Empirical Validation of a Deterministic Intraday Trading Heuristic Based on Gann's Square of Nine

SAI JAYANTH

Jun 27 2025

Abstract

This paper formalizes and empirically validates a novel intraday trading strategy derived from the geometric principles of W.D. Gann's Square of Nine. We first translate the esoteric concepts of Gann into a deterministic, non-discretionary, and fully reproducible algorithm that calculates daily support and resistance levels from a single opening price. The algorithm is then embedded within a clear, rule-based trading strategy and backtested on 5-minute OHLC data for [e.g., the NIFTY 50 constituents] over a [e.g., 10-year period from 2013-2023]. To assess performance with academic rigor, the strategy is critically evaluated against two null hypotheses: (1) that its performance is indistinguishable from a placebo strategy using randomized levels, and (2) that it offers no economic advantage over a standard Opening Range Breakout (ORB) industry benchmark. The analysis employs a suite of advanced risk-adjusted metrics, including Sortino and Calmar Ratios, and investigates performance persistence across different market volatility regimes. Our results indicate that the Gann-based heuristic [report your key finding, e.g., "generates a statistically significant annualized alpha of X% with a Sharpe Ratio of Y, decisively rejecting the placebo hypothesis (p ¡ 0.01) and outperforming the ORB benchmark. The performance is found to be particularly robust during periods of high market volatility."] These findings provide a rare, objective test of Gann-based methods and suggest that their predictive power may stem from behavioral phenomena like self-fulfilling prophecies at key price levels.

1 Introduction

The quantitative analysis of financial markets has long been dominated by two paradigms: the Efficient Market Hypothesis (EMH), which posits the impossibility of consistent abnormal returns (Fama, 1970), and the body of work demonstrating persistent market anomalies and behavioral patterns (Lo & MacKinlay, 1999; Shiller, 2003). Within this latter paradigm, technical analysis—the study of past price action to forecast future movements—remains a controversial but widely practiced field.

While academic literature has rigorously tested simple patterns like momentum and reversal, a class of more complex, esoteric methods has largely been ignored. Chief among these are the works of W.D. Gann, whose theories combining geometry, astronomy, and numerology have built a considerable following among market practitioners. However, their opaque, non-scientific presentation has rendered them virtually untestable and relegated them to academic footnotes. The "Square of Nine," a spiral of numbers used to identify price "vibrations," is a prime example of such a method.

This paper challenges the dismissal of these techniques by assuming a "skeptical practitioner" approach. We strip the numerological narrative from the Square of Nine and formalize it as a **deterministic math-**

ematical heuristic. Our primary research objective is to answer a clear, empirical question: **Does a trading strategy based on these formalized Gann levels generate statistically significant and economically meaningful risk-adjusted returns after accounting for transaction costs and robust benchmarks?**

Our contribution is threefold. First, we provide a transparent and fully reproducible algorithm derived from Gann's core principles. Second, we conduct a large-scale, long-horizon empirical backtest, analyzing not just returns but the fundamental characteristics and risks of the strategy. Third, by benchmarking against both random chance and a standard industry strategy, we provide a robust test of its efficacy, contributing to the thin academic literature on the objective validation of complex technical analysis systems.

2 Methodology: A Deterministic Gann Heuristic

The strategy is composed of two discrete components: a level-generation algorithm and a set of non-discretionary trading rules.

2.1 The Level Generation Algorithm

The algorithm takes a single reference price, P_{ref}, and generates a static grid of price levels for the day.

2.1.1 Working of the Algorithm:

At its core, the algorithm maps a price to a "vibrational plane" by taking its square root, identifies its angular position on a numerical spiral (the Square of Nine), and then calculates harmonically-related angles. These new angular positions are squared to map them back to new price levels, which serve as support and resistance.

2.1.2 Formal Definition:

Input: The reference price, P_{ref}, is defined as the closing price of the 9:15-9:20 AM 5-minute candle.

Algorithm Steps:

- **Calculate Base Root (R_{base}):** This identifies the integer "cycle" or "ring" on the Gann square to which the price belongs.

$$R_{\text{base}} = \lceil \sqrt{P_{\text{ref}}} - 2 \rceil$$

- **Generate Harmonic Roots (R_i):** A sequence of 24 harmonic roots is generated by adding constant $1/8^{\text{th}}$ increments, corresponding to 45-degree rotations on the Square of Nine.

$$R_i = R_{\text{base}} + (0.125 \times i) \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \ldots, 24$$

- **Generate Price Levels (L_i):** The harmonic roots are squared to derive the price levels.

$$L_i = (R_i)^2 \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \ldots, 24$$

- **Define Primary Action Levels:** An index k is identified such that $L_{k-1} < P_{\text{ref}} < L_k$. These two bracketing levels define the breakout/breakdown zone.
  - **Buy-Above Level (L_{buy}):** $L_{\text{buy}} = L_k$

- **Sell-Below Level (L_{sell}):** $L_{\text{sell}} = L_{k-1}$

- **Define Tiered Target Levels:** Levels outside the primary action zone become tiered take-profit targets, with a small execution buffer ($\epsilon = 0.0005$).
  - **Resistance Targets (T_{buy_j}):** $L_{k+j} \times (1 - \epsilon)$ for $j = 1..5$.
  - **Support Targets (T_{sell_j}):** $L_{k-1-j} \times (1 + \epsilon)$ for $j = 1..5$.

2.2 Intraday Trading Rules

- **Setup:** Levels are calculated once at 9:20 AM and remain static for the day.
- **Entry:** A **long trade** is initiated at the open of the next candle if a 5-minute candle closes above L_{buy}. A **short trade** is initiated if a close is below L_{sell}. Only one trade is taken per day.
- **Stop-Loss:** For a long trade, the stop-loss is L_{sell}. For a short trade, it is L_{buy}.
- **Take-Profit:** The exit price is the price of the highest (for buys) or lowest (for sells) target level touched by the high/low of any candle during the trade's life. This "let-profits-run-to-next-level" rule is captured by the `level` value in the trade log.
- **End of Day Exit:** Any open position is closed at 3:25 PM local time.

3 Data and Backtesting Environment

Data: 5-minute OHLC data for the 50 constituent stocks of the NIFTY 50 index, sourced from [e.g., a reputable data vendor]. The sample period spans January 1, 2013, to December 31, 2023, and data is adjusted for all corporate actions.

Transaction Costs: All reported returns are net of a **0.1%** round-trip cost assumption to account for commissions, taxes, and estimated slippage.

Benchmarks for Comparison:

- **Placebo Benchmark:** To test against random chance, this strategy uses the same trading rules but on randomized $L_{\text{buy}}/L_{\text{sell}}$ levels that maintain the same percentage distance from P_{ref} as the original Gann levels.
- **Opening Range Breakout (ORB) Benchmark:** A standard industry strategy. A buy/sell is triggered on a break of the 9:15-9:45 AM range high/low.

4 Empirical Results and Analysis

This section dissects the performance of the Gann heuristic from multiple perspectives to build a robust case for or against its efficacy.

4.1 Descriptive Statistics of Trade-Level Data

We begin by analyzing the fundamental characteristics of the [e.g., 1,520] trades generated by the strategy.

Interpretation Insight: The skewness and kurtosis of PnL are critical. A negative skew suggests a tendency for large losing trades, while high kurtosis ("fat tails") indicates a higher probability of extreme outcomes than a normal distribution. This immediately frames the risk profile.

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics of Individual Trades

Metric	Mean	Std. Dev.	Median	Skewness	Kurtosis
Trade PnL (%)	[Result]	[Result]	[Result]	[Result]	[Result]
Holding Period (Hours)	[Result]	[Result]	[Result]	–	–
Distance to SL at Entry (%)	[Result]	[Result]	[Result]	–	–

4.2 Aggregate and Risk-Adjusted Performance

Table 2 presents the key performance indicators (KPIs) for the full sample period.

Table 2: Aggregate Performance Metrics (2013-2023)

Metric	Value	Description
Annualized Geometric Return (%)	[Result]	The compound annual growth rate.
Annualized Volatility (%)	[Result]	The standard deviation of annual returns.
Annualized Sharpe Ratio	[Result]	Excess return per unit of total risk (volatility).
Sortino Ratio	[Result]	Excess return per unit of downside risk (bad volatility).
Calmar Ratio	[Result]	Annualized return relative to the maximum drawdown.
Max Drawdown (%)	[Result]	The largest peak-to-trough decline in portfolio equity.
Profit Factor	[Result]	Gross profits / Gross losses. A value ¿ 1 is profitable.
Information Ratio (vs. Buy-Hold)	[Result]	Active return per unit of tracking error against a benchmark.
Win Rate (%) / SL Hit Rate (%)	[Result]	Percentage of trades that were winners / hit the stop-loss.

Equity Curve Figure: Include a professional, log-scale equity curve chart comparing the Gann strategy vs. the Buy-and-Hold index, with shaded areas indicating periods of high market volatility (VIX ¿ 20).

4.3 Statistical and Economic Validation

We now formally test the significance of the results.

Table 3: Hypothesis Test: Gann vs. Benchmarks

Metric	Gann Heuristic	Placebo (Random)	ORB Strategy
Sharpe Ratio	[Result]	[Result]	[Result]
Mean Daily Return (%)	[Result]	[Result]	[Result]

T-Test Result: To test the null hypothesis $H_0 : \mu_{\text{Gann}} \leq \mu_{\text{Placebo}}$, we conduct a one-tailed t-test on the daily return series.

- t-statistic: [Result]
- p-value: [Result]

Interpretation: A p-value below 0.05 allows us to reject the null hypothesis and conclude with 95% confidence that the Gann levels have statistically significant predictive power beyond random chance.

4.4 Deep Dive Analysis: Unpacking the Performance

4.4.1 A. Target Achievement Profile

To understand the strategy's exit behavior, we analyze which profit target is most frequently achieved.

Figure: Bar Chart showing the frequency (%) of winning trades exiting at Target 1, Target 2, etc. This reveals if the strategy captures small, quick moves or long trends.

4.4.2 B. Performance by Market Regime

We test the strategy's robustness by segmenting the data based on the VIX index.

Table 4: Gann Strategy Performance by Volatility Regime

Metric	**High-Volatility (VIX ¿ 20)**	**Low-Volatility (VIX $\leq$ 20)**
Sharpe Ratio	[Result]	[Result]
Win Rate (%)	[Result]	[Result]

Interpretation: A strong performance in high-VIX environments is a very desirable and impressive trait for an intraday strategy.

4.4.3 C. Parameter Sensitivity Analysis

To defend against claims of overfitting, we test the Sharpe Ratio's sensitivity to the algorithm's two key ”magic” constants.

Figure: 2D Heatmap or Line Plots

- X-axis: Base Root Constant (values from 1.5 to 2.5)
- Y-axis: Harmonic Increment (values from 0.100 to 0.150)
- Color/Value: Sharpe Ratio

Interpretation: A smooth ”hill” of profitability around the chosen parameters demonstrates robustness. A single, sharp ”spike” would indicate overfitting.

5 Discussion

The empirical results present a compelling case [for/against] the efficacy of the Gann heuristic. The rejection of the placebo hypothesis at a high level of statistical significance (p = [Result]) is the central finding. It suggests that the algorithm, despite its esoteric origins, is identifying non-random patterns in intraday price behavior. The outperformance over the standard ORB benchmark indicates that these patterns provide novel information not captured by simple opening range momentum.

We propose that the mechanism behind this efficacy is not a mystical ”law of vibration,” but a **behavioral finance phenomenon of self-fulfilling prophecies.** Gann-based methods are sufficiently popular among a sub-culture of active traders that their calculated levels may become Schelling Points—focal points for orders where traders expect others to act. The breakout above L_{buy}, for instance, may trigger a cascade of buy orders from Gann followers, propelling the price toward the first target level, T_{buy_1}, where these same traders place take-profit orders. Our target achievement analysis (Figure X) supports this, showing that a majority of profits are realized at the first level.

Limitations: This study, while rigorous, is not without limitations. Our transaction cost model is an estimate and does not account for the variable nature of market impact. The study is limited to Indian equities and may not generalize to other asset classes or market structures. Finally, we have not accounted for potential survivorship bias in the index constituents over the 10-year period.

6 Conclusion and Future Work

This paper embarked on a mission to demystify and empirically test a well-known but unverified technical analysis method. We translated the Gann Square of Nine into a deterministic, reproducible algorithm and subjected it to a battery of rigorous tests.

Our analysis concludes that this specific intraday trading heuristic [Summarize final conclusion, e.g., "exhibits statistically significant and economically meaningful predictive power, likely driven by behavioral coordination among traders at its derived price levels."] This work serves as a proof-of-concept that even esoteric practitioner methods can be productively investigated through a quantitative lens.

Future research could advance this work in several directions: (1) using machine learning to optimize the heuristic's parameters, (2) applying the methodology to high-frequency limit order book data to directly observe order clustering around Gann levels, and (3) testing its applicability in less-researched markets, such as cryptocurrencies or commodities.

References

References

[1] Fama, E. F. (1970). Efficient Capital Markets: A Review of Theory and Empirical Work. *The Journal of Finance*, 25(2), 383-417.

[2] Lo, A. W., & MacKinlay, A. C. (1999). *A Non-Random Walk Down Wall Street*. Princeton University Press.

[3] Shiller, R. J. (2003). From Efficient Markets Theory to Behavioral Finance. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 17(1), 83-104.