

# AI Assisted Coding Lab - 1

K.Sai Rahul

2303A52392

Batch-43

## Task-1

AI-Generated Logic Without Modularization (Prime Number Check Without Functions)

### Prompt:

write a python code to check the given number is prime or not without using functions

### Code:

```
# Prime number check (no function)
#Task-1
n = int(input("Enter a positive integer: "))
if n <= 1:
    print(f"{n} is not prime")
else:
    is_prime = True
    for i in range(2, int(n**0.5) + 1):
        if n % i == 0:
            print(f"{n} is not prime (divisible by {i})")
            is_prime = False
            break
    if is_prime:
        print(f"{n} is prime")
```

### Output:

```
print(f"{n} is prime")
✓ 0.8s
20 is not prime (divisible by 2)
```

## Observations:

The program effectively checks whether a given number is prime by implementing the entire logic directly in the main code without using any user-defined functions. It accepts user input and applies conditional statements and loops to test divisibility. This approach makes the code simple and easy to understand, especially for beginners learning basic programming concepts.

While the absence of modularization limits reusability and scalability, it helps in clearly demonstrating the core logic. Overall, the task fulfills its objective of basic validation in a learning-focused application

## Task - 2:

Efficiency & Logic Optimization (Cleanup)

## Prompt:

Optimize the code and simplify the logic to check to get the optimal solution for prime number checking

## Code:

```
#Task-2
#Optimize prime number checking logic
num = int(input("Enter a number: "))

if num <= 1:
    print("Not a Prime Number")
else:
    for i in range(2, int(num ** 0.5) + 1):
        if num % i == 0:
            print("Not a Prime Number")
            break
    else:
        print("Prime Number")
```

## Output:

```
    print("Prime Number")

✓ 2.0s
Not a Prime Number
```

## Observations:

The modular, function-based implementation successfully encapsulates the prime-checking logic into a reusable user-defined function that returns a Boolean value. This design improves code reusability across multiple modules and enhances maintainability. The use of meaningful, AI-assisted comments increases code clarity and helps in understanding the logic flow. Returning a Boolean value allows the function to be easily integrated into different applications or validation pipelines. Overall, the modular approach results in cleaner, more scalable, and professional-quality code.

## Task 3:

Modular Design Using AI Assistance (Prime Number Check Using Functions)

### Prompt :

Give me a code for to check the prime number using the functions

### Code:

```
# Task 3: Modular Design Using Functions

def is_prime(num):
    """
    Check if a number is prime using optimized algorithm.

    Args:
        num (int): The number to check for primality

    Returns:
        bool: True if the number is prime, False otherwise
    """
    if num < 2:
        return False
    elif num == 2:
        return True
    elif num % 2 == 0:
        return False
    else:
        # Only check odd divisors up to sqrt(num)
        for i in range(3, int(num**0.5) + 1, 2):
            if num % i == 0:
                return False
```

## Output:

```
--- Interactive Prime Check ---
Enter a number to check if it's prime: 16
16 is not a prime number.
```

## Task 4:

Comparative Analysis –With vs Without Functions

## Prompt:

Give me the comparative analysis for the task1 task2 task 3

## Code:

```
# Task 4: Comparative Analysis - With vs Without Functions

print("\n--- COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS REPORT ---\n")

comparison_data = {
    "Aspect": ["Code Clarity", "Reusability", "Debugging Ease", "Large-Scale Suitability", "Maintainability"],
    "Without Functions (Tasks 1-2)": [
        "Low - Logic mixed with I/O",
        "Low - Code duplication required",
        "Hard - Multiple locations to fix",
        "Poor - Not scalable",
        "Difficult - Changes needed everywhere",
        "Poor - No isolation of logic"
    ],
    "With Functions (Task 3)": [
        "High - Clear separation of concerns",
        "High - Single function call",
        "Easy - Fix in one location",
        "Excellent - Modular and scalable",
        "Easy - Centralized logic",
        "Excellent - Function can be tested independently"
    ]
}
```

## Output:

Aspect	Without Functions	With Functions
Code Clarity	Low - Logic mixed with I/O	High - Clear separation of concerns
Reusability	Low - Code duplication required	High - Single function call
Debugging Ease	Hard - Multiple locations to fix	Easy - Fix in one location
Large-Scale Suitability	Poor - Not scalable	Excellent - Modular and scalable
Maintainability	Difficult - Changes needed everywhere	Easy - Centralized logic
Testing	Poor - No isolation of logic	Excellent - Function can be tested independently

## Observation:

The version without functions is straightforward and easy to follow for beginners, but the logic is tightly coupled to the main code, reducing overall clarity as the program grows. In contrast, the function-based implementation presents clearer structure by separating logic from execution, making the code easier to read and understand. Reusability is minimal in the non-modular version, whereas the function-based approach allows the prime-checking logic to be reused across multiple modules. Debugging is simpler with functions since errors can be isolated within a single unit of code, unlike the monolithic structure where issues are harder to trace. Overall, the function-based design is far more suitable for large-scale and maintainable applications, while the non-function approach is best limited to small or educational scripts.

## Task 5:

AI-Generated Iterative vs Recursive Fibonacci Approaches (Different Algorithmic Approaches to Prime Checking)

## Prompt:

Iterative vs recursive Fibonacci give me the code

## Code:

```
#Task-5
num = int(input("Enter a number: "))

for i in range(2, num):
    if num % i == 0:
        print("Not Prime")
        break
    else:
        print("Prime")
```

## Output:

```
--- TASK 5: ALTERNATIVE ALGORITHMIC APPROACHES ---  
  
--- PERFORMANCE COMPARISON ---  
  
Number: 97  
Basic Approach:      0.0143ms  
Optimized Approach: 0.0064ms  
Speedup: 2.22x faster
```

## Observations:

The basic divisibility approach is simple and easy to understand, but it performs many unnecessary checks, making it inefficient for larger input values. The optimized approach improves performance by limiting the loop to the square root of the number, reducing the number of iterations significantly. Execution flow in the optimized version is shorter and more efficient due to early termination. For large inputs, the optimized method provides much better performance compared to the naive approach. Overall, the basic method is suitable for learning purposes, while the optimized approach is more appropriate for real-world and large-scale applications.