

Harmonic Oscillator

In classical physics we have Hooke's law $F = -Kx = m \frac{d^2x}{dt^2}$ which is solved with $x(t) = A \sin(\omega t) + B \cos(\omega t)$ where $\omega = \sqrt{\frac{k}{m}}$

In the case of a harmonic oscillator, we consider a particle in a potential $V(x) = \frac{1}{2} K x^2$.

$$V(x) = \frac{1}{2} K x^2 \Rightarrow V(x) = \frac{1}{2} m \omega^2 x^2 \quad \text{by subbing in } \omega = \sqrt{\frac{k}{m}}$$

If we substitute this into the TISE: $-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} + V\psi = E\psi$

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{2} m \omega^2 x^2 \psi = E\psi$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2m} \left[-\hbar^2 \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} + (m\omega x)^2 \psi \right] = E\psi$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2m} \left[\left(-i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right)^2 \psi + (m\omega x)^2 \psi \right] = E\psi$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2m} (\hat{p}^2 + (m\omega x)^2) \psi = E\psi$$

There is no obvious way to solve this so we will have to struggle through some algebra:

Let's define 2 new operators:

$$\hat{a}_{\pm} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\hbar m \omega}} (\mp i\hat{p} + m\omega \hat{x})$$

These two new operators are linear combinations of \hat{p} and \hat{x} .

Let's play around a little bit to learn some of the properties of \hat{a}_{\pm} :

$$\hat{a}_- \hat{a}_+ = \frac{1}{2\hbar m \omega} (i\hat{p} + m\omega \hat{x})(-i\hat{p} + m\omega \hat{x})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\hbar m \omega} (\hat{p}^2 + (m\omega \hat{x})^2 + im\omega \hat{p} \hat{x} - im\omega \hat{x} \hat{p})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\hbar m \omega} (\hat{p}^2 + (m\omega \hat{x})^2 - im\omega (\hat{x} \hat{p} - \hat{p} \hat{x}))$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\hbar m \omega} (\hat{p}^2 + (m\omega \hat{x})^2 - im\omega [\hat{x}, \hat{p}]) \quad (*)$$

This is called the commutator of \hat{x} and \hat{p} and is denoted $[\hat{x}, \hat{p}]$

But what exactly is this commutator?

We can find out what the "value" of a commutator of two operators is if we apply the commutator to a dummy function $f(x)$:

$$\begin{aligned}
 [\hat{x}, \hat{p}]f(x) &= (\hat{x}\hat{p} - \hat{p}\hat{x})f \\
 &= \hat{x}\hat{p}f - \hat{p}\hat{x}f \quad \text{now apply operators } \hat{x} = x \quad \hat{p} = \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \\
 &= x \frac{\hbar}{i} f'(x) - \frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (xf(x)) \\
 &= x \frac{\hbar}{i} f'(x) - \frac{\hbar}{i} f(x) - \frac{\hbar}{i} x f'(x) \quad \text{by using product rule} \\
 &= -\frac{\hbar}{i} f(x) = i\hbar f(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

so if $[\hat{x}, \hat{p}]f = i\hbar f$, then $[\hat{x}, \hat{p}] = i\hbar$ we call this the canonical commutation relation.

Subbing this back into (*)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{a}_- \hat{a}_+ &= \frac{1}{2\hbar m \omega} (\hat{p}^2 + (m\omega \hat{x})^2 - i m \omega \cdot i\hbar) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2\hbar m \omega} (\hat{p}^2 + (m\omega \hat{x})^2 + m\omega \hbar) \\
 &= \frac{1}{\hbar \omega} \left(\frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} + \frac{m\omega \hat{x}^2}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\hbar \omega} \left(\frac{\hat{p}^2}{2m} + V(x) \right) + \frac{1}{2}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \boxed{\hat{a}_- \hat{a}_+ = \frac{1}{\hbar \omega} \hat{H} + \frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{or} \quad \boxed{\hat{H} = \hbar \omega (\hat{a}_- \hat{a}_+ - \frac{1}{2})}$$

Alternatively, if we had started with $\hat{a}_+ \hat{a}_-$

$$\boxed{\hat{a}_+ \hat{a}_- = \frac{1}{\hbar \omega} \hat{H} - \frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{or} \quad \boxed{\hat{H} = \hbar \omega (\hat{a}_+ \hat{a}_- + \frac{1}{2})}$$

if we subtract the $\hat{a}_+ \hat{a}_-$ from $\hat{a}_- \hat{a}_+$ we find

$$\hat{a}_- \hat{a}_+ - \hat{a}_+ \hat{a}_- = 1 \quad \therefore \quad \underline{\underline{[\hat{a}_-, \hat{a}_+] = 1}}$$

We can therefore write the TISE ($\hat{H}\Psi = E\Psi$) as:

$$\underline{\hbar\omega(\hat{a}_- \hat{a}_+ - \frac{1}{2})\Psi = E\Psi} \quad \text{or} \quad \underline{\hbar\omega(\hat{a}_+ \hat{a}_- + \frac{1}{2})\Psi = E\Psi}$$

So why is this great? Well now we have the tools to calculate all solutions of the harmonic oscillator completely algebraically. Let's see how:

From the TISE $\hat{H}\Psi = E\Psi$, let's see what happens if the Ψ is acted on by \hat{a}_+ :

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}(\hat{a}_+\Psi) &= \hbar\omega(\hat{a}_+ \hat{a}_- + \frac{1}{2})(\hat{a}_+\Psi) \\ &= \hbar\omega(\hat{a}_+ \hat{a}_- \hat{a}_+ + \frac{1}{2}\hat{a}_+)\Psi \\ &= \hbar\omega\hat{a}_+(\hat{a}_- \hat{a}_+ + \frac{1}{2})\Psi \quad \left| \begin{array}{l} \text{since } \hat{a}_- \hat{a}_+ - \hat{a}_+ \hat{a}_- = 1 \\ \hat{a}_- \hat{a}_+ = 1 + \hat{a}_+ \hat{a}_- \end{array} \right. \\ &= \hbar\omega\hat{a}_+(\hat{a}_+ \hat{a}_- + 1 + \frac{1}{2})\Psi \\ &= \hat{a}_+ [\hbar\omega(\hat{a}_+ \hat{a}_- + \frac{1}{2}) + \hbar\omega]\Psi \\ &= \hat{a}_+ (\hat{H} + \hbar\omega)\Psi = \hat{a}_+ (E + \hbar\omega)\Psi \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore \hat{H}(\hat{a}_+\Psi) = (E + \hbar\omega)(\hat{a}_+\Psi)$ This is in the form of the TISE

so this tells us that $\hat{a}_+\Psi$ also corresponds to a solution of the TISE but this time with an energy $E + \hbar\omega$.

Doing the same for \hat{a}_- :

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}(\hat{a}_-\Psi) &= \hbar\omega(\hat{a}_- \hat{a}_+ - \frac{1}{2})(\hat{a}_-\Psi) \\ &= \hbar\omega\hat{a}_-(\hat{a}_+ \hat{a}_- - \frac{1}{2})\Psi \quad \text{but since } \begin{array}{l} \hat{a}_+ \hat{a}_- - \hat{a}_- \hat{a}_+ = -1 \\ \hat{a}_+ \hat{a}_- = \hat{a}_- \hat{a}_+ - 1 \end{array} \\ &= \hbar\omega\hat{a}_-(\hat{a}_- \hat{a}_+ - \frac{1}{2} - 1)\Psi \\ &= \hat{a}_- [\hbar\omega(\hat{a}_- \hat{a}_+ - \frac{1}{2}) - \hbar\omega]\Psi \\ &= \hat{a}_- (\hat{H} - \hbar\omega)\Psi = \hat{a}_- (E - \hbar\omega)\Psi \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore \hat{H}(\hat{a}_-\Psi) = (E - \hbar\omega)(\hat{a}_-\Psi)$ so this gives a solution of the TISE with an energy of $E - \hbar\omega$.

So if we had 1 solution of the TISE, we could generate all the rest using \hat{a}_+ and \hat{a}_- .

The operator \hat{a}_+ and \hat{a}_- are thus called ladder operators since they provide solutions to the TISE that have corresponding energies either raised or lowered by two.

Since there are infinite stationary state solutions, we could apply \hat{a}_+ infinite times. But what about \hat{a}_- ?

If we let Ψ_0 be the stationary state solution with the smallest energy larger than 0, then:

$$\hat{a}_- \Psi_0 = 0 \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi m\omega}} (\hat{p} + m\omega \hat{x}) \Psi_0 = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi m\omega}} \left(\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + m\omega x \right) \Psi_0 = 0$$

This is a differential equation which we can transform into:

$$\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \Psi = -m\omega x \Psi \Rightarrow \frac{d\Psi_0}{dx} = -\frac{m\omega}{\hbar} x \Psi_0$$

$$\int \frac{d\Psi_0}{\Psi_0} = -\frac{m\omega}{\hbar} \int x dx \Rightarrow \ln \Psi_0 = -\frac{m\omega}{2\hbar} x^2 + C$$

$$\therefore \Psi_0 = A e^{-\frac{m\omega}{2\hbar} x^2} \quad \text{we find } A \text{ through normalisation:}$$

$$\int |\Psi_0|^2 dx = 1 = |A|^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{m\omega}{\hbar} x^2} dx$$

$$= |A|^2 \sqrt{\frac{\pi \hbar}{m\omega}}$$

This is a standard integral with result $\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a}}$ where $a = \frac{m\omega}{\hbar}$

$$\Rightarrow A = \pm \left(\frac{m\omega}{\pi \hbar} \right)^{1/4} \quad \text{we pick positive for convenience}$$

So the ground state wave function of the harmonic oscillator is:

$$\underline{\underline{\Psi_0(x) = \left(\frac{m\omega}{\pi \hbar} \right)^{1/4} e^{-\frac{m\omega}{2\hbar} x^2}}}$$

We can compute the corresponding energy of this ground state using the hamilton operator

$$\hat{H}\Psi_0 = \hbar\omega(\hat{a}_+\hat{a}_- + \frac{1}{2})\Psi_0 = E_0\Psi_0$$

$$E_0\Psi_0 = \hbar\omega\hat{a}_+\hat{a}_-\Psi_0 + \hbar\omega\frac{1}{2}\Psi_0 \quad \text{but } \hat{a}_-\Psi_0 = 0$$

$$= \hbar\omega\hat{a}_+(0) + \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega\Psi_0$$

$$E_0\Psi_0 = \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega\Psi_0 \Rightarrow \underline{\underline{E_0 = \frac{1}{2}\hbar\omega}}$$

We can now construct the whole ladder of solutions by repeatedly applying the \hat{a}_+ operator:

$$\underline{\underline{\Psi_n(x) = A_n (\hat{a}_+)^n \Psi_0(x) \quad \text{with} \quad E_n = (n + \frac{1}{2})\hbar\omega}}$$

So what is a general expression for A_n at any Ψ_n ?

Let's use the ladder operators to find an expression for this normalisation constant:

$$\text{let } \hat{a}_+\Psi_n = c_{n+1}\Psi_{n+1} \quad \hat{a}_-\Psi_n = d_{n-1}\Psi_{n-1} \quad \text{where } c_{n+1} \text{ and } d_{n-1} \text{ are normalisation constants to be determined.}$$

Before we continue, let's make some observations about \hat{a}_+ and \hat{a}_- .

a) if f and g are square integrable functions:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f^* \hat{a}_{\pm} g \, dx = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m\hbar\omega}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f^* \left(\mp \hbar \frac{d}{dx} + m\omega x \right) g \, dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m\hbar\omega}} \left\{ \left[\mp \hbar f^* g \right]_{-\infty}^{\infty} + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \pm \hbar \frac{d}{dx} f^* g \, dx + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} m\omega x f^* g \, dx \right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m\hbar\omega}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\pm \hbar \frac{d}{dx} + m\omega x \right) f^* g \, dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\hat{a}_{\mp} f)^* g \, dx$$

Summarising: We see it is possible to "move" around the ladder

$$\text{operators: } \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f^* (\hat{a}_{\pm} g) \, dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\hat{a}_{\mp} f)^* g \, dx$$

We say that \hat{a}_{\pm} is the hermitian conjugate of \hat{a}_{\mp}

More on what that means later in the course!

b) from $\hat{a}_\pm \hat{a}_\mp \Psi_n = E_n \Psi_n$, we can make the substitution $E_n = (n + \frac{1}{2})\hbar\omega$ that we showed earlier:

$$\hat{a}_\pm \hat{a}_\mp \Psi_n = (n + \frac{1}{2})\hbar\omega \Psi_n$$

$$\hat{a}_\pm \hat{a}_\mp \Psi_n \pm \frac{1}{2} \Psi_n = (n + \frac{1}{2}) \Psi_n$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{\underline{\hat{a}_\pm \hat{a}_\mp \Psi_n = (n + \frac{1}{2} \mp \frac{1}{2}) \Psi_n}}$$

We can now combine a) and b) together:

$$a) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f^* \hat{a}_\pm g dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\hat{a}_\mp f)^* g dx$$

$$b) \hat{a}_\pm \hat{a}_\mp \Psi_n = (n + \frac{1}{2} \mp \frac{1}{2}) \Psi_n$$

We can compute the normalisation of $\hat{a}_+ \Psi_n$:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\hat{a}_+ \Psi_n)^* (\hat{a}_+ \Psi_n) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\hat{a}_- \hat{a}_+ \Psi_n)^* \Psi_n dx \quad \text{we used a) for this}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\hat{a}_- \hat{a}_+ \Psi_n)^* \Psi_n dx &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ((n + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}) \Psi_n)^* \Psi_n dx \\ &= (n+1) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Psi_n|^2 dx \end{aligned}$$

$$(n+1) = (n+1)^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Psi_{n+1}|^2 dx \quad \text{Since the wave functions are normalised (don't really get this step, just go with it!)}$$

We have thus showed that:

$$\underline{\underline{\hat{a}_+ \Psi_n = \sqrt{n+1} \Psi_{n+1}}} \quad \text{This is an important result.}$$

So assuming we know the functional form of Ψ_n , we can get a properly normalised Ψ_{n+1} by applying \hat{a}_+ once.

We can similarly show:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\hat{a}_- \Psi_n)^* (\hat{a}_- \Psi_n) dx &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\hat{a}_+ \hat{a}_- \Psi_n)^* \Psi_n dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ((n + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}) \Psi_n)^* \Psi_n dx \\ &= n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Psi_n|^2 dx \quad \therefore n = n^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\Psi_{n-1}|^2 dx \end{aligned}$$

We have thus showed that:

$$\underline{\underline{\hat{a}_- \psi_n = \sqrt{n} \psi_{n-1}}}$$

We can then combine these to give us a general expression for normalised harmonic oscillator states:

$$\boxed{\psi_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} (\hat{a}_+)^n \psi_0} \quad \text{where } \underline{\underline{\psi_0 = \left(\frac{m\omega}{\pi\hbar}\right)^{1/4} e^{-\frac{m\omega}{2\hbar} x^2}}}$$

Just like for the infinite square well, the stationary states for the harmonic oscillator are orthogonal. We can show this by:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_m^* (\hat{a}_+ \hat{a}_- \psi_n) dx &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\hat{a}_- \psi_m)^* (\hat{a}_- \psi_n) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\hat{a}_+ \hat{a}_- \psi_m)^* \psi_n dx \\ &= n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_m^* \psi_n dx = \underline{\underline{n \delta_{mn}}} = \underline{\underline{m \delta_{mn}}} \end{aligned}$$

So there is only a solution if $m=n$. So they are orthogonal.

Calculating Potential Energy

We can use ladder operators to compute the potential energy in the n^{th} state. Without ladder operators we would try:

$$\langle V \rangle = \langle \frac{1}{2} m \omega^2 x^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{2} m \omega^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi_n^* x^2 \psi_n dx \quad \text{and we would evaluate this by brute force.}$$

We can instead do this more elegantly with ladder operators.

$$\text{We know } \hat{a}_{\pm} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\hbar m \omega}} (\mp i \hat{p} + m \omega x)$$

$$\hat{a}_+ + \hat{a}_- = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\hbar m \omega}} (-i \hat{p} + i \hat{p} + 2m \omega x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\hbar m \omega}} (2m \omega x)$$

$$\therefore \hat{a}_+ + \hat{a}_- = \sqrt{\frac{4m^2 \omega^2}{2\hbar m \omega}} x = \sqrt{\frac{2m \omega}{\hbar}} x$$

$$\underline{\underline{\therefore x = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m \omega}} (\hat{a}_+ + \hat{a}_-)}}$$

Similarly:

$$a_+ - a_- = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\hbar m \omega}} (-i\hat{p} - i\hat{p}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\hbar m \omega}} (-2i\hat{p})$$

$$= -\sqrt{\frac{2}{\hbar m \omega}} i\hat{p} \quad \therefore \hat{p} = -\sqrt{\frac{\hbar m \omega}{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{i} \cdot (a_+ - a_-)$$

$$\therefore \hat{p} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar m \omega}{2}} i(a_+ - a_-)$$

We don't actually need this, just demonstrating it's possible.

$$x^2 = \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} (\hat{a}_+ \hat{a}_+ + \hat{a}_+ \hat{a}_- + \hat{a}_- \hat{a}_+ + \hat{a}_- \hat{a}_-)$$

subbing into $\langle v \rangle = \frac{1}{2} m \omega \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Psi_n^* x^2 \Psi_n dx$:

$$\langle v \rangle = \frac{1}{2} m \omega \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Psi_n^* \cdot \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} (\hat{a}_+ \hat{a}_+ + \hat{a}_+ \hat{a}_- + \hat{a}_- \hat{a}_+ + \hat{a}_- \hat{a}_-) \Psi_n dx$$

$$= \frac{\hbar \omega}{4} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Psi_n^* [\hat{a}_+ \hat{a}_+ \Psi_n + \hat{a}_+ \hat{a}_- \Psi_n + \hat{a}_- \hat{a}_+ \Psi_n + \hat{a}_- \hat{a}_- \Psi_n] dx$$

$$= \frac{\hbar \omega}{4} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Psi_n^* (\Psi_{n+2} + n\Psi_n + (n+1)\Psi_n + \Psi_{n-2}) dx$$

$$= \frac{\hbar \omega}{4} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \underbrace{\Psi_n^* \Psi_{n+2}}_{\substack{\text{orthogonal} \\ \text{since } n \neq n+2}} + (2n+1) \Psi_n^* \Psi_n + \underbrace{\Psi_n^* \Psi_{n-2}}_{\substack{\text{orthogonal} \\ \text{since } n \neq n-2}} dx$$

$$= \frac{\hbar \omega}{4} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (2n+1) \Psi_n^* \Psi_n dx$$

$$= \frac{(2n+1)\hbar \omega}{4} = \frac{1}{2} \hbar \omega (n + \frac{1}{2})$$

$$\therefore \underline{\underline{\langle v \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \hbar \omega (n + \frac{1}{2})}}$$

This was a lot easier than evaluating a long, tedious integral!

We previously worked out $E_n = \hbar \omega (n + \frac{1}{2})$ so $\langle v \rangle = \frac{1}{2} E$

so kinetic energy must be the other half, through conservation of energy.