#### 1.5 The Conditional and BiConditional Connectives

### 2 Analyze the logical form of the following statements:

a Mary will sell her house only if she can get a good price and find a nice apartment.

Let S represent the phrase: Mary will sell her apartment.

Let G represent the phrase: "Mary can get a good price."

Let A represent the phrase: "Mary finds a nice apartment."

Therefore the logical form of the statement is going to be:

 $S \to (G \land A)$ 

**b** Having both good credit history and an adequate down payment is a necessary condition for getting a mortage.

Let G represent the phrase: "Having a good credit history."

Let D represent the phrase: "Having an adequate down payment."

Let M represent the phrase: "Getting a mortage".

Therefore the logical form of the statement is going to be:

 $(G \wedge D) \to M$ 

### 3 Analyze the logical form of the following statements:

a It is raining, then it is windy and the sun is not shining.

Let R represent the phrase: "It is raining."

Let W represent the phrase: "It is windy."

Let S represent the phrase:"The sun is shining."

When it is raining the sun is not shining, therefore, the logical form of this is:

 $R \to (W \land \neg S)$ 

The converse of the logical connective of a is

 $(W \land \neg S) \to R.$ 

# Analyze the following statements and determine whether it is equivalent or converse from 3a

**b** It is windy and not sunny only if it is raining.

Using the same letters to represent the statements from 3a.

The logical form of this is going to be:

 $(W \wedge \neg S) \to R$  which is the converse of 3a.

**c** Rain is a sufficient condition for wind with no sunshine.

In logical form of the statement, using the same letters from 3a we get

$$R \to (W \land \neg S)$$

This phrase is equivalently identical to the statement from 3a.

d Rain is a ncessary condition for wind with no sunshine.

Using the same letters from 3a, the logical form of the statement is:

 $(W \wedge \neg S) \to R$ , which is the converse from 3a.

e It's not raining, if either the sun is shining or it's not windy.

Using the same letters from 3a the logical form of the statement is:

$$(S \wedge \neg W) \rightarrow \neg R$$

Rewriting this in a different form of a logical connective using DeMorgan's Law, conditional law, and double negation law gives us  $R \to (\neg S \land W)$ . This statement is equivalent to 3a.

f Wind is a necessary condition for it to be rainy, and so is a lack of sunshine.

$$(R \to W) \land (R \to \neg S)$$

Rewriting the statement using conditional law and distributive law gives us:

$$R \to (\neg S \wedge W)$$

**g** Either it is windy only if it is raining, or it is not sunny only if it is raining.

$$(W \to R) \lor (\neg S \to R)$$

Rewriting this using conditional law, double negation law, and conditional law, the rewritten form is

$$(W \land \neg S) \to R$$

**5a** Show that 
$$P \leftrightarrow Q$$
 is equivalent to  $(P \land Q) \lor (\neg P \land \neg Q)$ 

We begin by constructing at ruth table and if the conclusions of the two statement are identical with each other the action of the two statements are identical with each other than the conclusions of the two statements are identical with each other than the conclusions of the two statements are identical with each other than the conclusions of the two statements are identical with each other than the conclusions of the two statements are identical with each other than the conclusions of the conclusions of the two statements are identical with each other than the conclusions of the conclusions

$$\left| \begin{array}{cccc} P & Q & P \wedge Q & \neg P \wedge \neg Q \\ T & T & T & F & T \\ T & F & F & F & F \\ F & T & F & F & F \\ F & F & F & T & T \end{array} \right| \left| \begin{array}{cccc} (P \wedge Q) \vee (\neg P \wedge \neg Q) \\ F & F & F \\ F & F & F \end{array} \right|$$

Based off the truth table, since the two have the same conclusions, the two phrases are equivalent.

5b Show that  $(P \to Q) \land (P \to R)$  is equivalent to  $P \to (Q \lor R)$ 

Similar to 5a, we will construct a truth table, and if the conclusion comes out identical, then we have proven that the two statements are equivalent.

We write a truth table for  $P \to (Q \vee R)$ 

Since we get the same conclusions, we can conclude that the two statements are equivalent from each other.

### 2.1 Quantifiers

### 2 Analyze the logical form of the following statements:

a Anyone who has bought a Rolls Royce chocolate with cash must have a rich uncle.

Let R(x) represent the phrase: "x bought Rollys Royce chocolate".

Let C(x) represent the phrase: "x purchased with cash."

Let U(x, y) represent the phrase: "x has an uncle y".

Let L(y) represent the phrase: "y is a rich."

Therefore, the logical form of the statement is:

 $\forall x (R(x) \land C(x)) \rightarrow (\exists y (U(x,y) \land L(y))$ 

**b** If anyone in the dorm has measles, then everyone who has a friend in the dorm will have to be quarantined.

Let M(x) represent the phrase: "x has measles."

Let D(x) represent the phrase: "x is in the dorms."

Let F(x,y) represent the phrase: "x is friends with y."

Let Q(y) represent the phrase: "y will have to be quarantined."

Therefore, the logical form of the statement is:

 $\forall x [(D(x) \land M(x)) \rightarrow \forall y (D(y)) \land \exists z (F(y, z) \rightarrow Q(z))]$ 

c If nobody failed the test, then everybody who got an A will tutor someone who got a D.

Let P(x) represent the phrase: "x failed the test."

Let A(x) represent the phrase: "x got an A on the test."

Let D(x) represent the phrase: "x got a D on the test." Let T(x,y) represent the phrase: "x will tutor y."

Therefore the logical form of the statement is:

 $\forall x[(\neg P(x) \to \forall y(A(y) \to \exists z D(z) \land T(y,z))]$ 

## 3 Analyze the logical forms of the following statements:

a Every number that is larger than x is larger than y.

x and y are the free variables.

$$\forall L(z)((L(z) > x) \to ((L(z) > y))$$

**b** For every number a, the equation  $ax^2 + 4x - 2$  has at least one solution iff  $a \ge -2$  no free variables.

 $\forall a \exists x ((ax^2 + 4x - 2) \iff (a \ge -2))$ 

**c** All solutions of the inequality  $x^3 - 3x < 3$  are smaller than 10.

There is no free variables.

$$\forall x ((x^3 - 3x < 3) \to (x < 10))$$

**d** If there is a number x such that  $x^2 + 5x = w$  and there is a number y such that  $4 - y^2$ , then w is between -10 and 10.

W is the free variables.

$$\exists x ((x^2 + 5x = w) \land \exists y (4 - y^2 = w)) \rightarrow (-10 < w < 10)$$

8 Same as exercise 7 but with R as its environment.

a) 
$$\forall x \exists y (2x - y = 0)$$

2x = y, true for all real numbers.

 $\mathbf{b} \; \exists y \forall x (2x - y = 0)$ 

There exists one y where it produces for all x in 2x is false.

$$\mathbf{c} \ \forall x \exists y (x - 2y = 0)$$

True because  $y = \frac{x}{2}$  is where all x gives one y.

$$\mathbf{d} \ \forall x (x < 10 \to \forall y \ (y < x \to y < 9))$$

Since we are dealing with a universe discourse of  $\mathbb{R}$ , this statement is false. For example, while x may be 9.4 and y may be 9.2. While x is less than 10 and y < x but it fails to meet y < 9 since 9.2 is greater than 9.

$$\mathbf{e} \exists y \exists z (y + z = 100)$$

There exists at least one y + z that the sum is 100. This is true because y = 42 and z = 38 that brings the sum into 100, therefore, there is one to one of real numbers that make the sum of y and z to 100.

$$\mathbf{f} \ \forall x \exists y (y > x \land \exists z (y + z = 100))$$

This is true in the discourse of  $\mathbb{R}$ .