
Name: Udandaraao Sai Sandeep

Roll Number: 180123063

Dept.: Mathematics and Computing

Q1.

The **Euler's method** was followed to approximate the solution of the **Initial Value problems**.

(a) Number of nodes = 3, $h = 0.5$

x	Estimate value of $y(x)$
0	1
0.5	1.183940
1	1.436252

(b) Number of nodes = 3, $h = 0.5$

x	Estimate value of $y(x)$
1	2
1.5	2.333333
2	2.708333

(c) Number of nodes = 5, $h = 0.25$

x	Estimate value of $y(x)$
2	2
2.25	2.207107
2.5	2.490999
2.75	2.854680
3	3.302596

(d) Number of nodes = 5, $h = 0.25$

x	Estimate value of $y(x)$
2	2
2.25	1.227324
2.5	0.832150
2.75	0.570447
3	0.378827

Q2.

By using the given solutions to the IVP's, the corresponding error values was calculated

(a) Number of nodes = 3, $h = 0.5$

x	Estimate value of $y(x)$	Error
0	1	0
0.5	1.18394	0.030083
1	1.436252	0.053628

(b) Number of nodes = 3, $h = 0.5$

x	Estimate value of $y(x)$	Error
1	2	0
1.5	2.333333	0.020769
2	2.708333	0.033324

(c) Number of nodes = 5, $h = 0.25$

x	Estimate value of $y(x)$	Error
2	2	0
2.25	2.207107	0.037014
2.5	2.490999	0.073453
2.75	2.85468	0.110513
3	3.302596	0.148690

(d) Number of nodes = 5, $h = 0.25$

x	Estimate value of $y(x)$	Error
2	2	0
2.25	1.227324	0.175875
2.5	0.83215	0.18426
2.75	0.570447	0.167563
3	0.378827	0.150860

Q3.

Similarly, following as above, we have the following results:

(a)

Number of nodes = 21, $h = 0.05$

x	Estimate value of y(x)	Actual value of y(x)	Error value
1	-1	-1	0
1.05	-0.950000	-0.952381	0.002381
1.1	-0.904535	-0.909091	0.004555
1.15	-0.863007	-0.869565	0.006558
1.2	-0.824917	-0.833333	0.008416
1.25	-0.789848	-0.800000	0.010152
1.3	-0.757447	-0.769231	0.011784
1.35	-0.727415	-0.740741	0.013326
1.4	-0.699495	-0.714286	0.014791
1.45	-0.673467	-0.689655	0.016188
1.5	-0.649141	-0.666667	0.017525
1.55	-0.626350	-0.645161	0.018811
1.6	-0.604949	-0.625000	0.020051
1.65	-0.584812	-0.606061	0.021249
1.7	-0.565825	-0.588235	0.022410
1.75	-0.547890	-0.571429	0.023539
1.8	-0.530918	-0.555556	0.024637
1.85	-0.514832	-0.540541	0.025708
1.9	-0.499561	-0.526316	0.026754
1.95	-0.485043	-0.512821	0.027778
2	-0.47122	-0.500000	0.028780

(b)

Number of nodes was set to 21.

The function behavior at $x = 1.052$, $y = 1.555$ and $x = 1.978$ is as follows:

x	Estimated value of y(x) (Interpolation)	Actual value of y(x)	Error value
1.052	-0.948099	-0.950570	0.002472
1.555	-0.624150	-0.643086	0.018937
1.978	-0.477219	-0.505561	0.028342

Q4.

(i)

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = f(x, y)$$

We assume that the solution exists and is twice differentiable. It is also given that $\frac{\partial f(x, y)}{\partial y} \leq 0$

$$\text{Now, } e_n = y(x_n) - y_n$$

As it is twice differentiable, by Taylor's theorem,

$$y(x_{n+1}) = y(x_n) + hf(x_n, y(x_n)) + (h^2/2) y''(\tau) \quad (\text{Equation No. 1})$$

$$\text{Here, } \tau \in (x_n, x_{n+1}) .$$

Assume $f(x_n, y)$ is a C^1 function of y after fixing x_n . Lagrange mean value theorem gives the below:

$$f(x_n, y(x_n)) = f(x_n, y_n) + f_y(x_n, \eta) (y(x_n) - y_n) \text{ where :}$$

$$\eta \in [y(x_n), y_n] \text{ or } [y_n, y(x_n)] \text{ depending on } y(x_n) \leq y_n \text{ or vice versa.}$$

$$f(x_n, y(x_n)) = f(x_n, y_n) + f_y(x_n, \eta) e_n$$

Therefore, substituting in 1, we get :

$$y(x_{n+1}) = y(x_n) + hf(x_n, y_n) + hf_y(x_n, \eta) e_n + (h^2/2) y''(\tau)$$

$$y(x_{n+1}) - y_{n+1} = y(x_n) + hf(x_n, y_n) + hf_y(x_n, \eta) e_n + (h^2/2) y''(\tau) - y_{n+1} \quad (\text{Equation No. 2})$$

$$\text{But, } y_{n+1} = y_n + hf(x_n, y_n) \text{ — By Euler's Method}$$

Therefore, substituting in Equation 2, we get :

$$y(x_{n+1}) - y_{n+1} = y(x_n) + hf(x_n, y_n) + hf_y(x_n, \eta) e_n + (h^2/2) y''(\tau) - y_n - hf(x_n, y_n)$$

$$e_{n+1} = e_n + hf_y(x_n, \eta) e_n + (h^2/2) y''(\tau)$$

$$|e_{n+1}| = |e_n(1 + hf_y(x_n, \eta))| + (h^2/2) |y''(\tau)|$$

$$\text{where : } \tau \in [x_n, x_{n+1}] \text{ and } \eta \in [y_n, y(x_{n+1})]$$

$$\text{Assuming } f_y(x, y(x)) \text{ is bounded,}$$

$$M = \max(f_y(x, y(x))) \geq 0, \quad x \in [x_n, x_{n+1}].$$

$$\text{Then take } h = 0.5 \text{ if } M = 0$$

$$\text{and take } h = -\frac{0.2}{M} \text{ if } M < 0$$

in either case

$$|e_{n+1}| = |e_n| + (h^2/2) |y''(\tau)|$$

(ii)

$$e_{n+1} < e_n + (h^2/2) y''(\tau) \text{ for some } h$$
$$|e_{n+1}| = |e_n(1 + hf_y(x_n, \eta))| + (h^2/2)|y''(\tau)|$$

From 1, we have $|e_{n+1}| < |e_n| + \frac{h^2}{2}|Y''(z)|$ for some $h > 0$.

$$\text{Also, } |e_{n+1}| \leq |e_n||1 + hf_y(x_n, \eta)| + (h^2/2)|Y''(z_n)|$$

Additionally assuming, $f_y(x, y(x))$ is a bounded function in $[x_0, x_n]$ we have
 $M = \max_{x \in [x_0, x_n]} f_y(x, y(x)) \leq 0$, Then take

$$h = \begin{cases} 0.5 & \text{if } M = 0 \\ \frac{1}{5M} & \text{if } M < 0 \end{cases}$$

Then $|e_n| \leq |e_0| + \frac{h^2}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{n-1} |Y''(\tau_n)|$ (by telescoping sums)

$$\text{Clearly } \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{n-1} |Y''(\tau_n)|}{n} \leq \max_{x \in [x_0, x_n]} |Y''(x)|$$

Therefore, $|e_n| \leq |e_0| + h^2 n Y$ where $Y = \frac{1}{2} \max_{x \in [x_0, x_n]} |Y''(x)|$

Q5.

$\lambda = -20$.

The value of h has been set to 0.5.

Number of Nodes = 7

Function Approximation at $x = 3$:

For $x = 3$, the **estimated** value of y is: **-785.2886498351329**

For $x = 3$, the **actual** value of y is: **0.1411200080598672**

The **error** between actual and real value is: **785.4297698431927**

It can be seen that error is very large.

Now, if we decrease the value of h to **0.05**, we have the following results:

Function Approximation at $x = 3$:

For $x = 3$, the **estimated** value of y is: **0.14133753721110437**

For $x = 3$, the **actual** value of y is: **0.1411200080598672**

The **error** between actual and real value is: **0.00021752915123715577**

So, choosing a **lesser** value of h results in great reduction in error values.

h = 0.5

Now, $Y = \frac{1}{2} \max_{x \in [0,3]} |-\sin(x)|$ where $x \in [0,3]$.

So, the maximum error bound is: $\text{err} = nh^2Y = 0.75$ ($h = 0.5$)

The **actual absolute error** is much **greater** than the **theoretical upper bound** for error.

However, this **does not contradict** the validity of theorem proved in Q4. In Q4, it was stated

that **there exists a h** such that $|e_n| \leq |e_{n-1}| + \frac{h^2}{2} f''(\xi)$, with the help of which the theoretical upper bound was calculated. This theorem is **indeed valid** for that particular value of h, and

not for every possible value of h . in Q5, the value of h was fixed to 0.5, fairly large value, which resulted in large error. However, when the value of h was decreased, the error became much smaller.