XGBoost

Introduction to Boosted Trees:

Tree boosting is a highly effective and widely used machine learning method. Let us understand the concepts of Regression Tree, Ensemble and gradient boosting before we jump into the widely popular XGBoost.

Regression Tree is also known as classification and regression tree has the same decision rules as in decision tree but in addition it has one score in each leaf value.

Ensemble as we know use multiple learning algorithms to obtain better predictive performance that can be obtained from any of the constituent learning algorithms. Many of the data mining competitions are won by using some variants of tree ensemble methods.

Model: Assuming we have K trees

$$\hat{y}_i = \sum_{k=1}^K f_k(x_i), \quad f_k \in \mathcal{F}$$
 , where F is the space of all Regression trees

Objective:

$$Obj = \sum_{i=1}^n l(y_i, \hat{y}_i) + \sum_{k=1}^K \Omega(f_k)$$
 Training loss Complexity of the Trees

Gradient Boosting:

As we are using trees rather than numerical vectors we cannot use SGD (Stochastic Gradient Descent). Hence we use Additive Training (Boosting)

Start from constant prediction, add a new function each time

$$\begin{array}{ll} \hat{y}_i^{(0)} &= 0 \\ \hat{y}_i^{(1)} &= f_1(x_i) = \hat{y}_i^{(0)} + f_1(x_i) \\ \hat{y}_i^{(2)} &= f_1(x_i) + f_2(x_i) = \hat{y}_i^{(1)} + f_2(x_i) \\ & \cdots \\ \hat{y}_i^{(t)} &= \sum_{k=1}^t f_k(x_i) = \hat{y}_i^{(t-1)} + f_t(x_i) \\ \end{array} \qquad \text{New function}$$

Our objective remains which f to add at each stage so that the *Obj* is minimized. Taking the Taylor expansion and removing the constants out new objective becomes

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[g_i f_t(x_i) + \tfrac{1}{2} h_i f_t^2(x_i) \right] + \Omega(f_t) \\ \bullet \quad \text{where} \quad g_i = \partial_{\hat{y}^{(t-1)}} l(y_i, \hat{y}^{(t-1)}), \quad h_i = \partial_{\hat{y}^{(t-1)}}^2 l(y_i, \hat{y}^{(t-1)}) \end{split}$$

We define tree by a vector of scores in leafs, and a leaf index mapping function that maps an instance to a leaf

$$f_t(x) = w_{q(x)}, \ w \in \mathbf{R}^T, q : \mathbf{R}^d \to \{1, 2, \dots, T\}$$

where w is the leaf weight of the

tree and q is the structure of the tree.

Define complexity as (this is not the only possible definition)

$$\Omega(f_t) = \gamma T + \frac{1}{2}\lambda \sum_{j=1}^T w_j^2$$
 Number of leaves L2 norm of leaf scores

- Define the instance set in leaf j as $I_i = \{i | q(x_i) = j\}$
- · Regroup the objective by each leaf

$$\begin{aligned} Obj^{(t)} &&\simeq \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[g_{i} f_{t}(x_{i}) + \frac{1}{2} h_{i} f_{t}^{2}(x_{i}) \right] + \Omega(f_{t}) \\ &&= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[g_{i} w_{q(x_{i})} + \frac{1}{2} h_{i} w_{q(x_{i})}^{2} \right] + \gamma T + \lambda \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{T} w_{j}^{2} \\ &&= \sum_{j=1}^{T} \left[\left(\sum_{i \in I_{j}} g_{i} \right) w_{j} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i \in I_{j}} h_{i} + \lambda \right) w_{j}^{2} \right] + \gamma T \end{aligned}$$

- This is sum of T independent quadratic functions
- Two facts about single variable quadratic function

$$argmin_x Gx + \frac{1}{2}Hx^2 = -\frac{G}{H}, \ H > 0 \quad \min_x Gx + \frac{1}{2}Hx^2 = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{G^2}{H}$$

• Let us define $G_j = \sum_{i \in I_i} g_i \ H_j = \sum_{i \in I_i} h_i$

$$\begin{array}{ll} Obj^{(t)} & = \sum_{j=1}^{T} \left[(\sum_{i \in I_{j}} g_{i}) w_{j} + \frac{1}{2} (\sum_{i \in I_{j}} h_{i} + \lambda) w_{j}^{2} \right] + \gamma T \\ & = \sum_{j=1}^{T} \left[G_{j} w_{j} + \frac{1}{2} (H_{j} + \lambda) w_{j}^{2} \right] + \gamma T \end{array}$$

 Assume the structure of tree (q(x)) is fixed, the optimal weight in each leaf, and the resulting objective value are

$$w_j^* = -\frac{G_j}{H_j + \lambda} \quad Obj = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^T \frac{G_j^2}{H_j + \lambda} + \gamma T$$

This measures how good a tree structure is!

Though in algorithm we need to enumerate all the possible tree structures q it is not feasible because there can be infinitely many tree structures so,

- In practice, we grow the tree greedily
 - Start from tree with depth 0
 - For each leaf node of the tree, try to add a split. The change of objective after adding the split is

objective after adding the split is
$$Gain = \frac{1}{2} \big[\frac{G_L^2}{H_L + \lambda} + \frac{G_R^2}{H_R + \lambda} - \frac{(G_L + G_R)^2}{H_L + H_R + \lambda} \big] - \gamma$$
 the score of left child the score of if we do not split the score of right child

Remaining question: how do we find the best split?

Algorithm 1: Exact Greedy Algorithm for Split Finding Input: I, instance set of current node Input: d, feature dimension $gain \leftarrow 0$ $G \leftarrow \sum_{i \in I} g_i, H \leftarrow \sum_{i \in I} h_i$ for k = 1 to m do $G_L \leftarrow 0, H_L \leftarrow 0$ for j in $sorted(I, by \mathbf{x}_{jk})$ do $G_L \leftarrow G_L + g_j, H_L \leftarrow H_L + h_j$ $G_R \leftarrow G - G_L, H_R \leftarrow H - H_L$ $score \leftarrow \max(score, \frac{G_L^2}{H_L + \lambda} + \frac{G_R^2}{H_R + \lambda} - \frac{G^2}{H + \lambda})$ end end Output: Split with max score

Though the exact algorithm is very powerful it becomes impossible to do it efficiently when the data doesn't fit entirely into memory. Therefore an approximation algorithm is used.

```
Algorithm 2: Approximate Algorithm for Split Finding for k=1 to m do

Propose S_k = \{s_{k1}, s_{k2}, \cdots s_{kl}\} by percentiles on feature k.

Proposal can be done per tree (global), or per split(local). end for k=1 to m do

G_{kv} \leftarrow = \sum_{j \in \{j \mid s_{k,v} \geq \mathbf{x}_{jk} > s_{k,v-1}\}} g_j

H_{kv} \leftarrow = \sum_{j \in \{j \mid s_{k,v} \geq \mathbf{x}_{jk} > s_{k,v-1}\}} h_j end

Follow same step as in previous section to find max score only among proposed splits.
```

Also in real world it is quite common that input **x** to be sparse (missing values too). To make the algorithm aware of the sparsity in the data a **default direction** is added at each tree node. The algorithm is shown below:

```
Algorithm 3: Sparsity-aware Split Finding
  Input: I, instance set of current node
  Input: I_k = \{i \in I | x_{ik} \neq \text{missing} \}
  Input: d, feature dimension
  Also applies to the approximate setting, only collect
  statistics of non-missing entries into buckets
                                                                                                                          // enumerate missing value goto left
  G \leftarrow \sum_{i \in I}, g_i, H \leftarrow \sum_{i \in I} h_i
                                                                                                                          G_R \leftarrow 0, \ H_R \leftarrow 0
  for k = 1 to m do
                                                                                                                          for j in sorted(I_k, descent order by \mathbf{x}_{jk}) do
        // enumerate missing value goto right
                                                                                                                                G_R \leftarrow G_R + g_j, \ H_R \leftarrow H_R + h_j
                                                                                                                              G_L \leftarrow G - G_R, \ H_L \leftarrow H - H_R
score \leftarrow \max(score, \frac{G_L^2}{H_L + \lambda} + \frac{G_R^2}{H_R + \lambda} - \frac{G^2}{H + \lambda})
        G_L \leftarrow 0, \ H_L \leftarrow 0
       for j in sorted(I_k, ascent order by <math>\mathbf{x}_{jk}) do

\begin{bmatrix}
G_L \leftarrow G_L + g_j, & H_L \leftarrow H_L + h_j \\
G_R \leftarrow G - G_L, & H_R \leftarrow H - H_L \\
score \leftarrow \max(score, \frac{G_L^2}{H_L + \lambda} + \frac{G_R^2}{H_R + \lambda} - \frac{G^2}{H + \lambda})
\end{bmatrix}

                                                                                                                          end
                                                                                                                    Output: Split and default directions with max gain
```

Column block for Parallel Learning:

The most time consuming part is to get the data in sorted order. In order to reduce the cost of sorting instead of storing the entire dataset in a single block and running the split search we store the data in inmemory units. In each such unit data is stored in the compressed column format (CSC). Collecting statistics from each column can be parallelized, giving us a parallel algorithm for split finding.

Apart from these XGBoost also has capabilities like **Cache-aware Access** (to prevent non-continuous memory access) and **Out-of-Core Computation** to fully utilize a machine's resources.

For a simple interface in R we use xgboost() and for more a advanced interface we use xgboost.train()

xgboost

Usage: xgboost(data = NULL, label = NULL, missing = NULL, params = list(), nrounds, verbose = 1, print.every.n = 1L, early.stop.round = NULL, maximize = NULL, ...)

The list and description of some important arguments

data takes matrix, dgCMatrix, local data file. Label is the response variable, missing to represent missing values (used only when input is dense).

objective: "reg:linear" or "binary:logistic"

eta: stepsize for boosting

max.depth: maximum depth of the tree

nthreads: number of threads used in training (if not set default uses all the threads)

nrounds: number of iterations

xgboost.train

In case of xgboost.train() we have many other parameters for advanced interfacing like

Subsample: subsample ratio of training instance

Min_child_weight: minimum sum of instance weight(hessian) needed in a child.

For objectives: "multi:softmax" or "multi:softprob" etc

obj for customized objective etc.

xgb.plot.tree

To plot a boosted tree model