Race Condition

T/F Concurrency

- The programmer should not have to care/know whether concurrency will be true or false
- a multi-threaded program should reach higher interactivity and performance with True and/or False concurrency
- Main Pitfall of concurrency
 High-level programming languages
 (e.g., anything but assembly, and even
 not all assembly languages) hide the
 complexity of operations performed at
 the CPU level

Race Condition

- an undesirable situation that occurs when a device or system attempts to perform two or more operations at the same time
- a bug that leads the program to gives unpredictably incorrect results
- Can happen with F or T concurrency
- Lost Update

when a thread does "x++" and another does "x-" three things can happen

- Both updates go through, the x is unchanged
- "x++" update is lost, and the value of x is decremented only
- "x-" update is lost, and the value of x is incremented only

Critical Section

- region of code in which only one thread can be at a time
- For correctness only one thread can execute the code in a critical section at a time

- does not have to be a contiguous section of code
- critical section corresponds to section(s) of code
- Three Requirements to Execute Critical Sections
 - 1. Mutual Exclusion

If a thread is executing in the critical section, then no other thread can be executing in it

2. Progress

If a thread wants to enter into a critical section, it will enter it at some point in the future

3. Bounded Waiting

Once a thread has declared intent to enter the critical section, there should be a bound on the number of threads that can enter the critical section before it

- Common Misconception for Critical Sections
 - Doesn't correspond to the data but rather the sections of code
 - say "we need to protect variable x against race conditions" it means "we need to look at the entire code, see where x is modified, and put all those places in the SAME critical section"
- Critical Sections should be short as possible

Long critical sections: only one thread can do work for a while, so we have reduced parallelism

Locks

• Spin Lock

The thread constantly checks whether the lock is available in a while loop Spinlocks are very useful for (short) critical sections • Blocking Lock

The thread asks the OS to be put in the Waiting/Blocked state and the OS will make the thread Ready whenever the lock has been released by another thread

Deadlock

- another common bug that can happen in concurrent programs
- System with Resources and Processes
 - Resources
 - Processes
 each process can Request a resource of a given type and
 block/wait until one resource instance of that type becomes available, use/release a resource
- deadlock state happens if every Process is waiting for a resource instance is being held by another process
- Three Condition For Deadlock
 - 1. Mutual Exclusion
 At least one resource is nonshareable: at most one process at
 a time can use it
 - 2. No Preemption
 Resources cannot be forcibly removed from processes that are holding them
 - 3. Circular Wait there exists a set of waiting processes such that a Process is waiting for a resource held by other resources
- if it meets all three conditions then it may occur

Resource Allocation/ Request Graph

• Describing the system can be done precisely and easily with a system resource-allocation-request graph

- set of vertices is made of set of processes and resources types
- set of directed edge
 - request edges
 - assignment edges

• Theorem

If the graph contains no (directed) cycle, then there is no deadlock

The converse does not guarantee to be true

- If the resource-allocation-request graph contains no (directed) cycle, then there is no deadlock in the system
- The existence of a cycle is a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a deadlock
- Deadlock Prevention
 - 1. Prevention
 - 2. Avoidance
 - 3. Detection/Recovery

OSes do nothing

- Getting Rid of Mutual Exclusion
 In general we cannot design a system
 in which we don't have some type of
 mutual exclusion on some types of re sources
- Getting rid of No Preemption cannot be done in general as the processes may be in the middle of doing something that leaves an inconsistent state