

# CS754 HW3 Q1

Q1a) Equation 11.15 states,

$$\|\hat{\beta} - \beta^*\|_2 \leq \frac{C\sigma}{Y} \sqrt{\frac{Tk \log p}{N}}$$

Equation 11.16 states,

$$\|\hat{\beta} - \beta^*\|_2 \leq C\sigma \sqrt{\frac{k \log(ep/k)}{N}}$$

Theorem 3 in class states,

$$\|\hat{\theta} - \theta\|_2 \leq \frac{C_0}{\sqrt{S}} \|\theta - \theta_S\|_2 + C_1 \varepsilon$$

$\hat{\theta}$  corresponds to  $\hat{\beta}$ ,  $\theta$  corresponds to  $\beta^*$ ,  
 $S$  corresponds to  $k$  (sparsity)

$\varepsilon \propto \sigma \sqrt{N}$ , because the noise vector  $w$  has  $N$  elements, each i.i.d Gaussians with variance  $\sigma^2$ , and mean zero, and  $\varepsilon$  is proportional to the  $L_2$  norm of the vector  $w$  i.e noise vector.

$$\mathbb{E}[\|w\|_2] = \sqrt{\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^N w_i^2\right]} = \sqrt{N\sigma^2}$$

$\therefore \varepsilon \propto \sigma \sqrt{N}$ . Note that this considers Gaussian noise.

→ Number of measurements.

Eq<sup>n</sup> 11.15 & 11.16 are inversely proportional to  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$ .

In Theorem 3, the error bound second term varies proportional to  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$ .

→ Signal Sparsity

~~Theorem~~ 11.15 and 11.16 are proportional to  $\sqrt{k}$ , in ~~Theorem~~ 11.16, there is also a  $\log(p/k)$  term, which gives a tighter bound.

In Theorem 3, the 1<sup>st</sup> term of the error bound is inversely proportional to  $\sqrt{S}$ , which is the sparsity. Also, constants  $C_0$  and  $C_1$  are dependent on  $\delta_{2S}$ , in an increasing manner, and  $\delta_{2S}$  also increases with  $S$ .

→ Noise standard deviation

Eq<sup>n</sup> 11.15 & 11.16 are directly proportional to  $\sigma$ .

In Theorem 3, the 2<sup>nd</sup> term with epsilon is directly proportional to  $\sigma$ , since  $\epsilon \propto \sigma$ .

→ Signal dimension

Eq<sup>n</sup> 11.15 & 11.16 are directly proportional to  $\log(p)$ , where  $p$  is the signal dimension.

In Theorem 3, there is no direct relation with signal dimension, but, it is used to get a lower bound for no. of measurements needed for compressed sensing.

$$N \geq C \log(m(S) \| \theta \|_0 \mu^2(\Psi, \Phi))$$

→ Intuitive Result

~~Theorem~~ Eq<sup>n</sup> 11.15 & 11.16 are more intuitive because of the proportionality. Acc to eq<sup>n</sup> 11.15 & 11.16, as no. of measurements increases, error decreases which seems better than Theorem 3, which suggests otherwise. Similarly, lower  $k(S)$ , intuitively should give lesser error, but it gives higher error according to Theorem 3. Also, the influence of signal dimension is indirect.

Q1c) Equation 11.20 states

$$G(v) = \frac{1}{2N} \|y - X(\beta^* + v)\|_2^2 + \lambda_N \|\beta^* + v\|_1$$

~~where~~ Now, Let us consider  $J(\beta)$

$$J(\beta) = \frac{1}{2N} \|y - X\beta\|_2^2 + \lambda_N \|\beta\|_1$$

This is minimised by  $\beta = \hat{\beta}$ .

Note that  $G(v)$  is nothing but  $J(\beta)$ , but with  $v = \beta - \beta^*$ .

Thus, if  $J(\beta)$  is minimised by  $\beta = \hat{\beta}$ ,  $G(v)$  is minimised by  $\hat{v} = \hat{\beta} - \beta^*$ .

Thus,  $G(v)$  is minimised by  $\hat{v} = \hat{\beta} - \beta^*$

- ∴  $G(\hat{v})$  is minimum value for  $G(v)$
- ∴  $G(\hat{v}) \leq G(v) \forall v$
- ∴  $G(\hat{v}) \leq G(0)$

d) Equation 11.20 states

$$G(v) = \frac{1}{2N} \|y - X(\beta^* + v)\|_2^2 + \lambda_N \|\beta^* + v\|_1$$

Using  $G(\hat{v}) \leq G(0)$ , we get

$$G(\hat{v}) = \frac{1}{2N} \|y - X\beta^* - X\hat{v}\|_2^2 + \lambda_N \|\beta^* + \hat{v}\|_1$$

$$G(0) = \frac{1}{2N} \|y - X\beta^*\|_2^2 + \lambda_N \|\beta^*\|_1$$

Also,  $w = y - X\beta^*$

$$\frac{1}{2N} \|w - X\hat{v}\|_2^2 + \lambda_N \|\beta^* + \hat{v}\|_1 \leq \frac{1}{2N} \|w\|_2^2 + \lambda_N \|\beta^*\|_1$$

$$\text{Now, } \|w - X\hat{v}\|_2^2 = \|w\|_2^2 + \|X\hat{v}\|_2^2 - 2w^T X\hat{v}$$

Substituting,



$$\frac{1}{2N} \|w\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2N} \|X\hat{v}\|_2^2 - \frac{w^T X\hat{v}}{N} \leq \frac{1}{2N} \|w\|_2^2 + \lambda_N (\|\beta^*\|_1 - \|\beta^* + \hat{v}\|_1)$$

$$\therefore \frac{\|X\hat{v}\|_2^2}{2N} \leq \frac{w^T X\hat{v}}{N} + \lambda_N (\|\beta^*\|_1 - \|\beta^* + \hat{v}\|_1)$$

This is equation 11.21. Hence, proved.

$$e) \frac{1}{N} w^T X\hat{v} = \frac{1}{N} \langle X^T w, \hat{v} \rangle$$

This is dot product of  $X^T w$  and  $\hat{v}$ .

If we take the largest term of  $X^T w$  & multiply that with all terms of  $\hat{v}$ , that will be larger than the dot product.

Also, sum of all terms of  $\hat{v}$  is less than or equal to the L1 norm of  $\hat{v}$ .

$$\therefore \langle X^T w, \hat{v} \rangle \leq \|X^T w\|_\infty \sum_{i=1}^p \hat{v}_i \leq \|X^T w\|_\infty \|\hat{v}\|_1$$

$$\therefore \frac{1}{N} w^T X\hat{v} \leq \frac{1}{N} \|X^T w\|_\infty \|\hat{v}\|_1$$

Using 11.21, LHS  $\geq 0$ .

$$\therefore 0 \leq \frac{\|X\hat{v}\|_2^2}{2N} \leq \frac{w^T X\hat{v}}{N} + \lambda_N (\|\beta^*\|_1 - \|\beta^* + \hat{v}\|_1)$$

$$\leq \frac{\|X^T w\|_\infty \|\hat{v}\|_1}{N} + \lambda_N (\|\beta^*\|_1 - \|\beta^* + \hat{v}\|_1)$$

$$= \frac{\|X^T w\|_\infty \|\hat{v}\|_1}{N} + \lambda_N \|\beta^*\|_1 - \lambda_N \|\beta^* + \hat{v}\|_1$$

$$\|\beta^* + \hat{v}\|_1 \geq \|\beta^*\|_1 - \|\hat{v}\|_1 \geq \|\hat{v}\|_1 - \|\beta^*\|_1$$

$$\therefore -\|\beta^* + \hat{v}\|_1 \leq \|\beta^*\|_1 - \|\hat{v}\|_1 \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

$$\leq \left( \frac{\|X^T w\|_\infty}{N} - \lambda_N \right) \|\hat{v}\|_1 + 2\lambda_N \|\beta^*\|_1 \quad (\text{Using (1)})$$

DATE:      /      /     

$$\text{Now, } \frac{1}{N} \|X^T w\|_\infty \leq \frac{\lambda_N}{2}$$

$$\leq -\frac{\lambda_N}{2} \|\hat{v}\|_1 + 2\lambda_N \|\beta^*\|_1$$

$$= \frac{\lambda_N}{2} (-\|\hat{v}\|_1 + 4 \|\beta^*\|_1)$$

$$\therefore 0 \leq \frac{\lambda_N}{2} (-\|\hat{v}\|_1 + 4 \|\beta^*\|_1)$$

$$\therefore \|\hat{v}\|_1 \leq 4 \|\beta^*\|_1 \leq 4R,$$

Eq 11.22 states

$$\frac{\|X \hat{v}\|_2^2}{2N} \leq \frac{\|X^T w\|_\infty}{N} \|\hat{v}\|_1 + \lambda_N (\|\hat{v}_S\|_1 - \|\hat{v}_{S^c}\|_1)$$

$$\text{Since } \|\hat{v}\|_1 = \|\hat{v}_S\|_1 + \|\hat{v}_{S^c}\|_1,$$

$$\|\hat{v}_S\|_1 - \|\hat{v}_{S^c}\|_1 \leq \|\hat{v}\|_1$$

$$\therefore \frac{\|X \hat{v}\|_2^2}{2N} \leq \frac{\|X^T w\|_\infty}{N} \|\hat{v}\|_1 + \lambda_N \|\hat{v}\|_1$$

$$= \left( \frac{\|X^T w\|_\infty}{N} + \lambda_N \right) \|\hat{v}\|_1$$

$$\leq \left( \frac{\lambda_N}{2} + \lambda_N \right) 4R$$

$$= 6\lambda_N R$$

Hence, 11.25 a is proved.

From eq<sup>n</sup> 11.23,

$$\frac{\|X\hat{v}\|_2^2}{N} \leq 3\sqrt{k}\lambda_N\|\hat{v}\|_2$$

Lemma 11.1 states

$$\frac{\|v\|_2^2}{2} \leq \frac{3}{2}\lambda_N\sqrt{k}\|v\|_2$$

$$\therefore \|\hat{v}\|_2 \leq \frac{3\lambda_N\sqrt{k}}{\gamma}$$

$$\therefore \frac{\|X\hat{v}\|_2^2}{N} \leq \frac{9k\lambda_N^2}{\gamma} = \frac{9|S|\lambda_N^2}{\gamma}$$

$$\hat{v} = (\hat{\beta} - \beta^*)$$

$$\therefore \frac{\|X(\hat{\beta} - \beta^*)\|_2^2}{N} \leq \frac{9|S|\lambda_N^2}{\gamma}$$

b) Let  $X^{N \times p}$  be the model (design) matrix, and let  $S$  be a set of indices representing the support of the parameter vector  $\beta^*$ .

The ~~the~~ restricted eigenvalue condition is defined over a set of the form

$$C(S; \alpha) := \{v \in \mathbb{R}^p : \|v_S\|_1 \leq \alpha\|v_{S^c}\|_1\}$$

for some  $\alpha \geq 1$ .

$v_S$  denotes the vector  $v$  with elements from subset  $S$ .

The restricted eigenvalue condition for the model matrix requires that

$$\frac{1}{N} \frac{v^T X^T X v}{\|v\|_2^2} \geq \gamma \quad \forall \text{ non zero } v \in C$$

where,  $\gamma$  is a parameter.