

GPR (Base, Subbase, Subgrade Defects)

Target of Investigation

Ground penetrating radar (GPR) can be used for a range of surface and subsurface investigation applications for both base and subbase course of pavements. For condition assessment of the base and subbase course of pavement, GPR can be used for the following applications:

- Detection of infiltration of fines and associated problems (e.g., drainage problems and frost heave in the base).
- Detection of voids in the base and subbase.

Description

GPR testing is a widely used nondestructive evaluation technique for detecting subsurface structural elements and anomalies in pavements. Two types of GPR systems are available: air coupled (figure 1) and ground coupled (figure 2).



© 2013 TRB.

Figure 1. Photo. Air-coupled GPR system mounted on a vehicle.⁽³⁾

This photo shows data collection using an air-coupled ground penetrating radar (GPR) system. A 2-gigahertz air-coupled antenna is mounted on the rear of a van driving on an asphalt pavement. The antenna is a box approximately 2 feet tall by 2 feet long by 1 foot wide (0.6 by 0.6 by 0.3 meters). The antenna is resting on a support at bumper level and held by straps attached to a roof rack. The blue cable of the GPR runs from the antenna to the interior of the van.



© 2013 TRB.

Figure 2. Photo. Data collection with a ground-coupled GPR system.⁽²⁾

This photo shows data collection with a ground-coupled ground penetrating radar (GPR) system from an asphalt pavement. The GPR is installed on a cart. An operator is pushing the cart along the yellow edgeline of the road. The cart has four wheels, and the GPR antenna is attached at the bottom of the cart. The laptop of the GPR system is installed on the cart at waist height.

Air-Coupled GPR

Air-coupled antennas are noncontact systems (i.e., they do not touch the surface during surveying). Air-coupled GPR systems are usually faster than ground-coupled systems; generally, they are used as a scanning tool to indicate locations where indepth testing with other systems (including groundcoupled GPR) is needed.⁽⁴⁾

Ground-Coupled GPR

Ground-coupled antennas, unlike air-coupled systems, must remain in contact with the surface while surveying. They are able to detect defects containing sizable air pockets or significant moisture under the surface. GPR signals are reflected at layer interfaces (including objects and defects) where different dielectric constants exist within the pavement. The collected data are processed and analyzed to create a condition map of the pavement or an image of the subsurface defects.


Physical Principal

GPR operates by sending discrete electromagnetic wave pulses (with a frequency range of 100–5,000 MHz) into pavement and then capturing the reflections from layer interfaces or other reflectors within the structure. Radar obeys the laws governing reflection and transmission of electromagnetic waves and is affected by the dielectric properties of the material: conductivity and the dielectric constant.⁽¹⁾ At each interface, part of the incident energy will be reflected back, and part will be transmitted beyond the interface. The ratio of reflected to transmitted energy depends on the contrast in dielectric properties of the materials on either side of the interface.

Air-Coupled GPR

As shown in figure 3, the largest peak of air-coupled GPR is the reflection from the pavement surface. The amplitudes before the direct couple are internally generated


noise, and they should be removed from the trace prior to signal processing. Reflections that occur after the surface echo represent significant interfaces within the pavement, and the measured travel time is related to the depth of the defect.



Source: FHWA.

A. GPR propagation and reflection.

This illustration is a schematic showing the reflection of an air-coupled ground penetrating radar (GPR) signal from a pavement structure. The box at the top of the figure represents a GPR antenna. Below this box is a section that represents the asphalt layer, and below that is another section that represents the base layers of the pavement structure. Arrows represent the propagation and reflection of the GPR signal at the interface of multiple layers. At each layer, some of the signal is reflected back toward the antenna. E subscript a and E subscript b are the dielectric constants of the asphalt layer and base layer. T subscript a and T subscript b are the travel time of the GPR signal in the asphalt layer and base layer.



Source: FHWA.

B. Multiple GPR A-scans.

Figure 3. Illustrations. Principle of air-coupled GPR.⁽⁴⁾


This illustration depicts waveforms of the ground penetrating radar signal. Each curve in the graph is an A-scan. The first obvious peak on each A-scan represents the direct couple. The second peak (the largest peak) represents the air-pavement interface. The third peak represents the asphalt-base interface.

Ground-Coupled GPR

The physical principles of ground-coupled GPR systems are similar to those for air-coupled GPR systems. Although slow compared to air-coupled systems, ground-coupled systems provide better

depth penetration and a higher amount of readings. Thus, ground-coupled systems are better suited for indepth data collection and defining subsurface interfaces or defects.

A GPR signal attenuates as it travels in a structure. Signal attenuation depends on geometric attenuation, signal scattering, reflections, and thermal losses. Two-way travel time and reflection amplitudes are recorded with a receiver antenna. When measurements are made over sequential survey points, they can be viewed as a GPR B-scan or profile (figure 4). Rebars and dowels are seen as bright hyperbolas. Heterogeneities, such as voids and water, have different dielectric values from the pavement material; GPR can show irregular reflections caused by these heterogeneities.



Source: FHWA.

1 ft = 0.3 m.

Figure 4. Graph. Example GPR B-scan.


This graph has an x-axis representing distance in feet ranging from 64.8 to about 83, and a y-axis representing two-way travel time in nanoseconds ranging from 0 to 10. Valid data start at the two-way travel time of about 1.2 nanoseconds, representing a signal reflected from the surface of the pavement. Many bright hyperbolas with two-way travel times of about 2.5 nanoseconds indicate the reinforcement in the pavement.

Data Acquisition

Manufacturers of GPR (air and ground coupled) recommend following their system-specific testing procedures when collecting data. These procedures are available in the user manuals supplied by manufacturers.

Data Processing


Data processing should be performed by personnel with extensive training and experience. Data processing can be done using analysis software. Preprocessing, which does not change the signal content of the original data, includes data channel splitting, data scaling, data reversing, and zero-level correction. Processing operations consist mainly of filtering operations and amplitude and dielectric value calculations. The primary objective of processing is to make GPR data more informative and easy to interpret. Figure 5 shows raw, preprocessed, and processed data. Software can be used to “pick” individual objects for analysis and to calculate the depth of suspected defects or layers using the two-way travel time.



© 2014 TRB.

A. Raw GPR data.


This screenshot is of a B-scan of raw data. There are a few straight horizontal lines. The x-axis represents distance, and the y-axis represents depth. The GPR raw output shows noise and offset depth.



© 2014 TRB.

B. Preprocessed GPR data.

This screenshot is of a B-scan of preprocessed data. The straight lines have been removed by deleting data with two-way travel times less than certain values. The x-axis represents distance, and the y-axis represents depth.



© 2014 TRB.


C. Processed GPR data.

Figure 5. Screenshots. Raw, preprocessed, and processed GPR data.⁽³⁾

This screenshot is of a B-scan of processed data. A constant signal has been removed, leaving variations in the data. The x-axis represents distance, and the y-axis represents depth.

Data Interpretation

Data interpretation should be performed by personnel with extensive training and experience. Layers and defects, such as voids, can be visible in GPR B- and C-scan. Figure 6 shows an example of a concrete pavement B-scan showing multiple void within subbase of a concrete pavement. In C-scans, voids tend to appear as pronounced, irregular bright-spot anomalies with higher amplitudes than the surrounding material. Internal thicknesses of voids are difficult to estimate because the bottoms of voids tend not to be imaged by GPR data.



© Texas DOT

Figure 6. Graph. Multiple void areas in subbase of a concrete pavement.⁽⁵⁾

This graph shows an example of a concrete pavement B-scan showing multiple void within subbase of a concrete pavement. The bright spots are labeled "Void" on the left and "Moisture" on the right of the figure.

Advantages

Advantages of GPR include the following:

- Well-established field data collection processes.
- Rapid test methods.
- Reliable and repeatable results.

Limitations

Limitations of GPR include the following:

- Defects (delamination and voids) are detectable only if they contain significant air pockets or are filled with water.⁽²⁾
- Air-coupled GPR systems should not be relied on to determine depths of defects.
- Extensive training and experience are required for operation, data processing, and data interpretation.
- External electromagnetic radiation (from cell phone, radio, and television antennas) can cause signal degradation.

References

1. Gucunski, N., Imani, A., Romero, F., Nazarian, S., Yuan, D., Wiggenhauser, H., Shokouhi, P., Taffee, A., and Kutrubes, D. (2013). *Nondestructive Testing to Identify Concrete Bridge Deck Deterioration*, Report No. S2-R06A-RR-1, Transportation Research Board, Washington, DC.
2. Heitzman, M., Maser, K., Tran, N.H., Brown, T., Bell, H., Holland, S., Ceylan, H., Belli, K., and Hiltunen, D. (2013). *Nondestructive Testing to Identify Delaminations Between HMA Layers*, Report No. S2-R06D-RR-1, Transportation Research Board, Washington, DC.
3. Wimsatt, A., White, J., Leung, C., Scullion, T., Hurlebaus, S., Zollinger, D., Grasley, Z., et al. (2014). *Mapping Voids, Debonding, Delaminations, Moisture, and Other Defects Behind or Within Tunnel Linings*, Report No. S2-R06G-RR-1, Transportation Research Board, Washington, DC.
4. Arnold, J.A., Gibson, D.R.P., Mills, M.K., Scott, M., and Youtcheff, J. (2011). "Using GPR to Unearth Sensor Malfunctions." *Public Roads*, 74(4), Federal Highway Administration, Washington, DC.
5. Jung, Y.S., Zollinger, D.J., Won, M., and Wimsatt A.J., (2009). "Subbase and subgrade performance investigation for concrete pavement." FHWA/TX-09/0-6037-1, Texas Department of Transportation.