

# IMAGE COMPRESSION PROJECT

Saksham Rathi, Kavya Gupta, Shravan Srinivasa Raghavan

CS663: DIGITAL IMAGE PROCESSING UNDER PROF. AJIT RAJWADE

Indian Institute of Technology Bombay
Autumn 2024



Problem Statement

Basic

. .. . . .

ontribution.

Conclusion

#### Contents



- **Problem Statement**
- Basic Implementation
- Individual Contributions
- Conclusion
- References



#### Problem Statement



The problem statement of this project has been taken from the following website:

#### CS663: Digital Image Processing

We have built an image compression engine along the lines of the JPEG algorithm. Along with this, we have implemented PCA algorithm. We have also thoroughly studied a tier-1 conference paper **Approximation and Compression With Sparse Orthonormal Transforms** and implemented the algorithm proposed in the paper.

All the algorithms were tested on a variety of image datasets. The results were compared and analyzed to understand the performance of the algorithms.



Problem Statement

Basic

Implementation

Conclusion

# Basic Implementation



Here are the steps which were performed as part of the basic implementation:

- Computation of the 2D DCT coefficients of non-overlapping image patches
- Implementation of the quantization step
- Implementation of the Huffman tree
- Writing data to appropriate file format and plotting RMSE vs BPP

Here is the expression of RMSE:

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{M} (I_{orig}(i)(j) - I_{recon}(i)(j))^{2}}$$
 (1)

where  $I_{\text{orig}}$  is the original image and  $I_{\text{recon}}$  is the reconstructed image. BPP stands for the size of the image in bits divided by the number of pixels.

Image Compression

roblem tatement

Basic Implementation

ndividual Contributions

Conclusion



Here is the comparison of the reconstucted and the original image for a quality factor of 2:




Image Compression Authors

Problem Statement

Basic Implementation

Contributio

Conclusion

References



Here is the comparison of the reconstucted and the original image for a quality factor of 10:




Image Compression Authors

Problem Statement

Basic Implementation

Individual Contribution

Conclusion

References



Here is the comparison of the reconstucted and the original image for a quality factor of 50:




Image Compression

Problem Statement

Basic Implementation

Individual Contribution

Conclusion

References



Here is the comparison of the reconstucted and the original image for a quality factor of 80:




Image Compression

Problem Statement

Basic Implementation

Contribution

Conclusion

References

#### RMSE vs BPP



For the basic implementation, we have used the dataset from the miscellaneous category of the msrcorid dataset. We picked random 20 images and used 20 quality factors (in the range of 1 to 100) to plot the RMSE vs BPP graph. Here is the graph:




Image Compression

Problem Statement

Basic Implementation

Contributio

D-f----

#### Individual Contributions



Image Compression

Individual

Contributions

Saksham Rathi

Kavya Gupta

Shravan Srinivasa Raghavan

### Conclusion



Image Compression

Author

Statement Statement

Implementation

Contribution

Conclusion

#### References



- Image Compression <u>Authors</u>
- Problem Statement
- Implementation
- ndividuai Contributioi
- Concidation

- CS663: Image Compression Slides
- Course Textbook: "Digital Image Processing" by Rafael C. Gonzalez and Richard Woods, 3rd edition
- Osman Gokhan Sezer, Onur G. Guleryuz and Yucel Altunbasak, "Approximation and Compression With Sparse Orthonormal Transforms", IEEE Transactions on Image Processing, 2015
- Sample Image Compression Code
- 0