

PROPOSITIONAL AND PREDICATE CALCULUS

October 21, 2020

1 Proposition Calculus

2 Rules of Inference

3 Predicate Calculus

Proposition Calculus

A declarative sentence that is either true or false is called "PROPOSITION"

Example

It rained yesterday.

"True" or "False" are called the truth values of the proposition and are denoted by T and F respectively. *values*

A proposition that is true under all circumstances is called "**Tautology**"

Example

15 is divisible by 3.

A proposition that is false under all circumstances is called "**Contradiction**"

A proposition that is true under all circumstances is called "**Tautology**"

Example

15 is divisible by 3.

A proposition that is false under all circumstances is called "**Contradiction**"

Example

-3 is a natural number.

Definition

Two or more propositions can be combined using words like "and, "or", "iff", "if, then" etc. These are called **Logical Connectivities**.

Definition

Two or more propositions can be combined using words like "and, "or", "iff", "if, then" etc. These are called **Logical Connectivities**.

Definition

A proposition having one or more logical connectivities is called a **Compound Proposition**. Otherwise is called **Simple/ Atom**

Definition

Two propositions p and q are said to be **Equivalent** if when p is T, q is also T and when p is F, q is also F and conversely.

Definition

Two propositions p and q are said to be **Equivalent** if when p is T, q is also T and when p is F, q is also F and conversely.

Example

p: He was born in 1934

q: He'll be 60 years old in 1994

p and q are equivalent

Definition

Two propositions p and q are said to be **Equivalent** if when p is T, q is also T and when p is F, q is also F and conversely.

Example

p : He was born in 1934

q : He'll be 60 years old in 1994

p and q are equivalent

Example

p : x is a prime number

q : x is not divisible by 2

p and q are not equivalent, as x not divisible by 2 doesn't mean its prime

Definition

Let p be a proposition, we define **Negation** of p denoted by $\neg p$ to be a proposition which is true when p is false and is false when p is true

\neg	p	$\neg p$
	T	F
	F	T

Definition

Let p be a proposition, we define **Negation** of p denoted by $\neg p$ to be a proposition which is true when p is false and is false when p is true

\neg	p	$\neg p$
	T	F
	F	T

Example

If p is " monthly volume of sales is less than 20K", then negation p is " monthly volume of sales exceeds or equal to 20K"

Definition

Let p and q be two propositions. The **Disjunction** of two propositions is denoted by $p \vee q$ (read as p or q)

\vee	p	q	$p \vee q$
	T	T	T
	T	F	T
	F	T	T
	F	F	F

Definition

Let p and q be two propositions. The **Conjunction** of two propositions is denoted by $p \wedge q$ (read as p and q)

\wedge	p	q	$p \wedge q$
	T	T	T
	T	F	F
	F	T	F
	F	F	F

Definition

The **Conditional** statement is denoted by $p \rightarrow q$ (read as if p then q)

\rightarrow	p	q	$p \rightarrow q$
	T	T	T
	T	F	F
	F	T	T
	F	F	T

Note: 1) p is called the "first component" or "ANTECEDENT" and q is called the "second component" or "CONSEQUENT"

Note: 2) For the conditional $p \rightarrow q$,

- (i) $q \rightarrow p$ is called "converse"

p	q	$p \rightarrow q$	$q \rightarrow p$	$\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$	$\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$	$\neg p \vee q$
T	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	T	T	F	F
F	T	T	F	F	T	T
F	F	T	T	T	T	T

Table: 1

Note: 2) For the conditional $p \rightarrow q$,

- (i) $q \rightarrow p$ is called "converse"
- (ii) $\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$ is called " inverse"

p	q	$p \rightarrow q$	$q \rightarrow p$	$\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$	$\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$	$\neg p \vee q$
T	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	T	T	F	F
F	T	T	F	F	T	T
F	F	T	T	T	T	T

Table: 1

Note: 2) For the conditional $p \rightarrow q$,

- (i) $q \rightarrow p$ is called "converse"
- (ii) $\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$ is called " inverse"
- (iii) $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$ is called "contrapositive"

p	q	$p \rightarrow q$	$q \rightarrow p$	$\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$	$\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$	$\neg p \vee q$
T	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	T	T	F	F
F	T	T	F	F	T	T
F	F	T	T	T	T	T

Table: 1

Observations

Note: 3) From Table 1 we make the following observations:

- (i) $p \rightarrow q$ and $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$ are logically equivalent

Observations

Note: 3) From Table 1 we make the following observations:

- (i) $p \rightarrow q$ and $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$ are logically equivalent
- (ii) Inverse and Converse are logically equivalent. i.e., $q \rightarrow p$ and $\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$ are logically equivalent

Observations

Note: 3) From Table 1 we make the following observations:

- (i) $p \rightarrow q$ and $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$ are logically equivalent
- (ii) Inverse and Converse are logically equivalent. i.e., $q \rightarrow p$ and $\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$ are logically equivalent
- (iii) $p \rightarrow q$ and $\neg p \vee q$ are logically equivalent

Problem 1

Question: There are two restaurants next to each other. One has a sign that says "Good food is not cheap". The other has a sign that says "Cheap food is not good". Are the signs saying the same thing?

Solution : Let A: Food is good

B: Food is cheap

We have to examine $A \rightarrow \neg B$ and $B \rightarrow \neg A$

A	B	$A \rightarrow \neg B$	$B \rightarrow \neg A$
T	T	F	F
T	F	T	T
F	T	T	T
F	F	T	T

Inference: Both are saying the same thing.

Exercise 1

Question: John made two statements:

- I love Lucy

**Given that John either told the truth or lied in both the cases,
determine whether John really loves Lucy?**

Exercise 1

Question: John made two statements:

- I love Lucy
- If I love Lucy, then I also love Vivian.

Given that John either told the truth or lied in both the cases, determine whether John really loves Lucy?

P	q	$P \rightarrow q$	$P : \text{John loves } \begin{array}{l} \text{lucy} \\ \text{vivian} \end{array}$
T	T	T	
T	F	F	
\vdash	T	\top	
F	F	T	

Definition

Let p and q be two propositions. The **Biconditional** is denoted by $p \leftrightarrow q$ read as " p iff q "

\leftrightarrow	p	q	$p \leftrightarrow q$
	T	T	T
	T	F	F
	F	T	F
	F	F	T

Problem 2

Question: An island has 2 tribes of natives. Any native from the first tribe always tells the truth, while any native from the other tribe always lies. You arrive at island and ask a native if there is gold at the island. He answers "there is gold on the island iff I always tell the truth". Which tribe is he from? Is there gold on the island?

Solution : Let p : There is gold on the island
 q : I always tell the truth

p	q	$p \leftrightarrow q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	T

Consider the following cases:

Case 1: If the person belongs to first tribe. Then q is true and the statement $p \leftrightarrow q$ is true. From the truth table above, p is also true. Therefore, "there is gold"

Thus there is gold on the island and the native could have been from either tribe.

Consider the following cases:

- Case 1:** If the person belongs to first tribe. Then q is true and the statement $p \leftrightarrow q$ is true. From the truth table above, p is also true. Therefore, "there is gold"
- Case 2:** If the person belongs to second tribe. Then q is false and the statement $p \leftrightarrow q$ must be false. From the truth table above, p is true. Therefore, "there is gold"

Thus there is gold on the island and the native could have been from either tribe.

Well formed formulas

A WFF is a formula generated using the following groups:

- i) A statement variable is a WFF

Well formed formulas

A WFF is a formula generated using the following groups:

- i) A statement variable is a WFF
- ii) If A is WFF, then $\neg A$ is also a WFF

Well formed formulas

A WFF is a formula generated using the following groups:

- i) A statement variable is a WFF
- ii) If A is WFF, then $\neg A$ is also a WFF
- iii) If A and B are WFF's, $A \vee B$, $A \wedge B$, $A \rightarrow B$, $A \leftrightarrow B$ are also WFFs.

Well formed formulas

A WFF is a formula generated using the following groups:

- i) A statement variable is a WFF
- ii) If A is WFF, then $\neg A$ is also a WFF
- iii) If A and B are WFF's, $A \vee B$, $A \wedge B$, $A \rightarrow B$, $A \leftrightarrow B$ are also WFFs.
- iv) A string of symbols consisting of statement variables, connectivities and parenthesis is a WFF iff it can be obtained by finite many applications of the rules (i), (ii) and (iii)

Well formed formulas

A WFF is a formula generated using the following groups:

- i) A statement variable is a WFF
- ii) If A is WFF, then $\neg A$ is also a WFF
- iii) If A and B are WFF's, $A \vee B$, $A \wedge B$, $A \rightarrow B$, $A \leftrightarrow B$ are also WFFs.
- iv) A string of symbols consisting of statement variables, connectivities and parenthesis is a WFF iff it can be obtained by finite many applications of the rules (i), (ii) and (iii)

Example

- (1) $p \wedge q$, $\neg(p \wedge q)$, $(\neg(p \rightarrow q)) \vee r$, $((p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow r)$ are WFFs.
- (2) $p \wedge q \rightarrow r$ is not a WFF as it can be $(p \wedge q) \rightarrow r$ or $p \wedge (q \rightarrow r)$

Equivalence of formulas

Definition

Let A and B be two statement formulas and P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n denote all the variables occurring in A and B . If the truth value of A is same as that of B for each of 2^n possible set of assignments to the variables P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n , then A and B are said to be equivalent. We write as $A \Leftrightarrow B$.

Two statement formulas A and B are equivalent iff $A \Leftrightarrow B$ is a Tautology.

Table of equivalence

$$(1) \neg\neg p \Leftrightarrow q$$

Table of equivalence

- (1) $\neg\neg p \Leftrightarrow q$
- (2) Commutative: (a) $p \vee q \Leftrightarrow q \vee p$
(b) $p \wedge q \Leftrightarrow q \wedge p$

Table of equivalence

- (1) $\neg\neg p \Leftrightarrow q$
- (2) Commutative: (a) $p \vee q \Leftrightarrow q \vee p$
(b) $p \wedge q \Leftrightarrow q \wedge p$
- (3) Associative: (a) $p \vee (q \vee r) \Leftrightarrow (p \vee q) \vee r$
(b) $p \wedge (q \wedge r) \Leftrightarrow (p \wedge q) \wedge r$

Table of equivalence

- (1) $\neg\neg p \Leftrightarrow q$
- (2) Commutative: (a) $p \vee q \Leftrightarrow q \vee p$
(b) $p \wedge q \Leftrightarrow q \wedge p$
- (3) Associative: (a) $p \vee (q \vee r) \Leftrightarrow (p \vee q) \vee r$
(b) $p \wedge (q \wedge r) \Leftrightarrow (p \wedge q) \wedge r$
- (4) Distributive: (a) $p \vee (q \wedge r) \Leftrightarrow (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$
(b) $p \wedge (q \vee r) \Leftrightarrow (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r)$

Table of equivalence

- (1) $\neg\neg p \Leftrightarrow q$
- (2) Commutative: (a) $p \vee q \Leftrightarrow q \vee p$
(b) $p \wedge q \Leftrightarrow q \wedge p$
- (3) Associative: (a) $p \vee (q \vee r) \Leftrightarrow (p \vee q) \vee r$
(b) $p \wedge (q \wedge r) \Leftrightarrow (p \wedge q) \wedge r$
- (4) Distributive: (a) $p \vee (q \wedge r) \Leftrightarrow (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$
(b) $p \wedge (q \vee r) \Leftrightarrow (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r)$
- (5) Absorption: (a) $p \vee (p \wedge q) \Leftrightarrow p$
(b) $p \wedge (p \vee q) \Leftrightarrow p$

Table of equivalence

- (1) $\neg\neg p \Leftrightarrow q$
- (2) Commutative: (a) $p \vee q \Leftrightarrow q \vee p$
(b) $p \wedge q \Leftrightarrow q \wedge p$
- (3) Associative: (a) $p \vee (q \vee r) \Leftrightarrow (p \vee q) \vee r$
(b) $p \wedge (q \wedge r) \Leftrightarrow (p \wedge q) \wedge r$
- (4) Distributive: (a) $p \vee (q \wedge r) \Leftrightarrow (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$
(b) $p \wedge (q \vee r) \Leftrightarrow (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r)$
- (5) Absorption: (a) $p \vee (p \wedge q) \Leftrightarrow p$
(b) $p \wedge (p \vee q) \Leftrightarrow p$
- (6) Idempotent: (a) $(p \wedge p) \Leftrightarrow p$
(b) $(p \vee p) \Leftrightarrow p$

Table of equivalence

- (7) (a) $p \wedge (\neg p) \Leftrightarrow F$
(b) $p \vee (\neg p) \Leftrightarrow T$

Table of equivalence

(7) (a) $p \wedge (\neg p) \Leftrightarrow F$

(b) $p \vee (\neg p) \Leftrightarrow T$

(8) (a) $p \vee F \Leftrightarrow p$

(b) $p \wedge F \Leftrightarrow F$

Table of equivalence

- (7) (a) $p \wedge (\neg p) \Leftrightarrow F$
(b) $p \vee (\neg p) \Leftrightarrow T$
- (8) (a) $p \vee F \Leftrightarrow p$
(b) $p \wedge F \Leftrightarrow F$
- (9) (a) $p \vee T \Leftrightarrow T$
(b) $p \wedge T \Leftrightarrow p$

Table of equivalence

- (7) (a) $p \wedge (\neg p) \Leftrightarrow F$
(b) $p \vee (\neg p) \Leftrightarrow T$
- (8) (a) $p \vee F \Leftrightarrow p$
(b) $p \wedge F \Leftrightarrow F$
- (9) (a) $p \vee T \Leftrightarrow T$
(b) $p \wedge T \Leftrightarrow p$
- (10) (a) $\neg(p \vee q) \Leftrightarrow (\neg p \wedge \neg q)$
(b) $\neg(p \wedge q) \Leftrightarrow (\neg p \vee \neg q)$

Table of equivalence

- (7) (a) $p \wedge (\neg p) \Leftrightarrow F$
(b) $p \vee (\neg p) \Leftrightarrow T$
- (8) (a) $p \vee F \Leftrightarrow p$
(b) $p \wedge F \Leftrightarrow F$
- (9) (a) $p \vee T \Leftrightarrow T$
(b) $p \wedge T \Leftrightarrow p$
- (10) (a) $\neg(p \vee q) \Leftrightarrow (\neg p \wedge \neg q)$
(b) $\neg(p \wedge q) \Leftrightarrow (\neg p \vee \neg q)$
- (11) (a) $p \rightarrow q \Leftrightarrow \neg p \vee q$
(b) $\neg(p \rightarrow q) \Leftrightarrow p \wedge \neg q$

Table of equivalence

- (7) (a) $p \wedge (\neg p) \Leftrightarrow F$
(b) $p \vee (\neg p) \Leftrightarrow T$
- (8) (a) $p \vee F \Leftrightarrow p$
(b) $p \wedge F \Leftrightarrow F$
- (9) (a) $p \vee T \Leftrightarrow T$
(b) $p \wedge T \Leftrightarrow p$
- (10) (a) $\neg(p \vee q) \Leftrightarrow (\neg p \wedge \neg q)$
(b) $\neg(p \wedge q) \Leftrightarrow (\neg p \vee \neg q)$
- (11) (a) $p \rightarrow q \Leftrightarrow \neg p \vee q$
(b) $\neg(p \rightarrow q) \Leftrightarrow p \wedge \neg q$
- (12) (a) $p \rightarrow q \Leftrightarrow (\neg q \rightarrow \neg p)$
(b) $q \rightarrow p \Leftrightarrow (\neg p \rightarrow \neg q)$

Problem 4

Question: Show that $(\neg p \wedge (\neg q \wedge r)) \vee (q \wedge r) \vee (p \wedge r) \Leftrightarrow r$

$$\begin{aligned}(\neg p \wedge (\neg q \wedge r)) \vee (q \wedge r) \vee (p \wedge r) &\Leftrightarrow (\neg p \wedge (\neg q \wedge r)) \vee (r \wedge (q \vee p)) \\&\Leftrightarrow ((\neg p \wedge \neg q) \wedge r) \vee (r \wedge (p \vee q)) \\&\Leftrightarrow (\neg(p \vee q) \wedge r) \vee (r \wedge (p \vee q)) \\&\Leftrightarrow r \wedge [(p \vee q) \vee \neg(p \vee q)] \\&\Leftrightarrow r \wedge T \\&\Leftrightarrow r\end{aligned}$$

Excercise 5

Question: Show that $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r) \Leftrightarrow p \rightarrow (\neg q \vee r) \Leftrightarrow (p \wedge q) \rightarrow r$

$$\begin{aligned} p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r) &\Leftrightarrow p \rightarrow (\neg q \vee r) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \neg p \vee (\neg q \vee r) \\ &\Leftrightarrow (\neg p \vee \neg q) \vee r \\ &\Leftrightarrow \neg(p \wedge q) \vee r \\ &\Leftrightarrow (p \wedge q) \rightarrow r \end{aligned}$$

Problem 4

Question: Show that

$((p \vee q) \wedge \neg[(\neg p) \wedge (\neg q \vee \neg r)]) \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q) \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg r)$ is a tautology.

$$\begin{aligned} & [((p \vee q) \wedge \neg[(\neg p) \wedge (\neg q \vee \neg r)])] \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q) \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg r) \\ &= [(p \vee q) \wedge \neg(\neg p \wedge (\neg q \vee \neg r))] \vee (\neg p \wedge (\neg q \vee \neg r)) \\ &= [(p \vee q) \wedge \neg\neg p \vee (q \wedge r)] \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg(q \wedge r)) \\ &= [(p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee (q \wedge r))] \vee \neg(p \vee (q \wedge r)) \\ &= [(p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)] \vee \neg(p \vee (q \wedge r)) \\ &= [(p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)] \vee \neg(p \vee (q \wedge r)) \\ &= [(p \vee (q \wedge r))] \vee \neg(p \vee (q \wedge r)) \\ &= T \end{aligned}$$

Excercise 6

Question: Show that $q \vee (p \wedge \neg q) \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q)$ is a tautology

Tautological Implications

Definition

A is said to tautologically imply to statement B if $A \rightarrow B$ is a tautology. In this case, we write $A \Rightarrow B$ (read as A implies B)

Table of Tautological Implications

$$(1) \ p \wedge q \implies p$$

$$p \wedge q \implies q$$

Table of Tautological Implications

$$(1) \ p \wedge q \implies p$$

$$p \wedge q \implies q$$

$$(2) \ p \implies p \vee q$$

$$q \implies p \vee q$$

Table of Tautological Implications

$$(1) \ p \wedge q \implies p$$

$$p \wedge q \implies q$$

$$(2) \ p \implies p \vee q$$

$$q \implies p \vee q$$

$$(3) \ \neg p \implies p \rightarrow q$$

Table of Tautological Implications

- (1) $p \wedge q \implies p$
 $p \wedge q \implies q$
- (2) $p \implies p \vee q$
 $q \implies p \vee q$
- (3) $\neg p \implies p \rightarrow q$
- (4) $q \implies p \rightarrow q$

Table of Tautological Implications

- (1) $p \wedge q \implies p$
 $p \wedge q \implies q$
- (2) $p \implies p \vee q$
 $q \implies p \vee q$
- (3) $\neg p \implies p \rightarrow q$
- (4) $q \implies p \rightarrow q$
- (5) $\neg(p \rightarrow q) \implies p$

Table of Tautological Implications

- (1) $p \wedge q \implies p$
 $p \wedge q \implies q$
- (2) $p \implies p \vee q$
 $q \implies p \vee q$
- (3) $\neg p \implies p \rightarrow q$
- (4) $q \implies p \rightarrow q$
- (5) $\neg(p \rightarrow q) \implies p$
- (6) $\neg(p \rightarrow q) \implies \neg q$

Table of Tautological Implications

- (1) $p \wedge q \implies p$
 $p \wedge q \implies q$
- (2) $p \implies p \vee q$
 $q \implies p \vee q$
- (3) $\neg p \implies p \rightarrow q$
- (4) $q \implies p \rightarrow q$
- (5) $\neg(p \rightarrow q) \implies p$
- (6) $\neg(p \rightarrow q) \implies \neg q$
- (7) $p \wedge (p \rightarrow q) \implies q$

Table of Tautological Implications

$$(8) \quad \neg q \wedge (p \rightarrow q) \implies \neg p$$

Table of Tautological Implications

$$(8) \quad \neg q \wedge (p \rightarrow q) \implies \neg p$$

$$(9) \quad \neg p \wedge (p \vee q) \implies q$$

Table of Tautological Implications

$$(8) \quad \neg q \wedge (p \rightarrow q) \implies \neg p$$

$$(9) \quad \neg p \wedge (p \vee q) \implies q$$

$$(10) \quad (p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow r) \implies p \rightarrow r$$

Table of Tautological Implications

$$(8) \quad \neg q \wedge (p \rightarrow q) \implies \neg p$$

$$(9) \quad \neg p \wedge (p \vee q) \implies q$$

$$(10) \quad (p \rightarrow q) \wedge (q \rightarrow r) \implies p \rightarrow r$$

$$(11) \quad (p \vee q) \wedge (p \rightarrow r) \wedge (q \rightarrow r) \implies r$$

Problem 7

Question: Show that $\neg q \wedge (p \rightarrow q) \implies \neg p$

Solution: Suppose $\neg q \wedge (p \rightarrow q)$ is true.

$\neg q$ is true and $p \rightarrow q$ is true

q is false and $p \rightarrow q$ is true

$\implies p$ is false

$\implies \neg p$ is true

$\therefore \neg q \wedge (p \rightarrow q) \implies \neg p$

Remark

To show that $A \implies B$, we can assume B is false and show that A is false. So the above problem can also be analyzed as follows: Consider again $\neg p$ is false, $\implies p$ is true. If q is true, $\neg q$ is false and its understood that $\neg q \wedge (p \rightarrow q)$ is false. If q is false, $\neg q$ is true and $p \rightarrow q$ is false. Again $\neg q \wedge (p \rightarrow q)$ is false.

Problem 8

Question: Show that $\neg(p \rightarrow q) \Rightarrow \neg q$

Solution: We say that $A \Rightarrow b$ if $A \rightarrow B$ is true in all conditions

p	q	$p \rightarrow q$	$\neg(p \rightarrow q)$	$\neg q$	$\neg(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow \neg q$
T	T	T	F	F	T
T	F	F	T	T	T
F	T	T	F	F	T
F	F	T	F	T	T

Exercise 9

Question: Show that $(p \vee q) \wedge (p \rightarrow r) \wedge (q \rightarrow r) \implies r$

Exercise 10

Question: Prove that

(i) $\neg p \implies p \rightarrow q$

Exercise 10

Question: Prove that

- (i) $\neg p \implies p \rightarrow q$
- (ii) $p \wedge (p \rightarrow q) \implies q$

Exercise 10

Question: Prove that

- (i) $\neg p \implies p \rightarrow q$
- (ii) $p \wedge (p \rightarrow q) \implies q$
- (iii) $p \wedge q \implies p$