
2024 PARLIAMENTARY ELECTION ANALYSIS ON ASSEMBLY GRANULARITY LEVEL **FOR HARYANA**


Compiled and presented by: Twitter/X @Saksham_S_Hooda
*For convenience of analysis and compilation, AAP has been treated as INC at various places as hypothesis of AAP having no base of its own in Haryana

PARTY	LEADING SEATS	
INC+	46	
BJP	44	


Percentage of Seats Won in 2019 VS



Percentage of VS Won in 2024 LS



Seat transfer from BJP to INC and vice versa



Seats Transferred from BJP to INC:

- 1. Ambala City
- 2. Jagadhari
- 3. Pehowa
- 4. Kalayat
- 5. Fatehabad
- 6. Ratia
- 7. Nalwa
- 8. Bawani Khera
- 9. Loharu
- 10. Kosli
- 11. Hathin
- 12. Hodal

Seats Transferred from INC to BJP:

- 1. Radaur
- 2. Ladwa
- 3. Asandh
- 4. Israna
- 5. Samalkha
- 6. Sonipat
- 7. Safidon
- 8. Tosham
- 9. Mahendragarh
- 10. Rewari
- 11. Faridabad NIT

Seats Transferred from JJP to INC and BJP:

Seats Transferred from JJP to INC:

- 1. Julana
- 2. Narwana
- 3. Tohana
- 4. Uchana
- 5. Uklana
- 6. Narnaud

7. Badhra

Seats Transferred from JJP to BJP:

1. Barwala

Highest and Lowest Margins for BJP and INC

BJP:

• Highest Margin: 121,724 votes in Badshahpur

• Lowest Margin: 1,654 votes in Asandh

INC:

Highest Margin: 98,558 votes in Punhana

• Lowest Margin: 2 votes in Kosli

Mean Margin of INC and BJP

Mean Margin for BJP: 25,023.45 votes

Mean Margin for INC: 30,231.54 votes

These values represent the average margin of victory for each party across the constituencies where they are leading. Data indicates that INC had stronger hold in most of constituencies it was leading in.

Assembly Constituency wise table


Assembly Constituency	Incumbent MLA	Leading Party	Margin
Kalka	BJP	BJP	22921
Panchkula	BJP	BJP	10845
Naraingarh	INC	INC	20762
Ambala Cantt	BJP	BJP	2977
Ambala City	BJP	INC	4046
Mulana	INC	INC	28118
Sadhaura	INC	INC	40055

Jagadhari	BJP	INC	15446
Yamunanagar	BJP	BJP	24675
Radaur	INC	BJP	6377
Ladwa	INC	BJP	8750
Shahbad	JJP	AAP	11657
Thanesar	BJP	BJP	18533
Pehowa	BJP	AAP	879
Guhla	JJP	AAP	8055
Kalayat	BJP	AAP	14437
Kaithal	BJP	BJP	17519
Pundri	IND (RANDHIR GOLAN)	BJP	11726
Nilokheri	IND (DP GONDAL)	BJP	10885
Indri	BJP	BJP	21915
Karnal	BJP	BJP	34624
Gharaunda	BJP	BJP	32138
Asandh	INC	BJP	1654
Panipat Rural	BJP	BJP	42076
Panipat City	BJP	BJP	58832
Israna	INC	BJP	6770
Samalkha	INC	BJP	18337
Gannaur	BJP	BJP	5331
Rai	BJP	BJP	2081
Kharkohda	BINC	INC	12931
Sonipat	INC	BJP	35846
Gohana	INC	INC	1392
Baroda	INC	INC	30573
Julana	JJP	INC	24325
Safidon	INC	BJP	5331
Jind	BJP	BJP	3161
Narwana	JJP	INC	15538
Tohana	JJP	INC	48411
Fatehabad	BJP	INC	22641
Ratia	BJP	INC	36332
Kalanwali	INC	INC	42113
Dabwali	INC	INC	34564

Rania	IND (RANJEET CHAUTALA)	INC	27661
Sirsa	HLP (GOPAL KANDA)	INC	13349
Ellenanabad	INLD (ABHAY CHAUTALA)	INC	27216
Uchana	JJP	INC	37319
Adampur	INC (BJP after byelection)	INC	6384
Uklana	JJP	INC	25964
Narnaud	JJP	INC	44794
Hansi	BJP	BJP	6670
Barwala	JJP	BJP	11657
Hisar	BJP	BJP	36605
Nalwa	BJP	INC	2439
Bawani Khera	BJP	INC	282
Loharu	BJP	INC	8319
Badhra	JJP	INC	27102
Dadri	IND (SOMBIR SANGWAN)	INC	5271
Bhiwani	BJP	BJP	28851
Tosham	INC	BJP	8063
Ateli	BJP	BJP	19603
Mahendragarh	INC	BJP	4840
Narnaul	BJP	BJP	17662
Nangal Chaudhary	BJP	BJP	2472
Meham	IND (BALRAJ KUNDU)	INC	73941
Kiloi	INC	INC	77242
Rohtak	INC	INC	31272
Kalanaur	INC	INC	39664
Bahadurgarh	INC	INC	21641
Badli	INC	INC	46947
Jhajjar	INC	INC	43385
Beri	INC	INC	55644
Kosli	BJP	INC	2
Bawal	BJP	BJP	22249
Rewari	INC	BJP	36653
Pataudi	BJP	BJP	42990

Badshahpur	IND (LATE RAKESH DAULTABAD)	BJP	121724
Gurgaon	ВЈР	BJP	102386
Sohna	BJP	BJP	6109
Nuh	INC	INC	67502
Ferozepur Jhirka	INC	INC	92464
Punhana	INC	INC	98558
Hathin	BJP	INC	51724
Hodal	ВЈР	INC	566
Palwal	ВЈР	BJP	24944
Prithla	IND (NAYANPAL RAWAT)	INC	51724
Faridabad NIT	INC	BJP	41960
Badkhal	BJP	BJP	34274
Ballabhgarh	BJP	BJP	42695
Faridabad	BJP	BJP	35893
Tigaon	BJP	BJP	49428

Proportion of seats retained by INC and BJP:



The data may seem discrepant as INC+ has lead in 46 seats out of 90. Adampur seat has been exempted from the analysis due to it being won by INC originally but being held by BJP as Kuldeep Bishnoi resigned in middle of his term.

To describe the discrepancy given that INC + AAP are leading in 46 out of 90 seats, let's analyze the following aspects:

- 1. Total Seats Analyzed: Exempting Adampur means we are considering 89 seats.
- 2. Proportion Calculation: The proportion of seats retained should reflect the actual performance based on the leading and incumbent status.
- 3. Retention Rate: The retention rate specifically looks at how many of those seats that each party previously held were retained.

Analysis:

- 1. **Total Seats**: With Adampur exempted, the total seats analyzed are 89.
- 2. **Seats Leading**: INC + AAP are leading in 46 out of these 89 seats.

Calculation:

- -Total Seats Considered: 89 (90 total 1 Adampur)
- -Seats Retained by INC + AAP: Calculate the number of seats where INC or AAP was the incumbent and is still leading.
- -Seats Retained by BJP: Calculate the number of seats where BJP was the incumbent and is still leading.

Proportion of Seats Retained:

- For INC + AAP: (Seats retained by INC + AAP) / Total seats considered
- For BJP: (Seats retained by BJP) / Total seats considered

Let's recheck and perform these calculations.

Summary of Analysis:

- 1. Total Seats Considered: 89 (excluding Adampur)
- 2. Seats Leading by INC + AAP: 45 out of 89
- 3. Seats Retained by INC + AAP: 17 out of 89 (treating AAP as INC)
- 4. Seats Retained by BJP: 29 out of 89

Proportion of Seats Retained:

-NC + AAP 19.1% of seats (17 out of 89)

BJP: 32.6% of seats (29 out of 89)

Discrepancy Description:

Although INC + AAP are currently leading in 45 out of 89 seats, the retention rate shows a different picture. INC + AAP retained only 17 seats (19.1%) where they were the incumbents. -BJP retained 29 seats (32.6%) where they were the incumbents, showing a higher retention rate compared to INC + AAP.

This discrepancy highlights that while INC + AAP may be leading in more seats currently, BJP has been more successful in retaining the seats they previously held. The leading count alone does not reflect the retention performance, which is crucial in understanding party stability and voter loyalty in incumbent constituencies.