# Optimal Control Design of a Reparable Multistate system

## XXX\* XXX \*\*

\* University of Southern California, LA, CA 90089 USA (e-mail: XXX@ usc.edu).

\*\* University of Southern California, LA, CA 90089 USA (e-mail: XXX@ usc.edu).

#### Abstract:

### Keywords:

## 1. PROBLEM DESCRIPTION

Carefully provide a mathematical description of the problem discussed in this report.

#### 2. METHODOLOGY

$$\frac{dp_0}{dt} = -\lambda_0 p_0(t) + \int_0^1 \mu_1(x) p_1(x,t) dx + \int_0^1 u^*(x,t) dx \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{\partial p_1(x,t)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial p_1(x,t)}{\partial x} = -\mu_1(x)p_1(x,t) - u^*(x,t)$$
 (2)

**Given Initial Conditions:** 

$$p_1(1,t) = 0 (3)$$

$$p_0(0) = 1 (4)$$

**Given Boundary Conditions:** 

$$p_1(0,t) = \lambda_0 p_0(t) \tag{5}$$

$$u^*(x,t) = (0.3 + 0.1\sin(x))b(t) \tag{6}$$

And,

$$b(t) + \int_0^1 \mu_1(x)f(x,t)dx - 0.3p_0^*(t) = c(t)$$
 (7)

$$f(x,t) = 0.1\cos(\pi t)\sin^2(1-x)$$
 (8)

$$p_0^*(t) = 0.85 + 0.05\cos(2\pi t) \tag{9}$$

We make couple of substitutions, following the notation that  $z_i^j$  refers to the value of z evaluated at time point i and at position j

So

$$p_0(t_j) = v_j$$

$$p_1(x_i, t_j) = w_i^i$$
(10)

$$\mu_1(x_i) = \mu^i \tag{11}$$

(12) Discretizing (2)

Using the new notations boundary conditions and initial values:

Initial conditions:

$$w_i^{20} = 0 (13)$$

$$v_0 = 1 \tag{14}$$

Boundary Conditions:

$$w_i^0 = \lambda v_i \tag{15}$$

Also condensing,

$$I_i^* = u^*(x_i, t_j) = g^i b_j$$
 (16)

$$\int_{0}^{1} u^{*}(x, t_{j}) dx = Gb_{j}$$
where  $q^{i} = (0.3 + 0.1sin(x_{i}))$ 

3 (\* \* \* \* \*

And,

$$b(t) + \int_0^1 \mu_1(x)f(x,t)dx - 0.3p_0^*(t) = c(t)$$

$$b_i = c_i - f_i \qquad (18)$$

where 
$$f_j = \int_0^1 \mu_1(x) f(x, t_j) dx - 0.3 p_0^*(t_j)$$
 (19)

(20)

Discretizing (1)

$$\frac{v_{j+1} - v_j}{\tau} = -\lambda v_j + I_j + I_j^*$$
 (21)

$$I_{j} = h\left[\frac{\mu^{0}w_{j}^{0}}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{19} \mu^{k}w_{j}^{k} + \frac{\mu^{20}w_{j}^{20}}{2}\right]$$
 (22)

$$= h\left[\frac{\mu^0 w_j^0}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{19} \mu^k w_j^k\right]$$

$$I_i^* = \alpha b_i \tag{23}$$

$$\frac{w_{j+1}^{i} - w_{j}^{i}}{\tau} + \frac{w_{j}^{i+1} - w_{j}^{i-1}}{2h} = -\mu^{i} w_{j}^{i} - g^{i} b_{j}$$

$$w_{j+1}^{i} = w_{j}^{i} - \frac{\tau}{2h} (w_{j}^{i+1} - w_{j}^{i-1}) - \tau \mu^{i} w_{j}^{i} - \tau g^{i} b_{j}$$
 (24)

Applying LAX scheme  $w_j^i = \frac{w_j^{i-1} + w_j^{i+1}}{2}$  we get,

$$\begin{split} w^i_{j+1} = & \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \mu^i \tau + \frac{\tau}{h} \right) w^{i-1}_j + \\ & \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \mu^i \tau - \frac{\tau}{h} \right) w^{i+1}_j - \\ & \tau g^i b_j \end{split}$$

Under an appropriately defined matrix A, we can re-write the above equation to read

$$\mathbf{w}_{j+1} = A\mathbf{w}_{j} - b_{j}\tau\mathbf{g} + \mathbf{e}_{1}v_{j+1}$$

$$= (A)^{j+1}\mathbf{w}_{0} - \left[\sum_{k=0}^{j} b_{k}(A)^{j-k}\right]\mathbf{g}\tau$$

$$+ \left[\sum_{k=0}^{j} v_{k+1}(A)^{j-k}\right]\mathbf{e}_{1}$$

$$(25)$$

where  $e_1$  is an  $m \times 1$  matrix given by

$$\boldsymbol{e_1} = \left[\lambda, 0, \dots, 0\right]^T \tag{27}$$

From (21)

$$v_{j+1} = (1 - \lambda \tau)v_j + \tau I_j + \tau I_j^*$$
(28)

$$= (1 - \lambda \tau + \frac{h\tau}{2})v_j + h\tau \boldsymbol{\mu}^T \boldsymbol{w}_j + \alpha b_j \tau$$
 (29)

Substitute the expression for the time evolution for  $\boldsymbol{w}$  in the above to obtain,

$$v_{j+1} = (1 - \lambda \tau + \frac{h\tau}{2})v_j$$

$$+ h\tau \boldsymbol{\mu}^T (A)^j \boldsymbol{w}_0$$

$$- \boldsymbol{\mu}^T \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} b_k (A)^{j-1-k} \right] \boldsymbol{g}\tau$$

$$+ \alpha b_j \tau$$

Let's define

$$\beta_k = \boldsymbol{\mu}^T (A)^{j-1-k} \boldsymbol{g} \tag{30}$$

$$\omega_i = \boldsymbol{\mu}^T (A)^j \boldsymbol{w}_0 \tag{31}$$

$$\gamma = (1 - \lambda \tau + \frac{h\tau}{2}) \tag{32}$$

$$v_{j+1} = \gamma v_j + h\tau\omega_j - \tau \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \beta_k b_k + \alpha b_j \tau$$
(33)

$$= \gamma v_j + h\tau\omega_j - \tau\boldsymbol{\beta}_j^T \boldsymbol{b} \tag{34}$$

$$= \gamma \sum_{k=0}^{j} \gamma^{j-k} v_k + h\tau \sum_{k=0}^{j} \gamma^{j-k} \omega_k - \left(\sum_{k=0}^{j} \gamma^{j-k} \beta_k\right)^T \mathbf{b}$$
(35)

Under appropriately defined strictly lower triangular matrices G and B,

$$\boldsymbol{v} = \gamma G \boldsymbol{v} + h \tau G \boldsymbol{\omega} - B \boldsymbol{b} \tag{36}$$

where row j + 1 of matrix B is given by

$$\left(\sum_{k=0}^{j} \gamma^{j-k} \beta_k\right)^T$$

Consequently, we have,

$$(\gamma G - I)\mathbf{v} = B\mathbf{b} - h\tau G\boldsymbol{\omega} \tag{37}$$

$$\mathbf{v} = (\gamma G - I)^{-1} B \mathbf{b} - h \tau (\gamma G - I)^{-1} G \boldsymbol{\omega}$$
 (38)

$$=B'\mathbf{b}-\mathbf{c}\tag{39}$$

for appropriate matrix B' and vector c. Note that c is a known quantity. Hence our optimization problem reduces to finding b that best fits the equation

$$B'\boldsymbol{b} = \boldsymbol{v}^* + \boldsymbol{c} \tag{40}$$

#### 3. RESULTS

Illustrate the results using the methodology proposed in Section. 2

#### 4. OBSERVATION AND CONCLUSIONS

State your observation from the results and make conclusions.

#### REFERENCE

All materials (books, papers, and websites) mentioned in your reports.

## APPENDIX

Attach the Matlab code here.