

Question 3: Show $O()$ by mathematical analysis - Show all work/algebraic summative derivations.

Given: Simple Vector classes, Optimized Simple Vector variations, and Simple Vectors with Linked Lists.

Simple and Optimized Simple Vector Approach: For the given vectors, the math reflects the actual operations of the class. Let's consider the access, push back, inserts, pop back, and delete functions of the vectors.

Push Back : $T(n) = C + \text{rSize} * C2 + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 1$, where C is a typical insert, and the summation represents copying of n elements into a new, bigger array. This analysis yields $O(1)$ after summation $T(n) = C + (1/n) * (C2 + n) = O(1)$.

Push Front : Inserting at the beginning requires pushing all existing elements, which means iterating through all n elements of the vector. Meaning, $T(n)$ is $C + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} 1$, which can simplify to $C + n$, equaling $O(n)$.

Simple Vector with Linked List Approach:

Push Back : $T(n) = C1 + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} C2$, where $C1$ is the head and the summation is the traversal to the end of the list and then add the node. The summation simplifies to $C1 + C2 * n$ which equals $O(n)$ timing.

Push Front : $T(n) = C$ because it's a constant time operation regardless of list size. Meaning a new node HAS to be created if Push wants to be executed, along with pointer adjusting. So the complexity is $O(1)$.