

# Nepali Sentiment Analysis of Post-COVID Data

Using XLNet for Text Classification

---

Amulya Bhandari   Sailesh Dahal   Sarayu Gautam   Tohfa Niraula

May 2, 2025

Department of Computer Engineering  
Kathmandu University

# Outline

Introduction

Problem Statement

Dataset Description

Data Preprocessing

Tokenization and Encoding

Model Architecture

Training Pipeline

Results

Conclusion

# Introduction

---

# What is Sentiment Analysis?

- Sentiment analysis classifies text based on emotion or opinion (Tripathi, 2021).
- Categories:
  - Positive — praise, approval
  - Neutral — factual
  - Negative — criticism, disapproval
- Applications:
  - Social media monitoring
  - Product reviews
  - Survey analysis

# Problem Statement

---

# What Are We Solving?

- Goal: Classify Nepali-language text into sentiment categories.
- Motivation:
  - Nepali is underrepresented in NLP.
  - Enhance Accessibility for Nepali Language.
- Objectives:
  1. Clean and preprocess post-COVID Nepali data.
  2. Train a multilingual BERT model.
  3. Evaluate performance using real-world test data.

# Dataset Description

---

# About the Dataset

- Source: Nepali COVID/post-COVID text samples (Acharya, 2022; Basnet, 2022; Ojha, 2023; Pudasaini, 2021).
- Total Samples:
  - Training: 33,602 samples
  - Testing: 8,401 samples
- Labels: 0 = Negative, 1 = Positive, 2 = Neutral
- Common issues:
  - Invalid labels ('o', '-', etc.)
  - Missing values and noisy characters

	text	label
0	कोभिड बारे हालसम्मको विकास क्रम	0
1	नेताहरु भ्रष्टाचार गर्छन जनताको छोराछोरी बिदेश...	0
2	गौतमबुद्ध अन्तराष्ट्रिए क्रिकेट स्टेडिएमको नराम...	1
3	दाइ हजुरको भिउज किन कम आज भोली	0
4	कोभिड नेपालमा जिडिपीको प्रतिशतसम्म क्षति हुनसक्ने	0

**Figure 1:** Data Sample



# Data Preprocessing

---

# Data Cleaning Steps

Steps we took:

1. Removed missing and malformed data.
2. Filtered invalid labels.
3. Tokenized using XLM-Roberta tokenizer.
4. Truncated inputs to max length of 256 tokens.

Result: Clean, structured datasets ready for training/testing.

	text
count	33602.000000
mean	30.863490
std	21.971628
min	1.000000
25%	15.000000
50%	25.000000
75%	43.000000
max	1428.000000

Figure 2: Data Length

# Tokenization and Encoding

---

# Tokenizing with XLM-Roberta

- XLM-Roberta tokenizer is multilingual and supports Nepali language (Conneau et al., 2020).
- Key features of `tokenizer.batch_encode_plus`:
  - Automatically handles padding and truncation for consistent input length.
  - Generates:
    - `input_ids`: Numerical representation of tokens.
    - `attention_mask`: Identifies non-padded tokens for focus.
- Attention masks ensure the model processes only relevant tokens. It tells the model which sentence is real and which is padding.

# Understanding Tokenization and Encoding

- Tokenizing is like chopping a sentence into Lego blocks that the model knows.
- Encoding is turning those blocks into numbers so the model can do math with them.
- Why It Matters:
  - The model sees only numbers.
  - Tokenization keeps input size fixed (e.g., max\_length=256). Long texts are trimmed, short ones are padded.

# Tokenization Example

## Padded Tokenization Output (max\_length = 12)

Step	Output
Input Sentence	सरकारले अस्पतालमा निःशुल्क उपचार उपलब्ध गरायो।
Tokens	['_सरकारले', '__अस्पतालमा', '__नि', 'ः', 'शुल्क', '__उपचार', '__उपलब्ध', '__गरायो', '।', '[PAD]', '[PAD]', '[PAD]']
Token IDs	[25033, 149007, 946, 6, 153794, 26409, 38071, 87995, 4, 1, 1, 1]
Attention Mask	[1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0]

- The model doesn't understand text. It only understands numbers.
- Tokenizer breaks the sentence into subwords it knows (like 'नि', 'ः', 'शुल्क' for 'निःशुल्क').
- The tokenizer assigns a unique ID to each known token.
- These token IDs are what get passed to the model as input.

# Model Architecture

---

## XLM-Roberta Model Details

Transformers process all words at the same time (not one-by-one like RNN) (Vaswani et al., 2023).

Structure:

- XLM-R supports multiple languages, including Nepali, out of the box (Conneau et al., 2020).
- Fine-tuning was performed to adapt the model's top layers specifically for sentiment classification (Devlin et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2019).
- Produces probabilities for three sentiment classes: Negative, Positive, and Neutral.

Trained on: PyTorch with mixed precision (autocast enabled) (Goyal et al., 2020; Paszke et al., 2019)



# Model Usage Example

"यो उत्पादन उत्कृष्ट छ।"

Tokenized Input:

- Tokens: [CLS] यो उत्पादन उत्कृष्ट छ । [SEP]
- Converted to input\_ids: [101, 2345, 5678, 9101, 1123, 102] (Note: These are example token IDs.)

Model Output (Softmax Probabilities):

- Negative: 0.05
- Neutral : 0.10
- Positive: 0.85

Prediction: → Positive sentiment


---

- [CLS] and [SEP] are special tokens used by BERT-like models (e.g., XLM-Roberta).
- input\_ids are the numerical representation of tokens.
- The model outputs a probability score for each class.
- The highest score (0.85 for Positive) becomes the final prediction.

# Training Pipeline

---

# Main Workflow Steps



**Figure 3:** Workflow Steps


Implementation using PyTorch (Paszke et al., 2019) and Transformers library (Wolf et al., 2020)

# Data Loader Creation




**Figure 4:** Data Loader Creation

# Model Setup




**Figure 5:** Model Setup

# Training Loop



**Figure 6:** Training Loop

# Deployment and Evaluation




**Figure 7:** Deployment

## Results

---



# Loss and Accuracy Over Epochs



**Figure 8:** Loss and Accuracy

## Test Set Evaluation Metrics

Label	Precision	Recall	F1-score
Negative (0)	0.80	0.74	0.77
Positive (1)	0.78	0.83	0.80
Neutral (2)	0.52	0.52	0.52
Overall Accuracy	<b>74.0%</b>		

### Key Insights:

- High precision/recall for Positive/Negative.
- Neutral class more ambiguous → lower performance.

# Model Performance on Test Set




Figure 9: Confusion Matrix

	prec	recall	f1	support
Neg (0)	0.80	0.74	0.77	3282
Pos (1)	0.78	0.83	0.80	3725
Neut (2)	0.52	0.52	0.52	1394
accuracy			0.74	8401
macro avg	0.70	0.70	0.70	8401
weighted avg	0.74	0.74	0.74	8401

Table 1: Classification Report

# Sample Predictions on Unseen Data




Figure 10: Sample Prediction

## Conclusion

---

## Conclusion and Future Work

### Key Takeaways:

- Trained a sentiment classifier on Nepali-language text using XLM-Roberta (Conneau et al., 2020; Timilsina et al., 2022).
- Achieved 74% of overall accuracy.
- Strong performance on binary sentiment; neutral remains challenging.

### Future Improvements:

1. Larger or augmented datasets.
2. Additional validation set for tuning.
3. Model deployment as an API/web service (Ramírez, 2018).

# Thank You!

Questions or feedback?

Project Resources:

GitHub: [github.com/saileshbro/ai-proj](https://github.com/saileshbro/ai-proj)

We appreciate your time and attention!

## References

---



Acharya, S. M. (2022). **Nepali sentiment analysis dataset.**



Basnet, A. (2022). **Nepali sentiment dataset.**



Conneau, A., Khandelwal, K., Goyal, N., Chaudhary, V., Wenzek, G., Guzmán, F., Grave, E., Ott, M., Zettlemoyer, L., & Stoyanov, V. (2020, July).

**Unsupervised cross-lingual representation learning at scale.** In D. Jurafsky, J. Chai, N. Schluter, & J. Tetreault (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 58th annual meeting of the association for computational linguistics* (pp. 8440–8451). Association for Computational Linguistics. <https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2020.acl-main.747>





Devlin, J., Chang, M.-W., Lee, K., & Toutanova, K. (2019, June). **BERT: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding.** In J. Burstein, C. Doran, & T. Solorio (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 2019 conference of the north American chapter of the association for computational linguistics: Human language technologies, volume 1 (long and short papers)* (pp. 4171–4186). Association for Computational Linguistics.  
<https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/N19-1423>



Goyal, P., Micikevicius, P., Narang, S., & Alben, J. (2020). **Mixed precision training with 16-bit arithmetic boosts deep learning performance.**



Liu, Y., Ott, M., Goyal, N., Du, J., Joshi, M., Chen, D., Levy, O., Lewis, M., Zettlemoyer, L., & Stoyanov, V. (2019). **Roberta: A robustly optimized bert pretraining approach.**



Loshchilov, I., & Hutter, F. (2019). **Decoupled weight decay regularization.**  
<https://arxiv.org/abs/1711.05101>



Ojha, A. (2023). **Nepali sentiment analysis.**

<https://doi.org/10.34740/KAGGLE/DS/2829619>



Paszke, A., Gross, S., Massa, F., Lerer, A., Bradbury, J., Chanan, G., Killeen, T., Lin, Z., Gimelshein, N., Antiga, L., Desmaison, A., Köpf, A., Yang, E., DeVito, Z., Raison, M., Tejani, A., Chilamkurthy, S., Steiner, B., Fang, L., ... Chintala, S. (2019). **Pytorch: An imperative style, high-performance deep learning library.** <https://arxiv.org/abs/1912.01703>



Pudasaini, S. (2021). **Nepalisentiment dataset.**



Ramírez, S. (2018). **Fastapi: Fastapi framework, high performance, easy to learn, fast to code, ready for production.** <https://fastapi.tiangolo.com/>



Timilsina, S., Gautam, M., & Bhattarai, B. (2022). **NepBERTa: Nepali language model trained in a large corpus.** *Proceedings of the 2nd Conference of the Asia-Pacific Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 12th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing*, 273–284.  
<https://aclanthology.org/2022.aacl-short.34>



Tripathi, M. (2021). **Sentiment analysis of nepali covid19 tweets using nb, svm and lstm.** *Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Capsule Networks*, 3, 151–168.  
<https://doi.org/10.36548/jaicn.2021.3.001>



Vaswani, A., Shazeer, N., Parmar, N., Uszkoreit, J., Jones, L., Gomez, A. N., Kaiser, L., & Polosukhin, I. (2023). **Attention is all you need.**  
<https://arxiv.org/abs/1706.03762>



Wolf, T., Debut, L., Sanh, V., Chaumond, J., Delangue, C., Moi, A., Cistac, P., Rault, T., Louf, R., Funtowicz, M., Davison, J., Shleifer, S., von Platen, P., Ma, C., Jernite, Y., Plu, J., Xu, C., Le Scao, T., Gugger, S., ... Rush, A. (2020, October). **Transformers: State-of-the-art natural language processing.** In Q. Liu & D. Schlangen (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 2020 conference on empirical methods in natural language processing: System demonstrations* (pp. 38–45). Association for Computational Linguistics.  
<https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2020.emnlp-demos.6>