Lecture 2: OS Structure

CSC 469H1F Fall 2006 Angela Demke Brown



Overview

- Motivation: Why talk about structure?
- Kernel structures
 - Monolithic kernels
 - Open systems
 - · Microkernels
 - Kernel Extensions (Tuesday)
 - Virtual Machines (Tuesday)




Motivation

- Let's review what OS provides...
 - Abstraction layers
 - Protection boundaries
 - Resource allocators
 - Resource schedulers
- It's complicated!
 - Windows NT ~29 million lines of code (as of 2000)



Monolithic OS






Properties of Monolithic Kernels

- OS is all in one place, below the "red line"
- Applications use a well-defined system call interface to interact with kernel
- Examples: Unix, Windows NT/XP, Linux, BSD, OS/161
 - Common in commercial systems
- Advantages?
 - Good performance, well-understood, easy for kernel developers, high level of protection between applications
- Disadvantages?

 No protection between kernel components, not (safely, easily) extensible, overall structure becomes complicated (no clear boundaries between modules)

CSC469 Week 1

Open Systems






Properties of Open Systems

- Applications, libraries, kernel all in the same address space
- · Crazy?
 - · MS-DOS
 - Mac OS 9 and earlier
 - Windows ME, 98, 95, 3.1, etc.
 - Palm OS and some embedded systems
- Used to be very common
- Advantages?
 - Very good performance, very extensible, works well for single-user OS
- Disadvantages?
 - No protection by kernel and/or apps, not very stable, composing extensions can lead to unpredictable behavior

CSC469 Week 1

Microkernel OS



CSC469

Properties of Microkernels

- Design Philosophy: protected kernel code provides minimal "small, clean, logical" set of abstractions
 - Tasks and threads
 - Virtual memory
 - Interprocess communication
- Everything else is a server process running at user-level
- Examples: Mach, Chorus, QNX, GNU Hurd
- Mixed results ...



Microkernel Advantages

- Extensible: add a new server to add new OS functionality
- Kernel does not determine operating system environment
 - Allows support for multiple OS personalities
 - Need an emulation server for each system (e.g. Mac, Windows, Unix)
 - All applications run on same microkernel
 - Applications can use customized OS (e.g. for databases)



More Advantages

- Mostly hardware agnostic
 - Threads, IPC, user-level servers don't need to worry about underlying hardware
- Strong protection
 - Even of the OS against itself (i.e., the parts of the OS that are implemented as servers)
- Easy extension to multiprocessor and distributed systems




Microkernel Disadvantages

- · Performance
 - System calls can require a lot of protection mode changes (next slide)
- Expensive to reimplement everything with a new model
 - OS personalities are easier to port to new hardware after porting to microkernel, but porting to microkernel may be harder than porting to new hardware
- Bad past history
 - See IBM Workplace OS story



Microkernel System Call Example

- Application calls read(), traps to microkernel
- microkernel sends message to Unix Personality requesting read
- Unix personality sends message to File System Server (FSS) asking for data
- 4. FSS receives message and begins processing
- 5. FSS sends message to microkernel asking for disk blocks
- 6. Microkernel sends data back to FSS
- 7. FSS sends message to UNIX Personality with results
- 8. Unix Personality receives message with data
- Unix Personality sends data to Application
- 10. Application receives data





The Mach Microkernel

- CMU Research Project
- · The Plan:
 - Step 1: Proof of Concept
 - · Take BSD 4.1 and "fix" VM, threads, IPC
 - Step 2: Microkernel and "single-server" Unix emulation
 - · Take unix kernel and "saw it in half"
 - Step 3: Microkernel and multiple servers (for FS, paging, network, etc.)
 - Servers glued together by modules that catch system calls



Mach

· Reality:

- Proof of concept completed in 1989
 - Unix server, SMP support, kernel threads, 5
 HW architectures
 - Commercial deployment: Encore Multimax, Convex Exemplar, OSF/1, NeXT (and eventually to OS X)
- Microkernel and single-server completed and deployed to 10's of machines
- · Multi-server never fully completed




Key Mach Abstractions

- Tasks/threads
 - Tasks are passive (address space + resources)
 - Threads are active, perform computation
- Ports
 - Message origin / destination
 - Have access rights (embodied as capabilities)
 - · Essentially an object reference mechanism
- Messages
 - Basis of all communication in Mach
- Devices
- Memory objects and memory cache objects



Tasks, threads and communication




CSC469

Mach External pager

Figure from "Distributed Systems, Concepts and Design"
Coulouris, Dollimore & Kindberg

Address space maps memory objects; microkernel maintains cache of memory object contents in physical memory while a user-level pager manages the backing store for each object. External pager may be on same, or different machine.



CSC469

Next Time...

- OS Extensions
- The Exokernel
- Virtual machines

