

# Choice Modeling, Assortment Optimization and Pricing

May 2, 2023

Final Project | ORIE 5132

Cornell Tech, SP 2023

Ting-Wei Lu (tl574), Sakshi Mittal (sa875), Renata Anastasia (ra568)

```
[ ]: !gdown "1tNtCfUb08BFGbB9kIOKymUfYIY_vjL7Q"  
!tar xzvf project.tar
```

Downloading...

From: [https://drive.google.com/uc?id=1tNtCfUb08BFGbB9kIOKymUfYIY\\_vjL7Q](https://drive.google.com/uc?id=1tNtCfUb08BFGbB9kIOKymUfYIY_vjL7Q)

To: /content/project.tar

100% 1.40M/1.40M [00:00<00:00, 117MB/s]

Project.pdf

data.csv

data1.csv

data2.csv

data3.csv

data4.csv

```
[ ]: import pandas as pd  
import numpy as np  
from sklearn.linear_model import LogisticRegression  
from scipy.optimize import minimize  
import warnings  
from tqdm import tqdm  
warnings.filterwarnings('ignore')  
pd.set_option('display.max_colwidth',1000)
```

```
[ ]: data = pd.read_csv('data.csv')  
columns = [col for col in data.columns if col.startswith('p')]  
data.head()
```

```
[ ]:   srch_id  prop_starrating  prop_review_score  prop_brand_bool  \  
0         1                4                  3                1  
1         1                3                  5                1  
2         1                4                  4                1  
3         1                4                  3                1
```

4	1	4	4	1
	prop_location_score	prop_accessibility_score	prop_log_historical_price	\
0	2	0	5	
1	2	0	5	
2	3	0	5	
3	3	0	5	
4	2	0	5	
	price_usd	promotion_flag	srch_booking_window	srch_adults_count \
0	140	0	0	4
1	211	0	0	4
2	150	0	0	4
3	144	0	0	4
4	191	0	0	4
	srch_children_count	srch_room_count	srch_saturday_night_bool	\
0	0	1	1	
1	0	1	1	
2	0	1	1	
3	0	1	1	
4	0	1	1	
	booking_bool			
0	0			
1	0			
2	0			
3	0			
4	0			

## 1 Problem 1: MNL Model

Question: Estimate the parameters  $\beta_i, \forall i = 1, \dots, 8$  using MLE estimation. Comment on the coefficient of each of the features.

- $v_j$ : preference weight of hotel  $j$
- $x_{ji}$ : feature  $i$  of hotel  $j, \forall i = 1, \dots, 8$
- $\beta_i$  is the sensitivity of customer to feature  $i$
- Probability of customer choosing hotel  $j$  given a set of hotels  $S$   $P(j|S) = \frac{v_j}{1 + \sum_{p \in S} v_p}$

$$u(j) = \beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^8 \beta_i x_{ij} \quad (1)$$

$$v_j = e^{u(j)} \quad (2)$$

$$\mathbb{P}(j|S) = \frac{v_j}{1 + \sum_{i \in S} v_i} \quad (3)$$

$$L = \sum_{t=1}^T \log \mathbb{P}(j_t | S_t) \quad (4)$$

$$= \sum_{t=1}^T u(j_t) - \log(1 + \sum_{i \in S_t} e^{u(j_t)}) \quad (5)$$

$$= \sum_{t=1}^T (\beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^8 \beta_i x_{ij_t}) - \log(1 + \sum_{l \in S_t} e^{\beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^8 \beta_i x_{li}}) \quad (6)$$

```
[ ]: from sklearn.preprocessing import StandardScaler
scaler = StandardScaler().fit(data[columns])
```

```
[ ]: assortments = data.groupby('srch_id')[columns].apply(lambda g: g.values.
    ↳tolist()).tolist()
assortments = [scaler.transform(assortment) for assortment in assortments] #↳
    ↳Using the same scaler
assortments = [np.concatenate([np.ones((len(assortment), 1)), assortment],
    ↳axis=1) for assortment in assortments]
bookings = data.groupby('srch_id')['booking_bool'].apply(lambda g: g.values.
    ↳tolist()).tolist()
bookings = [np.array(booking) for booking in bookings]
```

```
[ ]: def log_likelihood(coefs, assortment, booking):
    utility = (assortment * coefs).sum(axis=1)
    shift = np.max(utility)
    return (utility * booking).sum(axis=0) - shift - np.log(np.exp(-shift) + np.
    ↳exp(utility-shift).sum(axis=0))
def nll_loss(coefs, assortments, bookings):
    return -sum([log_likelihood(coefs, assortment, booking) for assortment,
    ↳booking in zip(assortments, bookings)])
res = minimize(nll_loss, np.zeros(9), args=(assortments, bookings),
    ↳method='Powell', options={'disp': True})
```

Optimization terminated successfully.

Current function value: 20611.364103

Iterations: 4

Function evaluations: 364

```
[ ]: p1_res = pd.DataFrame({'Features': ['intercept'] + columns,
                           'Coefs': res.x})
p1_res
```

```
[ ]:
      Features      Coefs
0      intercept -1.746314
1    prop_starrating  0.412161
2    prop_review_score  0.105785
3    prop_brand_bool  0.100825
4    prop_location_score  0.020200
5  prop_accessibility_score  0.043412
6  prop_log_historical_price -0.069802
7      price_usd -1.331183
8    promotion_flag  0.159481
```

Based on the estimated  $\beta_i$ , the features that give the most positive impact on probability is star rating. Higher star rating will lead to higher probability. Accessibility and promotion also give positive impact although smaller. On the other hand, negative coefficient is found at price that suggest higher price will significantly decrease probability of booking. Furthermore, brand, location, review, and historical price give negative coefficient although small impact.

## 2 Problem 2: Assortment Optimization under MNL

Assume customers make choices according to the MNL model we estimated in Problem 1.

Given the set of hotels in data1.csv, suppose you want to show a subset of these hotels to the customers, what is the optimal subset of hotels to display? Give the expected revenue under this optimal assortment. Repeat the same question for data2.csv, data3.csv and data4.csv

```
[ ]: data1 = pd.read_csv('data1.csv')
data1.head()
```

```
[ ]:
   prop_starrating  prop_review_score  prop_brand_bool  prop_location_score \
0                3                4                1                0
1                3                5                1                1
2                3                5                1                1
3                4                5                1                0
4                3                5                1                0

   prop_accessibility_score  prop_log_historical_price  price_usd \
0                        0                        5        150
1                        0                        5        140
2                        0                        5        145
3                        0                        5        125
4                        0                        5        154

   promotion_flag
0                0
```

```

1          0
2          0
3          0
4          0

```

```

[ ]: def revenue(features, param, real_prices):
    utility = (features * param).sum(axis=1)
    shift = utility.max()
    preference_weight = np.exp(utility - shift)
    prob_purchase = preference_weight / (np.exp(-shift) + preference_weight.
    ↪sum(axis=0))
    rev = (prob_purchase * real_prices).sum(axis=0)
    return rev

```

Theorem: suppose  $p_1 \geq p_2 \geq \dots \geq p_n$ , the optimal assortment is of the form  $1, 2, \dots, j$  for some  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  which referred to “nested by price” assortment

```

[ ]: p2_res = {'Dataset': [], 'Assortment': [], 'Max Revenue': []}
for x in range(1, 5):
    data_x = pd.read_csv(f'data{x}.csv')
    data_x = data_x.sort_values(by='price_usd', ascending=False)
    data_x_scaled = scaler.transform(data_x) # Using the same scaler
    data_x_scaled = np.concatenate([np.ones((len(data_x_scaled), 1)),
    ↪data_x_scaled], axis=1)
    best_assortment, max_revenue = None, -1
    for n in range(1, len(data_x)):
        param = p1_res['Coefs'].values
        prices = data_x['price_usd'].iloc[:n]
        rev = revenue(data_x_scaled[:n], param, prices)
        if rev > max_revenue:
            best_assortment, max_revenue = data_x.iloc[:n].index, rev
    p2_res['Dataset'].append(f'data{x}')
    p2_res['Assortment'].append(best_assortment.tolist())
    p2_res['Max Revenue'].append(max_revenue)
p2_res['Assortment'] = [sorted(x) for x in p2_res['Assortment']]
pd.DataFrame(p2_res)

```

```

[ ]: Dataset
0  data1  [0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 12, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 26]
1  data2                                [0, 1, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 21, 23, 25]
2  data3  [0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18, 19, 23, 24]
3  data4                                [3, 4, 6, 8, 10, 15, 18, 19, 20, 21, 26]

Max Revenue
0  107.345894
1  131.321571
2  121.065894

```

### 3 Problem 3: Pricing under MNL

Assume customers make choices according to the MNL model we estimated in Problem 1. Consider the set of hotels in data1.csv. Suppose the company will display all these hotels to customers.

The company wants to change the price of each of these hotels (column price used in the data). What are the optimal hotel prices that maximizes the expected revenue under MNL model given that we display all of them. Repeat the same question for data2.csv, data3.csv and data4.csv

```
[ ]: p3_res = {'Dataset': [], 'Prices': []}
def revenue_loss(prices, data_x_):
    # Replacing prices value in dataset and add column "1" for intercept
    data_x_['price_usd'] = prices
    data_x_ = scaler.transform(data_x_.values)
    data_x_ = np.concatenate([np.ones((len(data_x_), 1)), data_x_], axis=1)
    param= p1_res['Coefs'].values
    rev = revenue(data_x_, param, prices)
    return -rev

for x in range(1, 5):
    data_x = pd.read_csv(f'data{x}.csv')
    res = minimize(revenue_loss, np.zeros(len(data_x)), args=(data_x),
    ↪method='Powell')
    p3_res['Dataset'].append(f'data{x}')
    p3_res['Prices'].append(res.x)
```

```
[ ]: pd3_res= pd.DataFrame(p3_res)
pd3_res['Prices'] = pd3_res['Prices'].apply(lambda x: [int(round(price)) for
    ↪price in x]).apply(lambda x: sum(x) / len(x))
pd3_res
```

```
[ ]: Dataset Prices
0    data1    314.0
1    data2    386.0
2    data3    313.0
3    data4    352.0
```

### 4 Problem 4: Mixture of MNL

Two types of customer: 1. Early customer: booking window more or equal to 7 days (probability  $\theta_1$ ) 2. Late customer: booking window less than 7 days (probability  $\theta_2$ )

Estimate  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  by computing the size of customers of each type

```
[ ]: theta_1= len(data[data['srch_booking_window'] >= 7]['srch_id'].unique()) /
    ↳len(data['srch_id'].unique())
theta_2= len(data[data['srch_booking_window'] < 7]['srch_id'].unique()) /
    ↳len(data['srch_id'].unique())
print(f"theta_1 =", theta_1)
print(f"theta_2 =", theta_2)
```

```
theta_1 = 0.5430931290399809
theta_2 = 0.45690687096001914
```

```
[ ]: columns = [col for col in data.columns if col.startswith('p')]
```

Estimate an MNL model for each type and estimate the sensitivity parameters for each type of customers using MLE estimation

$$u(j_k) = \beta_{0_k} + \sum_{i=1}^8 \beta_{i_k} x_{ij} \quad (7)$$

$$v_{j_k} = e^{u(j_k)} \quad (8)$$

$$\mathbb{P}(j|S) = \sum_{k=1}^2 \theta_k \frac{v_{j_k}}{1 + \sum_{i \in S} v_{i_k}} \quad (9)$$

$$L = \sum_{t=1}^T \log \mathbb{P}(j_t | S_t) \quad (10)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^2 \theta_k \sum_{t=1}^{T_k} \log \mathbb{P}(j_t | S_t) \quad (11)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^2 \theta_k \left( \sum_{t=1}^{T_k} u(j_t) - \log(1 + \sum_{i \in S_t} e^{u(j_t)}) \right) \quad (12)$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^2 \theta_k \left( \sum_{t=1}^{T_k} \left( \beta_{0_k} + \sum_{i=1}^8 \beta_{i_k} x_{ij_t} \right) - \log(1 + \sum_{l \in S_t} e^{\beta_{0_k} + \sum_{i=1}^8 \beta_{i_k} x_{il}}) \right) \quad (13)$$

```
[ ]: columns = [col for col in data.columns if col.startswith('p')]
assortments_early = data[data['srch_booking_window'] >= 7].
    ↳groupby('srch_id')[columns].apply(lambda g: g.values.tolist()).tolist()
assortments_early = [np.array(assortment) for assortment in assortments_early]
assortments_early = [scaler.transform(assortment) for assortment in
    ↳assortments_early]
assortments_early = [np.concatenate([np.ones((len(assortment), 1)), assortment],
    ↳axis=1) for assortment in assortments_early]
bookings_early = data[data['srch_booking_window'] >= 7].
    ↳groupby('srch_id')['booking_bool'].apply(lambda g: g.values.tolist()).tolist()
bookings_early = [np.array(booking) for booking in bookings_early]
```

```

assortments_late = data[data['srch_booking_window'] < 7].
    ↳groupby('srch_id')[columns].apply(lambda g: g.values.tolist()).tolist()
assortments_late = [np.array(assortment) for assortment in assortments_late]
assortments_late = [scaler.transform(assortment) for assortment in
    ↳assortments_late]
assortments_late = [np.concatenate([np.ones((len(assortment), 1)), assortment],
    ↳axis=1) for assortment in assortments_late]
bookings_late = data[data['srch_booking_window'] < 7].
    ↳groupby('srch_id')['booking_bool'].apply(lambda g: g.values.tolist()).tolist()
bookings_late = [np.array(booking) for booking in bookings_late]

def log_likelihood(param, assortment, booking):
    utility = (assortment * param).sum(axis=1) #u_j= beta_i * x_ij
    shift = np.max(utility)
    exp = np.exp(utility - shift) #scaler to avoid overflow since we're going to
    ↳compute np.exp(utility)
    if 1 in booking:
        index = np.where(booking == 1)[0][0]
        prob = exp[index] / (np.exp(-shift) + np.sum(exp))
    else:
        prob = np.exp(-shift) / (np.exp(-shift) + np.sum(exp))
    return np.log(prob)

def sum_log(param, x, y):
    logL = 0
    for assortment, booking in zip(x, y):
        logL += log_likelihood(param, assortment, booking)
    return -logL

def sum_log_early_late(param1, param2, x1, x2, y1, y2, theta1, theta2):
    sum_logL = (theta1 * sum_log(param1, x1, y1)) + (theta2 * sum_log(param2, x2, y2))
    return sum_logL

```

```

[ ]: param_early_initial = np.zeros(9)
param_late_initial = np.zeros(9)
initial_guess = np.concatenate([param_early_initial, param_late_initial])
result = minimize(lambda params: sum_log_early_late(params[:9], params[9:],
    ↳assortments_early, assortments_late, bookings_early, bookings_late, theta_1,
    ↳theta_2), x0=initial_guess, method='Powell')

```

```

[ ]: beta_hat_early= result.x[:9]
beta_hat_late= result.x[9:]
columns = ['coef_' + col for col in data.columns if col.startswith('p')]
df_p4 = pd.DataFrame([[ 'Early'] + beta_hat_early.tolist(), [ 'Late'] +
    ↳beta_hat_late.tolist()], columns=['Type', 'Intercept'] + columns)
df_p4

```



```
[ ]:      Type  Intercept  coef_prop_starrating  coef_prop_review_score  \
0  Early  -1.918206           0.383180           0.122693
1   Late  -1.538378           0.466183           0.090930

      coef_prop_brand_bool  coef_prop_location_score  \
0              0.091707           -0.022468
1              0.111943           0.084050

      coef_prop_accessibility_score  coef_prop_log_historical_price  \
0              0.057837           -0.096866
1              0.025509           -0.036682

      coef_price_usd  coef_promotion_flag
0          -1.073844           0.134395
1          -1.691385           0.193909
```

For early customer, the most influential features are star rating, promotions of the property and review score that have positive coefficient - suggests that higher score will lead to higher probability. Other than these, coefficient for other features such as brand and accessibility also have positive coefficient albeit smaller impact and this is probably due to imbalanced dataset. On the other hand, price significantly have negative effect where higher price resulted in decreasing the probability of booking. Other coefficients such as historical price and location score also have negative coefficient although smaller impact.

Meanwhile for late customer, the most influential features are star rating, promotion, and brand of the property. Higher value will increase the probability of last-minute booking. One possible explanation is last-minute customer might have limited time in booking the property hence they will look at star rating to see if the property is reliable. Furthermore, hotel brand is also important for last-minute customer where brand with high reputation is usually more trustable. Other coefficient like accessibility, review, and location also have positive coefficient but smaller impact. Coefficient for price is negative that suggest high price will decrease probability of last-minute booking. Historical price also has negative coefficient although smaller impact.

## 5 Problem 5: Early vs Late Reservations

Assume customers make choices according to the mixture of MNL model we estimated in Problem 4. Given the set of hotels in data1.csv, suppose you want to show a subset of these hotels to the customers that maximizes the revenue of the company. \* Assume we don't know the type of an arriving customer. What is the optimal subset of hotels to display? Let's call it S. You need to solve an integer program here to compute S \* Suppose we know that the arriving customer is of type 1. What is the optimal subset of hotels to display? Let's call it S1 \* Suppose we know that the arriving customer is of type 2. What is the optimal subset of hotels to display? Let's call it S2

To find the optimal subset of hotels to display when we don't know the type of arriving customers, we'll have to use IP to solve the MMNL.

Objective function:

$$\text{Maximize } \sum_{k=1}^2 \theta_k z_k \quad (14)$$

Constraint:

$$z_k \leq \sum_{i=1}^n x_{ik} v_{ik} \quad \forall k \quad (15)$$

$$-M y_i \leq x_{ik} \leq M y_i, \quad \forall i, \forall k \quad (16)$$

$$(p_i - z_k) - M(1 - y_i) \leq x_{ik} \leq (p_i - z_k) + M(1 - y_i) \quad \forall i, \forall k \quad (17)$$

$$y_i \in \{0, 1\} \quad \forall i \quad (18)$$

$$(19)$$

Data:

- $\theta_k$ : proportion of customer type-  $k$
- $p_i$ : price of hotel- $i$
- $v_{ik}$ : preference weight of hotel- $i$  for customer type-  $k$
- $a_{mi_k}$ : value of feature- $m$  for hotel- $i$  for customer type- $k$

Decision variables:

- $y_i$ : indicator if hotel- $i$  is included in the assortment

Note:

- $u(i_k) = \beta_{0_k} + \sum_{m=1}^8 \beta_{m_k} a_{mi_k}$
- $v_{ik} = e^{u(i_k)}$

```
[ ]: %pip install gurobipy
from gurobipy import *
import pandas as pd
import math
```

Looking in indexes: <https://pypi.org/simple>, <https://us-python.pkg.dev/colab-wheels/public/simple/>

Collecting gurobipy

Downloading gurobipy-10.0.1-cp310-cp310-manylinux2014\_x86\_64.whl (12.7 MB)

12.7/12.7 MB

64.2 MB/s eta 0:00:00

Installing collected packages: gurobipy

Successfully installed gurobipy-10.0.1

```
[ ]: def optimal_assortment(data, beta, theta, K_type):
    myModel= Model('Mixture MNL')

    n= len(data)
    K= K_type
    M= 100000000
```

```

u= np.zeros((n,K))
v= np.zeros((n,K))
data_norm= scaler.transform(data.values)

for k in range(K):
    for i in range(n):
        u[i,k]= beta[k][0] + np.sum(beta[k][1:] * data_norm[i])
        v[i,k]= np.exp(u[i,k])

#Variables
y = myModel.addVars(n, vtype=GRB.BINARY, name="y")
z= myModel.addVars(K, vtype=GRB.CONTINUOUS, name='z')
x= myModel.addVars(n,K, vtype=GRB.CONTINUOUS, name='x')

#Objective function
objExpr= LinExpr()
for k in range(K):
    objExpr += theta[k] * z[k]
myModel.setObjective(objExpr, GRB.MAXIMIZE)
myModel.update()

#Constraint 1
for k in range(K):
    rhs1= 0
    for i in range(n):
        rhs1 += x[i,k] * v[i,k]
    myModel.addConstr(lhs= z[k], sense= GRB.LESS_EQUAL, rhs=rhs1)
    myModel.update()

#Constraint 2
for k in range(K):
    for i in range(n):
        myModel.addConstr(lhs= -M* y[i], sense= GRB.LESS_EQUAL, rhs= x[i,k])
        myModel.addConstr(lhs= x[i,k], sense= GRB.LESS_EQUAL, rhs= M* y[i])
        myModel.update()

#Constraint 3
for k in range(K):
    for i in range(n):
        myModel.addConstr(lhs= (data.iloc[i]['price_usd'] - z[k]) - M*(1-y[i]),
↪sense= GRB.LESS_EQUAL, rhs= x[i,k])
        myModel.addConstr(lhs= x[i,k], sense= GRB.LESS_EQUAL, rhs= (data.
↪iloc[i]['price_usd'] - z[k]) + M*(1-y[i]))
        myModel.update()

myModel.optimize()

```

```

assortment = []
for i in range(n):
    if y[i].x > 0.5:
        assortment.append(i+1)
assortment= np.array(assortment).tolist()

return assortment, myModel.objVal

```

```

[ ]: beta= [beta_hat_early, beta_hat_late]
theta= [theta_1, theta_2]

p5_res = {'Dataset': [], 'Assortment with Unknown Type': [], 'Expected Revenue_
↪with Unknown Type': [],
          'Assortment for Early Cust': [], 'Expected Revenue for Early Cust': [],
          'Assortment for Late Cust': [], 'Expected Revenue for Late Cust': []}
for x in range(1, 5):
    data_x = pd.read_csv(f'data{x}.csv')
    p5_res['Dataset'].append(f'data{x}')

    #S
    opt_assortment, rev= optimal_assortment(data_x, beta, theta, 2)
    p5_res['Assortment with Unknown Type'].append(opt_assortment)
    p5_res['Expected Revenue with Unknown Type'].append(rev)

    #S1 and S2
    data_x = data_x.sort_values(by='price_usd', ascending=False)
    data_x_norm= scaler.transform(data_x.values)
    data_x_scaled = np.concatenate([np.ones((len(data_x_norm), 1)),
↪data_x_norm], axis=1)
    best_assortment_early, max_revenue_early = None, -1
    best_assortment_late, max_revenue_late = None, -1

    for n in range(1, len(data_x_scaled)):
        prices = data_x['price_usd'].iloc[:n]
        rev_early = revenue(data_x_scaled[:n], beta[0], prices)
        if rev_early > max_revenue_early:
            best_assortment_early, max_revenue_early = data_x.iloc[:n].index,
↪rev_early

        rev_late = revenue(data_x_scaled[:n], beta[1], prices)
        if rev_late > max_revenue_late:
            best_assortment_late, max_revenue_late = data_x.iloc[:n].index,
↪rev_late

    p5_res['Assortment for Early Cust'].append(best_assortment_early.tolist())
    p5_res['Assortment for Early Cust'] = [sorted(x) for x in p5_res['Assortment_
↪for Early Cust']]

```

```

p5_res['Expected Revenue for Early Cust'].append(max_revenue_early)

p5_res['Assortment for Late Cust'].append(best_assortment_late.tolist())
p5_res['Assortment for Late Cust'] = [sorted(x) for x in p5_res['Assortment_
→for Late Cust']]
p5_res['Expected Revenue for Late Cust'].append(max_revenue_late)

```

Restricted license - for non-production use only - expires 2024-10-28  
Gurobi Optimizer version 10.0.1 build v10.0.1rc0 (linux64)

CPU model: Intel(R) Xeon(R) CPU @ 2.20GHz, instruction set [SSE2|AVX|AVX2]  
Thread count: 1 physical cores, 2 logical processors, using up to 2 threads

Optimize a model with 218 rows, 83 columns and 596 nonzeros

Model fingerprint: 0xbcf42463

Variable types: 56 continuous, 27 integer (27 binary)

Coefficient statistics:

```

Matrix range      [8e-02, 1e+08]
Objective range   [5e-01, 5e-01]
Bounds range      [1e+00, 1e+00]
RHS range         [1e+08, 1e+08]

```

Found heuristic solution: objective -0.0000000

Presolve removed 54 rows and 0 columns

Presolve time: 0.00s

Presolved: 164 rows, 83 columns, 488 nonzeros

Variable types: 56 continuous, 27 integer (27 binary)

Root relaxation: objective 3.158544e+02, 123 iterations, 0.00 seconds (0.00 work units)

Nodes		Current Node			Objective Bounds			Work		
Expl	Unexpl	Obj	Depth	IntInf	Incumbent	BestBd	Gap	It/Node	Time	
	0	0	315.85440	0	27	-0.000000	315.85440	-	-	0s
H	0	0				34.3060950	315.85440	821%	-	0s
H	0	0				63.9500648	315.85440	394%	-	0s
	0	0	235.21261	0	27	63.95006	235.21261	268%	-	0s
H	0	0				95.0000000	235.21261	148%	-	0s
H	0	0				104.1661054	235.21261	126%	-	0s
	0	0	215.89789	0	21	104.16611	215.89789	107%	-	0s
	0	0	215.69524	0	21	104.16611	215.69524	107%	-	0s
	0	0	208.16826	0	21	104.16611	208.16826	100%	-	0s
	0	0	207.37556	0	21	104.16611	207.37556	99.1%	-	0s
	0	0	204.82223	0	21	104.16611	204.82223	96.6%	-	0s
	0	0	204.29493	0	21	104.16611	204.29493	96.1%	-	0s
	0	0	204.10180	0	21	104.16611	204.10180	95.9%	-	0s
	0	0	204.08495	0	21	104.16611	204.08495	95.9%	-	0s
	0	0	203.26060	0	21	104.16611	203.26060	95.1%	-	0s

	0	0	202.87856	0	21	104.16611	202.87856	94.8%	-	0s
	0	0	202.77925	0	21	104.16611	202.77925	94.7%	-	0s
	0	0	202.46741	0	21	104.16611	202.46741	94.4%	-	0s
	0	0	197.21557	0	21	104.16611	197.21557	89.3%	-	0s
	0	0	145.42732	0	21	104.16611	145.42732	39.6%	-	0s
	0	0	125.26907	0	21	104.16611	125.26907	20.3%	-	0s
H	0	0				106.3996659	125.26907	17.7%	-	0s
	0	0	120.31963	0	20	106.39967	120.31963	13.1%	-	0s
	0	0	118.78998	0	20	106.39967	118.78998	11.6%	-	0s
	0	0	118.67266	0	20	106.39967	118.67266	11.5%	-	0s
	0	0	118.65821	0	20	106.39967	118.65821	11.5%	-	0s
	0	0	118.00719	0	20	106.39967	118.00719	10.9%	-	0s
	0	0	117.83012	0	20	106.39967	117.83012	10.7%	-	0s
	0	0	117.78841	0	20	106.39967	117.78841	10.7%	-	0s
	0	0	117.74659	0	20	106.39967	117.74659	10.7%	-	0s
	0	0	117.74508	0	20	106.39967	117.74508	10.7%	-	0s
	0	0	117.71995	0	20	106.39967	117.71995	10.6%	-	0s
	0	0	117.70598	0	20	106.39967	117.70598	10.6%	-	0s
	0	0	117.62978	0	20	106.39967	117.62978	10.6%	-	0s
	0	0	117.53092	0	20	106.39967	117.53092	10.5%	-	0s
H	0	0				106.9397071	117.53092	9.90%	-	0s
	0	2	117.36974	0	20	106.93971	117.36974	9.75%	-	0s
*	205	1		17		107.1935860	107.20863	0.01%	6.8	0s

Cutting planes:

Gomory: 16  
 Implied bound: 16  
 MIR: 53  
 Flow cover: 13

Explored 207 nodes (2067 simplex iterations) in 0.53 seconds (0.18 work units)  
 Thread count was 2 (of 2 available processors)

Solution count 8: 107.194 106.94 106.4 ... -0

Optimal solution found (tolerance 1.00e-04)

Best objective 1.071935860372e+02, best bound 1.071935860372e+02, gap 0.0000%

Gurobi Optimizer version 10.0.1 build v10.0.1rc0 (linux64)

CPU model: Intel(R) Xeon(R) CPU @ 2.20GHz, instruction set [SSE2|AVX|AVX2]

Thread count: 1 physical cores, 2 logical processors, using up to 2 threads

Optimize a model with 234 rows, 89 columns and 640 nonzeros

Model fingerprint: 0x022f6cf8

Variable types: 60 continuous, 29 integer (29 binary)

Coefficient statistics:

Matrix range [2e-01, 1e+08]  
 Objective range [5e-01, 5e-01]

Bounds range [1e+00, 1e+00]  
 RHS range [1e+08, 1e+08]  
 Found heuristic solution: objective -0.0000000  
 Presolve removed 58 rows and 0 columns  
 Presolve time: 0.00s  
 Presolved: 176 rows, 89 columns, 524 nonzeros  
 Variable types: 60 continuous, 29 integer (29 binary)  
  
 Root relaxation: objective 7.586619e+02, 127 iterations, 0.00 seconds (0.00 work units)

Nodes		Current Node				Objective Bounds			Work	
Expl	Unexpl	Obj	Depth	IntInf	Incumbent	BestBd	Gap	It/Node	Time	
	0	0	758.66193	0	29	-0.00000	758.66193	-	-	0s
H	0	0				111.1610128	758.66193	582%	-	0s
	0	0	482.41442	0	16	111.16101	482.41442	334%	-	0s
	0	0	474.68719	0	16	111.16101	474.68719	327%	-	0s
H	0	0				120.1758892	474.68719	295%	-	0s
	0	0	376.31949	0	14	120.17589	376.31949	213%	-	0s
H	0	0				123.0000000	376.31949	206%	-	0s
	0	0	308.93359	0	10	123.00000	308.93359	151%	-	0s
	0	0	307.02171	0	10	123.00000	307.02171	150%	-	0s
	0	0	297.22335	0	11	123.00000	297.22335	142%	-	0s
H	0	0				124.1014720	297.22335	140%	-	0s
	0	0	296.31586	0	11	124.10147	296.31586	139%	-	0s
	0	0	294.87858	0	11	124.10147	294.87858	138%	-	0s
	0	0	294.56666	0	11	124.10147	294.56666	137%	-	0s
	0	0	294.32510	0	11	124.10147	294.32510	137%	-	0s
	0	0	294.02964	0	11	124.10147	294.02964	137%	-	0s
	0	0	293.89629	0	11	124.10147	293.89629	137%	-	0s
	0	0	293.66859	0	11	124.10147	293.66859	137%	-	0s
	0	0	285.72929	0	11	124.10147	285.72929	130%	-	0s
H	0	0				130.9062348	285.72929	118%	-	0s
	0	0	274.42218	0	10	130.90623	274.42218	110%	-	0s
	0	0	274.24028	0	10	130.90623	274.24028	109%	-	0s
	0	0	274.16845	0	10	130.90623	274.16845	109%	-	0s
	0	0	259.80789	0	10	130.90623	259.80789	98.5%	-	0s
	0	0	258.62419	0	10	130.90623	258.62419	97.6%	-	0s
	0	0	258.47583	0	10	130.90623	258.47583	97.5%	-	0s
	0	0	258.46490	0	10	130.90623	258.46490	97.4%	-	0s
	0	0	248.73710	0	10	130.90623	248.73710	90.0%	-	0s
	0	0	248.07700	0	10	130.90623	248.07700	89.5%	-	0s
	0	0	247.91110	0	10	130.90623	247.91110	89.4%	-	0s
	0	0	247.88180	0	10	130.90623	247.88180	89.4%	-	0s
	0	0	243.33199	0	10	130.90623	243.33199	85.9%	-	0s
	0	0	243.06173	0	10	130.90623	243.06173	85.7%	-	0s
	0	0	243.00241	0	10	130.90623	243.00241	85.6%	-	0s

0	0	242.41118	0	10	130.90623	242.41118	85.2%	-	0s
0	0	205.43936	0	10	130.90623	205.43936	56.9%	-	0s
0	0	142.00854	0	7	130.90623	142.00854	8.48%	-	0s
0	0	136.52850	0	8	130.90623	136.52850	4.29%	-	0s
0	0	135.91992	0	7	130.90623	135.91992	3.83%	-	0s
0	0	135.25453	0	7	130.90623	135.25453	3.32%	-	0s
0	0	132.59638	0	8	130.90623	132.59638	1.29%	-	0s
0	0	132.56270	0	7	130.90623	132.56270	1.27%	-	0s
0	0	131.45420	0	4	130.90623	131.45420	0.42%	-	0s
0	0	131.44951	0	3	130.90623	131.44951	0.42%	-	0s
0	0	131.28608	0	3	130.90623	131.28608	0.29%	-	0s
0	0	131.22377	0	3	130.90623	131.22377	0.24%	-	0s
0	0	131.22132	0	3	130.90623	131.22132	0.24%	-	0s
0	0	131.21539	0	2	130.90623	131.21539	0.24%	-	0s
0	0	131.17619	0	2	130.90623	131.17619	0.21%	-	0s
0	0	131.17505	0	2	130.90623	131.17505	0.21%	-	0s
0	0	131.17188	0	2	130.90623	131.17188	0.20%	-	0s

Cutting planes:

MIR: 9

Flow cover: 1

Relax-and-lift: 3

Explored 1 nodes (664 simplex iterations) in 0.35 seconds (0.04 work units)

Thread count was 2 (of 2 available processors)

Solution count 6: 130.906 124.101 123 ... -0

Optimal solution found (tolerance 1.00e-04)

Best objective 1.309062347635e+02, best bound 1.309062347635e+02, gap 0.0000%

Gurobi Optimizer version 10.0.1 build v10.0.1rc0 (linux64)

CPU model: Intel(R) Xeon(R) CPU @ 2.20GHz, instruction set [SSE2|AVX|AVX2]

Thread count: 1 physical cores, 2 logical processors, using up to 2 threads

Optimize a model with 210 rows, 80 columns and 574 nonzeros

Model fingerprint: 0x1b0ed139

Variable types: 54 continuous, 26 integer (26 binary)

Coefficient statistics:

Matrix range [2e-03, 1e+08]

Objective range [5e-01, 5e-01]

Bounds range [1e+00, 1e+00]

RHS range [1e+08, 1e+08]

Found heuristic solution: objective -0.0000000

Presolve removed 52 rows and 0 columns

Presolve time: 0.00s

Presolved: 158 rows, 80 columns, 470 nonzeros

Variable types: 54 continuous, 26 integer (26 binary)



Root relaxation: objective 2.962427e+02, 110 iterations, 0.00 seconds (0.00 work units)

Nodes		Current Node			Objective Bounds			Work	
Expl	Unexpl	Obj	Depth	IntInf	Incumbent	BestBd	Gap	It/Node	Time
	0	0	296.24270	0	25	-0.00000	296.24270	-	0s
H	0	0				2.3077931	296.24270	-	0s
H	0	0				79.8638844	296.24270	271%	0s
	0	0	233.69817	0	25	79.86388	233.69817	193%	0s
H	0	0				101.0000000	233.69817	131%	0s
H	0	0				105.0000000	233.69817	123%	0s
H	0	0				115.0000000	233.69817	103%	0s
H	0	0				118.2282924	233.69817	97.7%	0s
	0	0	208.17982	0	18	118.22829	208.17982	76.1%	0s
	0	0	207.93340	0	19	118.22829	207.93340	75.9%	0s
	0	0	199.89157	0	18	118.22829	199.89157	69.1%	0s
H	0	0				118.6499204	199.89157	68.5%	0s
	0	0	198.23064	0	18	118.64992	198.23064	67.1%	0s
	0	0	198.20837	0	18	118.64992	198.20837	67.1%	0s
	0	0	196.02527	0	18	118.64992	196.02527	65.2%	0s
	0	0	195.66601	0	18	118.64992	195.66601	64.9%	0s
	0	0	195.62677	0	18	118.64992	195.62677	64.9%	0s
	0	0	194.85027	0	18	118.64992	194.85027	64.2%	0s
	0	0	194.59151	0	18	118.64992	194.59151	64.0%	0s
	0	0	194.48594	0	18	118.64992	194.48594	63.9%	0s
	0	0	194.12413	0	18	118.64992	194.12413	63.6%	0s
	0	0	186.58410	0	18	118.64992	186.58410	57.3%	0s
	0	0	141.13871	0	17	118.64992	141.13871	19.0%	0s
	0	0	127.15925	0	17	118.64992	127.15925	7.17%	0s
	0	0	127.15848	0	17	118.64992	127.15848	7.17%	0s
	0	0	125.33436	0	15	118.64992	125.33436	5.63%	0s
	0	0	125.25193	0	15	118.64992	125.25193	5.56%	0s
	0	0	124.59925	0	14	118.64992	124.59925	5.01%	0s
	0	0	124.46880	0	14	118.64992	124.46880	4.90%	0s
	0	0	124.46206	0	14	118.64992	124.46206	4.90%	0s
	0	0	124.17254	0	11	118.64992	124.17254	4.65%	0s
	0	0	124.15674	0	10	118.64992	124.15674	4.64%	0s
	0	0	124.08031	0	10	118.64992	124.08031	4.58%	0s
	0	0	123.95976	0	10	118.64992	123.95976	4.48%	0s
	0	2	123.92428	0	10	118.64992	123.92428	4.45%	0s
H	6	1				118.9355885	123.42589	3.78%	5.0 0s
H	7	2				118.9734895	123.42589	3.74%	5.0 0s
*	34	7		10		119.0977065	122.27349	2.67%	3.4 0s
*	46	8		10		119.0998358	122.14813	2.56%	2.9 0s
H	54	7				119.2988117	121.89326	2.17%	3.4 0s
*	62	9		10		119.7025378	121.73434	1.70%	3.3 0s

*	67	6	10	120.4125476	121.73434	1.10%	3.1	0s
*	73	5	9	120.6121022	121.61095	0.83%	3.0	0s
*	78	3	9	120.9342355	121.61095	0.56%	2.9	0s

Cutting planes:

Gomory: 6  
 Implied bound: 9  
 MIR: 32  
 Flow cover: 13  
 Relax-and-lift: 8

Explored 83 nodes (785 simplex iterations) in 0.45 seconds (0.11 work units)  
 Thread count was 2 (of 2 available processors)

Solution count 10: 120.934 120.612 120.413 ... 118.65

Optimal solution found (tolerance 1.00e-04)

Best objective 1.209342354664e+02, best bound 1.209342354664e+02, gap 0.0000%

Gurobi Optimizer version 10.0.1 build v10.0.1rc0 (linux64)

CPU model: Intel(R) Xeon(R) CPU @ 2.20GHz, instruction set [SSE2|AVX|AVX2]

Thread count: 1 physical cores, 2 logical processors, using up to 2 threads

Optimize a model with 218 rows, 83 columns and 596 nonzeros

Model fingerprint: 0x1836b654

Variable types: 56 continuous, 27 integer (27 binary)

Coefficient statistics:

Matrix range	[2e-01, 1e+08]
Objective range	[5e-01, 5e-01]
Bounds range	[1e+00, 1e+00]
RHS range	[1e+08, 1e+08]

Found heuristic solution: objective -0.0000000

Presolve removed 54 rows and 0 columns

Presolve time: 0.00s

Presolved: 164 rows, 83 columns, 488 nonzeros

Variable types: 56 continuous, 27 integer (27 binary)

Root relaxation: objective 4.588530e+02, 114 iterations, 0.00 seconds (0.00 work units)

Nodes		Current Node			Objective Bounds			Work		
Expl	Unexpl	Obj	Depth	IntInf	Incumbent	BestBd	Gap	It/Node	Time	
	0	0	458.85304	0	27	-0.00000	458.85304	-	-	0s
H	0	0				73.4949998	458.85304	524%	-	0s
	0	0	299.48693	0	15	73.49500	299.48693	307%	-	0s
	0	0	299.48693	0	15	73.49500	299.48693	307%	-	0s
H	0	0				84.4263910	299.48693	255%	-	0s

	0	0	224.79233	0	14	84.42639	224.79233	166%	-	0s
	0	0	223.70940	0	14	84.42639	223.70940	165%	-	0s
	0	0	218.91935	0	14	84.42639	218.91935	159%	-	0s
H	0	0				86.0000000	218.91935	155%	-	0s
H	0	0				91.0807283	218.91935	140%	-	0s
	0	0	206.90997	0	12	91.08073	206.90997	127%	-	0s
	0	0	206.60044	0	12	91.08073	206.60044	127%	-	0s
	0	0	204.72465	0	12	91.08073	204.72465	125%	-	0s
	0	0	204.65466	0	12	91.08073	204.65466	125%	-	0s
	0	0	204.49473	0	12	91.08073	204.49473	125%	-	0s
	0	0	186.87527	0	12	91.08073	186.87527	105%	-	0s
H	0	0				92.0000000	186.87527	103%	-	0s
H	0	0				95.6181553	186.87527	95.4%	-	0s
	0	0	131.52727	0	11	95.61816	131.52727	37.6%	-	0s
	0	0	112.68763	0	10	95.61816	112.68763	17.9%	-	0s
H	0	0				97.3822410	112.68763	15.7%	-	0s
	0	0	106.02237	0	10	97.38224	106.02237	8.87%	-	0s
	0	0	105.96498	0	10	97.38224	105.96498	8.81%	-	0s
	0	0	105.60743	0	10	97.38224	105.60743	8.45%	-	0s
	0	0	105.40881	0	10	97.38224	105.40881	8.24%	-	0s
	0	0	105.25159	0	10	97.38224	105.25159	8.08%	-	0s
	0	0	105.25128	0	10	97.38224	105.25128	8.08%	-	0s
	0	0	104.97953	0	10	97.38224	104.97953	7.80%	-	0s
	0	0	104.94182	0	10	97.38224	104.94182	7.76%	-	0s
	0	0	104.93671	0	10	97.38224	104.93671	7.76%	-	0s
	0	0	104.92652	0	10	97.38224	104.92652	7.75%	-	0s
	0	0	104.52351	0	11	97.38224	104.52351	7.33%	-	0s
	0	2	104.36471	0	11	97.38224	104.36471	7.17%	-	0s

Cutting planes:

Gomory: 4

MIR: 10

Flow cover: 7

Explored 58 nodes (833 simplex iterations) in 0.31 seconds (0.06 work units)

Thread count was 2 (of 2 available processors)

Solution count 8: 97.3822 95.6182 92 ... -0

Optimal solution found (tolerance 1.00e-04)

Best objective 9.738224102259e+01, best bound 9.738224102259e+01, gap 0.0000%

```
[ ]: pd.DataFrame(p5_res)
```

```
[ ]: Dataset                                Assortment with Unknown Type \
0  data1  [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 16, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 27]
1  data2                                [1, 2, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 22]
```

```

2 data3 [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17, 20, 24, 25]
3 data4 [4, 5, 7, 11, 16, 19, 20, 21, 22, 27]

```

```

Expected Revenue with Unknown Type \
0 107.193586
1 130.906235
2 120.934235
3 97.382241

```

```

Assortment for Early Cust
\
0 [0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 9, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 26]
1 [0, 1, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 21, 23, 25]
2 [0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18, 19, 23, 24, 25]
3 [3, 4, 6, 8, 10, 15, 18, 19, 20, 21, 26]

```

```

Expected Revenue for Early Cust \
0 103.464546
1 127.139496
2 117.514092
3 94.855285

```

```

Assortment for Late Cust \
0 [0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24]
1 [0, 1, 6, 7, 8, 10, 21]
2 [0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16, 19, 23, 24]
3 [3, 4, 6, 10, 15, 18, 19, 20, 21, 26]

```

```

Expected Revenue for Late Cust
0 112.207643
1 137.684999
2 125.386044
3 100.952388

```

- Comparison of the expected revenue of S (Unknown) and S1 under MNL model of type 1 (Early)

Based on the table above, optimal assortments in S1 consist of more hotel options compared to in S with expected revenue of S1 lower than expected revenue of S. Our hypothesis is this is due to early customers are more price-sensitive and prefer a wider option.

- Comparison of the expected revenue of S and S2 under MNL model of type 2

Based on the table above, optimal assortments in S2 consist of fewer hotel options compared to in S and S1 with expected revenue is higher than S (and S1). One hypothesis on this last-minute customers might be willing to pay more for convenience and prefer a more curated selection compared to early customer.

## 6 Problem 6: Mixture of MNL with Other Ways of Defining Customer Types

In previous problems, we define customer types based on whether the customer wants to make an early or a late reservation. Given dataset data.csv, there are other ways to define customer types, for example, whether the customer in the search query is looking for a Saturday night booking. Explore your own ways to define customer types and estimate the mixture of MNL model. Repeat Problem 5 for this new MMNL model.

### 6.1 MNL Model based on Saturday vs Non-Saturday Bookings

```
[ ]: columns = [col for col in data.columns if col.startswith('p')]
assortment_sat = data[data['srch_saturday_night_bool'] == 1].
    ↳groupby('srch_id')[columns].apply(lambda g: g.values.tolist()).tolist()
assortment_sat = [np.array(assortment) for assortment in assortment_sat]
assortment_sat = [scaler.transform(assortment) for assortment in assortment_sat]
assortment_sat = [np.concatenate([np.ones((len(assortment), 1)), assortment],
    ↳axis=1) for assortment in assortment_sat]
bookings_sat = data[data['srch_saturday_night_bool'] == 1].
    ↳groupby('srch_id')['booking_bool'].apply(lambda g: g.values.tolist()).tolist()
bookings_sat = [np.array(booking) for booking in bookings_sat]
assortment_nonsat = data[data['srch_saturday_night_bool'] == 0].
    ↳groupby('srch_id')[columns].apply(lambda g: g.values.tolist()).tolist()
assortment_nonsat = [np.array(assortment) for assortment in assortment_nonsat]
assortment_nonsat = [scaler.transform(assortment) for assortment in
    ↳assortment_nonsat]
assortment_nonsat = [np.concatenate([np.ones((len(assortment), 1)), assortment],
    ↳axis=1) for assortment in assortment_nonsat]
bookings_nonsat = data[data['srch_saturday_night_bool'] == 0].
    ↳groupby('srch_id')['booking_bool'].apply(lambda g: g.values.tolist()).tolist()
bookings_nonsat = [np.array(booking) for booking in bookings_nonsat]
```

```
[ ]: epsilon_1= len(data[data['srch_saturday_night_bool'] == 1]['srch_id'].unique()) /
    ↳ len(data['srch_id'].unique())
epsilon_2= len(data[data['srch_saturday_night_bool'] == 0]['srch_id'].unique()) /
    ↳ len(data['srch_id'].unique())
print(f"epsilon_1 =", epsilon_1)
print(f"epsilon_2 =", epsilon_2)
```

```
epsilon_1 = 0.5766100071821881
epsilon_2 = 0.4233899928178118
```

```
[ ]: def sum_log_sat_nonsat(param5, param6, x5, x6, y5, y6, epsilon_5, epsilon_6):
    sum_logL1= (epsilon_5 * sum_log(param5, x5, y5)) + (epsilon_6 *
    ↳sum_log(param6, x6, y6))
    return sum_logL1
```

```
[ ]: param_sat_initial = np.zeros(9)
param_nonsat_initial = np.zeros(9)
initial_guess = np.concatenate([param_sat_initial, param_nonsat_initial])
result1 = minimize(lambda params: sum_log_sat_nonsat(params[:9], params[9:],
↳assortment_sat, assortment_nonsat, bookings_sat, bookings_nonsat, epsilon_1,
↳epsilon_2), x0=initial_guess, method='Powell')
```

```
[ ]: beta_hat_sat= result1.x[:9]
beta_hat_nonsat= result1.x[9:]
columns = ['coef_' + col for col in data.columns if col.startswith('p')]
df_p6 = pd.DataFrame(['Saturday' + beta_hat_sat.tolist(), ['Non-Saturday' +
↳beta_hat_nonsat.tolist()], columns=['Type', 'Intercept'] + columns)
df_p6
```

	Type	Intercept	coef_prop_starrating	coef_prop_review_score \
0	Saturday	-1.755092	0.363025	0.108878
1	Non-Saturday	-1.737982	0.476415	0.105263

  

	coef_prop_brand_bool	coef_prop_location_score \
0	0.098139	0.024299
1	0.107620	0.014078

  

	coef_prop_accessibility_score	coef_prop_log_historical_price \
0	0.036228	-0.064094
1	0.052390	-0.074377

  

	coef_price_usd	coef_promotion_flag
0	-1.157790	0.167435
1	-1.563576	0.147735

For customers who are looking for Saturday Night Bookings, the most influential features with high and positive coefficient values are star rating, review score and promotions of the property leading to higher probability. Other features such as brand, location score and accessibility also have positive coefficient even though they may have a smaller impact. On the other hand, price as well as historical price significantly have negative effect resulting in a decrease in the probability of booking.

The most influential features for customers not looking for Saturday Night Bookings are similar to the previous type with star rating, promotion and brand of the property having higher probability and location score and accessibility having positive but smaller impact. However, the coefficient for price and historical price is negative and higher compared to the first type probably because customers looking for non Saturday bookings are more flexible with the days and make the decision based on the price.

```
[ ]: def prob_no_purchase(betas, assortment):
    utility = (betas * assortment).sum(axis=1)
    preference = np.exp(utility)
    return 1 / (1+preference.sum(axis=0))
```

```

[ ]: beta= [beta_hat_sat, beta_hat_nonsat]
epsilon= [epsilon_1, epsilon_2]

p6_res = {'Dataset': [], 'Assortment with Unknown Type': [], 'Expected Revenue_
↳with Unknown Type': [],
          'Assortment for Saturday Bookings': [], 'P(No Purchase) for Saturday_
↳Bookings': [], 'Expected Revenue for Saturday Bookings': [],
          'Assortment for Non-Saturday Bookings': [], 'P(No Purchase) for_
↳Non-Saturday Bookings': [], 'Expected Revenue for Non-Saturday Bookings': []}
for x in range(1, 5):
    data_x = pd.read_csv(f'data{x}.csv')
    p6_res['Dataset'].append(f'data{x}')

    #S
    opt_assortment1, rev1 = optimal_assortment(data_x, beta, theta, 2)
    p6_res['Assortment with Unknown Type'].append(opt_assortment1)
    p6_res['Expected Revenue with Unknown Type'].append(rev1)

    #S1 and S2
    data_x = data_x.sort_values(by='price_usd', ascending=False)
    data_x_norm= scaler.transform(data_x.values)
    data_x_scaled = np.concatenate([np.ones((len(data_x_norm), 1)),
↳data_x_norm], axis=1)
    best_assortment_sat, max_revenue_sat = None, -1
    best_assortment_nonsat, max_revenue_nonsat = None, -1

    for n in range(1, len(data_x_scaled)):
        prices = data_x['price_usd'].iloc[:n]
        rev_sat = revenue(data_x_scaled[:n], beta[0], prices)
        if rev_sat > max_revenue_sat:
            best_assortment_sat, max_revenue_sat = data_x.iloc[:n].index, rev_sat

        rev_nonsat = revenue(data_x_scaled[:n], beta[1], prices)
        if rev_nonsat > max_revenue_nonsat:
            best_assortment_nonsat, max_revenue_nonsat = data_x.iloc[:n].index,
↳rev_nonsat

    p6_res['Assortment for Saturday Bookings'].append(best_assortment_sat.
↳tolist())
    p6_res['P(No Purchase) for Saturday Bookings'].
↳append(prob_no_purchase(beta_hat_sat, data_x_scaled[best_assortment_sat]))
    p6_res['Assortment for Saturday Bookings'] = [sorted(x) for x in
↳p6_res['Assortment for Saturday Bookings']]
    p6_res['Expected Revenue for Saturday Bookings'].append(max_revenue_sat)

```

```

p6_res['Assortment for Non-Saturday Bookings'].append(best_assortment_nonsat.
↪tolist())
p6_res['P(No Purchase) for Non-Saturday Bookings'].
↪append(prob_no_purchase(beta_hat_nonsat, data_x_scaled[best_assortment_sat]))
p6_res['Assortment for Non-Saturday Bookings'] = [sorted(x) for x in_]
↪p6_res['Assortment for Non-Saturday Bookings']]
p6_res['Expected Revenue for Non-Saturday Bookings'].
↪append(max_revenue_nonsat)

```

```
[ ]: pd.DataFrame(p6_res)
```

```

Dataset                                Assortment with Unknown Type \
0  data1      [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 16, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 27]
1  data2                                [1, 2, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 22, 24, 26]
2  data3  [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17, 19, 20, 24, 25]
3  data4                                [4, 5, 7, 9, 11, 16, 19, 20, 21, 22, 27]

Expected Revenue with Unknown Type \
0                                107.371426
1                                131.245381
2                                121.150493
3                                97.533480

Assortment for Saturday Bookings \
0  [0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 9, 12, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 26]
1                                [0, 1, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 21, 23, 25]
2  [0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18, 19, 23, 24]
3                                [3, 4, 6, 8, 10, 15, 18, 19, 20, 21, 26]

P(No Purchase) for Saturday Bookings \
0                                0.215389
1                                0.214357
2                                0.284000
3                                0.190383

Expected Revenue for Saturday Boookings \
0                                106.824547
1                                130.078292
2                                121.600537
3                                96.788086

Assortment for Non-Saturday Bookings \
0  [0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 26]
1                                [0, 1, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 21, 23, 25]
2  [0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18, 19, 23, 24]
3                                [3, 4, 6, 8, 10, 15, 18, 19, 20, 21, 26]

```



P(No Purchase) for Non-Saturday Bookings \	
0	0.208732
1	0.186260
2	0.281082
3	0.158543

Expected Revenue for Non-Saturday Bookings	
0	108.063333
1	132.632618
2	120.615557
3	98.419477

- Comparison of the expected revenue of S (Unknown) and S1 under MNL model of type 1 (Saturday Booking)

Based on the table above, optimal assortments in S1 has lower expected revenue than compared to S. Even though on average the price for Saturday bookings is higher since the Probability for No Purchase being made for Saturday Bookings is higher compared to that for non Saturday Bookings, so less bookings are made probably due to the high prices leading to a lower revenue.

- Comparison of the expected revenue of S and S2 under MNL model of type 2

Based on the table above, optimal assortments in S2 consist of higher expected revenue compared to S (and S1). Similar to the previous case, since the Probability for No Purchase being made for Non - Saturday Booking is lower than compared to type 1, there are more chances of a booking being made, increasing expected revenue.

## 6.2 MNL for Customer Booking with Child vs Non-child

```
[ ]: columns = [col for col in data.columns if col.startswith('p')]
assortments_child = data[data['srch_children_count'] != 0].
    ↳groupby('srch_id')[columns].apply(lambda g: g.values.tolist()).tolist()
assortments_child = [np.array(assortment) for assortment in assortments_child]
assortments_child = [scaler.transform(assortment) for assortment in
    ↳assortments_child]
assortments_child = [np.concatenate([np.ones((len(assortment), 1)), assortment],
    ↳axis=1) for assortment in assortments_child]
bookings_child = data[data['srch_children_count'] != 0].
    ↳groupby('srch_id')['booking_bool'].apply(lambda g: g.values.tolist()).tolist()
bookings_child = [np.array(booking) for booking in bookings_child]
assortments_nochild = data[data['srch_children_count'] == 0].
    ↳groupby('srch_id')[columns].apply(lambda g: g.values.tolist()).tolist()
assortments_nochild = [np.array(assortment) for assortment in
    ↳assortments_nochild]
assortments_nochild = [scaler.transform(assortment) for assortment in
    ↳assortments_nochild]
assortments_nochild = [np.concatenate([np.ones((len(assortment), 1)),
    ↳assortment], axis=1) for assortment in assortments_nochild]
```

```
bookings_nochild = data[data['srch_children_count'] == 0].
↳groupby('srch_id')['booking_bool'].apply(lambda g: g.values.tolist()).tolist()
bookings_nochild = [np.array(booking) for booking in bookings_nochild]
```

```
[ ]: epsilon_5= len(data[data['srch_children_count'] == 0]['srch_id'].unique()) /
↳len(data['srch_id'].unique())
epsilon_6= len(data[data['srch_children_count'] != 0]['srch_id'].unique()) /
↳len(data['srch_id'].unique())
print(f"epsilon_5 =", epsilon_5)
print(f"epsilon_6 =", epsilon_6)
```

```
[ ]: def sum_log_child(param7, param8, x7, x8, y7, y8, epsilon5, epsilon6):
    sum_logL= (epsilon5 * sum_log(param7, x7, y7)) + (epsilon6 *
↳sum_log(param8,x8,y8))
    return sum_logL
```

```
[ ]: param_child_initial = np.zeros(9)
param_nochild_initial = np.zeros(9)
initial_guess = np.concatenate([param_child_initial, param_nochild_initial])
result3 = minimize(lambda params: sum_log_child(params[:9], params[9:],
↳assortments_child, assortments_nochild, bookings_child, bookings_nochild,
↳epsilon_5, epsilon_6), x0=initial_guess, method='Powell')
```

```
[ ]: beta_hat_child= result3.x[:9]
beta_hat_nochild = result3.x[9:]
columns = ['coef_' + col for col in data.columns if col.startswith('p')]
df_p8 = pd.DataFrame(['With Child'] + beta_hat_child.tolist(), ['No Child'] +
↳beta_hat_nochild.tolist()), columns=['Type', 'Intercept'] + columns)
df_p8
```

	Type	Intercept	coef_prop_starrating	coef_prop_review_score \
0	With Child	-1.630091	0.306199	0.104049
1	No Child	-1.789953	0.448788	0.108076

  

	coef_prop_brand_bool	coef_prop_location_score \
0	0.134787	0.025146
1	0.093688	0.018319

  

	coef_prop_accessibility_score	coef_prop_log_historical_price \
0	0.040039	-0.148323
1	0.043815	-0.038002

  

	coef_price_usd	coef_promotion_flag
0	-0.687487	0.171185
1	-1.560769	0.154869

For customers whose bookings are with child, the most influential features with high and positive coefficient values are star rating, promotions and hotel brand of the property leading to higher

probability. Other features such as review score, location score and accessibility also have positive coefficient even though they may have a smaller impact. On the other hand, price as well as historical price significantly have negative effect resulting in a decrease in the probability of booking.

The most influential features for customers not looking for Saturday Night Bookings are similar with star rating, promotion, and review score having higher probability and brand of the property, location score and accessibility having positive but smaller impact. However, the coefficient for price and historical price is negative and higher probably because customers that have no child can be more flexible when it comes to choosing a hotel.

```
[ ]: beta= [beta_hat_child, beta_hat_nochild]
epsilon= [epsilon_5, epsilon_6]

p8_res = {'Dataset': [], 'Assortment with Unknown Type': [], 'Expected Revenue_
↳with Unknown Type': [],
          'Assortment with Child': [], 'Expected Revenue with Child': [],
          'Assortment with No Child': [], 'Expected Revenue with No Child': []}
for x in range(1, 5):
    data_x = pd.read_csv(f'data{x}.csv')
    p8_res['Dataset'].append(f'data{x}')

    #S
    opt_assortment4, rev4= optimal_assortment(data_x, beta, theta, 2)
    p8_res['Assortment with Unknown Type'].append(opt_assortment4)
    p8_res['Expected Revenue with Unknown Type'].append(rev4)

    #S1 and S2
    data_x = data_x.sort_values(by='price_usd', ascending=False)
    data_x_norm= scaler.transform(data_x.values)
    data_x_scaled = np.concatenate([np.ones((len(data_x_norm), 1)),
↳data_x_norm], axis=1)
    best_assortment_child, max_revenue_child = None, -1
    best_assortment_nochild, max_revenue_nochild = None, -1

    for n in range(1, len(data_x_scaled)):
        prices = data_x['price_usd'].iloc[:n]
        rev_child = revenue(data_x_scaled[:n], beta[0], prices)
        if rev_child > max_revenue_child:
            best_assortment_child, max_revenue_child = data_x.iloc[:n].index,
↳rev_child

            rev_nochild = revenue(data_x_scaled[:n], beta[1], prices)
            if rev_nochild > max_revenue_nochild:
                best_assortment_nochild, max_revenue_nochild = data_x.iloc[:n].
↳index, rev_nochild

    p8_res['Assortment with Child'].append(best_assortment_child.tolist())
```

```

p8_res['Assortment with Child'] = [sorted(x) for x in p8_res['Assortment_
↳with Child']]
p8_res['Expected Revenue with Child'].append(max_revenue_child)

p8_res['Assortment with No Child'].append(best_assortment_nochild.tolist())
p8_res['Assortment with No Child'] = [sorted(x) for x in p8_res['Assortment_
↳with No Child']]
p8_res['Expected Revenue with No Child'].append(max_revenue_nochild)

```

```
[ ]: pd.DataFrame(p8_res)
```

```

Dataset                                Assortment with Unknown Type \
0  data1  [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 16, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 27]
1  data2                                [1, 2, 7, 8, 9, 11, 22]
2  data3          [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 11, 14, 15, 16, 17, 20, 24, 25]
3  data4                                [4, 5, 7, 11, 16, 19, 20, 21, 22, 27]

Expected Revenue with Unknown Type \
0                                107.841956
1                                134.127574
2                                124.598607
3                                99.142871

                                Assortment with Child \
0  [0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 26]
1                                [0, 1, 6, 7, 8, 10, 21]
2          [0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 10, 13, 14, 15, 16, 19, 23, 24]
3                                [3, 4, 6, 10, 15, 18, 19, 20, 21, 26]

Expected Revenue with Child \
0                                108.600662
1                                141.752748
2                                130.301298
3                                102.382759

                                Assortment with No Child \
0  [0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 9, 12, 14, 15, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 26]
1                                [0, 1, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 21, 23, 25]
2          [0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18, 19, 23, 24, 25]
3                                [3, 4, 6, 8, 10, 15, 18, 19, 20, 21, 26]

Expected Revenue with No Child
0                                106.963076
1                                128.111309
2                                118.779934
3                                95.723844

```

- Comparison of the expected revenue of S (Unknown) and S1 under MNL model of type 1

(With Child)

Based on the table above, optimal assortments in S1 has higher expected revenue than that of S. The most likely reason for this is because the hotel price goes up wuth addition for children than without.

- Comparison of the expected revenue of S and S2 under MNL model of type 2 (N Child)

Based on the table above, optimal assortments in S2 consist of lower expected revenue compared to S (and S1). Similar to the previous case, since the price for without child is lower for any hotel, the expected revenue decreases.