

# Chapter One

## Introduction

- Exploiting deep learning, we can extract various types of information from a data distribution.
- Extracting relevant information is useful in a large number of contexts such as story generation, targeted captioning, etc.
- Representation Learning thus far has been defined in the context of deep learning techniques but not grounded in NLP.
- De-Entanglement is a framework aimed at extracting relevant information by systematically isolating the factors of variation.
- Factors could be user defined - from attributes such as color, accent all the way upto schema or recipe stages.
- This thesis is concerned with developing tools to solve this
- Having developed these tools, show that can be useful for a downstream task as well. VQA, Audio search.
- **What is missing in the current literature** : No consensus as to which is better and why. Is there a universal? Depending upon a task of choice, is there a specific one to use? Why?

- **Core Contribution:** Attempt to move towards a taxonomy grounded in NLP, so that we can start discussion towards categorization of the approaches.
- Literature motivates the development of a method with the generality of A=self supervised approaches while incorporating prior information, like the latter. prior works have been developed largely independently without grounding in NLP. If we hope to push research and understanding of these methods forward, it is desirable to have a set of common lingua(guiding principles) and concrete, yet sufficiently general problem statement. I hope to work towards such guidelines in this thesis. ( Nature vs nurture, even humans cannot do).

## 1.1 Motivation

### Ability to extract useful information is important

Often we are faced with scenarios where we need to extract relevant information from the distribution of data. Let me elucidate this specifically in the context of generative models. There are several categories of generative models and each category has a different information requisites. In all the categories, it is essential to have explicit control over the process of content generation.

- For example, consider speech to speech translation. It is a scenario where the input and output are comparable in terms of length of content. However, We might need to focus on a particular word depending on context. Consider translating the sentence ‘John loves Mary’ into a different language. Depending on the context, the system has to be able to emphasize one of the words (It is John who is loving Mary - JOHN loves Mary vs Mary is the one being loved by John - John loves MARY).
- Imagine having to deliver content through voice media such as Alexa or Siri. It would

be nice to be able to summarize content and tell what is relevant instead of blurring out everything.

- In other cases, we need to expand. Consider any task that involves conversion of structured data such as table to unstructured such as free form text or speech. In such cases, it is useful to have a representation that embeds some sort of ‘structure’ of long form content of interest so that we can target the generative model to closely follow this structure.
- Imagine going on a space ship and the robot telling you everything is doomed. In such cases, we need to convey the content but use a speaking style that is calming and soothing. Consider speech enhancement or source separation as example tasks.

### **Challenges for controlled generation**

- Sparsity: The control factors are under specified

#### **1.1.1 De-Entanglement**

Imagine a property of a model of the world referred to as De-Entanglement. It is (currently) defined as the ability to isolate the factors of variation which perhaps were involved in the design of the world itself. It is easy to see that such a property is extremely desirable in a model. Consider kids playing with Lego toys as opposed to a static toy like a TeddyBear or a Barbie. The freedom to dismantle the structure apart and re-compose variants of it has been shown to improve creativity Gauntlett, 2014. The implications become even more apparent when we consider a real life application such as speech processing. It is extremely difficult to reason about speech in the time domain by inspecting the individual samples. However, transforming the same utterance into frequency domain by applying Fourier Transform - a process that isolates the contribution from individual frequencies - makes reasoning easier, to the point of even identification of the individual linguistic units within the utterance. In this

context, the individual frequencies and their contributions are the factors of variation in the generative process of speech data. The observation can be extended to other types of data as well. Consider spectroscopy: The ability to spectrally decompose (visible) light enables estimation of cosmic evolution of celestial bodies Keller et al., 2014. The argument presented above claims that such isolation should invariably help downstream tasks. However, this does not appear to be always true. Consider as example the task of adding two natural numbers. Perhaps an appropriate de-entanglement for a model aimed at completing this task involves Peano axioms Skolem, 1955. But we as humans have been conditioned to solve this task by cumulative addition of individual digits with appropriate carryover and not necessarily following Skolem, 1955. Similarly consider the inner workings of AlphaZero Silver et al., 2017. It is not clear if the self learning based algorithm is accomplishing an isolation of relevant factors of variation in the latent space. Moreover, there are scenarios where estimation of causal factors is intractable. In such scenarios, it appears hard to comment about performance with respect to a concept like de-entanglement.

Within the scope of my work, I am interested in investigating the extent to which isolation of factors of variation as mentioned above is plausible and useful in the context of Natural Language Processing(NLP). In this context, ‘De-Entanglement’ refers to the ability of a model to isolate the relevant causal factors of variation in the joint distribution spanned by the input and output distributions defined by the task at hand. Specifically, I am interested in answering some of the following research questions:

- What are the scenarios where de-entanglement helps solve the task?
- In cases where true, does de-entanglement help solve the task more efficiently? How is efficiency manifested? In making the model more compact? Making the algorithm faster?
- In cases where true and de-entanglement does not result in a more efficient solution, why does this happen?

- What are the scenarios where de-entanglement cannot help solve the problem? Is it due to probabilities becoming too miniscule? Is it because the calculations seem implausible given the current compute?
- In cases where de-entanglement cannot be applied but seems reasonable, can we reformulate the problem or task so that we can apply de-entanglement?
- Are there cases where de-entanglement hurts the model? Does it do so by limiting the expressivity of models? Are there any model blindspots in these scenarios?
- Why is de-entanglement preferable? Is it since it avoids adversarial attacks?
- What are some of the challenges for de-entanglement? What is difficult about it? sparsity? lack of ability to identify the factors of variation? example: sentiment analysis
- What are the approaches to accomplish de-entanglement?

## 1.2 Organization of Thesis

In this thesis, I propose to extract the following types of information from the distribution of data:

- Content. In this thesis, I specifically focus on acoustic phonetic content. I show examples from Acoustic Unit Discovery and Source separation.
- Style. In this thesis, I focus on paralinguistic style of an utterance. I show examples from sleepiness detection, valence and arousal detection. I apply the findings to code mixed speech synthesis.
- Structure. I specifically concern myself with prosodic structure of an utterance. I present examples from image captioning and emphasis based text to speech.

# Chapter Two

## Conclusion

In this thesis, I present an argument for De-Entanglement: a property that has potential to isolate the factors of variation in the data distribution. I am interested in knowing if explicitly isolating relevant factors using such an approach is helpful with respect to downstream tasks. I first highlight three different approaches to accomplish ‘De-Entanglement’. I then present one case study per approach to investigate the importance of such an approach. I conclude by arguing that while this serves as a neat framework to build systems, such an approach might not always be applicable or necessary.