890	28
	11	532	3		534	2
	12	532	3		534	2
	4	162	1		178	16
	2	156	1		178	22
2	6	1059	9	118	1062	3
	14	562	5		590	28
	8	228	2		236	8
	5	102	1		118	16
	1	59	1		118	59
3	9	832	11	76	836	4
	13	482	7		532	50
4	3	9	18	1	18	9

The matrix A can be found as followed.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \text{column index} \\
 7 \quad 10 \quad 11 \quad 12 \quad 4 \quad 2 \quad 6 \quad 14 \quad 8 \quad 5 \quad 1 \quad 9 \quad 13 \quad 3 \\
 A = \begin{pmatrix}
 5 & 5 & 3 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 9 & 5 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 11 & 7 & 0 \\
 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 18
 \end{pmatrix}
 \end{array}$$

165 The total Loss $E_{A,b}$ is 251. So, total cost is $\alpha|\pi| + \beta E_{A,b} = 300 \times 5 + 1 \times 251 = 1451$.

166 We use one more Plate, but the total cost is reduced by 60.

167 5. RESULT

168 Each sorting report includes the number of losses corresponding to one plate.

169 Using this, the total cost can be calculated by replacing each plate with loss. We

170 used 82 sorting report samples. The total cost was reduced by a minimum of
 171 -6.85%(sample no. 15) to a maximum of 27.5%(sample no. 74) (see Figure 5.1).




FIGURE 5.1. Comparing the Results

172 We used the *paired t-test* [8] to verify the efficiency of the algorithm. The paired
 173 t-test is one of the two sample t-tests, and it is a test that verifies whether the two
 174 groups are different. The two populations are as such.

- 175 • population1: total cost before applying the algorithm
- 176 • population2: total cost after applying the algorithm
- 177 • sample1: sample of 82 items from population1
- 178 • sample2: sample of 82 items from population2

179 In order to proceed with the two-sample t-test, the two groups have to satisfy
 180 normality and homoscedasticity. We choose 82 sample data from the two popula-
 181 tions, which can satisfy normality by the *central limit theorem* [4]. In addition, we
 182 identified the homoscedasticity of the two samples through the *var.test* of R. R is
 183 a programming language and free software environment for statistical computing
 184 and graphic.

185 The null hypothesis (H_0) of *var.test* is that ‘the variances of the two groups are
 186 equal’, and the alternative hypothesis (H_1) is that ‘the variances of the two groups
 187 are different’. If the *p*-value is below the significance level, the null hypothesis
 188 (H_0) will be rejected and if the *p*-value is not lower than the significance level,
 189 the alternative hypothesis (H_1) will be rejected. The result of *var.test* with the
 190 significance level to 0.05 is as follows (see Figure 5.2).

```
> var.test(sample1,sample2)

F test to compare two variances

data: sample1 and sample2
F = 1.5552, num df = 81, denom df = 81, p-value = 0.04847
alternative hypothesis: true ratio of variances is not equal to 1
95 percent confidence interval:
 1.002998 2.411501
sample estimates:
ratio of variances
 1.555227
```

FIGURE 5.2. *var.test* of R

191 Since the *p*-value is not lower than the significance level(0.05), the alternative
 192 hypothesis (H_1) is rejected and the null hypothesis (H_0) is accepted. We say that
 193 the variances of the two groups can be equal.

194 Since the two groups satisfy normality and homoscedasticity, we verified whether
 195 the difference between the two groups is significant through paired t-test. In this
 196 test, the null hypothesis (H_0) is ‘the total cost will be the same after applying the
 197 algorithm.’ and the alternative hypothesis (H_1) is ‘the total cost will be reduced
 198 after applying algorithm.’ The result of the paired t-test with the significance level
 199 to 0.05 is as follows (see Figure 5.3).

```
> t.test(sample1,sample2, paired=TRUE)

Paired t-test

data: sample1 and sample2
t = 10.766, df = 81, p-value < 2.2e-16
alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
 1240.884 1803.531
sample estimates:
mean of the differences
 1522.207
```

FIGURE 5.3. t.test of R

200 Since the p -value is below the significance level(0.05), the null hypothesis (H_0) is
 201 rejected and the alternative hypothesis (H_1) is accepted. We say that the difference
 202 between the two groups can be significant.

203 Finally, we check the efficiency over the number of products which is the size of
 204 I (cf. Section 3). We see that the efficiency increases as the number of products
 205 increases in Figure 5.4. For each 82 samples, we calculated the efficiency as the
 206 following formula.

$$(5.1) \quad \text{Efficiency} = \left[1 - \frac{\text{Improvement Total Cost}}{\text{Previous Total Cost}} \right] \times 100$$

207 The result of the linear fitting shows that the efficiency and the number of products
 208 have a positive correlation. Thus, we see that the improved algorithms have better
 209 results in many products. This suggests that the algorithm fits well into small
 210 quantity batch productions.




FIGURE 5.4. The linear fitting for the efficiency over the number of products

Notice. Please note that the detailed idea of the algorithm cannot be described for company confidentiality reasons.

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korean Government (MSIP) (NRF-2017R1A5A1015722, NRF-2018R1D1A1B07048197). The authors extend thanks to Professor Sang-il Kim of Pusan National University who provided much support and advice for writing of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. A. Brualdi. *Introductory Combinatorics*. Pearson Prentice Hall, 2004.
 - [2] Albert W. Chan and P. W. Udo Graefe. An interactive sequencing aid for printing presses. *Computers and Industrial Engineering*, 3(4):321–325, 1979.
 - [3] Andriele Busatto do Carmo, Mateus Raeder, Thiago Nunes, Mariana Kolberg, and Luiz Gustavo Fernandes. A job profile oriented scheduling architecture for improving the throughput of industrial printing environments. *Computers and Industrial Engineering*, 88:191–205, 2015.
 - [4] Richard Durrett. *Probability: theory and examples*. Cambridge University Press, 4 edition, 2004.
 - [5] Encyclopædia Britannica, inc. “offset printing”, June 2016. <https://www.britannica.com/technology/offset-printing>.
 - [6] H. Kipphan. *Handbook of Print Media: Technologies and Production Methods*. Springer, 2001.
 - [7] K. J. Musselman. Complex scheduling of a printing process. *Computers and Industrial Engineering*, 39(3–4):273–291, 2001.
 - [8] John A. Rice. *Mathematical Statistics and Data Analysis*. Duxbury Advanced, 3rd edition, 2006.
- GEUN SOO JANG, FINANCE.FISHERY.MANUFACTURE INDUSTRIAL MATHEMATICS CENTER ON BIG DATA, PUSAN NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, BUSAN, 46241, REPUBLIC OF KOREA
Email address: sand621@naver.com
- TAEHYEONG KIM, FINANCE.FISHERY.MANUFACTURE INDUSTRIAL MATHEMATICS CENTER ON BIG DATA, PUSAN NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, BUSAN, 46241, REPUBLIC OF KOREA
Email address: xogud7936@pusan.ac.kr
- HYUN-MIN KIM, FINANCE.FISHERY.MANUFACTURE INDUSTRIAL MATHEMATICS CENTER ON BIG DATA, PUSAN NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, BUSAN, 46241, REPUBLIC OF KOREA
Email address: hyunmin@pusan.ac.kr
- KI MAN KONG, WORLD KOMAX CO., LTD., 1505, CENTUM JUNGANG-RO 48, HAEUNDAE-GU, BUSAN, 48059, REPUBLIC OF KOREA
Email address: kennethkong@worldkomax.net
- JEONG RYE PARK, FINANCE.FISHERY.MANUFACTURE INDUSTRIAL MATHEMATICS CENTER ON BIG DATA, PUSAN NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, BUSAN, 46241, REPUBLIC OF KOREA
Email address: parkjr@pusan.ac.kr
- JONG-HYEON SEO, CHUBU UNIVERSITY ACADEMY OF EMERGING SCIENCE, KASUGAI, 487-0027, JAPAN
Email address: hyeonni94@gmail.com
- SANG-HYUP SEO, FINANCE.FISHERY.MANUFACTURE INDUSTRIAL MATHEMATICS CENTER ON BIG DATA, PUSAN NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, BUSAN, 46241, REPUBLIC OF KOREA
Email address: saibie1677@gmail.com
- SHIN WON YOON, FINANCE.FISHERY.MANUFACTURE INDUSTRIAL MATHEMATICS CENTER ON BIG DATA, PUSAN NATIONAL UNIVERSITY, BUSAN, 46241, REPUBLIC OF KOREA
Email address: ysw0123@pusan.ac.kr