

I have downloaded the three research papers about machine learning algorithms. The files are named Token.pdf, GeoVi.pdf, and In-N-.pdf.

Okay, I have the content of the three research papers. I will now create a detailed report with a dedicated section for each paper.

## ## Detailed Report on Machine Learning Algorithms Research Papers

This report summarizes three research papers focusing on different aspects of machine learning algorithms: Geolocation using Agentic Visual Reasoning, Egocentric Manipulation with Human Data, and Tokenization over Bounded Alphabets.

### ### 1. GeoVista: Web-Augmented Agentic Visual Reasoning for Geolocation

\*\*Authors:\*\* Yikun Wang, Zuyan Liu, Ziyi Wang, Pengfei Liu, Han Hu, Yongming Rao

\*\*Abstract:\*\*

This paper addresses the gap in agentic visual reasoning research, which primarily focuses on image manipulation tools and lacks general-purpose agentic models. The authors revisit the geolocation task, emphasizing the need for nuanced visual grounding and web search for hypothesis confirmation. They introduce GeoBench, a new benchmark with high-resolution imagery to evaluate the geolocation ability of agentic models. The paper also presents GeoVista, an agentic model that integrates tool invocation within the reasoning loop, including image zoom and web search. A training pipeline with supervised fine-tuning and reinforcement learning is developed, using a hierarchical reward system to improve performance. Experimental results demonstrate that GeoVista outperforms other open-source models and achieves performance comparable to

closed-source models like Gemini-2.5-flash and GPT-5.

#### \*\*Key Contributions:\*\*

- \* \*\*GeoBench Benchmark:\*\* A new benchmark for geolocation with high-resolution imagery.
- \* \*\*GeoVista Model:\*\* An agentic model that integrates image zoom and web search tools.
- \* \*\*Training Pipeline:\*\* A complete training pipeline with supervised fine-tuning and reinforcement learning.
- \* \*\*Performance:\*\* GeoVista achieves state-of-the-art performance in geolocation tasks.

#### \*\*Details:\*\*

The paper highlights the limitations of existing agentic models that primarily focus on image manipulation. GeoVista addresses this by incorporating web search to confirm hypotheses during reasoning, which is crucial for geolocation. The model uses a hierarchical reward system during reinforcement learning to leverage multi-level geographical information. The agentic thinking process with tool calls is illustrated with examples, showing how the model focuses on specific details in the images and uses web search to gather more information, such as the name of a tunnel or the language used in a mural.

### ### 2. In-N-On: Scaling Egocentric Manipulation with in-the-wild and on-task Data

\*\*Authors:\*\* Xiongyi Cai, Ri-Zhao Qiu, Geng Chen Lai Wei, Isabella Liu, Tianshu Huang, Xuxin Cheng, Xiaolong Wang

#### \*\*Abstract:\*\*

This paper explores scaling egocentric manipulation through pre-training and post-training with human data. It categorizes human data into "in-the-wild" and "on-task" and provides a scalable recipe for collecting and utilizing it. The authors curate a large-scale Physical Human-humanoid Dataset (PHSD) containing over 1,000 hours of in-the-wild data and over 20 hours of on-task data. This enables the learning of Human0, a large egocentric language-conditioned flow matching policy. Domain adaptation techniques are used to minimize the gap between humans and humanoids. The results demonstrate that Human0 achieves language following of instructions unseen in robot data, few-shot learning, and improved robustness using on-task data.

#### **\*\*Key Contributions:\*\***

- \* \*\*PHSD Dataset:\*\* A large-scale dataset of egocentric human and humanoid data.
- \* \*\*Human0 Policy:\*\* An egocentric language-conditioned flow matching policy trained on PHSD.
- \* \*\*Data Categorization:\*\* Categorization of human data into "in-the-wild" and "on-task".
- \* \*\*Capabilities:\*\* Human0 exhibits language following, few-shot learning, and improved on-task performance.

#### **\*\*Details:\*\***

The paper addresses the heterogeneity of human data by categorizing it into "in-the-wild" and "on-task". In-the-wild data includes diverse activities, while on-task data focuses on demonstrations aligned with target manipulation tasks. The Human0 policy leverages both types of data, with in-the-wild data for pre-training and on-task data for post-training and fine-tuning. This approach mitigates catastrophic forgetting and improves the model's ability to generalize to new tasks. The paper highlights the importance of using both types of data to unlock the full potential of human data

for robot manipulation.

### ### 3. TOKENISATION OVER BOUNDED ALPHABETS IS HARD

**\*\*Authors:\*\*** Violeta Kastreva, Philip Whittington, Dennis Komm, Tiago Pimentel

**\*\*Abstract:\*\***

This paper investigates the computational complexity of tokenization, focusing on bounded alphabets. While previous works have shown that tokenization is NP-complete for unboundedly large alphabets, this paper addresses the more realistic scenario of fixed-size alphabets, such as bytes or Unicode characters. The authors analyze two variants: bottom-up tokenization and direct tokenization. They prove that even with binary alphabets, both variants are NP-complete and do not admit a polynomial-time approximation scheme (unless  $P=NP$ ). Furthermore, direct tokenization remains NP-complete even when applied to unary alphabets. These results demonstrate that the intractability of tokenization is not solely due to large alphabets but is a fundamental barrier, which explains why practical algorithms like BPE and UnigramLM are heuristic.

**\*\*Key Contributions:\*\***

- \*   **\*\*NP-Completeness Proofs:\*\*** Proofs that bottom-up and direct tokenization are NP-complete even with binary alphabets.
- \*   **\*\*Inapproximability Results:\*\*** Showing that these problems do not admit a polynomial-time approximation scheme.
- \*   **\*\*Unary Alphabet Result:\*\*** Demonstrating that direct tokenization is NP-complete even with unary alphabets.

- \* \*\*Explanation of Heuristics:\*\* Providing an explanation for why practical tokenization algorithms are heuristic.

#### \*\*Details:\*\*

The paper tackles the practical relevance of tokenization by focusing on bounded alphabets. The authors prove that the computational hardness of tokenization persists even with small alphabets like binary and unary. This result indicates that the complexity is inherent in the tokenization process itself, rather than being an artifact of large alphabets or complex merge operations. The findings explain why heuristic algorithms are used in practice and suggest that approximation algorithms are an important avenue for future tokenization research.