

Semantic Relations in FOL

Let $\mathcal{F} = \{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots\}$ be a (possibly infinite) set of formulas, and ψ be a formula

Semantic Relations in FOL

Let $\mathcal{F} = \{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots\}$ be a (possibly infinite) set of formulas, and ψ be a formula

Semantic Relations in FOL

Let $\mathcal{F} = \{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots\}$ be a (possibly infinite) set of formulas, and ψ be a formula

- **Semantic Entailment:** $\mathcal{F} \models \psi$ holds iff whenever $M, \alpha \models \varphi_i$ for all $\varphi_i \in \mathcal{F}$, then $M, \alpha \models \psi$ as well.
 - $\{\forall x ((x = a) \vee R(x, y)), R(a, y)\} \models \forall z R(z, y)$

Semantic Relations in FOL

Let $\mathcal{F} = \{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots\}$ be a (possibly infinite) set of formulas, and ψ be a formula

- **Semantic Entailment:** $\mathcal{F} \models \psi$ holds iff whenever $M, \alpha \models \varphi_i$ for all $\varphi_i \in \mathcal{F}$, then $M, \alpha \models \psi$ as well.
 - $\{\forall x ((x = a) \vee R(x, y)), R(a, y)\} \models \forall z R(z, y)$
- **Satisfiability:** ψ is satisfiable iff there is some M and α such that $M, \alpha \models \psi$
 - $\exists x R(x, f(y, a)) \rightarrow \exists z (\neg(z = a) \wedge R(z, y))$ is satisfiable

Semantic Relations in FOL

Let $\mathcal{F} = \{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots\}$ be a (possibly infinite) set of formulas, and ψ be a formula

- **Semantic Entailment:** $\mathcal{F} \models \psi$ holds iff whenever $M, \alpha \models \varphi_i$ for all $\varphi_i \in \mathcal{F}$, then $M, \alpha \models \psi$ as well.
 - $\{\forall x ((x = a) \vee R(x, y)), R(a, y)\} \models \forall z R(z, y)$
- **Satisfiability:** ψ is satisfiable iff there is some M and α such that $M, \alpha \models \psi$
 - $\exists x R(x, f(y, a)) \rightarrow \exists z (\neg(z = a) \wedge R(z, y))$ is satisfiable
- **Validity:** A \mathcal{V} -formula ψ is valid iff $M, \alpha \models \psi$ for all \mathcal{V} -structures M and all bindings α that assign values from U^M to $\text{free}(\psi)$.
 - $\forall x P(x, y) \rightarrow \exists x P(x, y)$ is valid

Semantic Relations in FOL

Let $\mathcal{F} = \{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots\}$ be a (possibly infinite) set of formulas, and ψ be a formula

- **Semantic Entailment:** $\mathcal{F} \models \psi$ holds iff whenever $M, \alpha \models \varphi_i$ for all $\varphi_i \in \mathcal{F}$, then $M, \alpha \models \psi$ as well.
 - $\{\forall x ((x = a) \vee R(x, y)), R(a, y)\} \models \forall z R(z, y)$
- **Satisfiability:** ψ is satisfiable iff there is some M and α such that $M, \alpha \models \psi$
 - $\exists x R(x, f(y, a)) \rightarrow \exists z (\neg(z = a) \wedge R(z, y))$ is satisfiable
- **Validity:** A \mathcal{V} -formula ψ is valid iff $M, \alpha \models \psi$ for all \mathcal{V} -structures M and all bindings α that assign values from U^M to $\text{free}(\psi)$.
 - $\forall x P(x, y) \rightarrow \exists x P(x, y)$ is valid
- **Consistency:** \mathcal{F} is consistent iff there is at least one M and α such that $M, \alpha \models \varphi_i$ for all $\varphi_i \in \mathcal{F}$.
 - $\{\exists x R(x, y), \exists x R(f(x), y), \exists x R(f(f(x)), y), \dots\}$ is consistent

Semantic Equivalence in FOL

$\varphi \equiv \psi$ iff $\{\varphi\} \models \psi$ and $\{\psi\} \models \varphi$.

Quantifier Equivalences

- $\forall x \forall y \varphi \equiv \forall y \forall x \varphi$, $\exists x \exists y \varphi \equiv \exists y \exists x \varphi$
- $\forall x (\varphi_1 \wedge \varphi_2) \equiv (\forall x \varphi_1) \wedge (\forall x \varphi_2)$
- $\exists x (\varphi_1 \vee \varphi_2) \equiv (\exists x \varphi_1) \vee (\exists x \varphi_2)$
- If $x \notin \text{free}(\varphi_2)$, then $Qx(\varphi_1 \text{ op } \varphi_2) \equiv (Qx \varphi_1) \text{ op } \varphi_2$, where $Q \in \{\exists, \forall\}$ and $\text{op} \in \{\vee, \wedge\}$.

Renaming Quantified Variables

Let $z \notin \text{free}(\varphi) \cup \text{bnd}(\varphi)$.

Then $Qx \varphi \equiv Qz \varphi[z/x]$ for $Q \in \{\exists, \forall\}$.

bounded = {x}
free set = {y, w}

Enabler for substitution, e.g., $\exists x R(f(x, y), w) \equiv \exists z R(f(z, y), w)$
 $f(x, y)$ not free for y in $\exists x R(f(x, y), w)$, but is free for y in $\exists z R(f(z, y), w)$.

$\exists x R(f(z, f(x, y)), w)$ (x is free)
 work $\exists x R(f(x, f(x, y)), w)$
 a, y should not be free
 x is bounded

Semantically Equivalent Transformations of FOL Formulae

Negation Normal Form

Push negations down to atomic predicates using

- DeMorgan's Laws
- $\neg \exists x \varphi(x) \equiv \forall x \neg \varphi(x)$ and $\neg \forall x \varphi(x) \equiv \exists x \neg \varphi(x)$ and

Pull quantifiers out to the left

- Rename every quantified variable to a fresh variable name
- Use rules for scoping of quantifiers in previous slide to pull all quantifiers out to the left

- $\exists x \varphi(x) \vee \exists x \psi(x) \equiv \exists x (\varphi(x) \vee \psi(x))$
- $\exists x \varphi(x) \wedge \exists z \psi(z) \equiv \exists x \exists z (\varphi(x) \wedge \psi(z))$ } will work with \vee
- $\forall x \varphi(x) \wedge \forall x \psi(x) \equiv \forall x (\varphi(x) \wedge \psi(x))$ } won't work with \vee
- $\forall x \varphi(x) \vee \forall z \psi(z) \equiv \forall x \forall z (\varphi(x) \vee \psi(z))$ } work with \wedge

Prenex Normal Form (PNF)

First order logic formula of the form:

$$Q_1 x_1 Q_2 x_2 \dots Q_k x_k \varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots x_k, y_1, \dots y_n)$$

$Q_i \in \{\exists, \forall\}$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots k\}$ and $\varphi(\dots)$ quantifier-free

- All quantifiers pulled out to the left: *quantifier prefix* of formula
- Exact sequencing of \forall and \exists important
- $y_1, \dots y_n$ are free variables
- $\varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots x_k, y_1, \dots y_n)$ is quantifier free: *matrix* of formula

Every FOL formula has a semantically equivalent PNF

Special prenex normal forms

- Prenex conjunctive normal form (PCNF): matrix in CNF w.r.t. atomic predicates
- Prenex disjunctive normal form (PDNF): matrix in DNF w.r.t. atomic predicates

Every FOL formula has a sem. equivalent PCNF and PDNF.

First-order Definable Structures

- If φ is a \mathcal{V} -sentence (no free vars), no binding α necessary for evaluating truth of φ
 - Given \mathcal{V} -structure M , we can ask if $M \models \varphi$
 - Class of \mathcal{V} -structures defined by φ is $\{M \models \varphi\}$
- Some examples of structures: graphs, databases, number systems

Graphs as FO structures

A graph G

- U^G : set of vertices
- Vocabulary \mathcal{V} : $\{E, =\}$, where E is a binary (edge) relation
- Interpretation: For $a, b \in U^G$, $E^G(a, b) = \mathbf{true}$ iff there is an edge from vertex a to vertex b in G

Graphs as FO structures

A graph G

- U^G : set of vertices
- Vocabulary \mathcal{V} : $\{E, =\}$, where E is a binary (edge) relation
- Interpretation: For $a, b \in U^G$, $E^G(a, b) = \mathbf{true}$ iff there is an edge from vertex a to vertex b in G

Examples of classes of graphs definable in FOL:

- $\forall x \forall y (\neg(x = y) \rightarrow E(x, y))$
 - (Infinite) class of all cliques

Graphs as FO structures

A graph G

- U^G : set of vertices
- Vocabulary \mathcal{V} : $\{E, =\}$, where E is a binary (edge) relation
- Interpretation: For $a, b \in U^G$, $E^G(a, b) = \mathbf{true}$ iff there is an edge from vertex a to vertex b in G

Examples of classes of graphs definable in FOL:

- $\forall x \forall y (\neg(x = y) \rightarrow E(x, y))$
 - (Infinite) class of all cliques
- $\exists x \forall y (\neg(x = y) \rightarrow \neg E(x, y))$
 - (Infinite) class of all graphs with at least one vertex from which there is no edge to any other vertex

Graphs as FO structures

A graph G

- U^G : set of vertices
- Vocabulary \mathcal{V} : $\{E, =\}$, where E is a binary (edge) relation
- Interpretation: For $a, b \in U^G$, $E^G(a, b) = \mathbf{true}$ iff there is an edge from vertex a to vertex b in G

Examples of classes of graphs definable in FOL:

- $\forall x \forall y (\neg(x = y) \rightarrow E(x, y))$
 - (Infinite) class of all cliques
- $\exists x \forall y (\neg(x = y) \rightarrow \neg E(x, y))$
 - (Infinite) class of all graphs with at least one vertex from which there is no edge to any other vertex
- $\forall x \forall y \forall z (\neg(x = y) \wedge \neg(y = z) \wedge \neg(z = x)) \rightarrow \neg(E(x, y) \wedge E(y, z) \wedge E(z, x))$
 - (Infinite) class of all graphs with no cycles of length 3

Graphs as FO structures

A graph G

- U^G : set of vertices
- Vocabulary \mathcal{V} : $\{E, =\}$, where E is a binary (edge) relation
- Interpretation: For $a, b \in U^G$, $E^G(a, b) = \mathbf{true}$ iff there is an edge from vertex a to vertex b in G

Examples of classes of graphs definable in FOL:

- $\forall x \forall y (\neg(x = y) \rightarrow E(x, y))$
 - (Infinite) class of all cliques
- $\exists x \forall y (\neg(x = y) \rightarrow \neg E(x, y))$
 - (Infinite) class of all graphs with at least one vertex from which there is no edge to any other vertex
- $\forall x \forall y \forall z (\neg(x = y) \wedge \neg(y = z) \wedge \neg(z = x)) \rightarrow \neg(E(x, y) \wedge E(y, z) \wedge E(z, x))$
 - (Infinite) class of all graphs with no cycles of length 3
- $\exists x \exists y (\neg(x = y) \wedge E(x, y) \wedge \forall z ((x = z) \vee (y = z)))$
 - (Finite) class of graphs with exactly two connected vertices.

Navigation icons: back, forward, search, etc.

Relational Databases as FO structures

A relational database D

- U^D : set of (possibly differently typed) data items
- Vocabulary \mathcal{V} : $\{P_1, \dots, P_k, =\}$, where P_i is a k_i -ary predicate corr. to the i^{th} table in database with k_i columns
- Interpretation: For $a_1, \dots, a_{k_i} \in U^D$, $P_i(a_1, \dots, a_{k_i}) = \mathbf{true}$ iff (a_1, \dots, a_{k_i}) is a row of the i^{th} table

Relational Databases as FO structures

A relational database D

- U^D : set of (possibly differently typed) data items
- Vocabulary \mathcal{V} : $\{P_1, \dots, P_k, =\}$, where P_i is a k_i -ary predicate corr. to the i^{th} table in database with k_i columns
- Interpretation: For $a_1, \dots, a_{k_i} \in U^D$, $P_i(a_1, \dots, a_{k_i}) = \mathbf{true}$ iff (a_1, \dots, a_{k_i}) is a row of the i^{th} table

Examples of classes of databases definable in FOL:

- $\forall x \forall y \forall z \text{ StRec}(x, y, z) \leftrightarrow \text{Dob}(x, y) \wedge \text{Class}(x, z)$
 - Table StRec is the natural join of Tables Dob and Class

Relational Databases as FO structures

A relational database D

- U^D : set of (possibly differently typed) data items
- Vocabulary \mathcal{V} : $\{P_1, \dots, P_k, =\}$, where P_i is a k_i -ary predicate corr. to the i^{th} table in database with k_i columns
- Interpretation: For $a_1, \dots, a_{k_i} \in U^D$, $P_i(a_1, \dots, a_{k_i}) = \mathbf{true}$ iff (a_1, \dots, a_{k_i}) is a row of the i^{th} table

Examples of classes of databases definable in FOL:

- $\forall x \forall y \forall z \text{ StRec}(x, y, z) \leftrightarrow \text{Dob}(x, y) \wedge \text{Class}(x, z)$
 - Table StRec is the natural join of Tables Dob and Class
- $\forall x \forall y \text{ Dob}(x, y) \rightarrow \exists z \text{ StRec}(x, y, z)$
 - Table Dob is a projection of Table StRec

Relational Databases as FO structures

A relational database D

- U^D : set of (possibly differently typed) data items
- Vocabulary \mathcal{V} : $\{P_1, \dots, P_k, =\}$, where P_i is a k_i -ary predicate corr. to the i^{th} table in database with k_i columns
- Interpretation: For $a_1, \dots, a_{k_i} \in U^D$, $P_i(a_1, \dots, a_{k_i}) = \mathbf{true}$ iff (a_1, \dots, a_{k_i}) is a row of the i^{th} table

Examples of classes of databases definable in FOL:

- $\forall x \forall y \forall z \text{ StRec}(x, y, z) \leftrightarrow \text{Dob}(x, y) \wedge \text{Class}(x, z)$
 - Table StRec is the natural join of Tables Dob and Class
- $\forall x \forall y \text{ Dob}(x, y) \rightarrow \exists z \text{ StRec}(x, y, z)$
 - Table Dob is a projection of Table StRec

Example database query:

- $\varphi(x) \triangleq \exists y \exists z (\text{Dob}(x, y) \wedge \text{After}(y, \text{"01/01/1990"}) \wedge \text{Class}(x, z) \wedge \text{Primary}(z))$

Defines set of students born after "01/01/1990" and studying in a primary class.

Number systems as FO structures

Natural/real numbers with addition, multiplication, linear ordering and constants **0** and **1** (fixed interpretation)

- $\mathfrak{N} = (\mathbb{N}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}, \times, +, <, =)$
- $\mathfrak{R} = (\mathbb{R}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}, \times, +, <, =)$

Number systems as FO structures

Natural/real numbers with addition, multiplication, linear ordering and constants **0** and **1** (fixed interpretation)

- $\mathfrak{N} = (\mathbb{N}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}, \times, +, <, =)$
- $\mathfrak{R} = (\mathbb{R}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}, \times, +, <, =)$

Examples of properties expressible in FOL:

- $\mathfrak{R} \models \forall x \exists y (x = ((y \times y) \times y))$
 - Every real number has a real cube root

Number systems as FO structures

Natural/real numbers with addition, multiplication, linear ordering and constants **0** and **1** (fixed interpretation)

- $\mathfrak{N} = (\mathbb{N}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}, \times, +, <, =)$
- $\mathfrak{R} = (\mathbb{R}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}, \times, +, <, =)$

Examples of properties expressible in FOL:

- $\mathfrak{R} \models \forall x \exists y (x = ((y \times y) \times y))$
 - Every real number has a real cube root
- $\mathfrak{N} \not\models \forall x \exists y \exists z (x = (y \times y) + (z \times z))$
 $\mathfrak{R} \models \forall x \exists y \exists z (x = (y \times y) + (z \times z))$
 - Not every natural number can be expressed as the sum of squares of two natural numbers. This can be done for real numbers

Number systems as FO structures

Natural/real numbers with addition, multiplication, linear ordering and constants **0** and **1** (fixed interpretation)

- $\mathfrak{N} = (\mathbb{N}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}, \times, +, <, =)$
- $\mathfrak{R} = (\mathbb{R}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}, \times, +, <, =)$

Examples of properties expressible in FOL:

- $\mathfrak{R} \models \forall x \exists y (x = ((y \times y) \times y))$
 - Every real number has a real cube root
- $\mathfrak{N} \not\models \forall x \exists y \exists z (x = (y \times y) + (z \times z))$
 $\mathfrak{R} \models \forall x \exists y \exists z (x = (y \times y) + (z \times z))$
 - Not every natural number can be expressed as the sum of squares of two natural numbers. This can be done for real numbers
- $\mathfrak{N} \models \forall x \exists y ((x < y) \wedge (\forall z \forall w (y = z \times w) \rightarrow ((z = y) \vee (w = y))))$
 - There are infinitely many prime natural numbers