

Testing

## ① Testing the mean of a normal population

Case I :  $\sigma^2$  is known

Suppose we want to test  $H_0 : \mu = \mu_0$  against  $H_1 : \mu < \mu_0$ , or  $H_1 : \mu > \mu_0$ , or  $H_1 : \mu \neq \mu_0$ .

For the significance level  $\alpha$ , the best critical regions (CR) are respectively: Said to,

$$z < -z_\alpha \text{ or } z > z_\alpha \text{ or } |z| \geq z_{\alpha/2}$$

The test statistic  $Z$ , statistic is

$$Z = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}$$

Using this sample, calculate the value of  $Z$ .

If  $Z$  lies in the critical region (CR), reject  $H_0$

otherwise accept  $H_0$ .

Note:  $z_\alpha$  or  $z_{\alpha/2}$  is obtained from the

standard normal table using probability of  $P(Z < -z_\alpha)$  or  $P(Z > z_\alpha)$  or  $P(|Z| \geq z_{\alpha/2})$

Case II:  $\sigma^2$  is unknown,  $n$  is large (e.g. 30)

Follow the case I by replacing  $\sigma^2$  by  $s^2$

$\sigma$  by  $s$  in 2.

Case III:  $\sigma^2$  is unknown,  $n$  is small (1 to 29)

Suppose we want to test  $H_0: M = M_0$  against one of the alternatives  $H_1: M < M_0$  or  $H_1: M > M_0$ .

The test statistic is given by

$$t = \frac{\bar{x} - M_0}{S/\sqrt{n}}$$

where,  $S = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2}$

for the significance level  $\alpha$ , the best critical regions are as respectively,  $t < t_{\alpha/2}$  or  $t > t_{\alpha/2}$  or  $|t| \geq t_{\alpha/2}$ .

where,  $t_{\alpha/2}$  is obtained from the t-table for  $n-1$  degrees of freedom, using  $P(t > t_{\alpha/2}) = \alpha/2$  and  $t_{\alpha/2}$  is obtained from the t-table for  $n-1$  degrees of freedom, using

$$P(t > t_{\alpha/2}) = \alpha$$

calculate the value of  $t$  using the sample data and if it lies in the critical region, otherwise reject  $H_0$  otherwise accept  $H_0$ .

1. A sample of 25 items were taken from a population with  $\sigma$  SD is 10 and sample mean is found to be 65. Can it be regarded as a sample from a normal population with  $M = 60$ ?

Ans: Given,

$$n = 25$$

$$\sigma = 10$$

$$\bar{x} = 65$$

$$M_0 = 60$$

we have to test  $H_0: M = 60$  against,

$$H_1: M \neq 60$$

$$\text{Let } \alpha = 0.05$$

$$\text{the critical region is } |t| \geq t_{\alpha/2} = 0.95 = 0.025$$



behavior of the sample variables is

$$z = \frac{\bar{x} - M_0}{S/\sqrt{n}}$$

$$\text{standard error} = \frac{S/\sqrt{n}}{1570 - 1600}$$

$$\text{standard error} = 120/\sqrt{100}$$

$$\text{standard error} = \frac{-300}{120} \times 10$$

$$z = \frac{-300}{120} = -2.5$$

$$\text{standard error} = \frac{-300}{120} = -2.5$$

$$\text{standard error} = \frac{-300}{120} = -2.5$$

from standard normal table,

$$\text{area} = 0.025$$

$$\text{standard error} = 3.4$$

$$0.61$$

$$P(Z > 2.5) = 0.025$$

$$P(0 < Z < 2.5) = 0.5 - 0.025$$

$$= 0.475$$

$$Z_{1/2} = 1.96$$

$$|Z| = |1 - 2.5| = 2.5$$

$$Z_{1/2} = 1.96$$

$$2 > 2.5$$

$$\text{reject}$$

$H_0$  lies in the critical region. Then  $H_0$  is rejected.

The mean life time of all the bulbs manufactured by the company is not 1600.

3. A sample of 900 members is found to have a mean of 3.4 cm and SD 0.61. can it be regarded as a sample from a large population whose mean is 3.25 cm. Use the two tail test &  $\alpha = 0.01$

$$\text{standard error} = \frac{S/\sqrt{n}}{M_0 - 3.25}$$

$$n = 900$$

$$\text{standard error} = 0.61$$

$$0.61$$

We have to test  $H_0: M_0 = 3.25$  against

$$H_1: M_0 \neq 3.25$$

$$\text{test power } d = 0.01$$

$$\text{critical region is } |Z| = Z_{1/2} = 0.01$$

$$= 0.005$$

$$\text{Test statistic } z = \frac{\bar{x} - M_0}{S/\sqrt{n}}$$

$$Z =$$

$$120/100 = 1.2 > 0.96$$

$$\text{reject}$$

Want values with 3.4 for each and mean = 38  
 $\sigma = 0.900$  then  $s = \frac{3.4 - 3.25}{0.61/\sqrt{900}}$  not reasonable

Mean of sample is 0.15 more than the sample  $\bar{x}$   
 $\Rightarrow$  Standard deviation  $s = \frac{0.15}{\sqrt{900}} = \frac{0.15}{30} = 0.005$

Correlation coefficient  $r = \frac{4.5}{0.61}$  which is reasonable  
 such as 0.005 more than the sample standard deviation  
 $= 1.72$  (less than 2.57) is not bad

from the standard normal table,

$$P(Z > 2.57) = 0.005$$

$$P(0 < Z < 2.57) = 0.005 - 0.5$$

$$= 0.5 - 0.005 = 0.495$$

Perhaps  $z = 1.72$  is not that bad

$$P(Z > 2.57) = 2.57 - 2.57$$

$$|Z| = |1.72| = 1.72$$

$|Z| < 2.57$  is not bad

$$1.72 < 2.57$$

1.72 is not bad

2 does not lies in the critical region. Then  $H_0$  is accepted



4. A sample of 10 observations means a mean = 38 and  $SD = 4$ . Can we conclude that the population mean is 40. State the null hypothesis and given information.

$$n = 10$$

$$\bar{x} = 38$$

$$s = 4$$

$$M = 40$$

We have to test  $H_0: M_0 = 40$  against  $H_1: M_1 \neq 40$

$$\text{Let } d = 0.05$$

Therefore, critical region is  $|t| > t_{\alpha/2}$

From table,  $t_{\alpha/2} = 2.262$

The test statistic is

$$t = \frac{\bar{x} - M_0}{s/\sqrt{n-1}}$$

with  $s/\sqrt{n-1} = 4/\sqrt{10-1} = 1.265$

$$0.674(M_0 - 40) = \frac{38 - 40}{4/\sqrt{10-1}}$$

$$= \frac{-2}{4/\sqrt{9}} = \frac{-2}{4/3} = -1.5$$

and,  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  from two populations having the means  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$ .

From the  $t$ -table,  $t_{\alpha/2} = \underline{\underline{2.262}}$

for  $\alpha = 0.05$  & degrees of freedom ( $df$ ) =  $9$ . ( $n_1 + n_2 - 2$ )

$$t > t_{\alpha/2}$$

$$1.5 < 2.262$$

$t$  does not lies in critical regions. Thus  $H_0$  is accepted.

(2) Testing equality of two population means  
[Normal populations]

Calculate the value  $z$  using the sample moment

For and if it lies in the LR reject  $H_0$ , otherwise accept  $H_0$ .

$$z = \frac{(\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2) - 0}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}} \quad (\because \mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0)$$

Case I :  $\sigma_1^2, \sigma_2^2$  known.

Suppose we want to test the null

hypothesis,  $H_0 : \mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0$  i.e.,

$H_0 : \mu_1 = \mu_2$  against one of the alternative  $H_1 : \mu_1 - \mu_2 < 0$  or  $H_1 : \mu_1 - \mu_2 > 0$

or  $H_1 : \mu_1 - \mu_2 \neq 0$ .

Based on independent random samples of size  $n_1$ ,

$$CR : 2 < -z_{\alpha/2} \text{ or } 2 > z_{\alpha/2} \text{ or } |z| \geq z_{\alpha/2}$$

against  $H_1 : \mu_1 - \mu_2 < 0$  or  $H_1 : \mu_1 - \mu_2 > 0$  or

$$H_1 : \mu_1 - \mu_2 \neq 0$$

when test statistic lies in one of

$$(\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2) - 0 \text{ or } \frac{(s_1^2 + s_2^2)}{n_1 + n_2} < 0$$

or  $\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2} > t_{\alpha/2}$

then we reject  $H_0$  otherwise accept  $H_0$ .

Case III:  $\sigma_1^2, \sigma_2^2$  unknown,  $n_1, n_2$  small

Here we are t-test.

$H_0: \mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0$  against one of the

alternative  $\mu_1 - \mu_2 < 0$  or  $\mu_1 - \mu_2 > 0$

or  $\mu_1 - \mu_2 \neq 0$ .

The test statistic is,

$$t = \frac{\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2}{\sqrt{\frac{s_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{s_2^2}{n_2}}}$$

where,  $\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2$  are the sample

means,  $s_1^2, s_2^2$  are the sample variances,

The  $t$ 's are respectively

$$t < -t_d \text{ or } t > t_d \text{ or } |t| \geq t_{\alpha/2}$$

where,  $t_d$  or  $t_{\alpha/2}$  are obtained from  $t$

table for  $n_1 + n_2 - 2$  d.f using  $P(t > t_d) = \alpha$

or  $P(|t| \geq t_{\alpha/2}) = \alpha$  when  $\alpha/2$

calculate the value of  $t$  and if it lies in

the CR reject  $H_0$  otherwise accept  $H_0$ .

- Q. A random sampling size 16 has 53 as mean and the sum of squares of the deviation values from the mean is 150. Can the sample be regarded as drawn from the population with mean 50.
2. A sample of size 8 from a normal population  $(6, 8, 11, 5, 9, 11, 10, 12)$ . Can such a sample be regarded as drawn from a population with mean 10 at 2% level of significance.
3. The mean life of a sample of 10 electric bulbs was observed to be 1309 hours with SD of 420 hrs. A second sample of 16 bulbs of a different batch showed a mean life of 1205 hrs

$\delta = (\mu_1 - \mu_2) \text{ with } \delta \text{ SP} = 39.0 \text{ hrs. Test whether there is significant difference b/w the means. } (d.f. = d_{20})$

4. Suppose that 64 senior girls from college A and 81 senior girls from college B had mean heights of 68.2" and 67.3" respectively. If the SD for heights of all senior girls is 2.3", is the difference b/w the two groups significant? Set alpha = 0.05.

5. A random sample of 1000 workers from factory A has wages with a mean wage of Rs. 47 per week with a SD of Rs. 12.3. A random sample of 1500 workers from factory B gives a mean wage of Rs. 49 per week with a SD of Rs. 30. Is

there any significant difference b/w these mean wages at a significance level of 0.05? Answer: No. Reason: A test with small sample size is not appropriate because A test with small sample size is not appropriate because the sample size is not large enough to provide a good estimate of the population standard deviation.

### Answers

1.

Given,  $n = 16$

$$\bar{x} = 53$$

$$S.D. = 15.0$$

$$M = 56$$

We have to test  $H_0: M_0 = 56$  against  $H_1: M_1 \neq 56$ .

$$\text{Let } \alpha = 0.05$$

Therefore, CR is  $t_{\alpha/2}$

From the table 't' table,  $t_{\alpha/2} = 2.131$

$$\text{for } \alpha = 0.05 \text{ and } df = 15.$$

The test statistic,

$$t = \frac{\bar{x} - M_0}{S/\sqrt{n-1}}$$

$$= \frac{53 - 56}{15.0/\sqrt{15}}$$

$$= \frac{-3}{15.0} \times 3.87$$

$$= \frac{-11.619}{15.0}$$

$$t = -0.077$$

$$|t| = | -0.077 | = 0.077$$

$$= \frac{-11.61}{3.06}$$

$$t > t_{\alpha/2}$$

$$0.077 < 2.131$$

$t$ -does-not-lie-in-C.R. Then  $H_0$  is accepted

$$3.79 > 2.131$$

$t$  lies in C.R. The  $H_0$  is rejected.

$$\sum (x - \bar{x})^2 = 150$$

$$\sum (x - \bar{x})^2 = 5^2$$

$$\frac{150}{16} = 9.375$$

2- Now, given,  $n = 8$

$$\alpha = 0.02$$

$$n = 7$$

$$s = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1} \sum (x - \bar{x})^2}$$

The test statistic is

$$t = \frac{\bar{x} - m_0}{s/\sqrt{n-1}}$$

$$= \frac{53 - 56}{5/4\sqrt{7}} = \frac{53 - 56}{5/4\sqrt{7}} = -3.06195$$

$$= \frac{53 - 56}{5/4\sqrt{7}} = \frac{53 - 56}{5/4\sqrt{7}} = -1.306$$

6	-3	9
8	-1	1
11	2	4
5	16	16
9	0	0
10	4	4
12	1	1
3	1	1

$$\bar{x} = \frac{\sum x}{n}$$

$$= \frac{62}{8} = 7$$

$$S^2 = \sqrt{\frac{\sum (x - \bar{x})^2}{n}} = \sqrt{\frac{4+}{8}}$$

$$= \sqrt{5.5} = 2.345$$

(6)

We have to test  $H_0: M_0 = 7$  against

$$H_1: M_1 \neq 7$$

From the  $t$  table,

$$t_{\alpha/2} = 2.998$$

The test statistic is,

$$t = \frac{\bar{x} - M_0}{S/\sqrt{n-1}}$$

$$= \frac{9 - 7}{2.34} \times \sqrt{7}$$

$$= \frac{2 \times 2.645}{2.34}$$

$$= \frac{5.29}{2.34}$$

$$= 2.261$$

$$+ > t_{\alpha/2}$$

$$2.26 < 2.998$$

$t$  does not lies main CR.  $H_0$  is accepted.

3. After given,

$$n_1 = 10$$

$$\bar{x}_1 = 130.9$$

$$S_1 = 42.0$$

$$n_2 = 16$$

$$\bar{x}_2 = 120.5$$

$$S_2 = 31.0$$

We have to test  $H_0: M_1 = M_2 = 0$  against

$$H_1: M_1 - M_2 \neq 0$$

The test statistic is,

F12.0

$$t = \frac{\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2}{\sqrt{\frac{n_1 s_1^2 + n_2 s_2^2}{n_1 + n_2 - 2} \left( \frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2} \right)}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Given: } & \bar{x}_1 = 1309, \bar{x}_2 = 1205 \\ \text{Given: } & s_1^2 = 420^2 = 176400 \\ & s_2^2 = 390^2 = 152100 \\ & n_1 = 10, n_2 = 16 \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1309 - 1205}{\sqrt{\frac{(10 \times 176400) + (16 \times 152100)}{10 + 16 - 2} \left( \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{16} \right)}}$$

$$= \frac{104}{\sqrt{\frac{1764000 + 2433600}{24} \left( \frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{16} \right)}}$$

$$= \frac{104}{\sqrt{\frac{4197600}{24} (0.1625)}}$$

$$= \frac{104}{\sqrt{\frac{174900 \times 0.1625}{24}}}$$

$$= \frac{104}{\sqrt{28421.25}} \quad \text{if } \neq \text{ or } \approx$$

$$t = 0.617$$

(Q)

Let  $\alpha = 0.05$

Therefore CR is  $H_1 \geq t_{\alpha/2}$

From the 't' table,

If  $t$  is greater than  $t_{\alpha/2} = 2.064$

for  $\alpha = 0.05$  and  $df = 10 + 16 - 2 = 24$

$t = 0.617 \leq 2.064$

Therefore  $H_0$  is accepted.

$t$  does not lies in CR.  $H_0$  is accepted.

### (x) Testing of equality (difference) of two population proportion.

Here we are testing the equality of 2 population proportions or the significance difference b/w population proportions.

2 sample proportions.

Let  $p_1$ : proportion of success of the 1st population

$p_2$ : proportion of success of the 2nd population

$x_1$ : no. of success in the 1st sample

1085103145

$x_1$ : no. of success in the 1st sample  
 $n_1$ : 1st sample size

$n_2$ : second sample size

$p_1'$ : proportion of success of the 1st sample ( $= \frac{x_1}{n_1}$ )

$p_2'$ : proportion of success of the 2nd sample ( $\frac{x_2}{n_2}$ )

Suppose we want to test the null hypothesis

$H_0: p_1 - p_2 = 0$  against one of the

alternatives :  $H_1: p_1 - p_2 < 0$

or  $H_1: p_1 - p_2 > 0$

or  $H_1: p_1 - p_2 \neq 0$

Suppose we have two independent large samples of size  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  with proportion of success  $p_1'$  and  $p_2'$  respectively.

For significance level  $\alpha$ , the CRs are

obtaining respectively  $-z_{\alpha/2} < Z_1 < z_{\alpha/2}$  and  $-z_{\alpha/2} < Z_2 < z_{\alpha/2}$

or  $|Z_1| > z_{\alpha/2}$  and  $|Z_2| > z_{\alpha/2}$

The test statistic is,

$$Z = \frac{p_1' - p_2'}{\sqrt{p^* q^* (\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2})}}$$

where,

$$p^* = \frac{n_1 p_1' + n_2 p_2'}{n_1 + n_2}$$

$$q^* = 1 - p^*$$

Calculate  $Z$  and if it lies in the Critical region reject it, otherwise accept it.

6. In a <sup>(Ques)</sup> survey 70 business firms H.W. found that 75 are planning to expand their capacities next year. Does the sample information contradict the hypothesis that 70% of the firms are planning to expand next year.
7. In a die throwing experiment, the theory of 3 or 6 is reckoned as a success. Suppose 9000 times tries the die was thrown resulting 3240 success. Do you have reasons to believe that the die is an unbiased one.
8. Before an increase in excise duty on tea 800 persons out of a sample 1000 persons were found to be tea drinkers. After an increase in duty 800 people were tea drinkers in a sample of 1200 people. Test whether there is significant decrease in the consumption of tea after the increase in duty.

#### Answers

A.

equality of 2 population means

$$\text{Given, } n_1 = 64$$

$$\bar{x}_1 = 68.2$$

$$\sigma_1^2 = 24.3$$

$$n_2 = 81$$

$$\bar{x}_2 = 67.3$$

$$\sigma_2^2 = 24.3$$

We want to test  $H_0: M_1 = M_2 = 0$  against

$$H_1: M_1 - M_2 \neq 0$$

$$\text{Let } \alpha = 0.05$$

the test statistic is

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \frac{(\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2)}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}} \\ &= \frac{68.2 - 67.5}{\sqrt{\frac{(2.4+3)^2}{64} + \frac{(2.4+3)^2}{81}}} \\ &= \frac{0.9}{\sqrt{3.796 + 0.9}} \\ &= \frac{0.9}{\sqrt{6.797}} = \frac{0.9}{\sqrt{\frac{59.0+9}{64} + \frac{59.0+9}{81}}} \\ &= \frac{0.9}{\sqrt{0.922.64 + 0.922}} \\ &= \frac{0.9}{\sqrt{0.922.64 + 0.922}} = \frac{0.9}{0.4063} \\ &= 0.022 \quad 0.215 \end{aligned}$$

The critical region is

$$|Z| = 2\alpha/2 = \frac{0.05}{2} = 0.025$$

$$2\alpha/2 = 1.96$$

$$Z > z_{\alpha/2}$$

$$0.022 < 1.96$$

$$0.215 > 1.96$$

Z lies in CR. H<sub>0</sub> is rejected.

5.

Here,

$$\text{Given, } \bar{x}_1 = 4.7$$

$$n_1 = 1000$$

$$\sigma_1 = 2.3$$

$$\bar{x}_2 = 1500$$

$$n_2 = 1500$$

$$\bar{x}_2 = 4.9$$

$$\sigma_2 = 3.0$$

We have to test, H<sub>0</sub> : M<sub>1</sub> = M<sub>2</sub> against

H<sub>a</sub> : M<sub>1</sub> ≠ M<sub>2</sub>.

$$\text{Let } d = 0.02$$

The test statistic is

$$Z = \frac{\bar{x}_1 - \bar{x}_2}{\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1^2}{n_1} + \frac{\sigma_2^2}{n_2}}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sqrt{\frac{47 - 49}{\frac{2^2}{1000} + \frac{30^2}{1500}}} \\
 &= \sqrt{\frac{-2}{\frac{529}{1000} + \frac{900}{1500}}} \\
 &= \sqrt{\frac{-2}{0.529 + 6}} \\
 &= \sqrt{\frac{-2}{6.529}} = \underline{\underline{-2}} \\
 &= \frac{-2}{\sqrt{1.129}} = \underline{\underline{-1.882}}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$|z| = 1.882 = \underline{\underline{1.882}}$$

$$z_{\alpha/2} = \frac{0.02}{2} = 0.01$$

$$P(z > z_{\alpha/2}) = 0.02$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(z < z_{\alpha/2}) &= 0.5 - 0.01 \\
 &= 0.49 \\
 &= \underline{\underline{2.33}}
 \end{aligned}$$

(ii)

$Z > z_{\alpha/2}$  in case of rejection

i.e. if difference between mean is large  
than standard deviation is very large

$|z| > z_{\alpha/2}$  i.e.  $H_0$  is accepted.



$\alpha/2$	$z_{\alpha/2}$
0.01	2.58
0.02	2.33
0.05	1.96

### Test of Population proportion of success of a population

By testing population proportion of success we mean the testing of the significant difference b/w population proportion of success and the sample proportion of success.

Let  $P$ : Population proportion of success (unknown)

$P_0$ : the assumed value of  $P$  (given)

$p'$ :  $\frac{x}{n}$ ; the proportion of success of a sample

$x$ : the no. of success

$n$ : Sample size

Suppose we want to test the null hypothesis

$$H_0: P_0 = P_0 \quad \text{Vs. } H_1: P \neq P_0$$

$H_0: P = P_0$  base on a large sample of size  $n$  whose proportion of success  $p^1$ .

For the significance level  $\alpha$ , critical regions are respectively,  $Z_{1-\alpha/2} > Z \geq Z_{\alpha/2}$

The test statistic is,

$$Z = \frac{p^1 - P_0}{\sqrt{\frac{P_0(1-P_0)}{n}}}$$

calculate the value of  $Z$  and if it lies in the

critical region, reject  $H_0$ , otherwise accept  $H_0$ .

(3) Answers  
Testing of populations of proportion of answers.

population :-

6. Consider a test of proportion for,

$n = 70$

$x = 45$

$$P_0 = \frac{70}{100} = 0.7$$

We have to test,  $H_0: P = 0.7$  against

$H_1: P \neq 0.7$

$$\text{Let } \alpha = 0.05$$

the CR is  $-Z_{\alpha/2} \leq Z \leq Z_{\alpha/2}$

$$\text{where, } Z = \frac{p^1 - P_0}{\sqrt{\frac{P_0(1-P_0)}{n}}}$$

$$p^1 = \frac{x}{n} = \frac{45}{70} = 0.64$$

$$\text{we have, } P_0 = 0.70$$

$$1 - P_0 = 1 - 0.7$$

$$= 0.3$$

$$Z = \frac{0.64 - 0.70}{\sqrt{\frac{0.7 \times 0.3}{70}}} = \frac{-0.06}{\sqrt{0.003}}$$

$$= \frac{-0.06}{\sqrt{0.003}} = \frac{-0.06}{\sqrt{0.003}}$$

$$= \frac{-0.06}{0.0548}$$

$$= -1.0948$$

$$(Z) = 1 - 1.0948 = 1.0948$$

$$z > z_{\alpha/2}$$

$$1.01049 < 1.96$$

$z$  does not lies in the CR.  $H_0$  is accepted.

7. Given, test is proportion test,

$$n = 9000$$

$$P_0 = \frac{2}{6} = \frac{1}{3}$$

$$x = 3240$$

We have to test :  $H_0: P = \frac{1}{3}$  against

$$H_1: P \neq \frac{1}{3}$$

$$\text{Let } \alpha = 0.05$$

$$\text{The CR. is } |z| \geq z_{\alpha/2} = 1.96$$

$$\text{where } z = \frac{P_1 - P_0}{\sqrt{\frac{P_0(1-P_0)}{n}}}$$

$$P_1 = \frac{x}{n} = \frac{3240}{9000} = 0.36$$

$$P_0 = \frac{1}{3}$$

$$z_0 = 1 - \frac{1}{3} = \frac{2}{3}$$

$$z = \frac{0.36 - 0.33}{\sqrt{\frac{0.33 \times 0.67}{9000}}} = \frac{0.03}{\sqrt{0.0000242}}$$

$$= \frac{0.03}{\sqrt{\frac{0.2178}{9000}}} = \frac{0.03}{\sqrt{0.0000242}}$$

$$z = \frac{0.03}{0.0049} =$$

$$= 6.12$$

$$|z| = |6.12| = 6.12$$

$$6.12 > 1.96$$

$z$  lies in the CR.  $H_0$  is rejected.

### 8. ANSWER

Given, test is equality proportion test

$$\frac{800}{n_1} + \frac{800}{n_2} = 1.96$$

$$800 \times 0.0002 + 800 \times 0.0002 = 1.96$$

$$0.0002 = 0.0002$$

$$n_1 = 1000$$

$$n_2 = 1200$$

$$x_2 = 800$$

we have,  $P_1 = \frac{x_1}{n_1}$

$$P_1 = \frac{800}{1000} = 0.8$$

$$P_2 = \frac{800}{1200} = 0.47$$

we have to test,

$$H_0: P_1 - P_2 = 0 \quad \text{vs} \quad P_1 - P_2 > 0$$

$$\text{Let } \alpha = 0.05, \quad 0.5 - 0.05 = 0.45$$

$$\text{The CR is } z \geq z_{\alpha/2} = 1.96$$

$$z = \frac{x_1 - x_2}{\sqrt{P^* q^* (1/n_1 + 1/n_2)}} = 1.65$$

The test statistic is

$$z = \frac{P_1' - P_2'}{\sqrt{P^* q^* (1/n_1 + 1/n_2)}}$$

where,  $P^* = \frac{n_1 P_1' + n_2 P_2'}{n_1 + n_2} \quad | \quad \frac{x_1 + x_2}{n_1 + n_2}$

$$= \frac{1000 \times 0.8 + 1200 \times 0.47}{1000 + 1200}$$

$$P^* = 0.67$$

$$= \frac{800 + 800}{2000} = \frac{1600}{2000} = 0.8$$

$$q^* = 1 - P^*$$

$$q^* = 1 - 0.8 = 0.2$$

$$z = \frac{0.8 - 0.47}{\sqrt{0.8 \times 0.2 (1/1000 + 1/1200)}}$$

$$= \frac{0.13}{\sqrt{0.2016 (0.001 + 0.00084)}} = 0.13$$

$$= \frac{0.13}{\sqrt{0.2016 \times 0.00184}} = 0.13$$

$$= \frac{0.13}{\sqrt{0.00037}} = 0.13 / 0.0192 = 0.0192$$

$$= 6.7708$$

$$|z| = 2 > 2 \approx 1.96$$

$$= 6.77 > 1.65$$

$H_0$  is rejected.  $\therefore P_1 > P_2$

There is significant decrease in consumption of tea after tea was excluded.

Given,

$$n_1 = 600$$

$$x_1 = 450$$

$$n_2 = 900$$

$$x_2 = 450$$

we have,  $P_1^* = \frac{x_1}{n_1} = \frac{450}{600} = 0.75$

$$P_2^* = \frac{x_2}{n_2} = \frac{450}{900} = 0.5$$

We have to test,  
 $H_0: P_1 - P_2 = 0$  vs  $P_1 - P_2 \neq 0$

Let  $\alpha = 0.05$        $0.5 - 0.05 = 0.45$

The test statistic  $Z$ ,

$$Z = \frac{P_1^* - P_2^*}{\sqrt{P_1^* P_2^* \left( \frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2} \right)}}$$

$$P_1^* = \frac{x_1 + x_2}{n_1 + n_2} = \frac{600 + 900}{600 + 900} = \frac{450 + 450}{600 + 900}$$

$$= \frac{900}{1500} = 0.6$$

$$q^* = 1 - 0.6 = 0.4$$

$$Z = \frac{0.75 - 0.5}{\sqrt{0.6 \times 0.4 \left( \frac{1}{600} + \frac{1}{900} \right)}}$$

$$= \frac{0.25}{\sqrt{0.24 \left( 0.00167 + 0.00111 \right)}} = \frac{0.25}{\sqrt{0.002787}}$$

$$= \frac{0.25}{\sqrt{0.002787}} = \frac{0.25}{\sqrt{0.00068}}$$

$$= 0.965$$

$$= \frac{0.25}{0.0262}$$

$$= 9.54$$

$$\therefore |Z| = 9.54 > Z_{1/2} \\ = 9.54 > 1.96$$

Therefore  $H_0$  is rejected.

### b) Paired t-test

Consider a data obtained by observing the values of some attribute of different elements of the population, observed 'before or after'. For instance, measure a certain reaction of human subjects before ( $x_i$ ) and after some treatment. Has an effect or not.

Here we have two samples,  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  (values "before") and  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n$  (values "after"). But they are dependent samples.

Under some assumptions we use one sample test. We imagine that the observations are such that the differences  $d_i = x_i - y_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$  have the same normal distribution with mean  $\mu$  & variance of  $\sigma^2$ .

Note: The values  $x_i$  or  $y_i$  separately do not need to have normal distribution.

We may wish to test the null hypothesis  $H_0: \mu = \mu_0$  vs one or two sided alternative  $H_1: \mu > \mu_0$ , or  $H_1: \mu \neq \mu_0$ .

In most typical applications we set up hypothesis as  $H_0: \mu = 0$  vs  $H_1: \mu \neq 0$

(i.e. we assume that the treatment has no effect against the alternative that the parameter treatment has effect).

The test statistic is,

$$t = \frac{\bar{d}}{S_d / \sqrt{n-1}}$$

$$\text{where, } \bar{d} = \frac{\sum d_i}{n}, \quad S_d = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n-1} \sum d_i^2 - \bar{d}^2}$$

$$d_i = x_i - y_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

For the significance level  $\alpha$ , the BCPS  $\alpha$  is  $|t| > t_{\alpha/2}$ . Here  $t_{\alpha/2}$  is obtained by referring the t-table for  $n-1$  degrees of freedom and  $\alpha$ . Calculate the value of the test statistic. If it lies in the LR, reject  $H_0$ . Otherwise accept it.

Ex 1. Ten soldiers visit a rifle range for two consecutive weeks. For the 1st week, their scores are 67, 24, 57, 55, 63, 54, 56, 68, 33, 43 and during the second week, they score in the

game order 70, 38, 58, 56, 50, 67, 68, 72,  
48, 38. Examine if there is significant difference  
between them.

In their programme?

Ans:

$x_i$	67	24	57	55	63	54	56	68	33	45
$y_i$	70	38	58	58	56	67	68	72	42	38
$d_i$ (difference)	-3	-14	-1	-3	7	-13	-12	-4	-9	5
$d_i^2$	9	196	1	9	49	169	144	16	81	25

$$\bar{d} = \frac{\sum d_i}{n} = \frac{-47}{10} = -4.7$$

$$S_d = \sqrt{\frac{\sum d_i^2}{n} - \bar{d}^2}$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{699}{10} - (-4.7)^2}$$

$$= \sqrt{69.9 - 22.09} = \sqrt{47.81}$$

$$S_d = \sqrt{6.91}$$

$H_0$ : There is no difference,  $M = 0$

$H_1$ : These are different (performance)  $M \neq 0$

and it was predicted because this might true

The test statistic is

$$t = \frac{\bar{d}}{S_d / \sqrt{n}}$$

$$= \frac{-4.7}{6.91 / \sqrt{9}} = \frac{-4.7}{20.7} \\ = -2.04$$

Let  $\alpha = 0.05$

$$df = n-1 = 10-1 = 9$$

Table value ( $t$ -table) = 2.262

$$|t| = |-2.04| = 2.04$$

$|t| > t_{0.05/2}$

$2.04 > 2.262$

It is accepted. That is, there is no difference in their performance.

2. A certain stimulus administered to each of the 12 patients resulted in the following increase of blood pressure. 5, 2, 8, -1, 3, 0, -2, 1, 5, 0, 4, 6.

Can it be concluded that the stimulus will, in general, be accompanied by an increase in blood pressure?

Ans:	$d_i$	5	2	8	-1	3	0	-2	1	5	0	4	6
	$d_i^2$	25	4	64	1	9	0	4	1	25	0	16	36

$$\sum d_i = 31$$

$$\sum d_i^2 = 185$$

$$d\bar{d} = \frac{\sum d_i}{n} = \frac{31}{12} = 2.58$$

$$S_d = \sqrt{\frac{\sum d_i^2 - \sum d\bar{d}}{n-1}}$$

$$= \sqrt{\frac{185 - (2.58)^2}{12-1}} = 2.96$$

$H_0$ : There is no difference (no increase)

$H_1$ : There is increase in blood pressure

$$df = n-1 = 12-1 = 11$$

$$t = \frac{d\bar{d}}{S_d \sqrt{n-1}} = \frac{2.58}{2.96 \sqrt{11}} = 2.9$$

$$t_{x/2} = 1.796$$

$$t = 2.9 > 1.796$$

$H_0$  is rejected.

There is increase in blood pressure.

### ANOVA

- As head of a department of a consumer's research organisation you have responsibility to testing and comparing life times of light bulbs for four brands of bulbs. Suppose you test the lifetime of these bulbs of each of the four brands. The test data are as shown below, each entry representing the lifetime of a bulb, measured in hundred of hours.

	Brand			
	A	B	C	D
20	25	24	23	
19	23	20	20	
21	21	22	20	

Can we infer that the mean lifetime of the four brands are equal?

Ans.: The null hypothesis is that the avg. lifetime of the four brands of the bulbs are equal.

$$H_0: \mu_1 = \mu_2 = \mu_3 = \mu_4$$

Let,  $\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \bar{x}_3, \bar{x}_4$  denote mean life time of brand A, B, C and D respectively and  $\bar{\bar{x}}$  be the overall grand mean.

$$\text{then, } \bar{x} = \frac{x_1 + x_2 + x_3 + x_4}{4}$$

$$\begin{array}{cccc} 20 & 25 & 24 & 25 \\ 19 & 23 & 20 & 20 \\ \hline 21 & 21 & 22 & 20 \\ \hline \text{Total} & 60 & 69 & 66 \\ & 69 & 66 & 63 \end{array}$$

$$\bar{x}_1 = 20, \quad \bar{x}_2 = \frac{60}{3} = 20$$

$$\bar{x}_3 = 23, \quad \bar{x}_4 = 21$$

$$\text{Grand mean} = \bar{\bar{x}} = \frac{\bar{x}_1 + \bar{x}_2 + \bar{x}_3 + \bar{x}_4}{4} = \frac{20 + 23 + 22 + 21}{4} = 22$$

The variance 'b/w samples' can be computed as follows,

$x$	$\bar{x}$	$x - \bar{x}$	$(x - \bar{x})^2$
20	21.50	-1.5	2.25
23	21.50	1.5	2.25
22	21.50	0.5	0.25
21	21.50	-0.5	0.25
		total	5.00

$$\sum (x - \bar{x})^2 = 5.00$$

$$S_x^2 = \frac{\sum (x - \bar{x})^2}{n-1} = \frac{5.00}{3} = 1.667$$

$$\sigma^2 = m \times S_x^2 = 3 \times 1.667$$

$n = n = 8$  sample  
 $m = \text{sample size}$

$\therefore$  the first estimate of the population variance based on the variance b/w samples,  $= 5$ , say,  $S^2$

The variance within samples can be computed as follows:-

A		B		C		D	
$x$	$(x - \bar{x})^2$						
20	0	25	4	24	4	23	4
19	1	23	0	20	4	20	1
$\frac{21}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{21}{6}$	$\frac{4}{6}$	$\frac{22}{6}$	$\frac{2}{6}$	$\frac{20}{6}$	$\frac{1}{6}$

for brand A,

$$\bar{x} = 20, \quad S_1^2 = \frac{\sum(x - \bar{x})^2}{m-1} = \frac{2}{3-1} = \frac{2}{2} = 1$$

for barrel B,

$$\bar{x} = 23, \quad S_x^2 = \frac{8}{2} = 4$$

for beard c

$$x = 22, \quad s_3^2 = \frac{8}{2} = 4$$

for barrel D,

$$x = 21, \quad s_4^2 = \frac{6}{2} = 3 \frac{1}{1}$$

$\therefore$  the pooled estimate  $s^2$  is given by,

$$S_2^2 = \frac{S_1^2 + S_2^2 + S_3^2 + S_4^2}{4}.$$

The estimate of the population variance based on

'within sample' = 3 : say,  $S_2^2$

$$\therefore F = \frac{\text{Variance b/w the samples}}{\text{Variance within samples}}$$

$$= \frac{s_1^2}{s_2^2} = \frac{5}{3} = 1.67$$

Learn the F-table, the table value of F for (3, 8) d.f. at 5% level of significance is

$$\therefore F_{\text{cat}} = 1.67 / F_{\text{table}} = 4.07$$

Re: Final Settlement we accept Ho.

i.e. we can infer that the avg. lifetime of different brands of bulbs are equal.

~~X-test~~, müssen nicht sein, das geht

## $\chi^2$ -test for goodness of fit

If we have a set of frequencies of a distribution obtained by an experiment and if we are interested knowing whether these frequencies are consistent with those which may be obtained based on some theory? Then we can use  $\chi^2$ -test, for goodness of fit for this purpose.

1) H<sub>0</sub>: There is goodness of fit b/w observed & expected frequencies.

2) Compute the test statistic,  $\chi^2 = \sum \left( \frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i} \right)$

where  $O_i$  stands for observed frequencies

and  $E_i$  stands for expected frequencies.

Note: Observed frequencies are available as a given problem. But expected frequencies are to be computed.

3) Degree of freedom =  $n - r - 1$ , where  $r$  is the no. of independent constraints to be satisfied by the frequencies.

Note: For a frequency distribution it is the no. of parameters computed from the data.

4) Obtain the table value of  $\chi^2$  for  $d.f$  and  $\alpha.d$ .

5) If the calculated value of  $\chi^2$  is "less than" the table value, we conclude that there is goodness of fit. (Concept).

1. 8 coins were tossed 256 times. The results obtained are given below:- Test whether the coins are unbiased.

(using  $\chi^2$  test)

No. of heads = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8  
Frequency = 2, 10, 25, 50, 35, 56, 21, 19, 16

$$\text{Ans: } P = P(\text{getting head in toss}) = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$n = 8 \quad q = 1 - \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$$

Here, 'no. of heads' follows binomial distribution.

Therefore,

$$P(x) = \binom{8}{x} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^x \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{8-x}$$

$$x = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8.$$

Ho: There is goodness of fit b/w Obs & Exp.

x	P(x)	$\frac{E_i}{N \times P(x)}$
0	$\frac{1}{256}$	1
1	$8 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^1 \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^7 = \frac{8}{256}$	8
2	$8 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^6 = \frac{28}{256}$	28
3	$8 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^3 \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^5 = \frac{56}{256}$	56
4	$8 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^4 \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^4 = \frac{70}{256}$	70
5	$8 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^5 \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^3 = \frac{56}{256}$	56
6	$8 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^6 \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 = \frac{28}{256}$	28
7	$8 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^7 \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^1 = \frac{8}{256}$	8
8	$8 \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^8 \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^0 = \frac{1}{256}$	1

$O_i$	$E_i$	$O_i - E_i$	$(O_i - E_i)^2 / E_i$
12(2+10)	9(1+8)	3	9
25	28	-3	9
50	56	-6	36
35	70	-35	25
58	56	2	4
21	28	-7	49
15(9+6)	9(8+1)	6	36
			<u>8.14</u>

$\chi^2 = \sum \frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i}$

$= 8.14 //$

$df = 7-1 = 6 //$

$\alpha = 0.05$

From the  $\chi^2$ -table,

level of significance, is, 12.592

$\chi^2 < \alpha$

Ho accepted. That there is goodness of fit b/w observed & expected frequency.

Ho: Two coins are not biased.

Testing independence of 2 attributes

- 1) Ho: 2 attributes are independent (they are not associated)
- 2) Calculate the test statistic by the formula,

$$\chi^2 = \sum \frac{(O_{ij} - E_{ij})^2}{E_{ij}}$$

where  $O_{ij}$  is the observed frequency  
 $E_{ij}$  is the expected frequency

- 3) Degree of freedom,  $df = (r-1)(c-1)$
- 4) Obtain the table value for the df & desired level of significance  $\alpha$ .

(83) b) if the calculated value of  $\chi^2$  less than the table value of  $\chi^2$ , accept  $H_0$ . Otherwise reject it.

From the following data we use  $\chi^2$ -test and conclude whether inoculation is effective in preventing tuberculosis.

	Attacked	Not attacked	Total
Inoculated	31	469	500
Non-inoculated	185	1315	1500
			2000

Ans:  $H_0$ : the two attributes namely, attack & inoculation are independent i.e., is not effective

The observed frequencies ( $O_i$ ) are: 31, 469, 185, 1315

Observed frequencies are ( $O_i$ ):

Observed frequency Table

	Attacked	non-attacked	Total
Inoculated	31	469	500
Non-inoculated	185	1315	1500
	216	1784	2000

Expected Frequency Table

$\frac{500 \times 216}{2000} = 54$	$\frac{500 \times 1784}{2000} = 446$
$\frac{1500 \times 216}{2000} = 162$	$\frac{1500 \times 1784}{2000} = 1388$

$O_i$	$E_i$	$O_i - E_i$	$(O_i - E_i)^2 / E_i$
31	54	-23	5.796
469	446	23	1.186
185	162	23	3.265
1315	1388	-23	0.395

$$\chi^2 = \sum \frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i}$$

$$= 14.64$$

$$df = (r-1)(c-1)$$

$$= (2-1)(2-1)$$

$$= 1 \times 1 = 1$$

$$\alpha = 0.05$$

From the  $\chi^2$ -table, value = 3.841.

$$\chi^2_{\text{cal}} = 14.64 > \chi^2\text{-table value} = 3.841$$

$H_0$ :  $P_S$  is rejected.

$P_S$  is ineffective

$\therefore$  Attack & inoculation are not independent

The inoculation is effective.