

# Simulation

2019-04-19

## Highlights

- Try 3 dimensions of the baseline covariates simulation##
- There are several different way to set the true  $\alpha$ , with penalty or without penalty. If it is with penalty,  $df = 1$ , the results similar
- If  $df = 3$ , it is hard to find the max purity and the max purity maybe not at the true  $\alpha$  value ( $purity = f(\alpha)$ )

## Scenario 1. When $\alpha$ value is randomly chosen

**Step 1: Specify true  $\alpha$ , where  $\alpha$  is a vector with length 3. Here I made the true value  $\alpha$ :**

```
alpha = c(1,1,1)
```

**Step 2: Specify  $\beta, \Gamma, D$  for drug group (drg) and placebo group (pbo)**

The outcome follows the formula:

$$\text{the outcome is } Y : \mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}(\beta + \mathbf{b} + \Gamma(\alpha' \mathbf{x})) + \epsilon.$$

where

- The baselines come from the same distributions  $\mathbf{x} = [x_1, x_2, x_3]$ , the baseline covariate  $x_1, x_2, x_3$  iid  $\sim N(0, 1)$
- A combination of baseline covariate  $w$ :  $w = \alpha^T [x_1, x_2, x_3]$ , which is  $\alpha_1 * x_1 + \alpha_2 * x_2 + \alpha_3 * x_3$
- $X$ : the independent covariates,  $X = [1, t, t^2]$ , where  $t = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 6$

The  $\beta$ :

$$\bullet \beta_{drg} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ -3 \end{bmatrix}, \beta_{pbo} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

The  $\Gamma$ :

$$\bullet \Gamma_{drg} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \Gamma_{pbo} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

The  $\epsilon$ :

- The  $\epsilon_{drg}, \epsilon_{pbo} \sim N(0, 1)$

The  $bi$ :

- The random effect covariance matrix  $D_{drg}$ :

```

set.seed(21)
eign1 = diag(c(1,0.4,0.5))
eign2 = matrix(runif(9,0,1),3,3)
a = eign2 %*% eign1 %*% solve(eign2)
bi_sigma = t(a) %*% (a) # make sure it is positive defined
round(bi_sigma,3)

```

```

##      [,1] [,2] [,3]
## [1,] 1.450 -0.110 0.203
## [2,] -0.110 0.165 -0.077
## [3,] 0.203 -0.077 0.229

```

- The random effect covariance matrix  $D_{pbo}$ :

```

set.seed(7)
eign1 = diag(c(1,0.5,2))
eign2 = matrix(runif(9,0,2),3,3)
bi_sigma2 = eign2 %*% t(eign2) /10 # make sure it is positive defined
round(bi_sigma2,3)

```

```

##      [,1] [,2] [,3]
## [1,] 0.439 0.296 0.090
## [2,] 0.296 0.465 0.160
## [3,] 0.090 0.160 0.267

```

### Step 3: Simulate $n$ observations (e.g. $n = 100/\text{treatment}$ )

For drug group, the baseline covariates are generated from  $N(0,1)$ :

```

p = 3
baseline = as.matrix(rnorm(p,0,1),p,1)
x1 = baseline[1]; x2 = baseline[2]; x3 = baseline[3]

```

Then  $w$  is calculated as  $\alpha'x$

```

alpha = c(1, 1, 1)
w = t(alpha) %*% baseline

```

The random effect is generated from MVN, whose mean = 0 and sigma equals to the covariance matrix  $D$

```

bi = mvrnorm(1, c(0,0,0), bi_sigma)

```

Then the outcome is calculated

```

yi = X%*%(beta_drg+bi+gamma_drg*w[1]) + sigma_drg*rnorm(ni,0,1)

```

The placebo group follows the same generation process.

The whole code for true data generation:

```

true_generation = function(alpha, p, n, ni, tt, X,
                           beta_drg, gamma_drg, bi_sigma,sigma_drg,
                           beta_pbo, gamma_pbo, bi_sigma2,sigma_pbo){
  # alpha
  set.seed(123)
  alpha = as.matrix(alpha,p,1)
  dat_drg = c()
  for(i in 1:n){
    drg_temp = NULL

```

```

drg_temp$subj = rep(paste('drg',i,sep=''),ni)
drg_temp$trt = rep('drg',ni)
baseline = as.matrix(rnorm(p,0,1),p,1)
x1 = baseline[1]; x2 = baseline[2]; x3 = baseline[3]
w = rep(t(alpha) %*% baseline,ni)
drg_temp$x1 = rep(x1,ni); drg_temp$x2 = rep(x2,ni); drg_temp$x3 = rep(x3,ni)
drg_temp$w = w
drg_temp$tt = tt
bi = mvrnorm(1, c(0,0,0), bi_sigma)
yi = X%*%(beta_drg+bi+gamma_drg*w[1]) + sigma_drg*rnorm(ni,0,1)
drg_temp$y = yi
dat_drg = rbind(dat_drg, as.data.frame(drg_temp))
}

dat_pbo = c()
for(i in 1:n){
  pbo_temp = NULL
  pbo_temp$subj = rep(paste('pbo',i,sep=''),ni)
  pbo_temp$trt = rep('pbo',ni)
  baseline = as.matrix(rnorm(p),p,1)
  x1 = baseline[1]; x2 = baseline[2]; x3 = baseline[3]
  w = rep(t(alpha) %*% baseline,ni)
  pbo_temp$x1 = rep(x1,ni); pbo_temp$x2 = rep(x2,ni); pbo_temp$x3 = rep(x3,ni)
  pbo_temp$w = w
  pbo_temp$tt = tt
  bi = mvrnorm(1, c(0,0,0), (bi_sigma2))
  yi = X%*%(beta_pbo+bi+gamma_pbo*w[1]) + sigma_pbo*rnorm(ni,0,1)
  pbo_temp$y = yi
  dat_pbo = rbind(dat_pbo, as.data.frame(pbo_temp))
}
print('True data generated')
return(list(dat_drg = dat_drg, dat_pbo = dat_pbo))
}

```

#### Step 4: Monte carlo simulation

Since we would like to calculate the integral of

$$\int \frac{[f_1(z_i|w_i) - f_2(z_i|w_i)]^2}{f_1(z_i|w_i) + f_2(z_i|w_i)} dz_i$$

where we assume that  $f_1(z_i|w_i)$  and  $f_2(z_i|w_i)$  are two MVN for drug group and placebo group separately.

To calculate this, we could firstly generate a large dataset (10000) from a 2 by 2 standard multivariate normal distribution.

```
Xstart = mvrnorm(10000, c(0,0), diag(c(1,1)))
```

Then transform those points to MVN of drug and MVN of placebo separately.

- standard MVN:  $X_0 \sim MVN(\mu_0, \sigma_0)$
- MVN\_drg:  $X_1 \sim MVN(\mu_{drg}, \sigma_{drg})$ ,  $\sigma_{drg} = H\Lambda H^T$ , which is the eigenvalue decomposition of  $\sigma_{drg}$ .  $H$  is the matrix of eigen vectors and  $\Lambda$  is the matrix whose diagnoal values are  $\sigma_{drg}$ 's eignevalues.
- Transformation:  $X_1 = H\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}X + \mu_{drg}$

And then we can get the large data points sampled from the two MVN distributions. The purity can be calculated.

```
### monta
monta_carlo_pdf = function(Xstart, mu1, D1, mu2, D2){

  # transformation
  d1 = eigen(D1)
  d1 = d1$vectors %*% diag(sqrt(d1$values))

  d2 = eigen(D2)
  d2 = d2$vectors %*% diag(sqrt(d2$values))

  points1 = Xstart %*% t(d1)

  points1[,1] = points1[,1] + mu1[1]; points1[,2] = points1[,2] + mu1[2]

  points2 = Xstart %*% t(d2)
  points2[,1] = points2[,1] + mu2[1]; points2[,2] = points2[,2] + mu1[2]

  f1 = dmvnorm(points1, mu1, D1)
  f2 = dmvnorm(points2, mu2, D2)

  f3 = (f1 + f2) # deal with the 0s, f1 + f2 cannot be 0
  f3 = ifelse(f3 == 0, 1e-4, f3)

  purity = c((f1 - f2)^2 / f3)
  return(purity)
}
```

## Step 5: Fit model using $\alpha$ s and compute purity

The functions

```
purity_calculation = function(dat, beta1, beta2, gamma1, gamma2, D1, D2, p=2){
  unique_dat = unique(dat[,c('subj', 'w')])
  purity = c()
  Mu1 = c(); Mu2 = c()
  for(i in 1:dim(unique_dat)[1]){
    #if(i %% 10 == 0) print(i)
    mu1 = beta1 + gamma1 * unique_dat$w[i] # mu1 = beta_drg + gamma_drg * unique_dat$w[i]
    mu2 = beta2 + gamma2 * unique_dat$w[i] # mu2 = beta_pbo + gamma_pbo * unique_dat$w[i]
    Mu1 = rbind(Mu1, mu1); Mu2 = rbind(Mu2, mu2)
    res = mean(monta_carlo_pdf(Xstart, mu1, D1, mu2, D2))
    purity = c(purity, res)
  }
  return(list(purity = purity, Mu1 = Mu1, Mu2 = Mu2))
}

purity_function = function(A, varname = '', times = '',
                           trt = '',
                           trtleve1 = '',
                           subj = '',
                           outcome = '',
                           start = 0, data = dat){
  p = length(A)
```

```

alpha_est = matrix(A,p,1)
dat_est = data
if(sum(varname == '') == length(varname)){
  w_est = dat[(start):(start + p -1),] %*% alpha_est
}
if(sum(varname != '') == length(varname)){
  w_est = as.matrix(dat_est[,varname]) %*% alpha_est
}
dat_est$w = w_est
if(times != ''){
  dat_est$tt = dat_est[,times]
}
if(subj != ''){
  dat_est$subj = dat_est[,subj]
}
if(trt != ''){
  dat_est$trt = dat_est[,trt]
  if(sum(trtlevelevel == '')==0){
    dat_est[dat_est$trt == trtlevelevel[1],]$trt = 'pbo'
    dat_est[dat_est$trt == trtlevelevel[2],]$trt = 'drg'
  }
}
dat_est$outcome = dat_est[,outcome]
dat_pbo_est = dat_est[dat_est$trt == 'pbo', ]
dat_drg_est = dat_est[dat_est$trt == 'drg', ]

fit_drg_est = lmer(outcome ~ tt + I(tt^2) + w + w * tt +
  w * I(tt^2) + (tt+I(tt^2)|subj),
  data = dat_drg_est, REML = FALSE)
fit_drg_est
fit_pbo_est = lmer(outcome ~ tt + I(tt^2) + w + w * tt +
  w * I(tt^2) + (tt+I(tt^2)|subj),
  data = dat_pbo_est, REML = FALSE)
fit_pbo_est

beta1 = as.matrix(fixef(fit_drg_est))[2:3]
gamma1 = as.matrix(fixef(fit_drg_est))[5:6] # true estimate = -1.9812346 -0.9895642
D1 = as.matrix(VarCorr(fit_drg_est)$subj)[2:3, 2:3]

beta2 = as.matrix(fixef(fit_pbo_est))[2:3]
gamma2 = as.matrix(fixef(fit_pbo_est))[5:6] # 1.994051 1.003545
D2 = as.matrix(VarCorr(fit_pbo_est)$subj)[2:3, 2:3]

b = purity_calculation(dat_est, beta1, beta2, gamma1, gamma2, D1, D2, p=2)
return(list(purity = b$purity, Mu1 = b$Mu1, Mu2 = b$Mu2, beta1 = beta1, beta2 = beta2,
  gamma1 = gamma1, gamma2 = gamma2, D1 = D1, D2 = D2, data = dat_est))
}

```

## The results

The generated data set:

```
head(dat)
```

```
##   subj trt      x1      x2      x3      w tt      y
## 1 drg1 drg -0.5604756 -0.2301775 1.558708 0.7680552 0  0.5218742
## 2 drg1 drg -0.5604756 -0.2301775 1.558708 0.7680552 1 -5.1485016
## 3 drg1 drg -0.5604756 -0.2301775 1.558708 0.7680552 2 -12.1817941
## 4 drg1 drg -0.5604756 -0.2301775 1.558708 0.7680552 3 -23.2192066
## 5 drg1 drg -0.5604756 -0.2301775 1.558708 0.7680552 4 -36.4951688
## 6 drg1 drg -0.5604756 -0.2301775 1.558708 0.7680552 5 -55.9722452
```

We can compare the purity calculated with different  $\alpha$  values

```
A = c(1,1,1) # true value
res = purity_function(A, varname = c('x1','x2','x3'), times = '',
                      trt = '',
                      trtlevelevel = '',
                      subj = '',
                      outcome = 'y',
                      start = 0, data = dat)

sum(res$purity)
```

```
## [1] 295.762
```

```
A = c(1,0,1)
res2 = purity_function(A, varname = c('x1','x2','x3'), times = '',
                       trt = '',
                       trtlevelevel = '',
                       subj = '',
                       outcome = 'y',
                       start = 0, data = dat)

sum(res2$purity)
```

```
## [1] 8.100446
```

Try to use *optim function* to find the max value

```
> a = Sys.time()
> optim( alpha, purity_function_for_optim)
$par
[1] -0.99143020 0.02666814 2.33597150

$value
[1] 16.82038

$counts
function gradient
  453          NA

$convergence
[1] 10

$message
NULL

> b = Sys.time()
> b-a
Time difference of 7.156085 mins
```

### Try *genetic algorithm*

It returns a value:

```
A = c(3.125,3.152,3.289)
res = purity_function(A, varname = c('x1','x2','x3'), times = '',
                      trt = '',
                      trtleve1 = '',
                      subj = '',
                      outcome = 'y',
                      start = 0, data = dat)
sum(res$purity)
```

```
## [1] 393.7318
```

However, the value is larger than the true value.

### Scenario 2. Set $df = 1$ .

All the same with scenario 1 except the choice of *alpha*

Let  $\alpha = [\sin(\theta)\sin(\theta), \sin(\theta)\cos(\theta), \cos(\theta)]$ , the independent variable will be the  $\theta$ . Let's set true  $\theta = \frac{\pi}{3}$ .

However, this time the *optim function* doesn't work well neither.

```
> optim(pi/3, f, method = 'Brent', lower = 0, upper = 3.14)
$par
[1] 2.3404

$value
[1] 8.329832

$counts
function gradient
      NA      NA

$convergence
[1] 0

$message
NULL
```

The genetic algorithm return an

- $\alpha \approx 1.01953$ ,  $f(\alpha) \approx 338.2038$
- $\pi/3 \approx 1.0472$ ,  $f(\pi/3) \approx 326.1763$

The purity vs  $\alpha$  plot:

**Purity with theta**

