# **Experimental Method - Planning**

December 20, 2017

WONG, SAI MAN TIGERSTRÖM, GABRIEL

# **Contents**

1	$\mathbf{E}\mathbf{x}\mathbf{p}$	erime	nt Method – Planning	1
	1.1	Introd	luction	1
		1.1.1	Background	1
		1.1.2	Problem	2
		1.1.3	Hypothesis	3
		1.1.4	Purpose	3
		1.1.5	Goal	3
		1.1.6	Social Benefit, Ethics and Sustainable Development	4
	1.2	Metho	od	5
		1.2.1	Inductive and Deductive Method	5
		1.2.2	Quantitative and Qualitative Method	5
		1.2.3	Data Collection	5
		1.2.4	Data Analysis	6
	1.3	System	m Description	7
		1.3.1	System Development Method	7
		1.3.2	Queue Algorithms	7
		1.3.3	Experimental Setup	10
$\mathbf{A}_{\mathtt{J}}$	ppen	dices		12
$\mathbf{A}$	Req	<sub>l</sub> uirem	ent Analysis	13
Bi	bliog	graphy		15

## Chapter 1

# **Experiment Method – Planning**

#### 1.1 Introduction

A researcher plan in advance to conduct a successful and credible scientific work. However, students and engineers occasionally carelessly approach the planning phase. As a man har förstått result, researchers waste time to redo the changes due to poor design and execution. Students and engineers lack a more profound knowledge of the scientific method to produce high-quality work. That is, science emphasizes the importance of theoretical knowledge har studerat hur and its application in practice. Thus, in our study, we used the scientific method to liknande evaluate algorithms with a rigorous, honest and transparent method. (tidigare) studier

#### 1.1.1 Background

A data structure represents a model to store data methodically [1]. Software engineers use queues in software development to manage arbitrary entities in a first-in-first-out (FIFO) order. For example, developers use a queue to develop a memory buffer or scheduling in an operating system.

Higher level programming languages often provide a standardized library with complete implementations, such as a queue. Software developers use these implementations frequently, and sometimes take the underlying architecture for granted. Thus, an inexperienced developer possesses a limited knowledge of the theory and technical aspects of the used implementations.

Developers use the data structure, or model, linked list to store data linearly. The simple linked list consists of entities. An entity stores data and includes a connection to the next entity in the list. Computer scientist and developers refer the entity as a node or an element, and the connection as a pointer. That is an element stores data and consists of a pointer to the next element in the list. Thus, developers frequently use linked list implementations to store and manage data linearly, for example, in a queue

1. En ordentlig litteraturstudie sa man har förstått hur algoritmerna fungerar och man har studerat hur liknande (tidigare) studier genomförts - som man kan låna idéer från t.ex. hur man kan designa experiment.

implementation.

#### 1.1.2 **Problem**

#### 2. Enkel

studeras Vilka practice. parametrar beror

Förstudie, där du An engineer must learn the skill to apply the scientific method in practice. Later on, visar att du: possess the ability to contribute to further development and exploration within the Förstått scientific community. Also, a software engineer needs to grasp the basics of features in uppgiften an API<sup>1</sup>-library. However, developers sometimes use these library features in projects Förstått systemet and take these algorithms for granted to behave in a specific manner. Thus, we applied som skall the scientific method rigorously to evaluate algorithms in depth, both in theory and

systemet av, vilka Our task was to evaluate four variations of a list-based data structure to represent a kan varieras? Hur queue [2]. Each element compromises of a number to represent its priority in the queue. beror For clarification, an element with a low number represents higher priority, because the parametrarna av element lies closer to the present time and therefore ready for execution. We implemented varandra? the queues in the low-level programming language C, and the following list presents the implementation specifications:

- 1. Singly linked list Insertion of new elements takes place in the head.
- 2. **Doubly linked list** Insertion of new elements takes place in the rear.
- 3. Doubly linked list Insertion of new elements takes place based on the mean of the first and last element's priority.
  - In the head The new element's priority is higher than the mean, that is, numerical value < mean value.
  - In the rear The new element's priority is lower than the mean, that is, numerical value  $\geq$  mean value.
- 4. Scheduling queue The queue consists of an FIFO-queue for each priority in the [0, 40] interval.

Computer scientists evaluate algorithms regarding space and time, such as execution time and memory usage. That is, we gather a large quantity of these metrics to determine best, average and worst cases. Thus, we asked ourselves: "Do these queues achieve similar performance, or does the performance vary depending on the circumstance?"

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>API – Application Programming Interface

#### 1.1. INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1.3 Hypothesis

A queue either enqueues or dequeues elements with a FIFO policy. Because of the FIFO policy, we assumed with a correct implementation and theory, the dequeue- operation take  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  time. However, the enqueue-operation becomes more sensitive to an element's priority, because the algorithm inserts each element in the correct spot in the queue. Thus, the implementations perform differently because of priority distribution. For example, the implementation reaches  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  time if the algorithm traverses through the entire list to enqueue an element.

The following list describes our hypotheses:

- The dequeue-operation for all the queues takes  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  time.
- The enqueue-operation for all the queues takes  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  time.

#### 1.1.4 Purpose

Our purpose was to raise awareness of the features in standardized libraries, which resultat- och developers and engineers often take for granted. Thus, we applied the scientific method effektmål Fråga to conduct experiments and evaluate four versions of a priority queue implementation. dig vad syftet Consequently, we wanted to produce a credible and scientific work, so that other students med en and engineers can learn from and further develop.

#### 1.1.5 Goal

The bigger goal was to attain deeper knowledge of the scientific method, and apply the kan användas. method to evaluate four queue-implementations. However, the following list demonstrates the sub-goals:

- Apply the scientific method to plan, design, experiment, document, analyze and present the findings.
- Grasp the importance of scientific method.
- Conduct a reproducible study.
- Attain the ability to present, analyze and draw conclusions from experiments, both in theory and practice.
- Implement queues correctly, that is, verify its behavior and validate the data.
- Create tests to generate the execution time and memory usage from the algorithms.

1. Identifiera resultat- och effektmål Fråga dig vad syftet med en experimentell utvärdering av ett antal algoritmer kan vara och hur en sådan studie kan användas.

6. När har de mål som identifierats nåtts? När är experimenten färdiga?

#### 1.1.6 Social Benefit, Ethics and Sustainable Development

Our study influence students and engineers to apply the scientific method more with a more serious approach. Also, the study facilitates the process for software engineers to choose an implementation of a queue, which fits their specific purpose. Finally, we delve into the foundations of algorithms, data structure, and complexity theory. Thus, our work helps students and engineers to acquire a deeper comprehension of computer science.

Within science, a researcher undertakes a moral responsibility to conduct a reproducible work with honesty and transparency. However, sometimes scientists encounter difficult circumstances, which limits their ability to produce a reproducible work. For example, due to economic and practical reasons, such as expensive hardware. We documented each step and presented findings with rigor, honesty, and transparency. That is, we encourage students to reproduce our findings.

We experimented with a virtual machine on Microsoft's cloud platform. Thus, we used the exact quantity of resources needed to conduct the experiments. That is, we avoided to waste additional electricity due to, for example, system idle.

#### 1.2 Method

#### 1.2.1 Inductive and Deductive Method

Scientists apply an inductive method to reach practical conclusions from observations or att baseras på data [3]. That is, in sequential order to 1) collect data, 2) detect the pattern in the data, (kvalitativa, 3) set up a hypothesis and 4) explain the findings with the established theory to reach kvanitativa, 5) a conclusion [4]. The method is sometimes informally called a bottom-up approach induktiva, due to its style to first observe data. Software engineers frequently apply an inductive deduktiva, mfl.) method to observe algorithms and a system's behavior. For example, a developer put in a specific input in the implementation and generate an output to observe the algorithm's performance.

With the deductive method, also called top-down approach, scientists reach logical conclusions from a theory first. For example, mathematicians use a deductive method to explain the unknown with the help of established theory (axioms and theorems). A deductive method also applies to researchers within computer science. That is, computer scientists use mathematical notations to represent theoretical models in, for example, algorithm analysis and complexity theory. Thus, researchers use these methods for a variety of purposes and sometimes combine them to reach both a logical and practical conclusion.

#### 1.2.2 Quantitative and Qualitative Method

Researchers apply a quantitative method in studies, which rely on measurable data in large quantities to explain findings [5]. That is, a quantitative study uses measurable metrics, such as time, temperature and age. These studies apply statistical analysis to summarize the data in tables and graphs with error margins. For example, scientists use a quantitative method to predict a nation's growth based on the birth and death rate over a more extended period.

In contrast, a qualitative method relies on data of quality to interpret the results [?]. Scientists use a qualitative method in studies when the data is significantly more difficult to quantify, such as opinions, thoughts, and happiness. The researchers conduct, for example, interviews and surveys, to collect data from individuals. Thus, psychologists often implement a qualitative method to examine people's behavior.

#### 1.2.3 Data Collection

We used quantifiable metrics in our study. That is, execution time and memory usage because computer scientists commonly apply these for algorithm och complexity analysis. In contrast to, for example, interviews and surveys, which the researchers within social

3. Identifiera vilken/vilka typer av metoder studien kommer att baseras på (kvalitativa, kvanitativa, induktiva, deduktiva, mfl.)

sciences regularly use in qualitative studies [3, 5]. That is, qualitative studies reach conclusions from the quality of the data. Thus, our study uses an experimental quantitative method to reach a broader insight into data structures and queue implementations.

For each of the four queue-implementations, we tested and generated the execution time for the best, average and worst case. That is, we created input for the queues with varying distributions of the element's priorities. Besides execution time, we log the memory usage for each implementation. Finally, we wrote scripts to execute the tests and logged the raw data.

#### 1.2.4 Data Analysis

We reasoned with a deductive method to explore the theory within computer science, such as algorithm complexity theory. That is, we analyzed the algorithms with the help of established complexity theory. For example, to determine an algorithm's performance based solely on theoretical knowledge. However, the usage of only a deductive methods can yield unexpected results when put into practice. Thus, we generate realistic data from our experiments and use an inductive method to analyze the algorithms further. That is, to explore if we can notice observable patterns in the data with the help of complexity models.

We used both graphs and tables to visualize the execution time and memory usage. Also, ran the experiments multiple times to generate the sample mean and standard deviation. The standard deviation adds a deeper insight into the data and spread around the mean. Also, it helps us to identify the external or internal error sources. If the standard deviation is relatively high, then we can suspect that something is wrong with, for example, the underlying system.

### 1.3 System Description

#### 1.3.1 System Development Method

Our implementation produces an executable for each of the four queue-implementations. The experiment consists of primarily three files, main.c with the tests, queues.h and queues.c which respectively describes and implements the functionalities of the queue. Finally, we used compile-time macros to determine the executable to produce based on the implementations.

We used Bash-scripts to run tests and log the results in plain text automatically. Also, we developed a Python-script, which 1) calculated the sample mean and standard deviation, and 2) summarized and visualized the data with tables and graphs in LATEX. Finally, we used Valgrind on our implementations to examine memory allocation and deallocation.

We added an optional solution to run the entire experiment in a container. That is, if anyone wants to run the entire experiment, and spend minimum time on the setup process, then the user only has to install Docker and execute a command to achieve the similar results we produced. Docker containers introduce a practical approach to package software because containers use the same resources as the host operating system.

#### 1.3.2 Queue Algorithms

Our task is to implement four different queue-implementation in the programming lanstudie av guage C, as described in Section 1.1.2. The following are standard operations for a algoritmerna så queue:

- Enqueue enqueues the element in the correct spot in the priority queue.
- **Dequeue** dequeues the element with the highest priority, that is, the first element in the queue.

The queues approach to enqueue varies for the implementations, because the algorithm experiment för traverses and inserts the new element in the correct position in the queue. Each element att testa stores at least 1) a unique number identifier of the data type integer, 2) a priority number bästa/värsta fall. of the data type double and 3) a pointer to refer to additional elements.

The following sections describe our implementation of the queues. Additionally, we analyzed the time complexity for the enqueue-operation. We found the average case time Beskriv vilka complexity more challenging to analyze compared to the best and worst case theoretically. Thus, we examined the best and worst cases in theory to interpret the average skall utföras och case for each implementation's enqueue-operation.

Experimentplan Beskriv vilka experiment som skall utföras och varför? (OBS!

2. En analytisk studie av algoritmerna så man förstår hur de fungerar och kan identifiera när de fungerar som bäst/sämst - något som ligger till grund för hur man kan designa experiment för att testa bästa/värsta fall.

4. Experimentplan
Beskriv vilka
experiment som
skall utföras och
varför? (OBS!
detta är en
central del och du
behöver inte ha
detaljkunskap om
implementationen
av algoritmerna
för att kunna
planera detta!)

Beskriv vad som skall mätas och

hur?

#### Singly Linked List

This queue implementation uses a singly linked list to represent a queue. That is, an element in the queue stores 1) a unique number identifier, 2) a priority and 3) a pointer to the next element in the queue. The algorithm enqueues new elements from head. The following list analyzes the enqueue-operation's time complexity:

- Best Case  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  The best case occurs when the enqueue-operation of new elements always takes place in the head. That is, the algorithm avoids to traverse the list to find the correct spot to insert the new element. On the other hand, the queue needs only to perform one operation to put the new element in the head. Thus, we can reach the best case with a distribution of priorities where the numerical value descends from a higher one.
- Worst Case  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  The worst case happens when the implementation traverse through the entire list, or n-times, to insert the new element in the correct place. Thus, the implementation reaches the worst case scenario with priority distribution of the same numerical value, because the queue uses FIFO policy to manage elements of the same priority.

#### Doubly Linked List

This algorithm uses a doubly linked list data structure. An element stores 1) a unique number identifier, 2) priority and 3-4) two pointers that connect to the next and previous element in the queue. The enqueue-operation of new elements takes place in the rear. The following examines the time complexity of the algorithm:

- Best Case  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  The algorithm achieves the best case when the priority distribution consists of the same number because the queue applies FIFO to manage elements of the same priority. Thus, it requires only one operation to insert the new element.
- Worst Case  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  The queue reaches the worst case when the priority's numerical value continually descends from a higher one. Thus, the algorithm traverses the list from the rear n-times to insert the new element correctly.

#### Doubly Linked List with Modification

This queue is similar to the previous section's implementation. That is an element stores the same properties as the last one. However, for this algorithm, the insertion of new elements takes place in either the head or rear. The enqueue-operation depends on the average of the first and last element in the list. The following list demonstrates the enqueue rules of the modified version:

• In the head – New element has a higher priority than the mean value, that is, numerical value < mean value.

#### 1.3. SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

 In the rear – New element has a lower or equal priority to the mean value, that is, numerical value ≥ mean value.

We analyzed the best and worst case for this implementation. The following summarizes the analysis:

- Best Case  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  For the best case, we can either design the distribution of priorities to take place in either head or rear. For example, let us say that we create a distribution of the same priority. The average will always be the same as the new element's priority. Thus, the insertion for each of new elements takes place in the rear.
- Worst Case  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  To achieve the worst case, we must traverse through the list for each insertion of a new element. It is achievable if the queue, for example, first enqueues two elements with significantly differing priorities. One with a high priority, and another with significantly lower priority. The average will skew towards the lower priority, that is, a notably high numerical value. Thus, if for each new element, it receives a lower priority than the previous one, then the insertion takes place in the head and traverses (n-1)-times to insert new element correctly in the queue.

#### Scheduling Queue

This queue uses a static list, which consists of 41 pointers. These entries represent the priority in the [0, 40] interval, and each pointer connects to an FIFO-queue. A singly linked list represent each of the FIFO-queues, as described in Section 1.3.2. The following list presents the time complexity for the best and worst case:

• Best Case  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  – The algorithm achieve the best time complexity if we distribute the elements equally in the queue. The simplest distribution enqueues elements from highest to the lowest priority and resets from lowest. That is, from priority 0 to 40, and then start over again from priority 0. However, when the implementation starts over from priority 0, the enqueue operation still has to traverse through the FIFO-queues to insert the new elements correctly. For example, if we assume that the elements are distributed equally, we can represent the running time as:

$$1+\frac{n}{41}$$

The one represents the cost to access the priority queue. The rest represents the cost to traverse through the list.

• Worst Case  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  – The algorithm achieves the same worst case the singly linked list, which we described in Section 1.3.2. That is, the implementation traverse n-times for a priority distribution of the same priority.

#### 1.3.3 **Experimental Setup**

4. Beskriv

experimentupp- We conducted the experiments on a Microsoft Azure virtual private server (VPS). We stälningen used a VPS service because we gain full access to the machine. The system consisted of a 64-bit architecture virtual CPU with two cores (Intel(R) Xeon(R) CPU E5-2673 v4 @ 5. Experimentup- 2.30GHz), 8 GB memory and Ubuntu 16.04.3 LTS (Xenial Xerus) operating system.

pställning Vad

### behövs för Error Sources

resurser? (beskriv dem i någorlunda detalj) Vilka osäkerhets/felkällor finns? Hur stor inverkan kan de ha på resultaten och hur hanterar du det? Vad

Error sources are important to take into account as these can influence the results of the study. That is, if we identify error sources early in the planning phase, we can then mitigate unexpected behaviors and results. Software and computational research depend heavily on the underlying system, both hardware, and software. Thus, we run the experiments multiple times and calculate statistical features, such as mean and standard deviation for the sample. Standard deviation is relevant because we can then identify how the execution time varies around the mean. A large standard deviation indicates, for example, that another process a significant quantity of resources.

behöver implementeras? En plan för implementationen.

Another error source comes from poor design and implementation of models. Thus, we must grasp and follow scientific method rigorously, verify and validate the implementations. For example, a developer wastes time to debug an implementation due to poor design. Furthermore, especially in a relatively low-level programming language, such as

Plan för hur du skall verifiera/validera/testa<sup>C</sup>. experimentupp-

ställningen

Valet av

#### Verification and Validation

3. Utreda hur man kan mäta det man avser mäta. I det här fallet handlar det primärt om hur man mäter tid.

We developed a test case to verify the implementation' correctness, specifically the dequeue-operation to insert new elements at the correct position in the queue. Our Python script generates an input- and output file. The former consists of elements of randomized priorities and the output file contain the elements in correct order. Finally, we used the input and output files in our implementations to verify its correctness. We used Valgrind and verified the memory allocation and deallocation of our implementa-

mätmetod har en stor inverkan på hur man kan designa experimenten. Man bör också

We developed tests for the best, average and worst cases to validate its behavior. That is, we analyzed the running time and complexity theoretically. Also, used statistical analysis and complexity theory to validate the algorithms. Finally, we examined the edge cases, for example, an empty or a list containing only one element.

validera noggranheten hos mätmetoden(erna)

> 6. Designa och beskriva hur experiment och algoritmer skall

> > valideras.

10

#### 1.3. SYSTEM DESCRIPTION

Table 1.1. Experiment parameters for each implementation and test case

Iterations	50	
Elements	10 000 – 60 000 (multiples of 5000)	

#### **Experiments**

To test the best, average and worst case, we created a variety of priority distributions beskriv de as input based on our complexity analysis of our algorithms. The analysis takes place in experiment som Section 1.3.2. We did not analyze the time complexity for the average case theoretically. skall imple-However, we assumed the average case somewhere lies between the best and worst case. menteras/utföras. Thus, We randomly assigned priorities in [0,40] interval to the elements in the average case experiments. Finally, Table 1.1 presents the remaining parameters we used for each test case.

5. Designa och

The following list summarizes the element's priority distribution, where N, p and nrepresent a set of elements, a numerical priority and the number of elements, respectively:

#### • Singly linked list

- Best case - Each element's priority increases linearly, for example,

$$N = \left\{ p_1, (p-1)_2, (p-2)_3, (p-3)_4, ..., (p-n)_n \mid p \ge n \ge 0 \right\}$$

- Worst case - Each element's priority is the same, for example,

$$N = \left\{ p_n \,\middle|\, p \ge 0, \ n \ge 0 \right\}$$

#### • Doubly linked list

- Best case - Each element's priority is the same, for example,

$$N = \left\{ p_n \,\middle|\, p \ge 0, \, n \ge 0 \right\}$$

- Worst case - Each element's priority increases linearly, for example,

$$N = \left\{ p_1, (p-1)_2, (p-2)_3, (p-3)_4, \dots, (p-n)_n \mid p \ge n \ge 0 \right\}$$

#### • Doubly linked list with modification

- Best case - Each element's priority is the same, for example,

$$N = \left\{ p_n \,\middle|\, p \ge 0, \, n \ge 0 \right\}$$

 Worst case – Insert two elements with significant difference in priority to skew the average. Then, linearly decrease the priority from one with the highest one, for example:

$$N = \left\{ p_1, (p*2)_2, (p+1)_3, (p+2)_4, (p+3)_5, \dots, (p+n-2)_{(n-2)} \mid p \ge n \ge 3 \right\}$$

#### • Scheduling queue

- Best case - The element's priority is equally distributed, for example,

$$N = \left\{ ((p+1) \bmod 41)_1, ((p+2) \bmod 41)_2, ..., ((p+n) \bmod 41)_n \mid 0 \le p \le 40, \ n \ge 0 \right\}$$

- Worst case - Each element's priority is the same, for example,

$$N = \left\{ p_n \,\middle|\, p \ge 0, \, n \ge 0 \right\}$$

## Appendix A

# **Requirement Analysis**

In [6], the author describes that a requirement analysis is a summary of all the requirement the researcher can find. The author clearly states that this document is *not* about how one should implement something to achieve a specific requirement. On the contrary, it should only cover the meaning of the requirement. Thus, we need to set up requirements that describes how to pass the task about the scientific method, specifically experimental quantitative method, as shown in Table A.1.

Table A.1. Requirement analysis about the scientific method

Requirement	Requirement	Name or source	Clarified description of the	Fulfilled or not
number	type	rame of bource	requirement, followed by what	fulfilled or
number	type		should be fulfilled	
-	3.5			partly fulfilled
1	Must	Purpose [7]	Ask good questions and	Fulfilled (??)
	mandatory		identify the purpose. That is,	
	task		why is it necessary to conduct	
			an experimental evaluation of	
			algorithms, and what is the	
			application of it. The specific	
			task description is described	
			in [2].	
2	Must	Prestudy [7]	Demonstrate an	Fulfilled ??
	mandatory		understanding about the task	
	task		and field of study. Also,	
			identify which parameters to	
			use, how these can depend on	
			and vary from each other	
3	Must	Methods [7]	Identify which scientific	Fulfilled ??
	mandatory		method(s) to use. For	
	task		example, quantitative,	
			qualitative, deductive and/or	
			inductive method	

### APPENDIX A. REQUIREMENT ANALYSIS

4	Must	Experimental	Identify experiments to	Fulfilled ??
	mandatory	Plan [7]	conduct. Describe why these	
	task		are relevant. Examine metrics	
			to measure and elaborate on	
			why these are relevant to this	
			study.	
5	Must	Experimental	Describe in details the	Fulfilled ??
	mandatory	Setup [7]	resources needed. Identify	
	task		and examine the influence of	
			error sources, both external	
			and internal ones. Set up an	
			implementation, verification	
			and validation plan.	
5	Must	Goals [7]	Demonstrate how to	Fulfilled ??
	mandatory		determine when a goal is	
	task		reached and an experiment is	
			completed.	
6	Must	Communication	Communicate and summarize	Fulfilled
	mandatory	Part I [7]	the discussions of requirement	
	task		1-5 (Appendix A) in a	
			document and hand it in to	
			the mentor/supervisor	
7	Must	Experimental	Follow the discussed	
	mandatory	Execution	requirements 1-5, or only 6, to	
	task	[7, 2]	conduct the experiments on a	
			real system. And, regularly	
			document the findings with	
			honesty and transparency.	
8	Must	Communication	Summarize the entire work	
	mandatory	Part II [8]	and its requirements, that is	
	task		1-7, in a smaller version of a	
			technical report. Use the	
			IMRAD-structure	
			(Introduction, Method,	
			Results, and Discussion). It is	
			a common communication	
			structure in scientific reports.	

# **Bibliography**

- [1] P. Deshpande and O. Kakde, C & Data Structures, ser. Charles River Media Computer Engineering. Charles River Media, 2004.
- [2] R. Rönngren. Uppgift läsåret 15/16 | ingenjörskunskap och ingenjörsrollen ICT (II1304) | KTH. [Online]. Available: https://www.kth.se/social/course/II1304/page/uppgift-lasaret-1516/ [Accessed: 2015-12-08]
- [3] R. Rönngren. Om experimentell och vetenskaplig metodik.pdf. [Online]. Available: https://www.kth.se/social/files/562ce17af2765431e02db7c3/Om% 20experimentell%20och%20vetenskaplig%20metodik.pdf [Accessed: 2017-11-28]
- [4] W. M. Trochim. (2006) Deduction and induction. [Online]. Available: http://www.socialresearchmethods.net/kb/dedind.php [Accessed: 2015-12-08]
- [5] A. Håkansson, "Portal of research methods and methodologies for research projects and degree projects," in *The 2013 World Congress in Computer Science, Computer Engineering, and Applied Computing WORLDCOMP 2013; Las Vegas, Nevada, USA, 22-25 July.* CSREA Press USA, 2013, pp. 67–73.
- [6] R. Rönngren. Enkel kravanalys/kravsammanställning. [Online]. Available: https://www.kth.se/social/course/II1304/page/kravanalys/ [Accessed: 2015-12-08]
- [7] R. Rönngren. Å3 experimentell metod planering. [Online]. Available: https://kth.instructure.com/courses/2502/assignments/11804?module\_item\_id=33137 [Accessed: 2017-11-29]
- [8] R. Rönngren. Å3 experimentell metod rapport. [Online]. Available: https://kth.instructure.com/courses/2502/assignments/11805?module\_item\_id=33138 [Accessed: 2017-11-29]