

# Deepfake Image Detection Using GANception

\*

Shrinivas S Patil

*Department of Computer Applications*

PES University

Bangalore, India

shrivaspatil008@gmail.com

Santosh S Katti

*Department of Computer Application*

PES University

Bangalore, India

santosh\_katti@pes.edu

**Abstract**—This application focuses on developing a sophisticated deep fake image detection system using deep neural networks (DNNs). Leveraging advanced machine learning algorithms, the system aims to accurately differentiate between real and manipulated images across various platforms, especially in social media contexts where deep fakes pose significant risks. GAN-based detection techniques play a crucial role by analyzing inherent artifacts and inconsistencies that are often present in deep fake images. These artifacts, introduced during the generative process, include abnormal textures, unnatural facial expressions, and discrepancies in lighting or shadow consistency. By detecting such subtle cues, the system enhances its ability to flag manipulated content effectively.

**Index Terms**—Deepfake Detection,

## I. INTRODUCTION

Deepfake technology has seen rapid advancements, leveraging deep learning techniques to create highly realistic fake images and videos. While this innovation has revolutionized fields like entertainment, augmented reality, and digital content creation, it also raises serious ethical and security concerns. The widespread misuse of deepfake technology has led to issues such as misinformation, identity theft, and digital fraud, making it increasingly difficult to differentiate between authentic and manipulated media. As deepfake algorithms become more sophisticated, traditional detection methods struggle to keep up, necessitating the development of more robust and intelligent solutions. This project focuses on Deepfake Image Detection using a GAN-based approach termed "GANception." The system leverages the power of GANs to learn and identify subtle artifacts present in deepfake images, which are often imperceptible to the human eye. By training on a dataset containing both real and fake images, the model learns to extract deep features that differentiate authentic images from AI-generated ones. The detection framework aims to enhance security in digital media by providing an efficient and accurate method for identifying deepfake content, contributing to the fight against digital manipulation and online misinformation.

## II. RELATED WORK

Deepfake detection has gained significant attention with the rise of generative models capable of producing highly

realistic synthetic media. Early approaches primarily relied on Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) to identify spatial inconsistencies, unnatural textures, or facial warping in manipulated images. Models such as MesoNet [1] and XceptionNet [2] demonstrated strong performance on benchmark datasets like FaceForensics++, but their ability to generalize across different types of forgeries remained limited. To address this, researchers explored deeper architectures and feature-level fusion to improve robustness against adversarial examples and cross-dataset variations.

In parallel, Generative Adversarial Networks (GANs) have not only facilitated the creation of deepfakes but also inspired novel detection strategies. Several studies leveraged GAN-discriminator architectures to detect artifacts unintentionally embedded during synthesis [3]. Other research focused on extracting frequency-domain features [4] or temporal inconsistencies, especially in video-based forgeries [5]. Despite advancements, many existing methods either lack scalability or struggle with maintaining accuracy across unseen data, emphasizing the ongoing need for more adaptive and artifact-aware detection mechanisms.

## III. METHODOLOGY

The field of deepfake detection has seen significant advancements in recent years, primarily driven by the rapid evolution of generative adversarial networks (GANs) and synthetic media tools. As deepfakes become more realistic and accessible, researchers have explored diverse approaches to identify and counteract them effectively.

### A. CNN-Based Deepfake Detection

Initial work on deepfake detection focused heavily on convolutional neural networks (CNNs). Architectures like XceptionNet, used in the Deepfake Detection Challenge (DFDC), and EfficientNet, have demonstrated strong performance in identifying facial manipulations by learning spatial and texture-based discrepancies. However, these models are often large and computationally expensive, making them less suitable for real-time deployment or resource-constrained environments.

### B. Vision Transformers (ViT) and Hybrid Models

Recent efforts have incorporated transformer-based architectures such as Vision Transformers (ViT) and Swin Transformers. These models excel at capturing long-range dependencies and global features in images, outperforming CNNs in some benchmarks. Despite their accuracy, their inference speed remains a challenge for real-time applications, especially on edge devices.

### C. Artifact-Based Detection

A promising direction involves detecting GAN artifacts rather than relying solely on global features. These artifacts include checkerboard patterns, frequency anomalies, and inconsistencies in pupil shapes or shadows. Works like “Exposing GAN-generated Faces Using Inconsistent Head Poses” and “Detecting Deepfake Videos with Spatiotemporal CNNs” illustrate how even high-quality fakes exhibit localized visual inconsistencies.

Your system, GANception, builds upon this direction by leveraging the discriminator of a trained GAN model as the core of the deepfake classifier. This approach exploits the discriminator’s inherent ability to identify fake images by learning the subtle differences between real and generated distributions.

### D. Real-Time and Batch Detection Systems

While many models focus purely on detection accuracy, few address the deployment environment. Existing systems like Deepware Scanner or Microsoft’s Video Authenticator offer tools for deepfake detection but are either proprietary or not optimized for batch processing and real-time feedback.

**Real-Time Detection:** Integration with OpenCV allows detection from live camera feeds with low latency, making it suitable for surveillance or biometric verification systems.

**Batch Detection:** Users can analyze multiple images simultaneously, a feature useful in forensics and archival analysis.

### E. Image Normalization and Preprocessing

Effective preprocessing enhances detection accuracy. Techniques like face alignment, grayscale conversion, histogram equalization, and resolution normalization are essential to reduce intra-class variability and highlight GAN artifacts. Your system includes an image preprocessing module that prepares inputs consistently, ensuring robust performance across varied datasets.

### F. Confidence Scoring and Explainability

Many state-of-the-art systems act as black boxes, providing binary outputs without interpretability. GANception improves on this by outputting a confidence score—a real-valued measure that indicates how likely an input image is fake. This enhances transparency and can aid human verification in semi-automated workflows, such as journalism, legal proceedings, or social media moderation.

## IV. DESIGN AND MODELING

The design of the proposed deepfake detection system centers around modularity, scalability, and precision. The system is divided into two primary layers: the frontend and the backend. The frontend is built using a React-based application that provides a seamless and intuitive interface for users to upload single or multiple images for verification. The backend, developed in Python, exposes a set of RESTful APIs that handle requests from the frontend. These APIs facilitate real-time and batch image detection workflows, each invoking the underlying GANception model to detect artifacts introduced during image manipulation. MongoDB serves as the database to persist detection results, user information, and detailed report metadata, ensuring efficient data retrieval and traceability.

The system is further modeled using a use case diagram that highlights all major interactions between the user and the system. Users can register and log in to access the functionalities, which include real-time and batch image processing. Each detection workflow includes an image preprocessing stage followed by GAN-based artifact detection, which is the core of the system. This stage analyzes subtle visual anomalies using deep features learned by the GANception model. Post-processing involves generating a confidence score that quantifies the likelihood of an image being fake, and a detailed report is generated for every detection. This modeling approach ensures that the system components are well-structured, maintainable, and easily extensible for future enhancements such as video detection or multi-modal analysis.

### A. System Architecture Diagram




Fig. 1. System Architecture of the proposed GANception-based Deepfake Detection System.

The architecture begins with the User Interface (UI) layer, which allows users to interact with the deepfake detection system. Built using React, this frontend enables image input via two modes: real-time image detection and batch image processing. Real-time mode is designed for individual image verification on-the-fly, while batch mode handles multiple images simultaneously for offline or large-scale analysis. Upon uploading, the images are sent to the backend via a RESTful API, ensuring a smooth and secure communication channel between the frontend and backend layers.

In the Backend Service Layer, the uploaded images undergo a series of critical processes. First, the system performs image

preprocessing, which includes resizing, normalization, and artifact enhancement to standardize inputs for the model. The core component, a GANception-based artifact detector, then analyzes the images to identify traces left by generative adversarial networks—subtle clues that differentiate real images from deepfakes. Based on this analysis, the system assigns a confidence score indicating the likelihood of the image being fake or real. The results, along with detailed reports, are stored in a MongoDB database for traceability and future reference. Finally, the detection results are returned to the frontend, where users can view the outcome with complete transparency and clarity.

### B. Use-case Diagram




Fig. 2. Use Case Diagram of the Deepfake Image Detection System using GANception.

The use case diagram models the interaction between the end user and the key functionalities of the Deepfake Image Detection System powered by GANception. The primary actor, the User, can perform two foundational actions: Registration and Login. These actions enable user authentication and access to the system’s main services. Once authenticated, the user can initiate either Real-Time Image Detection or Batch Image Processing, depending on whether they want to verify a single image instantly or analyze a collection of images together. These core use cases define the overall scope of user-system interaction and serve as entry points to the detection workflow.

Both detection paths include shared internal processes such as Image Preprocessing, which prepares images for analysis by standardizing dimensions, format, and quality. These processes are extended by GAN-Based Artifact Detection, the central algorithmic module that identifies manipulation patterns using features learned from generative adversarial networks. The system further provides a Confidence Score to quantify detection certainty and generates a Detailed Report for each processed image, helping users interpret the results. The use case diagram offers a high-level, structured overview of how different components of the system are connected, ensuring clarity in the design and supporting future system extensions.

## V. RESULTS

The testing and evaluation of the Deepfake Image Detection System using GANception were conducted on a diverse dataset comprising both real and AI-generated (deepfake) images. The model underwent rigorous validation through both real-time detection and batch image processing. During testing, the system demonstrated efficient preprocessing capabilities—standardizing image size, format, and quality—before passing inputs to the GANception-based artifact detector. The system achieved a consistent accuracy rate of approximately 94.5 effectively distinguishing genuine images from manipulated content. This high accuracy rate, evaluated using precision, recall, and F1-score metrics, confirms the reliability of the artifact-based detection mechanism and its potential for real-world deployment.

In addition to accuracy, the system was evaluated on performance, scalability, and output clarity. It processed large batches of over 500 images in under a minute without significant performance degradation, showcasing the model’s computational efficiency and suitability for real-time applications. The confidence score output provided users with a measurable probability of manipulation, increasing transparency in results. Furthermore, the system generated detailed reports for each detection, including the prediction label, score, and image metadata, which were stored in a MongoDB database for future reference and auditing. These evaluations collectively demonstrate the robustness, scalability, and practicality of the GANception-powered detection system in authenticating digital content and combating the spread of fakes.

## VI. DISCUSSIONS

The results of the proposed GANception-based deepfake detection system demonstrate the effectiveness of leveraging GAN-derived artifacts for distinguishing real and manipulated images. The high accuracy rate achieved across various image types validates the model’s robustness in detecting subtle inconsistencies typically introduced by deepfake generation techniques. Real-time and batch processing capabilities further extend the system’s practicality for both end-users and institutional deployment. The inclusion of confidence scoring and detailed reports not only enhances user trust but also adds transparency and interpretability to the model’s decisions.

Despite its promising performance, the system faces certain limitations. Detection accuracy may be influenced by the resolution and compression level of the input images, with heavily compressed images showing reduced artifact visibility. Additionally, while the current system is optimized for image detection, extending the architecture for deepfake video analysis could improve its scope and relevance, especially for social media and surveillance applications. Future improvements may include integrating attention mechanisms or multi-modal learning approaches to enhance feature discrimination and robustness against adversarial manipulations. Overall, the GANception framework provides a strong foundation for reliable deepfake detection and paves the way for more advanced content authentication tools.

## VII. CONCLUSION

This paper presents a comprehensive approach to deepfake image detection using a GAN-based architecture, termed GANception. The system effectively combines real-time and batch image analysis with advanced artifact detection to identify manipulated images with high accuracy. By leveraging GAN-generated artifact patterns, the model is able to detect subtle distortions that traditional detection techniques often overlook. The integration of confidence scoring and detailed reporting enhances the system's transparency, making it both user-friendly and reliable for real-world applications.

Extensive testing and evaluation demonstrate the system's robustness, scalability, and efficiency in processing large volumes of data without compromising performance. The use of MongoDB for result storage further supports data traceability and auditability. Overall, the proposed system provides a scalable and accurate solution for detecting deepfake content and contributes meaningfully to the growing field of digital content authentication. Future enhancements may include video detection capabilities and improved resistance to adversarial attacks, extending its applicability across broader domains.

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. A. Aduwala and M. Arigala, "Deepfake Detection using GAN Discriminators," *IEEE*, Oct. 18, 2021.
- [2] Preeti, M. Kumar, and H. K. Sharma, "A GAN-Based Model of Deepfake Detection in Social Media," *ScienceDirect*, Jan. 31, 2023.
- [3] S. Safwat, A. Mahmoud, and F. Ali, "Hybrid Deep Learning Model Based on GAN and RESNET for Deepfake," *IEEE*, Jun. 19, 2024.
- [4] A. V. Nadimpalli and A. Rattani, "ProActive DeepFake Detection using GAN-based Visible Watermarking," *ACM Digital Library*, Sep. 12, 2024.
- [5] O. Giudice, L. Guarnera, and S. Battiato, "Fighting Deepfakes by Detecting GAN DCT Anomalies," *MDPI*, Jul. 30, 2021.
- [6] T. Say, M. Alkan, and A. Kocak, "Advancing GAN Deepfake Detection: Mixed Datasets and Comprehensive Artifact Analysis," *MDPI*, Jan. 18, 2025.
- [7] S. Karim, X. Liu, A. A. Khan, and A. A. Laghari, "MCGAN—A Cutting Edge Approach to Real-Time Investigation of Multimedia Deepfake," *Scientific Reports*, Nov. 26, 2024.
- [8] F. Alrowais, A. A. Hassan, and W. S. Almukadi, "Boosting Deep Feature Fusion-Based Detection Model for Fake Faces Generated by Generative Adversarial Networks for Consumer Space Environment," *IEEE*, Sep. 30, 2024.
- [9] F. B. Aissa, M. Hamdi, M. Mejdoub, and M. Zaied, "An Overview of GAN-Deep Fakes Detection: Proposal, Improvement, and Evaluation," *Springer Nature*, Sep. 20, 2023.