

## HOMEWORK 2

Question 1.1:

$X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ ,  $X_i$  = delay in minutes

Sol<sup>n</sup>:

i) To find log likelihood function of  $X$  given  $\lambda$

$$\hat{\lambda} = \underset{\lambda}{\operatorname{argmax}} \prod_{k_i=1}^n P(X_i = k_i | \lambda)$$

$$= \prod_{k_i=1}^n \frac{\lambda^{k_i} e^{-\lambda}}{k_i!}$$

$\Rightarrow$  Taking log(natural) on both sides we get:

$$\log \text{ likelihood} = \ln \left[ \prod_{k_i=1}^n \frac{\lambda^{k_i} e^{-\lambda}}{k_i!} \right]$$

$$= \ln \left[ \frac{\lambda^{k_1}}{k_1!} \cdot \frac{\lambda^{k_2}}{k_2!} \dots \frac{\lambda^{k_n}}{k_n!} e^{-n\lambda} \right]$$

$$= \ln e^{-n\lambda} + \ln \frac{\lambda^{k_1}}{k_1!} + \ln \frac{\lambda^{k_2}}{k_2!} \dots \ln \frac{\lambda^{k_n}}{k_n!}$$

$$\log \text{ likelihood} = -n\lambda + [k_1 \ln \lambda + k_2 \ln \lambda \dots k_n \ln \lambda - \ln k_1 k_2 \dots k_n]$$

$$= -n\lambda + [(k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_n) \ln \lambda - \ln k_1 k_2 \dots k_n]$$

ii) For MLE:

We differentiate the above eq<sup>n</sup> and set it to 0.

$$\text{MLE} \Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} [-n\lambda + (k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_n) \ln \lambda - \ln k_1 k_2 \dots k_n] = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow -n + \frac{k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_n}{\lambda} = 0$$

$$\therefore \hat{\lambda} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n k_i}{n}$$

iii) MLE for  $\lambda$  using observed  $x$ :

$$\hat{\lambda} = \frac{1}{7} [4+5+3+5+6+9+10]$$

$$\therefore \hat{\lambda} = \frac{1}{7} \times 42 = \underline{\underline{6}}$$

(Question 1.2:

i) For posterior distribution, we know that:

$$p(\lambda|x) \propto p(x|\lambda) \cdot p(\lambda)$$

$$\propto \left( \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\lambda^{x_i} e^{-\lambda}}{x_i!} \right) \cdot \frac{\beta^\alpha \lambda^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta\lambda}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}$$

$$\propto \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^n x_i!} \lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \cdot e^{-n\lambda} \cdot \frac{\beta^\alpha \lambda^{\alpha-1} e^{-\beta\lambda}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}$$

$$\propto \left( \frac{\beta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n x_i!} \right) \lambda^{[\sum_{i=1}^n x_i + \alpha - 1]} e^{-\beta\lambda - n\lambda}$$

$$\propto [\text{const.}] \lambda^{[n \cdot X_{\text{mean}} + \alpha - 1]} e^{-\lambda [n + \beta]}$$

$$\propto \text{Gamma}[n \cdot X_{\text{mean}} + \alpha - 1, n + \beta]$$

Taking log we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \log p(\lambda|x) &\propto \log C + \log \lambda^{[n \cdot X_{\text{mean}} + \alpha - 1]} + \log e^{-\lambda [n + \beta]} \\ &= \log C + [n \cdot X_{\text{mean}} + \alpha - 1] \log \lambda - \lambda [n + \beta] \end{aligned}$$

ii) For MAP:

we differentiate above eq<sup>n</sup> & set it to 0.

$$\therefore \text{MAP} \Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} [\log C + (n \cdot X_{\text{mean}} + \alpha - 1) \log \lambda - \lambda [n + \beta]] = 0$$

$$= \frac{n \cdot X_{\text{mean}} + \alpha - 1}{\lambda} - [n + \beta] = 0$$

$$\therefore \text{MAP} \Rightarrow \hat{\lambda}_{\text{map}} = \frac{n \cdot X_{\text{mean}} + \alpha - 1}{n + \beta}$$

Question 1.3:  
 i) Let  $h = e^{-2\lambda}$ , To show that  $\hat{h} = e^{-2X}$  is MLE of  $h$

$$P(X|\lambda) = \frac{\lambda^x e^{-\lambda}}{x!}$$

$$h = e^{-2\lambda} \quad \ln h = -2\lambda$$

$$\lambda = -\frac{1}{2} \ln h$$

$$\lambda = \ln \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}}$$

$$P(X|h) = \frac{(-\frac{1}{2} \ln h)^x e^{-(-\frac{1}{2} \ln h)}}{x!} \quad (\ln = \text{natural log})$$

$$= \frac{(-\frac{1}{2} \ln h)^x h^{1/2}}{x!}$$

$$\ln P(X|h) = \ln \left[ \frac{(-\frac{1}{2} \ln h)^x h^{1/2}}{x!} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \ln h - \ln x! + \ln \left[ \left( -\frac{1}{2} \ln h \right)^x \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \ln h - \ln x! + x \ln (\ln \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}})$$

Differentiating & equating to 0.

$$\text{MLE} \Rightarrow \frac{\partial}{\partial h} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \ln h - \ln x! + x \ln \ln \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} \right] = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2h} - 0 + x \left[ \frac{1}{\ln h^{-1/2}} \cdot \frac{1}{h^{-1/2}} \cdot -\frac{1}{2} h^{-3/2} \right] = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{2h} = \frac{x}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{\ln h^{-1/2}} \cdot h^{1/2} \cdot \frac{1}{h^{3/2}} \right]$$

$$\frac{1}{2h} = \frac{x}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{\ln h^{-1/2}} \cdot \frac{1}{h}$$

$$\ln h^{-1/2} = x \Rightarrow \ln h = -2x$$

$$\hat{h} = e^{-2x}$$

Hence proved.



ii) Bias = Estimated value - True value

$$\text{bias}(\hat{\theta}) = E(\hat{\theta}) - \theta$$

$$\text{bias}(\hat{\lambda}) = E(\hat{\lambda}) - \lambda$$

$$= E\left[e^{-2X}\right] - e^{-2\lambda}$$

$$\therefore \text{bias} = \left( \sum_x e^{-2x} \cdot \frac{\lambda^x e^{-\lambda}}{x!} \right) - e^{-2\lambda} \quad [\text{using LOTUS}]$$

$$[E(\hat{\lambda})] =$$

$$\text{bias} = e^{-\lambda} \left[ \sum_x \frac{(\lambda/e^2)^x}{x!} \right] - e^{-2\lambda}$$

we know that:  $e^x = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{k!}$

$$\therefore \text{Bias} = e^{-\lambda} \left[ e^{-\lambda/e^2} \right] - e^{-2\lambda}$$

$$= e^{\lambda/e^2 - \lambda} - e^{-2\lambda}$$

$$\text{Bias} = e^{-\lambda} [1 - 1/e^2] - e^{-2\lambda}$$

iii) For unbiased estimate, let our  $\hat{\theta}$  be  $m^X$

For unbiased estimate:

Expected value = true value

$$E(m^X) = e^{-\lambda} \quad E(m^X) = e^{-2\lambda}$$

$$\sum_x m^x \frac{\lambda^x e^{-\lambda}}{x!} = e^{-2\lambda} \quad \sum_x m^x \frac{\lambda^x e^{-\lambda}}{x!} = e^{-2\lambda}$$

$$e^{-\lambda} \left[ \sum_x \frac{(m\lambda)^x}{x!} \right] = e^{-2\lambda}$$

$$e^{m\lambda} = e^{-\lambda}$$

$$m\lambda = -\lambda$$

$$m = -1$$

$(-1)^X$  is the only unbiased estimate of  $\lambda$ .

Question 2:

$$2.1) \underset{w, b}{\text{minimize}} \quad \lambda \|w\|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n (w^T x_i + b - y_i)^2$$

$$\text{let } \bar{w} = [w; b] \quad \& \quad \bar{x} = [X; 1_n^T]$$

$$\therefore \text{ we can write above eq}^n \text{ as:}$$

$$\min_{\bar{w}} \quad \lambda [\bar{w}^T \cdot \bar{w} - b^2] + \sum_{i=1}^n (\bar{w}^T x_i - y_i)^2$$

we differentiate the above eq<sup>n</sup> & set it to 0.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{w}} [\lambda (\bar{w}^T \cdot \bar{w} - b^2) + \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i^T \bar{w} - y_i)^2] = 0$$

[transpose of scalar is equal to the original &  $(\bar{w}^T x_i - y_i)$  is a scalar]

$$\therefore \lambda [2 \bar{w}] + \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{w}} [\| \bar{X}^T \cdot \bar{w} - y \|^2] = 0$$

$$\therefore 2\lambda \bar{w} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{w}} [(\bar{X}^T \bar{w} - y)^T (\bar{X}^T \bar{w} - y)] = 0$$

$$\therefore 2\lambda \bar{w} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{w}} [(\bar{w}^T \bar{X} - y^T)(\bar{X}^T \bar{w} - y)] = 0$$

$$2\lambda \bar{w} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{w}} [\bar{w}^T \bar{X} \bar{X}^T \bar{w} - \bar{w}^T \bar{X} y - y^T \bar{X}^T \bar{w} + y^T y] = 0$$

[scalar, hence take transpose]

$$2\lambda \bar{w} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{w}} [\bar{w}^T \bar{X} \bar{X}^T \bar{w} - 2y^T \bar{X}^T \bar{w} + y^T y] = 0$$

$$2\lambda \bar{w} + [\bar{X} \bar{X}^T + (\bar{X} \bar{X}^T)^T] \cdot \bar{w} - 2\bar{X} y = 0$$

$$\cancel{2\lambda \bar{w}} + \cancel{2\bar{X} \bar{X}^T} \cdot \bar{w} = \cancel{2\bar{X} y}$$

$$[\bar{X} \bar{X}^T + \lambda \bar{I}] \bar{w} = \bar{X} y$$

$$\therefore \bar{w} = \underbrace{[\bar{X} \bar{X}^T + \lambda \bar{I}]^{-1}}_C \cdot \underbrace{\bar{X} y}_d$$

$$\bar{w} = C^{-1} \cdot d$$

Hence proved

$$C = \underbrace{\bar{X}}_{(k+1) \times n} \underbrace{\bar{X}^T}_{n \times (k+1)} + \lambda \underbrace{\bar{I}}_{(k+1) \times (k+1)}$$

∴ initial dimensions of  $C \Rightarrow (k+1) \times (k+1)$

even when we remove one column (say  $x_i$ )

$$\begin{aligned} C &= \bar{X}_i \bar{X}_i^T + \lambda \bar{I}_i \\ &= \underbrace{(k+1) \times (n-1)}_{\substack{\uparrow \\ (k+1) \times (k+1)}} \underbrace{(n-1) \times (k+1)}_{\substack{\uparrow \\ (k+1) \times (k+1)}} \Rightarrow (k+1) \times (k+1) \end{aligned}$$

∴ dimensions remain same

When we see the matrix multiplications:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 & \dots & x_i & \dots & x_n \\ x_{12} & & x_{i2} & & x_{n2} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{1k+1} & & x_{ik+1} & & x_{nk+1} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & \dots & x_{1k+1} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{n1} & \dots & x_{nk+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

or terms of column vectors:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_i & \dots & x_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_i \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}$$

∴ When we remove  $x_i$ , only terms missing from multiplication is  $x_i \cdot x_i^T$

$$C(i) = C - \bar{x}_i \bar{x}_i^T$$

similarly for d:

$$\begin{aligned} d &= \bar{X}^T y \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_i & \dots & x_n \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_i \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

when we remove  $x_i$ , only term missing is  $x_i \cdot y_i$

$$d(i) = d - \bar{x}_i y_i$$



$$\text{iii)} \quad C = (\bar{X}\bar{X}^T + \lambda \bar{I})$$

$$C^{-1} = (\bar{X}\bar{X}^T + \lambda \bar{I})^{-1}$$

$$\therefore C_{(i)} = (\bar{X}\bar{X}^T - \bar{x}_i\bar{x}_i^T + \lambda \bar{I})^{-1}$$

$$\therefore C_{(i)} = [C - \bar{x}_i\bar{x}_i^T]^{-1}$$

$$\therefore C_{(i)}^{-1} = [C - \bar{x}_i\bar{x}_i^T]^{-1}$$

By Sherman morrison formula:

$$(A + uv^T)^{-1} = A^{-1} - \frac{A^{-1}u \cdot v^T A^{-1}}{1 + v^T A^{-1}u}$$

Substitute  $A = C$   $u = (-\bar{x}_i)$   $v^T = \bar{x}_i^T$

$$\therefore C_{(i)}^{-1} = C^{-1} - \frac{C^{-1}(-\bar{x}_i)(\bar{x}_i^T)C^{-1}}{1 + (\bar{x}_i^T)C^{-1}(-\bar{x}_i)}$$

$$\therefore C_{(i)}^{-1} = C^{-1} + \frac{C^{-1}\bar{x}_i\bar{x}_i^T C^{-1}}{1 - \bar{x}_i^T C^{-1}\bar{x}_i}$$

iv) Now,  $\bar{w} = C^{-1}d$

$$\therefore \bar{w}_{(i)} = C_{(i)}^{-1}d_{(i)}$$

$$= \left[ C^{-1} + \frac{C^{-1}\bar{x}_i\bar{x}_i^T C^{-1}}{1 - \bar{x}_i^T C^{-1}\bar{x}_i} \right] [d - \bar{x}_i y_i]$$

$$\therefore \bar{w}_{(i)} = \underbrace{C^{-1}d}_{\bar{w}} + \frac{(C^{-1}\bar{x}_i\bar{x}_i^T C^{-1})d}{1 - \bar{x}_i^T C^{-1}\bar{x}_i} - (C^{-1}) \cdot \bar{x}_i y_i$$

$$= \frac{(C^{-1}\bar{x}_i\bar{x}_i^T C^{-1}) \cdot \bar{x}_i y_i}{1 - \bar{x}_i^T C^{-1}\bar{x}_i} \rightarrow \bar{w}$$

$$\bar{w}_{(i)} = \bar{w} + (C^{-1}\bar{x}_i) \left[ -y_i + \frac{\bar{x}_i^T (C^{-1}d)}{1 - \bar{x}_i^T C^{-1}\bar{x}_i} - \frac{\bar{x}_i^T C^{-1}\bar{x}_i y_i}{1 - \bar{x}_i^T C^{-1}\bar{x}_i} \right]$$

$$\therefore \bar{w}_{(i)} = \bar{w} + (C^{-1}\bar{x}_i) \left[ -y_i + \frac{\bar{x}_i^T \bar{w} - \bar{x}_i^T C^{-1}\bar{x}_i y_i}{1 - \bar{x}_i^T C^{-1}\bar{x}_i} \right]$$

$$\bar{w}_{(i)} = \bar{w} + (C^{-1}\bar{x}_i) \left[ -y_i + \frac{(\bar{x}_i^T C^{-1}\bar{x}_i) y_i + \bar{x}_i^T \bar{w} - \bar{x}_i^T C^{-1}\bar{x}_i y_i}{1 - \bar{x}_i^T C^{-1}\bar{x}_i} \right]$$

[ $y_i$  can be placed anywhere as it is scalar]

$$\therefore \bar{w}(x_i) = \bar{w} + (C^{-1} \bar{x}_i) \left[ \frac{-y_i^0 + \bar{x}_i^0 T \bar{w}}{1 - \bar{x}_i^0 T C^{-1} \bar{x}_i} \right]$$

v)  $(\bar{w}^T \bar{x}_i - y_i) \Rightarrow$  To calculate this we take  
 $\frac{1}{1 - \bar{x}_i^0 T C^{-1} \bar{x}_i}$  as  $\lambda$  because it is a scalar value

$$\therefore \bar{w}^T \bar{x}_i - y_i = (\bar{w} + (C^{-1} \bar{x}_i) \lambda)^T \bar{x}_i - y_i$$

$$= (\bar{w}^T + \lambda^T (C^{-1} \bar{x}_i)^T) \bar{x}_i - y_i$$

$$= \bar{w}^T \bar{x}_i - y_i + \lambda^T (\bar{x}_i^0 T C^{-1}) \bar{x}_i$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{w}^T \bar{x}_i - y_i + \left[ \frac{-y_i^0 + \bar{x}_i^0 T \bar{w}}{1 - \bar{x}_i^0 T C^{-1} \bar{x}_i} \right]^T (\bar{x}_i^0 T C^{-1} \bar{x}_i)$$

$$= \bar{w}^T \bar{x}_i - y_i + \frac{-y_i^0 + \bar{w}^T \bar{x}_i}{1 - \bar{x}_i^0 T C^{-1} \bar{x}_i} (\bar{x}_i^0 T C^{-1} \bar{x}_i)$$

$$= \left[ \frac{\bar{w}^T \bar{x}_i - (\bar{w}^T \bar{x}_i \bar{x}_i^0 T C^{-1} \bar{x}_i) - y_i^0 + y_i^0 \bar{x}_i^0 T C^{-1} \bar{x}_i}{1 - \bar{x}_i^0 T C^{-1} \bar{x}_i} \right]$$

$$= \frac{\bar{w}^T \bar{x}_i - y_i^0}{(1 - \bar{x}_i^0 T C^{-1} \bar{x}_i)} \rightarrow \left[ \text{Taking transpose as it is scalar} \right. \\ \left. \& \text{ } T(\text{scalar}) = \text{original} \right]$$

$$\therefore \bar{w}^T (x_i) \bar{x}_i - y_i = \frac{\bar{w}^T \bar{x}_i - y_i^0}{1 - \bar{x}_i^0 T C^{-1} \bar{x}_i}$$



vi) LOOCV error  $\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n (\bar{w}_{(i)}^T \bar{x}_i - y_i)^2$

According to the formula in section 2.5

$$\bar{w}_{(i)}^T \cdot \bar{x}_i - y_i = \frac{\bar{w}^T \bar{x}_i - y_i}{1 - \bar{x}_i^T C^{-1} \bar{x}_i}$$

Here, we calculate  $C^{-1}$  only once, which has a complexity of  $O(k^3)$

Multiplications require  $O(k^2)$  time. [Additions & subtraction are linear]  
We will perform these multiplications  $n$  times.

$\therefore$  Total complexity  $\Rightarrow O(k^3 + n(k^2))$  [kH & k for big k]  
 ~~$O(k^3)$~~

For usual method:

we will calculate  $(c_i)^{-1}$  everytime  
and use  $w(c_i) = (c_i)^{-1} \cdot d(i)$  &

This requires  $O(n \times k^3)$  for all inverse calculation, and requires  $O(n \times k^2)$  for multiplications as well.

$\therefore$  Total complexity would be  $O(n(k^3 + k^2)) \approx O(nk^3)$   
which is higher than the previous one.