

CS 208 HW3 Q1

SAKSHAM RATHI

22B1003



Question 1

1. $L_1 = \{0^i 1^j \mid i, j \in \mathbb{N}, i+j^2 = \text{prime}\}$

By pumping lemma, $\exists p$ ($p = \text{number of states of DFA}$)
such that $w \in L_1 = x \cdot y \cdot z$ $|xy| \leq p$ $|y| \geq 1$
and $xy^k z \in L$ if $L = \text{regular language}$

Consider $w = 0^{p'} 1^{q'}$

where $p' > p$ (clearly, we can find such p because there are infinite numbers of primes)

(For a set of complete primes $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n : (p_1 p_2 \dots p_n + 1) = \text{prime}$)

$$w = x \cdot y \cdot z$$

$$|xy| \leq p \text{ and } |y| \geq 1$$

So y will be of the form 0^s ($s \geq 1$)

Consider $xy^{k+1}z = w'$

Now, if we assume L to be regular, then $w' \in L$

by pumping lemma.

$$w' = 0^{p'+ks} 1^{q'}$$

$$\text{for } w' \in L, x = (p' + ks) + (q')^2 = \text{prime} \quad (p' + q'^2 = \text{prime})$$

$k \in \mathbb{N}$, so consider $k = p' + (q')^2$ then

$$x = (p' + q'^2)(s+1) \rightarrow \text{not prime} \rightarrow w' \notin L$$

Contradiction. Hence $L_1 = \text{not regular}$

$L_2 = \{w \mid w \text{ differs from } w^R \text{ in exactly two positions}\}$

We assume L_2 to be regular

Consider $w = 0^n 0 1^n 0^n$
 $w^R = 0^n 1^n 0 0^n$

clearly, $w \in L_2$ as w^R and w differ at exactly two places

Let the number of states of the DFA be $p > 0$

$p \neq 1$
 $p \neq 2$ } \rightarrow no such DFA can be formed

$\Rightarrow p > 2$ Consider $n = p$

$w = x.y.z$ $|xy| \geq p$ $|y| \geq 1$

$\Rightarrow y$ will be of the form 0^s

Consider $xy^{k+1}z = w'$

$w' = 0^p 0^{ks} 0 1^p 0^p$

$(w')^R = 0^p 1^p 0 0^{ks} 0^p$

Since $p > 2$ and if we choose $k > 2$, then

w' and $(w')^R$ differ at more than 2 positions

This implies $(w') \notin L_2$

\Rightarrow Pumping lemma violated $\Rightarrow L_2$ not regular
(Proof by contradiction!)

2. $L_1 = \text{not regular} \Rightarrow$

Consider the infinite set $= \{0^i : i \geq 1\}$

Now, we need to provide a string α for every pair of words $w_1 = 0^i$ and $w_2 = 0^j$

Let us assume that such a string does not exist.

i.e. $\forall \alpha$ $w_1\alpha, w_2\alpha$ either belong to L_1 simultaneously or do not do so.

Consider $\alpha = 0^k 1$ such that

$$w_1\alpha = 0^i 0^k 1 \quad \text{and} \quad (i+k)+1 = \text{prime}$$

[hence, there are infinite numbers of primes, such k will exist.]

$$\Rightarrow w_1\alpha \in L_1$$

$w_2\alpha = 0^j 0^k 1$ also belongs to L (else they won't be equivalent)

$$\Rightarrow j+k+1 = \text{prime}.$$

Consider $\alpha' = 0^{j-i+k} 1$ (without loss of generality $j > i$)

$$w_1\alpha' = 0^i 0^{j-i+k} 1 \in L_1 \quad (\text{because } j+k+1 = \text{prime})$$

$$w_2\alpha' = 0^j 0^{j-i+k} 1 \in L_1 \Rightarrow 2j-i+k+1 = \text{prime}$$

Continuing this further, we can generate an infinite AP of primes with common difference $(j-i)$

So, $(j+k+1) + (j-i)n$ has to be prime for $\forall n$.

But, this is not true, consider $n = (j+k+1)$ for instance

[With this we have also found a suitable n distinguishing w_i and w_j]

$$\Rightarrow w_i \neq w_j \quad \forall i, j$$

We have found an infinite set of words.

$L_2 = \text{not regular} \Rightarrow$

Consider the set $= \{ 0^i 1^i \mid i \geq 2 \}$

$$w_1 = 0^i 1^i \quad w_2 = 0^i 1^i$$

Now, we need to find an x such that $w_1 x \in L_2$ but $w_2 x \notin L_2$

Choose $x = 00^i$

$$\begin{aligned} w_1 x &= 0^i 1^i 00^i \\ (w_1 x)^R &= 0^i 0^i 1^i 0^i \end{aligned} \left. \vphantom{\begin{aligned} w_1 x &= 0^i 1^i 00^i \\ (w_1 x)^R &= 0^i 0^i 1^i 0^i \end{aligned}} \right\} \begin{aligned} &\text{differ at exactly two places} \\ &\Rightarrow w_1 x \in L_1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} w_2 x &= 0^i 1^i 00^i \\ (w_2 x)^R &= 0^i 0^i 1^i 0^i \\ &= 0^i 0^i 1^{i+1} 0^i \\ w_2 x &= 0^i 1^i 0^{i+1} 0^i \end{aligned}$$

without loss of generality: $i \geq j$

Case-1: $i-j+1 \geq j \Rightarrow$ strings different at $j+i=2j$ places
 $j \geq 2 \Rightarrow 2j \geq 4 \Rightarrow w_2 x \notin L_2$
 \downarrow
from constraints

Case-2: $j > i-j+1 \rightarrow$ strings different at $2(i-j+1)$
 $i \geq j \Rightarrow i+1 \geq j+1 \Rightarrow i+1-j \geq 1$
 $\Rightarrow 2(i+1-j) \geq 2 \Rightarrow w_2 x \notin L_2$

We have found a distinguishing word x for each w_1 and w_2 such that $w_1 x \in L_2$ and $w_2 x \notin L_2$

$v_1 \neq_{L_2} v_2$ for an infinite set

$\Rightarrow L_2 = \text{not regular (By Myhill - Nerode Theorem!)} \quad \square$