

---

**Name:** Udandaraao Sai Sandeep

**Roll Number:** 180123063

**Dept.:** Mathematics and Computing

---

**Note:** For all questions, the values have been rounded off till the 6<sup>th</sup> digit.

**Q1.** The Newton **forward-difference** formula was used to estimate the specified value using interpolating polynomials of **degree 1**, **degree 2** and **degree 3**.

- (i) The function is to be estimated at  $x = 0.43$ . For each case, the initial set of nodes have been chosen in such a manner such that value at which the function is to be estimated lies between the node values (preferably in the **forward** region). The results are as follows:

**Part1**

Nodes Used: [0.25, 0.5]

Using Interpolating polynomial of **degree 1**, estimate at  $x = 0.43$ : 2.418803

Nodes Used: [0.25, 0.5, 0.75]

Using Interpolating polynomial of **degree 2**, estimate at  $x = 0.43$ : 2.348863

Nodes Used: [0, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75]

Using Interpolating polynomial of **degree 3**, estimate at  $x = 0.43$ : 2.360605

- (ii) The function is to be estimated at  $x = 0.18$ . For each case, the initial set of nodes have been chosen in such a manner such that value at which the function is to be estimated lies between the node values (preferably in the **forward** region). The results are as follows:

**Part2**

Nodes Used: [0.1, 0.2]

Using Interpolating polynomial of **degree 1**, estimate at  $x = 0.18$ : -0.506648

Nodes Used: [0.1, 0.2, 0.3]

Using Interpolating polynomial of **degree 2**, estimate at  $x = 0.18$ : -0.508050

Nodes Used: [0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4]

Using Interpolating polynomial of **degree 3**, estimate at  $x = 0.18$ : -0.508143

**Q2.** The Newton **backward-difference** formula was used to estimate the specified value using interpolating polynomials of **degree 1**, **degree 2** and **degree 3**.

- (i) The function is to be estimated at  $x = -1/3$ . For each case, the initial set of nodes have been chosen in such a manner such that value at which the function is to be estimated lies between the node values (preferably in the **backward** region). The results are as follows:

**Part1**

Nodes Used: [-0.5, -0.25]

Using Interpolating polynomial of **degree 1**, estimate at  $x = -1/3$ : 0.215042

Nodes Used: [-0.75, -0.5, -0.25]

Using Interpolating polynomial of **degree 2**, estimate at  $x = -1/3$ : 0.180306

Nodes Used: [-0.75, -0.5, -0.25, 0]

Using Interpolating polynomial of **degree 3**, estimate at  $x = -1/3$ : 0.174519

- (ii) The function is to be estimated at  $x = 0.25$ . For each case, the initial set of nodes have been chosen in such a manner such that value at which the function is to be estimated lies between the node values (preferably in the **backward** region). The results are as follows:

**Part2**

Nodes Used: [0.2, 0.3]

Using Interpolating polynomial of **degree 1**, estimate at  $x = 0.25$ : -0.138693

Nodes Used: [0.1, 0.2, 0.3]

Using Interpolating polynomial of **degree 2**, estimate at  $x = 0.25$ : -0.132952

Nodes Used: [0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4]

Using Interpolating polynomial of **degree 3**, estimate at  $x = 0.25$ : -0.132775

**Q3.**

This question was solved using **SymPy** module of python (used to evaluate algebraic expressions in Python).

Here,  $P(x)$  is a **fourth-degree** polynomial. Hence, using **Newton's forward Interpolation Formula**, the **polynomial  $P(x)$**  can be constructed.

$$P(x) = P(x_0) + \Delta P(x_0).u + \Delta^2 P(x_0). \frac{u(u-1)}{2!} + \Delta^3 P(x_0). \frac{u(u-1)(u-2)}{3!} + \Delta^4 P(x_0). \frac{u(u-1)(u-2)(u-3)}{4!}$$

where  $u = \frac{x-x_0}{h}$

Since  $h = 1$  and  $x_0 = 0$ ,  $u = x$ .

So, we have:

$$P(x) = P(0) + \Delta P(0).x + \Delta^2 P(0). \frac{x(x-1)}{2!} + \Delta^3 P(0). \frac{x(x-1)(x-2)}{3!} + \Delta^4 P(0). \frac{x(x-1)(x-2)(x-3)}{4!}$$

Putting in the known values of forward differences, we obtain the following equation:

$$P(x) = P(0) + \Delta P(0).x + x(x-1)(x-2) + x(x-1)(x-2)(x-3)$$

Now, we are to evaluate  $\Delta^2 P(x)$  at  $x = 10$ .

Since we know that  $\Delta^2 P(x)$  is **independent** of the **constant** and the **linear** terms of  $P(x)$ , we therefore do not require the values of  $P(0)$  and  $\Delta P(0)$  to calculate  $\Delta^2 P(x)$  at  $x = 10$ .

$$\Delta^2 P(x) = \Delta P(x+1) - \Delta P(x) = P(x+2) - 2P(x+1) + P(x)$$

$$\text{So, } \Delta^2 P(10) = P(12) - 2P(11) + P(10)$$

$$\text{So, } \Delta^2 P(10) = 1140$$

$$\text{The value of } \Delta^2 P(10) = 1140$$

**Q4.**

$$g(x) = \frac{\sin x}{x^2}$$

$$g(0.25) = 3.958463 \text{ (Actual Value)}$$

- (i) Here, we **directly** approximate  $g(x)$  at  $x = 0.25$  using **Newton Forward difference formula**. Following similar methods as in **Q1**(for **degree 3**), we obtain the following results:

x	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5
g(x)	9.9833	4.9667	3.2836	2.4339	1.9177

### Part1

Using direct g(x), estimate at x = 0.25: **3.911598**

Error b/w actual and estimated value = **0.04686491057236619**

- (ii) Here, we approximate **xg(x)** at x = 0.25 first using Newton Forward difference formula. Then, we divide the estimated value of **xg(x)** by **0.25** to obtain estimated value of **g(x)** at **x = 0.25**.

x	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5
xg(x)	0.99833	0.99334	0.98508	0.97356	0.95885

### Part2

Using xg(x), estimate at x = 0.25: **3.958478**

Error b/w actual and estimated value = **1.4776927633519676e-05**

- (iii) It can be seen that the error between the actual and the estimated value is **very less** in case of **part (ii)**. This can be attributed to the fact that in **part (i)**, the forward differences are **highly fluctuating** and are **significantly high**. In **part (ii)**, the forward differences are very **small**, and tend to decrease with further iterations, and therefore in this case, the estimation is more accurate.

Also, if look at the **Taylor expansion** of g(x) and xg(x),

$$\frac{\sin(x)}{x^2} = \frac{1}{x} - \frac{x}{3!} + \frac{x^3}{5!} + \dots$$

$$\frac{\sin(x)}{x} = 1 - \frac{x^2}{3!} + \frac{x^4}{5!} + \dots$$

In the Taylor expansion of **g(x) =  $\frac{\sin x}{x^2}$** , we can see that the Taylor expansion involves the term  **$\frac{1}{x}$** . With small changes in x (within the range **[0,0.5]**), the term  **$\frac{1}{x}$**  changes very **rapidly**. This makes the **estimation of g(x) very error prone** (in part (i)).

### Q5.

The polynomials **P(x)** and **Q(x)** are as follows:

$$P(x) = 3 - 2(x + 1) + 0(x + 1)(x) + (x + 1)(x)(x - 1)$$

$$Q(x) = -1 + 4(x + 2) - 3(x + 2)(x + 1) + (x + 2)(x + 1)(x)$$

- (a) To show that both P(x) and Q(x) interpolate the given data, we will evaluate the values of the polynomials at **x = -2, -1, 0, 1, 2** and show that the value at those nodes match the functional values. The calculated values are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}P(-2) &= -1 \\P(-1) &= 3 \\P(0) &= 1 \\P(1) &= -1 \\P(2) &= 3\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}Q(-2) &= -1 \\Q(-1) &= 3 \\Q(0) &= 1 \\Q(1) &= -1 \\Q(2) &= 3\end{aligned}$$

Since the value of the polynomials at the specific nodes match the functional data ( $f(x)$ ), both the cubic polynomials  $P(x)$  and  $Q(x)$  **interpolate** the given data.

(b) Simplifying  $P(x)$  and  $Q(x)$ , we obtain:

$$P(x) = x^3 - 3x + 1, Q(x) = x^3 - 3x + 1$$

Since both polynomials simplify to be the **same** polynomial, the uniqueness property of interpolating polynomials is **not violated**.

**Q6.**

Since all the 4<sup>th</sup> order forward differences are 1, all the **5<sup>th</sup> order differences will be 0**. Hence, the polynomial is of **4<sup>th</sup> degree**, and this polynomial can be constructed using Newton's forward interpolation formula by interpolating at the given nodes, along with using the fact that all 4<sup>th</sup> order forward differences are 1. The constructed polynomial is as follows:

$$P(x) = \frac{1}{24}x^4 - \frac{11}{12}x^3 + \frac{35}{12}x + 4$$

Hence, the coefficient of the  $x^3$  term is  $\frac{-11}{12} = 0.91667$

The coefficient of  $x^3$  is **-11/12**

**Q7.**

Given the following polynomial:

$$P(x) = 1 + 4x + 4x(x - 0.25) + \frac{16}{3}x(x - 0.25)(x - 0.5).$$

According to the question, the above polynomial is the **interpolating polynomial for  $f(x)$**  at the nodes  $x_0 = 0$ ,  $x_1 = 0.25$ ,  $x_2 = 0.5$  and  $x_3 = 0.75$ .

We know that if  $y$  is a **node**, then  $P(y) = f(y)$ .

Since  $x = 0.75$  is a node, hence  $P(0.75) = f(0.75)$ .

So,  **$f(0.75) = P(0.75) = 6$**

$$f(0.75) = 6.000000$$