# **CS663** Assignment-4

# Saksham Rathi, Kavya Gupta, Shravan Srinivasa Raghavan

Department of Computer Science,
Indian Institute of Technology Bombay

# **Question 5**

### Solution

Code is in myMainScript.m.

We'll use k = 75 as it provided sufficiently good recognition rates in Q4. We see that the test error (minimum MSE) had mean around 77.7 and standard deviation around 46.5.

Mean of Test Error is 77.736944... Standard Deviation of Test Error is 46.504133...

So we propose that we look from  $77.7 - 46.5 \approx 30$  to  $77.7 + 4 \times 46.5 \approx 300$  for finding a suitable threshold.

Basically we performed cross-validation to find the best threshold value. The metrics used to achieve this are:- Accuracy, F1-score, Specificity, Recall and one of my own. We maximise these metrics over the set of threshold values to find the best one.

Note that here "positives" are cases where a face is found to have a matching identity and "negatives" are the opposite. *TP* stands for "True Positive", *FP* stands for "False Positive", *TN* stands for "True Negative" and *FN* stands for "False Negative".

#### • Accuracy:

$$Accuracy = \frac{TP + TN}{TP + TN + FP + FN}$$

Maximising Accuracy gives:-

Maximising accuracy...
Accuracy: 0.812500
F1 Score: 0.895105
Specificity: 0.062500
Recall: 1.000000
My Score: 1.032258
Best Threshold: 171.818182
Confusion matrix:

TP: 128 FP: 30 FN: 0 TN: 2

Recognition rate: 0.756250

We see that the best threshold is around 172 and recognition rate is around 0.75 which is good. *FP* is also not much. Hence this seems a good metric and a good threshold.

#### • F1-score:

$$F1\text{-score} = \frac{2TP}{2TP + FP + FN}$$

Maximising F1-score gives:-

Maximising f1\_score... Accuracy: 0.812500 F1 Score: 0.895105 Specificity: 0.062500 Recall: 1.000000 My Score: 1.032258 Best Threshold: 171.818182 Confusion matrix: TP: 128 FP: 30 FN: 0 TN: 2

Recognition rate: 0.756250

We see that the best threshold is around 172 and recognition rate is around 0.75 which is good. *FP* is also not much. Hence this seems a good metric and a good threshold.

# • Specificity:

Specificity = 
$$\frac{TN}{TN + FP}$$

Maximising Specificity gives:-

Maximising specificity... Accuracy: 0.400000 F1 Score: 0.400000 Specificity: 1.000000 Recall: 0.250000 My Score: 1.010309 Best Threshold: 30.000000 Confusion matrix: TP: 32 FP: 0 FN: 96 TN: 32

Recognition rate: 0.200000

We see that the best threshold is around 30 and recognition rate is around 0.2 which is low. Although *FP* is 0, *FN* are too high. Hence this doesn't seem a nice metric or a threshold.

#### • Recall:

$$Recall = \frac{TP}{TP + FN}$$

Maximising Recall gives:-

Maximising recall... Accuracy: 0.812500 F1 Score: 0.895105 Specificity: 0.062500 Recall: 1.000000 My Score: 1.032258 Best Threshold: 171.818182

Confusion matrix:

TP: 128 FP: 30 FN: 0 TN: 2

Recognition rate: 0.756250

We see that the best threshold is around 172 and recognition rate is around 0.75 which is good. *FP* is also not much. Hence this seems a good metric and a good threshold.

## • My Metric:

My Metric = 
$$\frac{1}{FP+1} + \frac{1}{FN+1}$$

Maximising my metric gives:-

Maximising my\_score... Accuracy: 0.812500 F1 Score: 0.895105 Specificity: 0.062500 Recall: 1.000000 My Score: 1.032258

Best Threshold: 171.818182

Confusion matrix: TP: 128 FP: 30 FN: 0 TN: 2

Recognition rate: 0.756250

We see that the best threshold is around 172 and recognition rate is around 0.75 which is good. *FP* is also not much. Hence this seems a good metric and a good threshold.

Hence, depending on the application we can choose any of the thresholds mentioned above. However, for general applications, where we would like to have a low number of False Positives and False Negatives, we can use a threshold value of 140 (as this is a value in between 30 and 172 and is closer to 172, hence best of both worlds). The results we get for a threshold of 140 are as follows:-

Testing threshold = 140.000000...

Accuracy: 0.793750 F1 Score: 0.878229 Specificity: 0.250000 Recall: 0.929688 My Score: 0.140000 Confusion matrix:

TP: 119 FP: 24 FN: 9 TN: 8

Recognition rate: 0.737500

Here we have 9 false negatives and 24 false positives.