## Assignment 9 - 2021.11.24

Submission deadline: 2021.12.01

## **BLS** signature

Let  $e:G_0\times G_1\to G_T$  be a pairing where  $G_0,G_1$  and  $G_T$  are cyclic groups of prime order q, and  $g_0\in G_0,g_1\in G_1$  are two generators in the corresponding groups. H is a hash function maps messages to elements in  $G_0$ . BLS works as follows:

- G(): The key generation algorithm runs as follows

$$lpha \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q, \quad u \leftarrow g_1^lpha \in \mathbb{G}_1$$

The public key is pk := u, and the secret key is  $sk := \alpha$ .

- S(sk,m) : To sign a message  $m\in\mathcal{M}$  using a secret key  $sk=lpha\in\mathbb{Z}_q$  , do:

$$\sigma \leftarrow H(m)^{lpha} \in \mathbb{G}_0, \quad ext{ output } \sigma$$

-  $V(pk, m, \sigma)$ : To verify a signature  $\sigma \in \mathbb{G}_0$  on a message  $m \in \mathcal{M}$ , output accept if

$$e(H(m),u)=e(\sigma,g_1)$$

It can be shown that BLS signature scheme is secure under the co-CDH problem which is described as follows.

co-CDH Problem.

For a given adversary A, the attack game is defined as follows:

The challenger computes

$$lpha,eta \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}_q, \quad u_0 \leftarrow g_0^lpha, \quad u_1 \leftarrow g_1^lpha, \quad v_0 \leftarrow g_0^eta, \quad z_0 \leftarrow g_0^{lphaeta}$$

And gives the tuple  $(u_0,u_1,v_0)$  to the adversary. Notice here  $\alpha$  is used twice, once in group  $G_0$  and once in group  $G_1$ .

• The adversary outputs some  $\widehat{z_0} \in G_0$ 

The advantage of the attack is defined as coCDHadv[A, e] = Pr(  $\widehat{z_0} = z_0$ ) We say that the co-CDH assumption holds for pairing e if for all efficient adversary A the coCDHadv[A, e] is negligible.

- a). Confirm the correctness of the verification step of the BLS signature scheme.
- b). Prove BLS signature scheme is secure assuming co-CDH assumption holds in pairing e and H is modeled as a random oracle. (Hint: apply the similar strategy as RSA-FDH)