

TORSOR AND QUOTIENT PRESENTATIONS FOR D -HOMOGENEOUS SPECTRA

FELIX GÖBLER

ABSTRACT. The D -graded Proj construction provides a general framework for constructing schemes from rings graded by finitely generated abelian groups D , yet its properties and applications remain underdeveloped compared to the classical \mathbb{N} -graded case. This paper establishes the essential characteristics of D -graded rings S , like the distinction between D -homogeneous prime ideals and D -prime ideals if D has torsion. We particularly focus on describing the quotient by the associated group scheme, generalizing the construction of a toric variety from its Cox ring. As in the \mathbb{N} -graded construction, the basic affine opens of the Proj construction are given in terms of degree-zero localizations $S_{(f)}$, where f in S homogeneous is *relevant*. We prove that $\pi_f : \operatorname{Spec}(S_f) \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(S_{(f)})$ is a geometric quotient under mild finiteness assumptions if f is relevant, and give necessary and sufficient conditions for this map to be a pseudo $\operatorname{Spec}(S_0[D])$ -torsor.

CONTENTS

Introduction	1
Acknowledgements	3
1. D -graded Proj	3
1.1. Multigraded Rings	4
1.2. Relevant Elements	5
1.3. D -graded Proj Construction	10
2. D -graded Proj via quotient constructions	13
Appendix A. Details on the group scheme $G = \operatorname{Spec}(S_0[D])$	20
References	21

INTRODUCTION

Projective space is the basic example of a variety obtained from a graded ring, and the usual Proj construction for \mathbb{N} -graded rings is the standard algebraic tool that realizes this correspondence. However, many natural geometric objects carry rings graded by finitely generated abelian groups (called *multigraded rings*) rather than by \mathbb{N} . Concretely, this is the case for Cox rings of toric (pre)varieties, and more generally, coordinate rings of

Date: 06.11.2025.

divisorial varieties or Mori dream spaces. These multigradings encode divisor class information and symmetries that cannot be captured by the classical \mathbb{N} -graded Proj.

Despite the ubiquity of multigraded rings in modern algebraic geometry, their projective geometry remains underdeveloped compared to the classical theory. The D -graded Proj construction, introduced by [BS], provides the natural framework for studying these objects, yet its foundational aspects and geometric interpretation have received limited attention. This paper aims to fill this gap by providing a comprehensive treatment of the properties of D -graded rings, with particular focus on the quotient description of the corresponding D -graded Proj construction. Specifically, that quotient description recovers familiar quotient and coordinate descriptions (in particular, Cox-type quotients). The geometric interpretation of the D -graded Proj construction, as well as the strong connection to toric prevarieties, will be discussed in our forthcoming papers¹

Let D be a finitely generated abelian group and

$$S = \bigoplus_{d \in D} S_d$$

a D -graded ring. Following [BS], the homogeneous elements $f \in S$, whose group of units D^f in S_f has finite index in D are called *relevant*. Then the D -homogeneous spectrum is defined by

$$\mathrm{Proj}^D(S) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \bigcup_{f \in S \text{ relevant}} \mathrm{Spec}(S_{(f)}),$$

and the *irrelevant ideal* S_+ is defined to be the ideal generated by all relevant elements in S . The relevance of f ensures that the basic affine opens $\mathrm{Spec}(S_{(f)})$ are of equal dimension $\dim(S) - \mathrm{rank}(D)$ (see Lemma 2.18).

$\mathrm{Proj}^D(S)$ is not just a formal generalization of ordinary Proj, it is the correct coordinate ring picture for many important non-affine varieties. In the toric case, for example, every simplicial toric variety X is naturally isomorphic to the geometric quotient $(\mathrm{Spec}(\mathrm{Cox}(X)) \setminus Z) // G$ (see [C], Theorem 2.1). The corresponding generalization for D -graded rings is the following.

Theorem A (Theorem 2.6). Let S be an effectively D -graded ring, F be the free part of D , and assume that S is a finitely generated S_F -module. Then for all relevant $f \in S$, the map $\pi_f: \mathrm{Spec}(S_f) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(S_{(f)})$ induced by $S_{(f)} \rightarrow S_f$ is a geometric quotient in the category of schemes. In particular, these patches glue to the geometric quotient

$$\mathrm{Proj}^D(S) \cong D(S_+) // \mathrm{Spec}(S_0[D])$$

Hence, the GIT presentation of simplicial toric varieties is indeed a special case of the D -graded Proj construction.

In addition, we examine the related concept of a *pseudo G -torsor* (see [SP, Definition 0498]), also studied in [MR], Proposition 5.13. We prove a stronger result.

¹This paper is an excerpt from the author's doctoral thesis. Available at: <https://sites.google.com/view/felixgoebler/home/research>

Theorem B (Theorem 2.7). Let S be effectively D -graded, $f \in S$ relevant and $\pi_f: \text{Spec}(S_f) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(S_{(f)})$ be the map induced by $S_{(f)} \rightarrow S_f$. Then $\text{Spec}(S_f)$ is a pseudo G -torsor if and only if $\deg((S_f)^\times) = D$ (or equivalently $D^f = D$) and S is integral. In particular, if $\deg((S_f)^\times) = D$ holds and S is integral, then π_f is Zariski locally trivial for the base change $S_0 \rightarrow S_{(f)}$.

Acknowledgements. First, I would like to thank my supervisor, Alex Küronya, for his tremendous support and insightful feedback on this version. I am also very grateful to Johannes Horn, Andrés Jaramillo Puentes, Kevin Kühn, Jakob Stix, Martin Ulirsch, and Stefano Urbinati for many helpful discussions and valuable comments.

Partially funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) TRR 326 *Geometry and Arithmetic of Uniformized Structures*, project number 444845124, and by the LOEWE grant *Uniformized Structures in Algebra and Geometry*.

1. D -GRADED PROJ

This paper aims to give an overview of the foundations and abstract properties of the D -graded Proj construction. The starting point is the following datum.

Definition 1.1. Let D be a finitely generated abelian group.

- (1) A D -graded ring is a commutative ring S with a decomposition $S = \bigoplus_{d \in D} S_d$ into a direct sum of subgroups $S_d \leq S$ such that $S_d \cdot S_e \subseteq S_{d+e}$. The elements of S_d are called D -homogeneous of degree d . In particular, $0 \in S$ is homogeneous of every degree. We will call elements *homogeneous* instead of D -homogeneous when there is no ambiguity and denote the set of D -homogeneous elements by $h_D(S)$, respectively $h(S)$.
- (2) A commutative ring S with a grading by a finitely generated abelian group is called a *multigraded ring*².
- (3) Let R be D_R -graded and S be D_S -graded rings, where D_R and D_S are finitely generated abelian groups. A *morphism of multigraded rings* is a pair $(\varphi, \alpha_\varphi)$, where φ is a ring homomorphism $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$, and α_φ is a group homomorphism $\alpha_\varphi: D_R \rightarrow D_S$ satisfying $\varphi(R_d) \subseteq S_{\alpha_\varphi(d)}$ for all $d \in D_R$.

Formally, a multigraded ring is a pair (D, S) , where D is a finitely generated abelian group and S is a D -graded commutative unital ring. For readability, we will usually suppress the grading group and denote a multigraded ring by its underlying ring S .

Since $S = \bigoplus_{d \in D} S_d$, every element $f \in S$ has a unique decomposition $f = \sum_{d \in D} f_d$ into the D -homogeneous components f_d of f of degree d , where $f_d = 0$ for almost all $d \in D$. We say that an ideal $\mathfrak{a} \trianglelefteq S$ is D -graded, if $f = \sum_{d \in D} f_d \in \mathfrak{a}$ implies that $f_d \in \mathfrak{a}$ for all $d \in D$. If the group D is clear from the context, we might just say that \mathfrak{a} is graded.

²If we fix a finitely generated abelian group D , we speak of a D -graded ring to emphasize that the grading with respect to D is part of the data. In other words, every D -graded ring is multigraded, but when we specify D , we regard the grading itself as explicit and fixed.

1.1. Multigraded Rings. In this section, we analyze some of the basic properties of multigraded rings. Note that those details have not been addressed in the literature yet. We closely follow [Bos], 9.1/2 up to 9.1/6, which describes the \mathbb{N} -graded case that we aim to generalize.

Lemma 1.2. *An ideal $\mathfrak{a} \trianglelefteq S$ is D -graded if and only if it is generated by D -homogeneous elements. As a direct consequence, sums, products, and intersections of D -graded ideals remain D -graded ideals.*

Proof. The first statement holds by the same arguments as found in [Bos], Remark 9.1/2. Hence, also sums and products remain D -graded. As the intersection of two D -graded ideals \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} also contains all homogeneous components of elements of \mathfrak{a} respectively \mathfrak{b} , the claim follows. \square

However, the radical of a D -graded ideal is not D -graded in general:

Example 1.3. Let p be a prime number and let $S := \mathbb{F}_p[x]/(x^p - 1)$ be graded by $D := \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ such that $\deg(x) = \bar{1}$. Then (0) is a homogeneous ideal and

$$(x - 1)^p = x^p - 1 = 0,$$

that is, $x - 1 \in \sqrt{(0)}$. But neither x nor 1 are nilpotent, i.e. $1, x \notin \sqrt{(0)}$, therefore $\sqrt{(0)}$ cannot be D -graded.

Remark 1.4. Let $\mathfrak{a} \subset S$ be a D -homogeneous ideal. If D admits a total order compatible with the group structure, then $\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$ remains D -homogeneous. In particular, this rule applies for $D = \mathbb{Z}^r$ together with the lexicographic order.

We want to consider ideals of the following type (cf. [ADHL], Definition 1.5.3.1 (iv)).

Definition 1.5. Let $\mathfrak{p} \trianglelefteq S$ be a proper graded ideal and $h_D(S)$ denote the set of D -homogeneous elements of S . Then \mathfrak{p} is called a D -prime ideal if, for D -homogeneous elements $f, g \in h_D(S)$ with $fg \in \mathfrak{p}$, either $f \in \mathfrak{p}$ or $g \in \mathfrak{p}$.

In the \mathbb{N} -graded case we know that, if $\deg_D(S) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathfrak{p} \trianglelefteq S$ is a proper graded ideal, then \mathfrak{p} is D -prime if and only if \mathfrak{p} is prime (this is [Bos], 9.1/4). In the \mathbb{Z}^r -graded case, we can maintain this characterization of graded prime ideals, as shown in [R], Lemma 2.1.1.

Proposition 1.6. *Let $D = \mathbb{Z}^r$, let S be a factorially \mathbb{Z}^r -graded integral domain, where $r \leq \dim(S)$ ³, and let $\mathfrak{p} \trianglelefteq S$ be a proper graded ideal. Then \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal if and only if \mathfrak{p} is a D -prime ideal.*

In general, only ' \Rightarrow ' holds, as the following example for finite D shows.

Example 1.7. Let $S = \mathbb{R}[x]$, let $D = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathfrak{p} = (x^2 - 1)$. Then $S = S_{\bar{0}} \oplus S_{\bar{1}}$, where $S_{\bar{i}} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{R}x^{2n+i}$. The ideal \mathfrak{p} is not prime, since $(x+1)(x-1) = 0$ in S/\mathfrak{a} but $(x \pm 1) \neq 0$ in S/\mathfrak{a} . On the other hand, $x \pm 1$ is not D -homogeneous and \mathfrak{p} is graded since $x^2 - 1 \in S_{\bar{0}}$ (cf. [Bos], Remark 9.1/2). In particular, \mathfrak{p} is D -prime: If $fg \in \mathfrak{p}$

³If $r > \dim(S)$, S has no relevant elements and $\text{Proj}^D(S)$ is empty.

for homogeneous $f, g \in S$ then $(x^2 - 1) \mid fg$ and it follows $f \in \mathfrak{p}$ or $g \in \mathfrak{p}$ by degree arguments. On the other hand, $\mathfrak{q} = (x^2 + 1)$ is a homogeneous prime ideal of S .

As in the \mathbb{N} -graded case, the D -graded Proj is defined in terms of degree-zero localizations.

Definition 1.8. For $f \in S_d$, the ring S_f is defined to be the graded ring generated by the subgroups

$$(S_f)_e \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ \frac{s}{f^k} \mid k \in \mathbb{N}, s \in S_{e+kd} \right\}, \quad e \in D,$$

in analogy with [Bos], Proposition 9.1/5. The subring $S_{(f)} := (S_f)_0 \subset S_f$ is called the *D-homogeneous localization* of S by f . Likewise, we define the *D-homogeneous localization* of a D -prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \trianglelefteq S$ to be

$$S_{(\mathfrak{p})} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ \frac{g}{f^k} \mid k \in \mathbb{N}, f \notin \mathfrak{p} \text{ relevant}, g \in S_{k \cdot \deg(f)} \right\}.$$

In the classical setting, two homogeneous elements $f, g \in S$ are always ‘related’, in the sense that there are integers k, l such that $\deg(f^k) = \deg(g^l)$. It is immediate that the degree-zero localizations highly depend on the existence of such equations. In a multigraded ring, there can exist homogeneous elements that are not (integral) linearly dependent.

Example 1.9. Let $S = \mathbb{C}[x, y, z]$ graded by \mathbb{Z}^2 , where $x \mapsto (1, 0)$, $y \mapsto (0, 1)$ and $z \mapsto (1, 1)$. Then the degrees of x and y are linearly independent in $D_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R}^2$. We claim that $S_+ = (xy, xz, yz)$ (we will prove this in general in Lemma 1.20) and compute the corresponding degree-zero localizations. It holds that

$$S_{(xy)} = \mathbb{C}\left[\frac{z}{xy}\right], \quad S_{(xz)} = \mathbb{C}\left[\frac{xy}{z}\right] \text{ and } S_{(yz)} = \mathbb{C}\left[\frac{xy}{z}\right].$$

In particular, we see that the generators of the degree-zero localizations correspond to the linear dependencies between the degrees of the variables in $D_{\mathbb{R}}$, i.e. $\deg(xy) = \deg(z)$. It also shows why we do not want to take arbitrary homogeneous elements for degree-zero localizations, as $S_{(f)} = \mathbb{C}$ for $f = x, y$.

1.2. Relevant Elements. In the classical \mathbb{N} -graded Proj construction, the irrelevant ideal is defined as the positively graded part. As in general, D does not need to be totally ordered, we may not have a notion of positivity. Thus, Brenner–Schröer defined the irrelevant ideal S_+ to be generated by all relevant elements, i.e. homogeneous elements f such that the units in S_f form a finite index subgroup in D . One may think of relevant elements as elements having sufficiently many homogeneous divisors, as those divisors exactly correspond to units in S_f (cf. Lemma 1.15). We also present geometric criteria in terms of the *weight cones* $C_D(f)$ in $D_{\mathbb{R}}$, that are generated by the degrees of homogeneous divisors of (powers of) f .

Suppose $S = R[T_1, \dots, T_n]$, $\text{rank}(D) = r < n$, is a polynomial ring, where R is a commutative unital ring. In that case, the minimal relevant generators of the irrelevant ideal (i.e. the ideal generated by all relevant elements) are monomials f , given by $f = \prod_{i=1}^r T_{j_i}^{e_i}$, $j_i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, such that the $\deg(T_{j_i})$ are pairwise linearly independent

(cf. Lemma 1.20), where the ε_i are either all equal to 1 or all equal to zero (if 1 is relevant).

Most importantly, relevant elements f give affine toric varieties if S is a noetherian polynomial ring, i.e. $\text{Spec}(S_{(f)})$ is a simplicial affine toric variety (see [BS], Proposition 3.4). The following definition is due to [BS]. If not explicitly stated otherwise, D will always denote a finitely generated abelian group and $r = \text{rank}(D)$.

Definition 1.10. Let S be a D -graded ring, where D is a finitely generated abelian group.

- (1) The ring S is called *periodic* if the degrees of the homogeneous units $f \in S^\times \cap h(S)$ form a subgroup $D' \leq D$ of finite index, that is

$$D' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\deg_D(f) \mid f \in S^\times \text{ homogeneous}\} \leq D$$

is of finite index.

- (2) An element $f \in S$ is called *relevant* if

- (i) f is homogeneous and
- (ii) the localization S_f is *periodic*.

We denote the set of relevant elements of S by $\text{Rel}^D(S)$.

- (3) Given a homogeneous element $f \in S_d$, we define the *support of f* to be the subset of D given by

$$C^f \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\deg_D(g) \mid g \text{ is a homogeneous divisor of } f^k \text{ for some } k \geq 0\}.$$

Furthermore, we define the *weight cone of f* to be the closed convex cone

$$C_D(f) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \overline{\text{Cone}(C^f)}$$

in $D_{\mathbb{R}} := D \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$. If f is relevant, we also call $C_D(f)$ a *relevant weight cone*.

- (4) For homogeneous $f \in h(S)$, we define the *support group* of homogeneous units in S_f to be

$$D^f \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\deg_D(g) \mid g \in (S_f)^\times \cap h(S_f)\}.$$

- (5) Finally, we define the *irrelevant ideal* S_+ of S to be the ideal generated by all relevant elements⁴ of S , i.e. $S_+ = (\text{Rel}^D(S))$.

Remark 1.11. Let $f \in S$ be homogeneous. Then by definition, S_f has a unit in every degree $d \in D^f$. In particular, f is relevant if and only if for all $d \in D$, $(D : D^f)d$ is the degree of a unit in S_f .

Relevance depends on the context in which the grading is understood.

Example 1.12. Let $S = \mathbb{C}[x, y]$ and $D = \mathbb{Z}$ with $\deg(x) = 1$ and $\deg(y) = 1$. Then x and y are relevant. However, we might want to view D embedded in \mathbb{Z}^2 , such that $\deg(x) = \deg(y) = (1, 0)$. In this case, x and y are no longer relevant. But the actual support of the two gradings does not differ.

⁴The chosen language seems counterintuitive, but it is nevertheless the generalization of the \mathbb{N} -graded case. The designation results from the interpretation of $V(S_+)$ as ‘irrelevant locus’ and of $D(S_+)$ as ‘relevant locus’, and from the fact that $\text{Proj}^D(S)$ coincides with the quotient of $D(S_+)$ by $\text{Spec}(S_0[D])$.

Therefore, we restrict to *effective* gradings (cf. [ADHL], Definition 1.1.1.4), so that the grading group is forced to be generated by its support.

Definition 1.13. Let S be a D -graded ring, where D is a finitely generated abelian group.

(1) The *weight monoid* of S is defined to be

$$\omega(S) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{d \in D \mid S_d \neq 0\} \subseteq D.$$

(2) The D -grading of S is called *effective*, if the weight monoid $\omega(S)$ generates D as a group.

(3) The *weight cone* of S is defined to be

$$\sigma(S) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \overline{\text{Cone}(\omega(S))} \subseteq D \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$$

From now on, we will always assume that D is effective. Otherwise, we may replace D by the group generated by $\omega(S)$.

Lemma 1.14. *Let $f \in S$ be homogeneous. Then the support C^f of f is a finitely generated monoid, and the support group D^f is indeed a (finitely generated) group. In particular, D^f is exactly the group generated by the monoid C^f .*

Proof. Since f can only have finitely many irreducible homogeneous divisors, C^f is a finitely generated monoid. As $(S_f)^\times$ is a multiplicative group, D^f is an additive group. \square

The following characterizations are due to [BS], [KU], and [MR] (mostly without proof). Therefore, we give a proof whenever there is none in the literature, and cite otherwise.

Lemma 1.15 (Criteria for relevance). *Let $f \in S$, $f \neq 1$, be homogeneous. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) f is relevant.
- (2) The degrees of all homogeneous divisors $g \mid f^n$, $n \geq 0$, generate a subgroup $D' \leq D$ of finite index (in fact, $D' = D^f$).
- (3) For all $d \in D$ there exists a positive integer $N > 0$ such that $Nd \in D^f$.
- (4) $\text{int}(C_D(f)) \subseteq D_{\mathbb{R}}$ is non-empty.
- (5) $\deg(f) \in \text{int } C_D(f)$.

Furthermore, relevance is closed under multiplication and also closed under multiplication with S_0 .

Proof. The equivalence of (1) and (2) is straightforward, as every unit in S_f corresponds to a divisor of (some power of) f and vice versa.

(3) is basically a reformulation of (1), where N is the index of D^f in D .

Regarding (4), one can see that the maximality of $\dim(C_D(f))$ is equivalent to $\text{rank}(D) = \text{rank}(D^f)$, which in turn is equivalent to D^f having finite index in D .

For (5), we use [KU], Lemma 2.10 for ‘ \Rightarrow ’. Conversely, if $\deg(f) \in \text{int}(C(f))$, then $\text{int}(C(f)) \neq \emptyset$ and f is relevant by (3).

Now, using (4), one deduces that relevance is closed under multiplication, as for $f_1, f_2 \in S$ relevant, it holds $C_D(f_i) \subset C_D(f_1 f_2)$. The same argument shows closedness with respect to multiplication by S_0 . \square

Example 1.16. Consider $S = \mathbb{C}[x, y, z]$ graded by \mathbb{Z}^2 with $x \mapsto (1, 0)$, $y \mapsto (0, 1)$ and $z \mapsto (1, 1)$ from Example 1.9, where we claimed that $S_+ = (xy, xz, yz)$. Note that for $f = x, y, z$, S_f can only contain the units f and f^{-1} , so the units in S_f do not give a finite index subgroup in D . It is also immediate that the cones $C_D(f)$ for those f are one-dimensional. Therefore, those elements cannot be relevant. So the next step is to take all monomials that are given by the product of two variables, i.e. $f = xy, xz, yz$. It is not hard to see that every localization S_f contains enough units. We will show this for $f = xy, xz$:

For $f = xy$, S_f has units $x, y, \frac{1}{x}, \frac{1}{y}$ in degree $\pm e_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. Thus S_f contains a unit in every degree $d \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, i.e. $D^f = D = \mathbb{Z}^2$. For $f = xz$, there are units $x, z, \frac{1}{x}, \frac{1}{z}$ in degree $\pm e_1$ and $\pm(e_1 + e_2)$ in S_f . In particular, $\frac{z}{x}$ is a unit of degree e_2 , so that again $D^f = D$ and S_f contains a unit in every degree $d \in D$. Now, as x, y, z are not relevant, but xy, xz, yz are, we deduce that $S_+ = (xy, xz, yz)$.

Lemma 1.15 (3) has an important consequence:

Corollary 1.17. *Let $h \in h(S)$ be homogeneous and $f \in S$ relevant. Then there exists an element $g_h \in h(S)$, an element $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and an element $N > 0$ such that*

$$\deg \left(\frac{h^N g_h}{f^k} \right) = 0.$$

Proof. By Lemma 1.15 (3), there exists an $N > 0$ and a homogeneous $f' = \frac{a}{f^k} \in (S_f)^\times$, such that $N \deg(h) = \deg(f')$, where $a \in S$ is homogeneous by definition and $\deg(f') = \deg(a) - k \deg(f)$. Thus for $g_h = a$ it holds $N \deg(h) + \deg(a) = k \deg(f)$ and the claim follows. \square

We want to choose generators of S_+ when S is a noetherian polynomial ring or a noetherian factorially graded domain, where factorially graded means having unique factorization on homogeneous elements (see also [ADHL], Definition 1.5.3.1).

Definition 1.18. Let $0 \neq f \in S = R[T_1, \dots, T_n]$ be homogeneous and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k \leq r$, where R is a unital commutative ring and $r = \text{rank}(D)$.

- (1) We say that f has a *length k factorization* if there is a subset $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ of size k , an element $u \in R$ and integers $\varepsilon_i \in \mathbb{N}_+, i \in I$, such that

$$f = u \cdot \prod_{i \in I} T_i^{\varepsilon_i}$$

where the $d_i = \deg(T_i)$ generate a subgroup of D of rank k .

- (2) We say that f has a *linear length k factorization* if there is a subset $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ of size k , and an element $u \in R$ such that

$$f = u \cdot \prod_{i \in I} T_i,$$

where the $d_i, i \in I$, generate a subgroup of rank k .

- (3) Let f be relevant. We say that f is *monomic* if f has a linear length r factorization and $u = 1_R$.

Example 1.19. Let $S = \mathbb{C}[x, y, z]$ be graded by \mathbb{Z}^2 . where $x \mapsto e_1$, $y \mapsto e_2$ and $z \mapsto e_1 + e_2$. Then the elements $xy, xz, yz \in S_+$ are monomic and minimal, i.e. there is no relevant element g of smaller degree being distinct to xy, xz, yz that divides xy, xz or yz . The element $f = x(xy + z)$, for example, is relevant, but not monomic. Note that $f = x^2y + xz$ is a sum of homogeneous elements of the same degree, where $f \in (xy, xz, yz)$. Also note that every summand of f , i.e. x^2y and xz , has a factorization of length ≥ 2 .

We prove the following characterization of relevant elements for polynomial rings. It can be seen as the generalization of [ADHL], Proposition 2.1.3.4.

Lemma 1.20. *Let R be a ring and $S = R[T_1, \dots, T_n]$ be a D -graded polynomial ring, such that 1 is not relevant and $\text{rank}(D) = r < n$. Then the irrelevant ideal S_+ is generated by all monomic relevant elements, and there are at most $\binom{n}{r}$ monomic relevant elements. Moreover, f is relevant if and only if all homogeneous summands of f have a factorization of length $\geq r$.*

Remark 1.21. Fix a homogeneous presentation $S = R[T_1, \dots, T_n]$ with $\deg T_i = d_i \in D$. The description of S_+ in Lemma 1.20 is relative to this presentation: The ideal S_+ (and hence $\text{Proj}^D(S)$) is intrinsic to the graded ring (D, S) . But the specific monomial generating set need not be preserved by arbitrary (non-graded) R -algebra automorphisms. It is canonical only up to graded automorphisms of (D, S) . But the same holds for the Proj construction itself, so we do not have to worry about non-graded automorphisms at all.

Proof. First, note that S is a UFD and therefore also factorially D -graded. Let $f \in S$ be relevant. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $f = h_1 \dots h_r$ for irreducible homogeneous elements h_1, \dots, h_r (if f has a factorization with more than r irreducible factors, the proof goes through verbatim). If all h_i are monomials, there is nothing to show. Thus assume that some $h_j, j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$, is not a monomial. Then h_j has to be a sum of homogeneous elements of equal degree, so we may assume there are indices $i, k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that h_j is of type $h_j = (T_k T_l - T_j)$, where $\deg(T_k T_l) = \deg(T_j) = \deg(h_j)$ (any more complex equation like $(T_k T_l - T_j T_i)$ will result in the same argument). Note that the precise form of the relation is determined by the grading of S , but it must be a sum of monomials of same degree. Hence, the argument does not really depend on the form of the equation - we might just get more than r factors - which is fine. Since $f = h_1 \dots h_r$ and the degrees of the h_i are pairwise linearly independent, every homogeneous summand of f has a factorization of length $\geq r$ (after expanding). More concretely, each summand arises by choosing one homogeneous summand from each of the r linearly independent factors. Hence, every summand is a product of at least r homogeneous (indeed irreducible) pieces and therefore an element of the ideal generated by the monomic relevant elements. The claim follows. Furthermore, S_+ is a radical ideal, as monomic relevant elements are square-free by definition. \square

As every relevant element is given by a finite linear combination of monomic relevant elements by Lemma 1.20, monomic relevant elements are minimal generators for S_+ . We will denote the minimal generating set by monomic relevant elements for S_+ by $\text{Gen}^D(S)$.

Remark 1.22. Lemma 1.20 has a direct generalization to factorially graded noetherian rings.

Example 1.23. We want to use the previous Lemma to compute monomic relevant generators of S_+ .

- (1) Let $S = \mathbb{C}[x, y, z, w]$ and $D = \mathbb{Z}^2$, where $\deg(x) = \deg(y) = (1, 0)$, $\deg(z) = (1, 1)$ and $\deg(w) = (0, 1)$. It holds that $\text{Gen}^D(S) = \{xw, yw, zw, xz, yz\}$.
- (2) Let $S = \mathbb{C}[x, y, z]$ be graded by $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, such that $\deg(x) = (1, 0)$, $\deg(y) = (0, 1)$ and $\deg(z) = (1, 1)$. Then y is no longer relevant (compared to Example 1.9), as $D^y = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ cannot have finite index in D . In particular, monomic relevant elements have length one. Thus, $\text{Gen}^D(S) = \{x, z\}$.

1.3. D -graded Proj Construction. Having dealt with the notion of relevance, we can introduce the D -graded Proj construction. A D -grading on S gives rise to a coaction

$$S \rightarrow S \otimes_{S_0} S_0[D], \quad \sum_{d \in D} s_d \mapsto \sum_{d \in D} s_d \otimes d.$$

If we view the group ring $S_0[D]$ as free S_0 -module with basis D , and define the multiplication of $\alpha, \beta \in S_0[D]$ to be

$$\left(\sum_i a_i d_i \right) \cdot \left(\sum_j b_j e_j \right) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{i,j} a_i b_j d_i e_j,$$

$S_0[D]$ becomes an S_0 -algebra, called *group algebra of D* .

By defining $\Delta(\alpha) = \alpha \otimes \alpha$ (*comultiplication*), $\varepsilon(\alpha) = 1$ (*counit*) and $S(\alpha) = \alpha^{-1}$ (*coinverse*), $S_0[D]$ becomes a Hopf algebra (cf. [W], §1.4). In particular, $G := \text{Spec}(S_0[D])$ is a diagonalizable group scheme by [W], §2.2, and also the Cartier dual of the constant group scheme associated to D by [W], §2.2 and §2.3. Thus we have an induced action of G on $\text{Spec}(S)$, corresponding to the coaction of $S_0[D]$ on S (also see [SGA3], I 4.7.3).

As G is a diagonalizable group scheme, characters of G , i.e. homomorphisms $\chi: G \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{S_0}[T, T^{-1}])$, correspond to invertible elements in $\mathcal{O}(G) = S_0[D]$, i.e. elements $\alpha \in S_0[D]$, such that $\Delta(\alpha) = \alpha \otimes \alpha$ by [W], §2.1. Elements of that type are called *group-like*.

Using the quotient space $Q(S)$ from [L], Exercise 2.14 applied to the action of $\text{Spec}(S_0[D])$ on $\text{Spec}(S)$, we show that the affine schemes $\text{Spec}(S_{(f)})$ for relevant $f \in S$ can be embedded in this quotient space. Then we can define the D -graded Proj to be the union of all $\text{Spec}(S_{(f)})$ inside the quotient $Q(S)$. Note that Liu's construction is a generalized version of [SGA3], Expose V, Theoreme 4.1.

In order to apply the following Proposition, we identify G with its S_0 -points $G(S_0) = \text{Hom}(D, (S_0)^\times)$ (because $\text{Proj}^D(S)$ is a scheme over S_0 , cf. Remark 1.29), as it is typically done in complex geometry.

Proposition 1.24. *Let G be a group acting on a ringed topological space (X, \mathcal{O}_X) and denote the corresponding group homomorphism by $\Phi: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X)$, $\sigma \mapsto \Phi_\sigma$ ⁵. Then there exists a quotient space of X by G consisting of a ringed topological space (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) , which we denote by $Q(S)$, and the quotient morphism $p: X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying the following universal property: For every $\sigma \in G$ it holds $p = p \circ \sigma$ and any morphism of ringed topological spaces $f: X \rightarrow Z$ with the same property factors uniquely through p , i.e. $f = \tilde{f} \circ p$ for a unique morphism $\tilde{f}: Y \rightarrow Z$.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{p} & \\ & \searrow f & \swarrow \exists! \tilde{f} \\ & Z & \end{array}$$

In particular, for an open subset $V \subseteq Y = Q(S)$ it holds

$$\mathcal{O}_Y(V) = \mathcal{O}_X(p^{-1}(V))^G.$$

We have to show that $\text{Spec}(S_{(f)})$ for relevant $f \in S$ can be embedded in $Q(S)$. As $X = \text{Spec}(S)$, p is open and $\mathcal{O}_X(D(g)) = S_g$ (where $D(g) = \text{Spec}(S_g)$ is a basic open subset in X), it suffices to show that $(S_f)^G = S_{(f)}$.

Proposition 1.25. *For all homogeneous $f \in S$, it holds that*

$$(S_f)^G = S_{(f)},$$

where we view both $(S_f)^G$ and $S_{(f)}$ as subrings of S_f .

Proof. Since the G -coaction sends a homogeneous f to $f \otimes \chi^{\deg(f)}$, it extends to S_f , so replacing S by S_f (where f is a unit) reduces the claim to the case $f = 1$. The action of G on $X = \text{Spec}(S)$ is given in terms of a morphism of schemes $\alpha: G \times_S X \rightarrow X$ and comes together with a projection $\text{pr}: G \times_S X \rightarrow X$. The corresponding dual maps are given by $\alpha^*: S \rightarrow S_0[D] \otimes_S S$ and $\text{pr}^*: S \rightarrow S_0[D] \otimes_S S$ and give rise to the exact sequence

$$S^G \rightarrow \left(S \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\alpha^*} \\ \xleftarrow{\text{pr}^*} \end{array} S \otimes_{S_0} S_0[D] \right).$$

Thus, we can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} S^G &= \{s \in S \mid \alpha^*(s) = \text{pr}^*(s)\} \\ &= \{s \in h(S) \mid s \otimes \chi^{\deg(s)} = s \otimes \chi^0\}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $s \in S^G$ if and only if $\deg(s) = 0$. □

⁵Here G is viewed as an abstract group; the homomorphism $\Phi: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X)$ is purely algebraic, and no topology or continuity on G is assumed.

Remark 1.26. Hence, the ringed space $\bigcup_{f \in \text{Rel}^D(S)} \text{Spec}(S_{(f)})$ is a well-defined subringed space of the quotient space $Q(S)$. The structure sheaf is locally given by the restriction of the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{Q(S)}$ to $\text{Spec}(S_{(f)})$. Since these sets are affine schemes, their union is a scheme by construction. This holds for any multigraded ring!

Thus, the following definition is justified.

Definition 1.27 (Multigraded spectrum of a multigraded ring).

The *multigraded spectrum* of S is defined as the scheme

$$\text{Proj}^D(S) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigcup_{f \in \text{Rel}^D(S)} \text{Spec}(S_{(f)}) \subseteq Q(S).$$

The next example can be seen as some sort of minimal example for the peculiarities arising from this construction.

Example 1.28. Consider $S = \mathbb{C}[x, y, z]$ graded by \mathbb{Z}^2 , where $x \mapsto (1, 0)$, $y \mapsto (0, 1)$, $z \mapsto (1, 1)$ and $S_+ = (xy, xz, yz)$. The action of $G = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{Z}^2]) = \mathbb{G}_m^2$ on $\text{Spec}(S)$ is given by $(\lambda, \mu)(a, b, c) \mapsto (\lambda a, \mu b, \lambda \mu c)$. It holds that $S^G = S_0 = \mathbb{C}$, thus we cannot use affine GIT (for the action on $\text{Spec}(S)$) to construct a good quotient. Instead, we look at the action of G on $\text{Spec}(S_f)$ for relevant f . For $U = \text{Spec}(S_{(xz)})$, $V = \text{Spec}(S_{(yz)})$, and $X = \text{Proj}^D(S)$, Proposition 1.24 implies

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_X(\pi_+^{-1}(U)) &= (S_{xz})^G = S_{(xz)} = \mathbb{C}\left[\frac{xy}{z}\right], \text{ and likewise} \\ \mathcal{O}_X(\pi_+^{-1}(V)) &= (S_{yz})^G = S_{(yz)} = \mathbb{C}\left[\frac{xy}{z}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, distinct open affine subsets might have the same regular functions. Note that for $W = \text{Spec}(S_{(xy)})$ we get

$$\mathcal{O}_X(\pi_+^{-1}(W)) = S_{(xy)} = \mathbb{C}\left[\frac{z}{xy}\right].$$

In particular, the invariant rational functions of $\text{Quot}(S)$ are generated by $\frac{xy}{z}$ and its inverse. Hence, one can already deduce that $\text{Proj}^D(S)$ is a \mathbb{P}^1 with doubled origin (because the rational function xy/z has two zeroes). Hence, we get a \mathbb{P}^1 if we throw away one of U or V . Also note that there are small modifications of the grading that do not change Proj . For example, let $S' = \mathbb{C}[x, y, z]$ with $D = \mathbb{Z}^2$, where $x \mapsto 2e_1$, $y \mapsto e_2$ and $z \mapsto e_1 + e_2$. Then still $S'_+ = (xy, xz, yz)$, so $\text{Proj}(S) = \text{Proj}(S')$. The reason for that is quite simple: even though the equation changes from $\deg(xy) = \deg(z)$ to $\deg(xy^2) = \deg(z^2)$, the localizations cannot see this, i.e. $S_{(z^2)} = S_{(z)}$ and $S_{(xy^2)} = S_{(xy)}$.

Remark 1.29 ($\text{Proj}^D(S)$ is an S_0 -scheme). $X = \text{Proj}^D(S)$ can be identified as a relative scheme over the base scheme $\text{Spec}(S_0)$ in a natural way: Every $f \in \text{Rel}^D(S)$ gives rise to a canonical ring homomorphism $S_0 \rightarrow S_{(f)}$ via $s \mapsto \frac{s}{1}$. This in turn induces a morphism $\text{Spec}(S_{(f)}) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(S_0)$ of affine spectra. Gluing all these morphisms together, we get a morphism $\text{Proj}^D(S) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(S_0)$.

Open subsets of $\text{Proj}^D(S)$, defined by homogeneous elements, are covered by basic open subsets $\text{Spec}(S_{(f)})$ for relevant $f \in S$.

Lemma 1.30 (Distinguished open subsets). *Let $f \in \text{Rel}^D(S)$ and let $h \in S_d$, $d \in D$, be homogeneous such that $hf \neq 0$. Then*

- (i) $hf \in \text{Rel}^D(S)$.
- (ii) the morphism $\pi_f: \text{Spec}(S_f) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(S_{(f)})$ is open.
- (iii) h defines a principal open subset $H = D(hf)$ in $\text{Spec}(S_f)$, whose image in $\text{Spec}(S_{(f)})$ is open.
- (iv) the image of H in $\text{Spec}(S_{(f)})$ is equal to $\text{Spec}(S_{(hf)})$.
- (v) the sets

$$D^+(h) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigcup_{\substack{f \in \text{Rel}^D(S) \\ f \in (h)}} \text{Spec}(S_{(f)}) = \bigcup_{\substack{f \in \text{Rel}^D(S) \\ h|f}} \text{Spec}(S_{(f)}) \subseteq \text{Proj}^D(S)$$

are open subschemes of $\text{Proj}^D(S)$, which obey the usual formal properties, i.e.

- (1) $D^+(hh') = D^+(h) \cap D^+(h')$.
- (2) $\bigcup_{h \text{ homogeneous}} D^+(h) = \text{Proj}^D(S)$.

Proof. (i) Obviously, hf is homogeneous. Every homogeneous divisor of f is also a homogeneous divisor of hf , hence $D^f \subseteq D^{hf}$. As D^f has finite index, D^{hf} also has to have finite index.

(ii) Let $U \subseteq \text{Spec}(S_f)$ be open. Then $\pi_f(U) = p|_{D(f)}(U)$ is open by Proposition 1.24.

(iii) We have $\text{Spec}(S_f) = D(f)$, so $x \in \text{Spec}(S_f)$ corresponds to a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \triangleleft S$ not containing f . Then h defines the set $\{P \triangleleft S_f \mid \frac{h}{1} \notin P\}$ corresponding to

$$\{Q \triangleleft S \mid f, h \notin Q\} = \{Q \triangleleft S \mid f \notin Q\} \cap \{Q \triangleleft S \mid h \notin Q\},$$

where the latter is just the principal open set $D(hf) \subset \text{Spec}(S)$. Thus h defines the principal open subset $\text{Spec}(S_{hf}) \subseteq \text{Spec}(S_f)$. Now apply (ii).

(iv) This follows immediately from (iii).

(v) For (1), we observe that on the left-hand side, we take the union over all relevant elements that are divisible by h and h' , which is exactly the right-hand side. Regarding (2), we see that for $h = 1$ the set $D^+(h)$ is exactly $\text{Proj}^D(S)$. □

2. D -GRADED PROJ VIA QUOTIENT CONSTRUCTIONS

Next, we want to examine the morphism of schemes $\pi_f: \text{Spec}(S_f) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(S_{(f)})$, which is induced by the ring homomorphism $S_{(f)} \rightarrow S_f$. Most importantly, we show that $\text{Proj}^D(S)$ coincides with the geometric quotient obtained from gluing the affine geometric quotients $\text{Spec}(S_f) // G \cong \text{Spec}(S_{(f)})$.

Definition 2.1. Let $H \leq D$ be a subgroup. The *Veronese subring* of S with respect to H is defined as

$$S_H \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigoplus_{d \in H} S_d.$$

S_H is obviously graded by H and $f \in S_H$ is D -homogeneous if and only if it is H -homogeneous.

The following fact from [BS] (page 6) states that periodic rings have *enough* units to form a Laurent polynomial algebra with $\text{rank}(D)$ variables.

Lemma 2.2. *Let S be periodic. Then there is a free abelian subgroup $F \leq D$ of finite index such that the Veronese subring $S_F = \bigoplus_{d \in F} S_d$ is a Laurent polynomial algebra $S_0[T_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, T_r^{\pm 1}]$.*

Proposition 2.3. *Let $H \leq D$ be of finite index. Then $S|_{S_H}$ is integral.*

Proof. Since integrality is closed under addition, homogeneous elements $f \in S$ are integral over S_H . So consider $f \in S_d$. The number of cosets idH for $i \in \mathbb{N}$, has to be finite. Therefore, there is an element $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $Nd \in H$. But

$$\deg_D(f^N) = \deg_D(f) \cdot \dots \cdot \deg_D(f) = d^N$$

that is, $f^N \in S_H$. Thus f is integral over S_H . \square

Now we can examine π_f . Note that the corresponding statement in [BS] (Lemma 2.1) is stated for arbitrary rings S , but the proof uses GIT for S being a finitely generated algebra over a field. We will work with the following more general notion (cf. [SP, Definition 04AE]). In particular, our statement is new and strictly generalizes [BS], Lemma 2.1.

Definition 2.4. Let T be a scheme and B an algebraic space over T . Let $j: R \rightarrow U \times_B U$ be a pre-relation. A morphism $\Phi: U \rightarrow X$ of algebraic spaces over B is called a *geometric quotient*, if

- (1) Φ is an orbit space.
- (2) condition (1) holds universally, i.e. Φ is universally submersive, and
- (3) the functions on X are the R -invariant functions on U .

The concept of a geometric quotient is closely related to that of a pseudo G -torsor, but the latter is a strictly stronger notion (cf. [SP, Definition 0498]).

Definition 2.5. Let D be a finitely generated abelian group and S a D -graded ring. Let $G = \text{Spec}(S_0[D])$ and denote the group action on $U_f = \text{Spec}(S_f)$ by $\alpha_f: G \times_{\text{Spec}(S_0)} U_f \rightarrow U_f$.

- (1) We say that U_f is a *pseudo G -torsor* under G , if the induced morphism of schemes

$$\Phi_f: G \times_{\text{Spec}(S_0)} U_f \rightarrow U_f \times_{\text{Spec}(S_0)} U_f, (g, x) \mapsto (\alpha_f(g, x), x)$$

is an isomorphism of S_0 -schemes. The map Φ_f is often called *shear map* in the literature.

- (2) U_f is called a *trivial pseudo G -torsor*, if U_f is a pseudo G -torsor such that there exists an G -equivariant isomorphism $G \rightarrow U_f$ over $\text{Spec}(S_0)$, where G acts by left multiplication.

Every pseudo G -torsor is also a geometric quotient: As the map Φ_f is an isomorphism if and only if

$$\varphi_f: S_f \otimes_{S_0} S_f \rightarrow S_f \otimes_{S_0} S_0[D], g \otimes h \mapsto gh \otimes \chi^{\deg(g)}$$

is an isomorphism, we can see that we need to require some conditions on S_f . Taking $1 \otimes \chi^d \in S_f \otimes_{S_0} S_f$, it is immediate that the surjectivity of φ_f is equivalent to the

existence of units in $(S_f)_d$ for every degree $d \in D$. This is apparently a strictly stronger condition than relevance, where units only exist in every degree $d \in D^f$. We will also see that the pre-relation j from Definition 2.4 is exactly the scheme morphism Φ_f .

Theorem 2.6. *Let F be the free abelian part of the grading group D and assume that the D -graded ring S is a finitely generated S_F -module. Then, for periodic S , the map $\pi: \text{Spec}(S) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(S_0)$ induced by $S_0 \rightarrow S$ is a geometric quotient in the category of schemes.*

In particular, for all relevant $f \in S$ (where S is not necessarily periodic), the corresponding statement holds for the maps $\pi_f: \text{Spec}(S_f) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(S_{(f)})$.

Proof. We start with identifying the roles in the above Definition. Let $T = B = \text{Spec}(S_0)$ and $\Phi = \pi_f$ (hence $U = U_f = \text{Spec}(S_f)$ and $X = \text{Spec}(S_{(f)})$). Then for $R := G \times_{\text{Spec}(S_0)} U_f$ we see that $\Phi_f: G \times_{\text{Spec}(S_0)} U_f \rightarrow U_f \times_{\text{Spec}(S_0)} U_f$, given by $(g, x) \mapsto (gx, x)$ is a morphism of schemes (induced action on $\text{Spec}(S_f)$), and hence $j = \Phi_f$ is a pre-relation. As j is exactly the morphism that corresponds to the induced action on $\text{Spec}(S_f)$, that is, Φ_f , we can apply Proposition 1.25 directly to deduce (3).

Let $D' \leq D$ denote the finite index subgroup of D that is given by the degrees of homogeneous units, and denote the corresponding free group from Lemma 2.2 by F . We have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow F \rightarrow D \rightarrow D/F \rightarrow 0,$$

giving rise to a short exact sequence of diagonalizable group schemes

$$0 \rightarrow G_{D/F} \rightarrow G_D \rightarrow G_F \rightarrow 0$$

by Proposition 2.20, where we denote $G_M = \text{Spec}(S_0[M])$ for an abelian group M . It is also clear that we have ring maps $S_0 \rightarrow S_F \rightarrow S$, giving rise to a factorization of π into $\text{Spec}(S) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(S_F) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(S_0)$. We aim to show that:

- $\pi_1: \text{Spec}(S) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(S_F)$ is a geometric quotient w.r.t $G_{D/F}$.
- $\pi_2: \text{Spec}(S_F) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(S_0)$ is a geometric quotient w.r.t G_F .

So let's start with π_1 . By Proposition 1.25 we know that $S^{G_{D/F}}$ coincides with the degree zero part of S viewed as D/F -graded ring, which is exactly S_F , showing (3). Since $S|_{S_F}$ is integral by Proposition 2.3 and finite by assumption, we have a finite surjective morphism $\pi_1: \text{Spec}(S) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(S_F)$ with a $G_{D/F}$ -invariant action. By [SP, Lemma 01WM], π_1 is also universally closed. Then by [SP, Lemma 0AAU] π_1 is submersive. As π_1 remains surjective and closed after base change, it also remains submersive after base change and (2) holds true. Finally, (1) follows from [SP, Lemma 049Z], since π_1 is surjective.

Regarding π_2 , it holds that $S_F \cong S_0[F]$, as S_F is a F -graded Laurent polynomial ring and is of finite type over S_0 (since $\text{rank}(D)$ is finite). Furthermore, the action of G_F on $\text{Spec}(S_F)$ is free and transitive, i.e. $\text{Spec}(S_F) = G_F$ (and the corresponding shear map from Definition 2.5 is an isomorphism). Thus π_2 is a Zariski locally trivial (pseudo) G_F -torsor and hence the projection $\text{Spec}(S_F) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(S_0)$ is a geometric quotient. As the

composition of geometric quotients is a geometric quotient, $\pi: \operatorname{Spec}(S) \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(S') \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(S_0)$ is a geometric quotient by the action of $G_{D/F} \times_{G_F} G_F \cong G_D$. Since both π_1 and π_2 are affine, the geometric quotient actually is a scheme by construction (cf. [SP, Lemma 03JH]). \square

For the pseudo G -torsor structure, we need stronger assumptions on S_f . We want to emphasize that the following theorem is a completely new result.

Theorem 2.7. *Let S be a D -graded ring, $f \in \operatorname{Rel}^D(S)$ and $\pi_f: \operatorname{Spec}(S_f) \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(S_{(f)})$ be the map induced by $S_{(f)} \rightarrow S_f$. Then $\operatorname{Spec}(S_f)$ is a pseudo G -torsor if and only if $\deg((S_f)^\times) = D$ (or equivalently $D^f = D$) and S is integral. In particular, if $\deg((S_f)^\times) = D$ holds and S is integral, then π_f is Zariski locally trivial for the base change $S_0 \rightarrow S_{(f)}$.*

Proof. First note that the new condition $\deg((S_f)^\times) = D$ ensures that we have a unit $u_d \in (S_f)^\times$ of degree d for every $d \in D$. Hence $\operatorname{supp}((S_f)^\times) = D$ and $1 \in S_d S_{-d}$ for all $d \in D$, i.e. S_f is *strongly graded* (cf. [D], Proposition 1.6)). Let $\deg((S_f)^\times) = D$ hold, where S is integral. Regarding the injectivity, we see that an element $g \otimes h$ lies in the kernel of φ_f if and only if $gh = 0$. As we assume S to be integral, we can deduce that $g = 0$ or $h = 0$. Now as $0 \otimes 0 = a \otimes 0 = 0 \otimes b$, we see that $g \otimes h \in \ker(\varphi_f)$ if and only if $g \otimes h$ is the 0-tensor. Hence φ_f is injective. Conversely, the injectivity of φ_f forces S to be an integral domain.

For surjectivity, we have to show that an arbitrary element $g \otimes \chi^d \in S_f \otimes_{S_0} S_0[D]$ lies in the image of φ_f . By assumption, there exists a unit $u_d \in (S_f)_d$, hence for $g = u$ and $h = u^{-1}g$ we see that $\varphi_f(gh) = g \otimes \chi^d$. If φ_f is surjective, this forces units in S_f to exist in every degree $d \in D$.

For the local triviality, we have to show that there is an isomorphism $\varphi: S_f \rightarrow S_{(f)}[D]$. First, by assumption, we can choose homogeneous units $u_d \in (S_f)_d$ for every degree $d \in D$. We define φ by $q \mapsto \frac{q}{u_{\deg(q)}} \chi^{\deg(q)}$. For $q \chi^d \in S_{(f)}[D]$, we see that $\varphi(u_d q) = q \chi^d$ and φ is surjective. Regarding injectivity, let $q = \sum_{d \in D} q_d$ such that $\varphi(q) = 0$, i.e. $\sum_{d \in D} \frac{q_d}{u_d} \chi^d = 0$. As $\chi^d \neq 0$ and the various χ^d are linearly independent by Proposition 2.19 (since S is integral), we deduce that $\frac{q_d}{u_d} = 0$ for all $d \in D$ and hence $q_d = 0$ for all d and φ is injective. Finally, for $g \in G$ and $d := \deg(q)$ it holds that

$$\varphi(g \bullet q) = \varphi(\chi^d(g)q) = \chi^d(g)\varphi(q) = \chi^d(g)\frac{q}{u_d}\chi^d = g \odot \left(\frac{q}{u_d}\chi^d\right) = g \odot \varphi(q),$$

where \bullet denotes the induced G -action on S_f and \odot denotes the induced G -action on $S_{(f)}[D]$ (that is induced via the base change $S_0 \rightarrow S_{(f)}$). Hence φ is also G -equivariant. \square

The above discussion gives rise to the following definition.

Definition 2.8. Let D be a finitely generated abelian group and S a D -graded ring. We call a relevant element $f \in \operatorname{Rel}^D(S)$ *strongly relevant*, if S_f contains a homogeneous unit in every degree $d \in D$, i.e., when $D^f = D$.

We can interpret the shear map in terms of properties of the action of G on U_f .

Lemma 2.9. Φ_f is injective if and only if the action of G on $\text{Spec}(S_f)$ is free. Moreover, Φ_f is surjective if and only if the action of G on $\text{Spec}(S_f)$ is transitive.

Proof. If the action is free and there exist $x, y \in U_f$ and $g, h \in G$ such that $(gx, x) = (hy, y)$, clearly $x = y$ and hence $gx = hx$. Therefore, $g = h$ by freeness. Conversely, let Φ_f be injective and let $g \in G, x \in U_f$ such that $gx = x$, i.e. $(gx, x) = (1_G x, x)$. By injectivity, we deduce that $g = e$ and thus, the action is free.

For the second statement, it holds that $(x, y) \in \text{im}(\Phi_f)$ if and only if there exists a $g \in G$ such that $gy = x$, i.e. such that $(x, y) = (gy, y)$. \square

For relevant $f \in S$, we define $G^f := \text{Spec}(S_0[D^f])$.

Corollary 2.10. Let D be a finitely generated abelian group, S a D -graded integral domain and $f \in S$ relevant. Then $\pi_f: \text{Spec}(S_f) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(S_{(f)})$ is a G^f -torsor. In particular, if $\text{supp}(S_f) = D^f$, then π_f is Zariski locally trivial for the base change $S_0 \rightarrow S_{(f)}$.

Hence, for an integral D -graded domain S and relevant $f \in S$, π_f is a pseudo G -torsor if and only if $\text{supp}((S_f)) = D^f = D$.

Proof. We have to show that the map

$$\varphi_f: S_f \otimes_{S_0} S_f \rightarrow S_f \otimes_{S_0} S_0[D^f], \quad g \otimes h \mapsto gh \otimes \chi^{\deg(g)}$$

is an isomorphism. However, as we assume the grading to be effective, we know that φ_f is an isomorphism if and only if $\deg((S_f)^\times) = D^f$ by Theorem 2.7. But this holds by definition of D^f , as every divisor of some power of f corresponds to a unit in S_f . Alternatively, this statement is equivalent to the second part of the proof of Theorem 2.6 and hence true.

Now consider the base change $S_0 \rightarrow S_{(f)}$. Then π_f is a trivial G^f -torsor if and only if $S_f \otimes_{S_{(f)}} S_{(f)} \cong S_{(f)} \otimes_{S_0} S_0[D^f] = S_{(f)}[D^f]$ as $S_{(f)}$ -algebras. For all $d \in D^f$, we choose a unit $u_d \in ((S_f)_d)^\times$ and consider the following morphism of $S_{(f)}$ -algebras

$$\varphi: S_f \rightarrow S_{(f)}[D^f], \quad q\chi^d \mapsto \frac{q}{u_{\deg(q)}}\chi^d,$$

which is well-defined by assumption (i.e. $\text{supp}(S_f) = D^f$). Let $q \cdot \chi^d \in S_{(f)}[D^f]$. Then

$$\varphi(u_d q) = \frac{q u_d}{u_d} \chi^d = q \chi^d,$$

i.e. it is surjective. Regarding injectivity, let $q = \sum_{d \in D^f} q_d \chi^d$ such that $\varphi(q) = 0$. Then $\sum_{d \in D^f} \frac{q_d}{u_d} \chi^d = 0$. As $\chi^d \neq 0$ and the various χ^d are linearly independent (by Proposition 2.19), we deduce that $\frac{q_d}{u_d} = 0$ for all $d \in D^f$ and hence $q_d = 0$ for all d and φ is injective. Finally, φ is G^f -equivariant by the same reasoning as in Theorem 2.7. \square

Example 2.11. The above conditions seem to be satisfied in many cases. For example, consider again the ring $S = \mathbb{C}[x, y, z]$ graded by \mathbb{Z}^2 , where $x \mapsto (1, 0)$, $y \mapsto (0, 1)$ and $z \mapsto (1, 1)$. It holds $S_+ = (xy, xz, yz)$, and we have already shown that every generator is strongly relevant in Example 1.16, so that π_f is locally trivial in the Zariski topology

for $f = xy, xz, yz$. In particular, as $D = \mathbb{Z}^2$ has no torsion, we conclude that $\text{Proj}^D(S)$ is a pseudo G -torsor. Also note that Example 1.23 (1) satisfies those conditions, whereas (2) does not.

The following definition is due to [BS].

Definition 2.12. We call $V(S_+) \subseteq \text{Spec}(S)$ the *irrelevant subscheme* and $D(S_+) \subseteq \text{Spec}(S)$ the *relevant locus*. We denote the affine projection $\text{Spec}(S) \setminus V(S_+) \rightarrow \text{Proj}^D(S)$ by π_+ .

Note that π_+ coincides with the quotient map p (see Proposition 1.24) on $D(f)$ for $f \in S$ relevant, as $(S_f)^G = S_{(f)}$.

Proposition 2.13. *Let S be a multigraded ring, graded by D with free abelian part F .*

(a) *Let S be a finitely generated S_F -module. Then the affine projection morphism*

$$\pi_+ : \text{Spec}(S) \setminus V(S_+) \rightarrow \text{Proj}^D(S)$$

is a geometric quotient for the induced action.

(b) *Let S be an integral domain such that $\text{Spec}(S_0)$ is connected and $\deg((S_f)^\times) = D$ holds for all relevant $f \in S$. Then the affine projection morphism*

$$\pi_+ : \text{Spec}(S) \setminus V(S_+) \rightarrow \text{Proj}^D(S)$$

is a pseudo G -torsor that is Zariski locally trivial over the base $\text{Spec}(S_{(f)})$.

Proof. The statements follow from Theorem 2.6 and Theorem 2.7 and gluing, as the conditions are local in either case. \square

The affine projection comes with the expected properties. The following statement is the generalization of a standard result from affine GIT (cf. [Hos], Corollary 4.31).

Proposition 2.14. *Consider $\pi_+ : D(S_+) \rightarrow \text{Proj}^D(S)$. Then*

$$\pi_+(x) = \pi_+(y) \iff \overline{G \cdot x} \cap \overline{G \cdot y} \neq \emptyset$$

Proof. ‘ \Rightarrow ’ As π_+ is a geometric quotient by Theorem 2.6, fibers of π_+ are exactly orbits of G , so $\pi_+(x) = \pi_+(y)$ yields that x and y lie in the same orbit. Hence $\overline{G \cdot x} = \overline{G \cdot y}$ and thus their intersection cannot be empty (since x, y are contained).

‘ \Leftarrow ’ Let $z \in \overline{G \cdot x} \cap \overline{G \cdot y}$. As the domain of π_+ is $D(S_+)$, there must exist some relevant $f \in S$ such that $z \in \text{Spec}(S_f)$. As $\text{Spec}(S_f)$ is open, $\text{Spec}(S_f) \cap (G \cdot x) \neq \emptyset$, so that we may choose $x' \in G \cdot x \cap \text{Spec}(S_f)$ and $y' \in G \cdot y \cap \text{Spec}(S_f)$. We assume that $\pi_f(x') \neq \pi_f(y')$, so that there exists a G -invariant regular function $h \in S_{(f)}$ such that $h(x') \neq h(y')$. Note that $h(x')$ is defined to be the image of h in the residue field $\kappa(x') = \text{Quot}(S_f/\mathfrak{p}_{x'})$. But as h is continuous and constant on orbits and $z \in \overline{G \cdot x'} \cap \overline{G \cdot y'}$, it must hold that $h(x') = h(z)$ and $h(y') = h(z)$. As this is a contradiction, the claim follows. \square

Example 2.15 (Projective Space). Let $S = \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ be a \mathbb{Z} -graded polynomial ring such that $\deg_D(x_i) = 1$. Hence, $S_+ = (x_0, \dots, x_n)$, therefore $\text{Proj}^D(S)$ is the classical

Proj , which we denote by $\text{Proj}^{\mathbb{N}}(S)$. The group action by $G = \text{Spec}(S_0[D]) = \mathbb{G}_m$ on $\text{Spec}(S) = \mathbb{A}^{n+1}$ is given by

$$(\lambda, (a_0, \dots, a_n)) \mapsto (\lambda a_0, \dots, \lambda a_n).$$

In particular, this coincides with the classical Proj construction. Also note that each weighted projective space is naturally given by a D -graded Proj . If $X = \mathbb{P}(q_0, \dots, q_n)$ is a weighted projective space with weights q_i , then $S = \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ with $\deg(x_i) = q_i$ (cf. [C], page 3). In particular $X = \text{Proj}^{\mathbb{N}}(S)$.

There are surprisingly many gradings yielding a trivial D -graded Projectivization (i.e. $|\text{Proj}^D(S)| = 1$).

Proposition 2.16. *Let $S = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be D -graded such that the degrees of the x_j are pairwise linearly independent and $r := \text{rank}(D) = n$. Then $\text{Proj}^D(S) = \{(0)\}$.*

Proof. Since all the $\deg(x_j)$ are pairwise linearly independent and $\text{rank}(D) = n$, we conclude that relevant elements must have exactly n linearly independent divisors. Hence $S_+ = (x_1 \cdot \dots \cdot x_n)$, i.e. there is only one affine open giving Proj . It holds $S_{(x_1 \cdot \dots \cdot x_n)} = \mathbb{C}$ and hence $\text{Proj}^D(S) = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}) = \{(0)\}$. \square

The following Examples give some well-known spaces.

Example 2.17. (1) For $S = \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_{n+1}]$ and $D = \mathbb{Z}^2$ such that $\deg(x_0) = e_2$ and $\deg(x_i) = e_1$ for $i = 1, \dots, n+1$, we deduce that $\text{Proj}^D(S) = \mathbb{P}^n$. Again, all relevant elements are strongly relevant.
 (2) Products of projective spaces can be easily seen as D -graded Proj schemes. If $X = \mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^m$, then for $S = \mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_n; y_0, \dots, y_m]$ and $\deg(x_i) = e_1$ and $\deg(y_j) = e_2$ we see that $\text{Proj}^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}(S) = \mathbb{P}^n \times \mathbb{P}^m$ (cf. [C], page 3).

We can also show $\text{Proj}^D(S) = (0)$ in Proposition 2.16 by computing $\dim(\text{Proj}^D(S))$. Note that this fact was already stated in [KU], Lemma 3.6 (2), but the proof given there is not sufficient.

Lemma 2.18. *Let S be a noetherian effectively D -graded ring (cf. Definition 1.13), such that for all relevant $f \in S$, we have $(\bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \text{Ann}_S(f^m)) \cap S_F = \{0\}$. Then it holds*

$$\dim(\text{Proj}^D(S)) = \dim(\text{Spec}(S)) - \text{rank}(D)$$

In particular, this statement holds if S is integral, or when $\text{Ann}(S_F) = \{0\}$.

Proof. Let $D = F \oplus T$ be the decomposition into free and torsion part of D , where $F \cong \mathbb{Z}^{\text{rank}(D)}$. Applying Lemma 2.2 to the periodic ring S_f for relevant $f \in S$ immediately gives that $(S_f)_F \cong S_{(f)}[F]$. As S is noetherian, $\dim(S_f)$ and $\dim(S_{(f)})$ are finite and we can deduce that $\dim((S_f)_F) = \dim(S_{(f)}) + \text{rank}(D)$. By Proposition 2.3, S_f is integral over $(S_f)_F$ and hence $\dim(S_f) = \dim((S_f)_F) = \dim(S_{(f)}) + \text{rank}(D)$ by [Bos], Proposition 3.3/6, as the inclusion $(S_f)_F \rightarrow S_f$ is injective (by the annihilator condition). Since $\text{Proj}^D(S)$ is given the the union of all $\text{Spec}(S_{(f)}) = \text{Spec}(S_f) // G$, the claim follows. \square

APPENDIX A. DETAILS ON THE GROUP SCHEME $G = \text{Spec}(S_0[D])$

Most of the material in this section is well known to experts. However, since there is no reference treating this exact setting, we include it here for completeness. The following statement generalizes [M], Lemma 12.4.

Proposition 2.19. *Let $\text{Spec}(S_0)$ be connected (so that S_0 only has the trivial idempotents 0_S and 1_S). Then the group-like elements of $S_0[D]$ are linearly independent and exactly the elements of D .*

Proof. As elements of D are group-like, we only have to take care of the converse direction. Thus let $\alpha = \sum_i a_i d_i \in S_0[D]$ be group like, where $a_i \in S_0$ and $d_i \in D$. For $\alpha \neq 0$ it holds

$$\sum_i a_i d_i \otimes d_i = \sum_i a_i \Delta(d_i) = \Delta(\alpha) = \alpha \otimes \alpha = \sum_{i,j} a_i a_j d_i \otimes d_j$$

and the $d_i \otimes d_j$ are linearly independent for all i, j , this implies that $a_i a_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$ and $a_i^2 = a_i$. As S_0 does not contain any non-trivial idempotent element, it follows that $a_j = 0$ for all $j \neq i$, that is, $\alpha = d_i \in D$ (so that $a_i = 1$ for exactly one i). \square

Hence, we can interpret D as the character group of the group algebra $S_0[D]$ (if $\text{Spec}(S_0)$ is connected). In general, elements $d \in D$ correspond to characters $\chi^d : G \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{S_0}[t, t^{-1}])$, so that we may view the coaction as

$$S \rightarrow S \otimes_{S_0} S_0[D], \quad S_d \ni f \mapsto f \otimes \chi^d,$$

and therefore

$$S_0[D] = \bigoplus_{d \in D} S_0 \chi^d.$$

In particular, we can deduce the following equation for homogeneous elements (cf. [ADHL], Construction 1.2.2.2):

$$f \in S_d \iff f(gx) = \chi^d(g) f(x) \quad \text{for all } g \in G, x \in \text{Spec}(S).$$

The following result is due to [W] (Theorems on pages 5, 9, and 14 and § 2.2).

Proposition 2.20. *Let S be a D -graded integral domain, where D is a finitely generated abelian group.*

(i) *The affine group scheme $G = \text{Spec}(S_0[D])$ represents the exact functor*

$$G_D : \text{Alg}_{S_0} \rightarrow \text{Grp}, \quad R \mapsto \text{Hom}_{\text{Grp}}(D, R^\times).$$

(ii) *G is a finite product of copies of*

$$\mathbb{G}_{m, S_0} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{S_0}[T, T^{-1}])$$

and various

$$\mu_{n_i, S_0} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_{S_0}[T]/(T^{n_i} - 1)).$$

In particular, G is connected if and only if D is torsion-free.

REFERENCES

- [ADHL] I. and Derenthal Arzhantsev U. and Hausen, *Cox Rings*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014. ISBN: 978-1-107-02462-5.
- [Bor] M. Borelli, *Divisorial varieties*, Pacific J. Math. **13** (1963), 375–388, DOI 10.2140/pjm.1963.13.375.
- [Bos] S. Bosch, *Algebraic geometry and commutative algebra*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, 2022. ISBN: 978-1-4471-7522-3.
- [BS] H. Brenner and S. Schröer, *Ample families, multihomogeneous spectra, and algebraization of formal schemes*, Pacific J. Math. **208** (2003), no. 2, 209–230, DOI 10.2140/pjm.2003.208.209.
- [CLS] D.A. Cox, J.B. Little, and H.K. Schenck, *Toric Varieties*, Grad. Stud. Math., vol. 124, Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS), 2011. ISBN: 978-0-8218-4819-7.
- [C] D. A. Cox, *Erratum to “The homogeneous coordinate ring of a toric variety”*, J. Algebraic Geom. **23** (2014), no. 2, 393–398, DOI 10.1090/S1056-3911-2013-00651-7.
- [D] E. C. Dade, *Group-Graded Rings and Modules*, Mathematische Zeitschrift **174** (1980), 241–262, DOI 10.1007/BF01161413.
- [SGA3] M. Demazure and A. Grothendieck, *Schémas en groupes I (SGA 3): Séminaire de géométrie algébrique du Bois Marie, 1962-64 : un séminaire*, New annotated edition of the 1970 original published by Springer, Doc. Math. (SMF), vol. 8, Paris: Société Mathématique de France, 2011. ISBN: 978-2-85629-324-9.
- [GW] U. Görtz and T. Wedhorn, *Algebraic Geometry I: Schemes: With Examples and Exercises*, Springer Studium Mathematik - Master, Springer Fachmedien Wiesbaden, 2020. ISBN: 978-3-8348-0676-5.
- [Har] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, 1977. ISBN: 3-540-90244-9.
- [Hos] V. Hoskins, *Moduli problems and Geometric Invariant Theory*, Freie Universität Berlin, 2016.
- [KU] A. Küronya and Stefano Urbinati, *Geometry of multigraded rings and embeddings into toric varieties* (2019). <https://arxiv.org/abs/1912.04374>, preprint.
- [L] Q. Liu, *Algebraic Geometry and Arithmetic Curves*, Oxford graduate texts in mathematics, Oxford University Press, 2002. ISBN: 978-0-19-850284-5.
- [MR] A. Mayeux and S. Riche, *On multi-graded Proj schemes* (2024). <https://arxiv.org/abs/2310.13502>, preprint.
- [MFK] D. Mumford, J. Fogarty, and F. Kirwan, *Geometric Invariant Theory*, Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb., Springer-Verlag, 1994. ISBN: 3-540-56963-4.
- [M] J.S. Milne, *Algebraic Groups: The Theory of Group Schemes of Finite Type over a Field*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, 2017. ISBN: 978-1-107-16748-3.
- [R] P. C. Roberts, *Multiplicities and Chern classes in local algebra*, Camb. Tracts Math., vol. 133, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2008. ISBN: 978-0-521-06583-2.
- [SP] The Stacks project authors, *The Stacks project*, 2025. <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>.
- [W] W.C. Waterhouse, *Introduction to Affine Group Schemes*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, 1979. ISBN: 978-1-4612-6219-0.

INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, GOETHE-UNIVERSITÄT FRANKFURT, ROBERT-MAYER-STR. 6-10, D-60325 FRANKFURT AM MAIN, GERMANY

Email address: goebler@math.uni-frankfurt.de