

Chapter 5. Properties of Random Variable.

Notation: Big. O

We have $g(n) = O(f(n))$ if $\frac{g(n)}{f(n)} \leq C$.

$\Rightarrow \frac{g(n)}{f(n)} \leq c$. as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

$\Rightarrow f(n)$ & $g(n)$ grow or decay at same rate

Example: Big. O

$$f(n) = an^2 + bn + c \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \Rightarrow f(n) = O(n^2)$$

Notation: Small o

$f(n) = o(g(n))$ iff for every $\epsilon > 0$, $\exists N$ s.t. if $n > N$

$$|f(n)| < \epsilon \cdot g(n)$$

$$\text{i.e. } \frac{|f(n)|}{g(n)} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Note: these notations often applicable to statistics

$$\{X_n\} \sim \text{R.V.s.}$$

then $X_n = O_p(a_n)$ if $\frac{X_n}{a_n} \xrightarrow{P} 0$ in probability as $n \rightarrow \infty$

Example: Statistical Application

$$\{X_n\} = O_p(\frac{1}{n}) \Rightarrow \frac{X_n}{n} = n \cdot X_n \xrightarrow{P} 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Notation: Tight Sequence

$$\{X_n\} = O_p(a_n) \text{ if } \frac{X_n}{a_n} \text{ stochastically bounded.}$$

i.e. Given $\epsilon > 0$, $\exists N$ s.t. $P(|\frac{X_n}{a_n}| > N) < \epsilon$ for $n > N$.

Theorem: Central Limit Theorem. C&B. 5.5.14.

Let X_1, X_2, \dots be iid R.V.s whose MGF exist in a neighborhood of 0.

($M_{X_i}(t)$ exist for $|t| < h$, for some positive h) (i.e. $E[X_i] = \mu$ and

$\text{Var}(X_i) = \sigma^2 > 0$. (Both μ & $\sigma^2 < \infty$. Since MGF exists).

Define $\bar{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ Then $J_n \left(\frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu}{\sigma} \right) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1)$

Note: How big does n have to be to get a good approximation?

Ans: 30 or more observations are needed usually.

But, more skewed distribution needs more observations.

take bootstrap sample to see if a statistic is normally distributed.

Proof: Based on Taylor's series. (Wool's book).

$$\text{Let } y_i = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu}{\sigma} \quad \bar{z}_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n y_i = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}$$

By theorem 2.3.15.

$$\begin{aligned} MGF_{\bar{z}_n} &= MGF(\bar{y}) = MGF(\bar{y}) \stackrel{\substack{\text{Theorem} \\ 2.3.15}}{=} MGF\left(\frac{\bar{x} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}}\right) \\ &\stackrel{\substack{\text{Theorem} \\ 4.6.7}}{=} \left(MGF_y\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}\right)\right)^n. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we expand $MGF_y\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$ in a Taylor Series.

$$\begin{aligned} MGF_y\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}\right) &= MGF(0) + MGF'(0)\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}\right) + \frac{1}{2!} MGF''(0) \cdot \frac{t^2}{n} + \frac{1}{3!} MGF'''(0) \left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^3 + \dots \\ &= 1 + \underset{\substack{\text{mean} \\ \equiv}}{0} + \underset{\substack{\text{Variance} \\ \equiv}}{\frac{1}{2n} t^2} + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right). \end{aligned}$$

$$MGF_y(t) = \left[1 + \frac{t^2}{2n} + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right]^n$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[1 + \frac{t^2}{2n} + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right]^n = e^{\frac{t^2}{2}} \Rightarrow MGF \text{ of } N(0, 1).$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{z}_n \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1)$$

However, In practice, we need more general form of CLT.

Theorem: Lindeberg's Central Limit Theorem.

$\{X_{nj}, j=1, 2, \dots, k_n\}$, \Rightarrow independent R.V.s. with $\sigma_n^2 = \text{Var}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{k_n} X_{nj}\right) < \infty$

for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ as $k_n \rightarrow \infty$.

$$\text{if } \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} E\left[\left(X_{nj} - E(X_{nj})\right)^2 \cdot I(|X_{nj} - \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} X_{nj}| > \varepsilon \sigma_n)\right] = o(\sigma_n^2)$$

$$\text{Then, } \frac{1}{\sigma_n} \cdot \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} (X_{nj} - E(X_{nj})) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1)$$

* For the CLT above, the iid case is a special case.

* Typically, $k_n = n$ in practice.

However, Lindeberg condition is often hard to verify in practice.

Theorem: Lyapunov condition for CLT. (Alternative for Lindeberg's CLT).

$\{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$, s.t. independent R.V.s. Each with finite expected value m_i & finite variance σ_i^2

Define $S_n^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2$, s.t. for some $\delta > 0$. Lyapunov condition

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{S_n^{2+\delta}} E[(X_i - m_i)^{2+\delta}] = 0 \text{ is satisfied. Then } \frac{1}{S_n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - m_i) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 1)$$

In practice, it's easiest to check Lyapunov condition for $\delta = 1$.

Lyapunov condition $\overrightarrow{\iff}$ Lindeberg condition

Example: Lyapunov condition for Bernoulli distribution

$\{X_i\} \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p_i)$. Then we have $E|X_i - p_i|^{2+\delta} \leq E|X_i - p_i|^2 = p_i(1-p_i)$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Thus, } \frac{1}{S_n^{2+\delta}} \sum_{i=1}^n E|X_i - p_i|^{2+\delta} &\leq \frac{1}{S_n^{2+\delta}} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i(1-p_i) \\ &= \frac{1}{S_n^2} \rightarrow 0 \text{ if } \sum_{i=1}^n p_i(1-p_i) \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

\Rightarrow Lyapunov condition holds.

$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ Asymptotically normal by Lindeberg CLT.

Note: Two central limit theorem can be used when R.V.s are iid. or independent.

What about when R.V.s are not independent?

Theorem: Central Limit theorem for not independent R.V.s.

Finite population problem

Let say we are sampling without replacement from a finite population size N .

Then, $\{X_i\}$ is not independent. But, various of CLT still holds for

\bar{X} when $N \rightarrow \infty$ & nos. (large population, & large sample size).

See paper Hajarki 1960

In practice, we need $\frac{1}{\min(N-n)}, \frac{m_N}{V_N} \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

$$m_N = \max(X_{n+1} - \bar{X}_N)^2$$

$$V_N = \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (X_{n+i} - \bar{X}_N)^2$$

Theorem: Multivariate Central Limit Theorem

$\underline{X}_1, \underline{X}_2, \dots, \underline{X}_n$ iid. \underline{X}_i are bivariate random vectors.

$$\underline{X}_i = \begin{pmatrix} X_{i1} \\ \vdots \\ X_{ip} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad E(\underline{X}_i) = \underline{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mu_p \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{cov}(\underline{X}_i) = \Sigma = E[(\underline{X}_i - \underline{\mu})(\underline{X}_i - \underline{\mu})']$$

$$\sqrt{n}(\bar{\underline{X}} - \underline{\mu}) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \Sigma).$$

Proof: Proof of this is similar to the 1 dimension case.

Set. $\underline{Y}_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n (\underline{X}_i - \underline{\mu}_i)$. & look at MGF of $\underline{t}' \underline{Y}_n$.

$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \underline{t}' (\underline{X}_i - \underline{\mu}_i)$ are "iid" 1-dimensional R.V.s.

Apply 1-dimension CLT to prove.

Theorem: Crammer-Wold Device

\underline{X}_n iid p-dimensional $n = 1, 2, \dots$

$\underline{X}_n \xrightarrow{d} \underline{X}$. iff. $\underline{z}' \underline{X}_n \xrightarrow{d} \underline{z}' \underline{X}$. for all. $\underline{z} = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ \vdots \\ z_p \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^p$

Proof:

Necessary:

If $\underline{X}_n \xrightarrow{d} \underline{X}$ \Rightarrow for any $\underline{z} \in \mathbb{R}^p$

MGF of $\underline{z}' \underline{X}_n$ is $E[e^{\underline{z}' \underline{X}_n}] = M_{\underline{X}_n}(\underline{z}) \rightarrow M_{\underline{X}}(\underline{z}) \Rightarrow \underline{z}' \underline{X}_n \xrightarrow{d} \underline{z}' \underline{X}$.

Sufficient:

Assume $\underline{z}' \underline{X}_n \xrightarrow{d} \underline{z}' \underline{X}$ & $\underline{z} \in \mathbb{R}^p$

Then. $M_{\underline{X}_n}(\underline{z}) = E[e^{\underline{z}' \underline{X}_n}] = M_{\underline{X}_n}(\underline{z}') = M_{\underline{X}}(\underline{z}') = M_{\underline{X}}(\underline{z}) \Rightarrow \underline{X}_n \xrightarrow{d} \underline{X}$.

Theorem: Slutsky theorem

Suppose $\underline{X}_n \xrightarrow{d} \underline{X}$. & $\underline{Y}_n \xrightarrow{P} a$. a is a constant.

(i) $\underline{X}_n + \underline{Y}_n \xrightarrow{d} \underline{X} + a$.

(ii) $\underline{X}_n \underline{Y}_n \xrightarrow{d} a \underline{X}$.

Proof = Part. (i)

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_{X_n+Y_n}(t) &= P(X_n + Y_n \leq t) \\
 &= P(X_n + Y_n \leq t, |Y_n - a| < \varepsilon) + P(X_n + Y_n \leq t, |Y_n - a| \geq \varepsilon) \\
 &\leq P(X_n + Y_n \leq t, a - \varepsilon < Y_n < a + \varepsilon) + P(|Y_n - a| \geq \varepsilon) \\
 &\leq P(X_n \leq t - a + \varepsilon) + P(|Y_n - a| \geq \varepsilon). \quad \text{Since } Y_n \xrightarrow{P} a.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{X_n=t}(\cdot) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(X_n \leq t - \varepsilon + s) + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|Y_n - a| > \varepsilon)$$

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{x_n t_n}(t) \leq F(x_n \leq t - a + s) = F_a(t - a + s).$$

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{x_n}(t) \leq F_x(t - \lambda + \varepsilon).$$

$$1 - F_{x_n + \tau_n}(t) = P(X_n + \tau_n > t).$$

$$\therefore P(X_n + Y_n > t, |Y_n - \alpha| < \varepsilon) \rightarrow P(X_n + Y_n > t, |Y_n - \alpha| > \varepsilon)$$

$$\Leftarrow \vdash (\forall n, T_n > t, |a - \varepsilon| < T_n < a + \varepsilon) \rightarrow \vdash (\exists n, |T_n - a| > \varepsilon).$$

$$\leq p \cdot (x_{n+1} - a - \varepsilon) + p \cdot (|y_n - a| > \varepsilon).$$

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - F_{x_n, t-a}) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(x_n, t-a-s) + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(T_{x_n} > s)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2nt \cdot F_n(t) = 1 - F(x-t-a-s) = 1 - F_x(t-a-s)$$

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{x_n}(t) \geq f_x(t-a-\varepsilon).$$

Thus, we have follow sequence.

$$f_X(t-a-s) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{X_n}(t) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{X_n}(t) = f_X(t-a-s).$$

$$f_{x,a}(t-s) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{x,a(n)}(t) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{x,a(n)}(t) \leq f_{x,a}(t+s).$$

Since s is an arbitrary number. & $\epsilon > 0$.

We have. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{x_n + y_n}(t) = f_x(t) \implies x_n + y_n \xrightarrow{d} x + a$.

Theorem: Deltor. method L&B. 5.5.24.

Let $\{Y_n\}$ be a sequence of RV.s. that satisfied $\{Y_n - \mu\} \xrightarrow{\text{d}} N(0, \sigma^2)$.

For a given function g and a specific value of σ , suppose that $g'(\sigma)$ exists and $g'(\sigma) \neq 0$.

Then. We. Have:

$$\bar{J}_n(g(Y_n) - g(\theta)) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \sigma^2 [g'(\theta)]^2).$$

Proof: Also. uses. Taylor Expansion. & Slutsky Theorem.

$$g(Y_n) = g(\theta) + g'(\theta)(Y_n - \theta) + \text{Remainder}.$$

$$g(Y_n) - g(\theta) = g'(\theta)(Y_n - \theta) + \text{Remainder}.$$

$$\bar{J}_n(g(Y_n) - g(\theta)) = \bar{J}_n g'(\theta)(Y_n - \theta) + \bar{J}_n(\text{Remainder}).$$

$$P(|Y_n - \theta| < \epsilon) = P(|\bar{J}_n(Y_n - \theta)| < \bar{J}_n \epsilon)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Therefore, } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|Y_n - \theta| < \epsilon) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|\bar{J}_n(Y_n - \theta)| < \bar{J}_n \epsilon) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|Z| < \infty) = 1. \text{ where, } Z \sim N(0, \sigma^2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus. We. have. $Y_n \xrightarrow{P} \theta$.

By. Slutsky. theorem. (a). $g'(\theta) \bar{J}_n(Y_n - \theta) \rightarrow g'(\theta) \cdot Z$. Where. $Z \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$.

$$\text{Therefore. } \bar{J}_n[g(Y_n) - g(\theta)] = g'(\theta) \bar{J}_n(Y_n - \theta) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \sigma^2 [g'(\theta)]^2).$$

Example: Delta Method.

$\{\hat{X}_i\} \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p)$ iid. R.V.s.

$$\sqrt{n} \frac{\bar{X} - p}{\sqrt{p(1-p)}} \rightarrow N(0, 1). \text{ Look at. } g(p) = p(1-p), g'(p) = 1 - 2p$$

$$\bar{J}_n((\hat{p}(1-\hat{p}) - p(1-p))) \rightarrow N(0, p(1-p)(1-2p)^2).$$

Theorem: Multivariate. Delta. Method.

Suppose $\underline{X}_n = \begin{pmatrix} X_{n1} \\ \vdots \\ X_{np} \end{pmatrix}$ is. a p-dimension vector

Where. $\bar{J}_n(\underline{X}_n - \underline{\mu}) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \Sigma)$

& $g: \mathbb{R}^p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^q$ with. continuous partial. derivatives. in a Neighborhood. of. $\underline{\mu}$.

$$\text{Then. } \bar{J}_n(g(\underline{X}_n) - g(\underline{\mu})) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, (\nabla g(\underline{\mu}))' \Sigma \nabla g(\underline{\mu}))$$

provided. that. $(\nabla g(\underline{\mu}))' \Sigma \nabla g(\underline{\mu}) \neq 0$.

Note: $\nabla g(\underline{\mu}) = \frac{\partial g}{\partial \underline{x}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_1} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_p} \end{pmatrix}$ gradient. of. g at. $\underline{x} \in \mathbb{R}^p$.

Example - Non-linear Regression (least square) Application of Multivariate Delta Method.

$$y_i = \frac{\alpha_1}{\beta_1} (1 - e^{-\beta_1 t}) + \frac{\alpha_2}{\beta_2} (1 - e^{-\beta_2 t}) + \varepsilon_i. \quad \text{Compartmental Model.}$$

$$\hat{\theta} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\alpha}_1 \\ \hat{\beta}_1 \\ \hat{\alpha}_2 \\ \hat{\beta}_2 \end{pmatrix} \sim \text{non-negative parameters} \quad (\text{Also, } \sigma^2 = \text{Var}(\varepsilon_i))$$

We can use least square estimate, $\hat{\theta}$. ("nls" package.)

$$\hat{\theta} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\alpha}_1 \\ \hat{\beta}_1 \\ \hat{\alpha}_2 \\ \hat{\beta}_2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ Needs to use iteration algorithm to find. } \hat{\theta}.$$

Concentration of drug in blood accumulate.

As, $t \rightarrow \infty$. t = time. Concentration $\rightarrow \frac{\alpha_1}{\beta_1} + \frac{\alpha_2}{\beta_2}$.

$$g(\theta) = g \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \beta_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \beta_2 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\alpha_1}{\beta_1} + \frac{\alpha_2}{\beta_2}.$$

$$\frac{\partial g(\theta)}{\partial \theta} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial g(\theta)}{\partial \alpha_1} \\ \frac{\partial g(\theta)}{\partial \beta_1} \\ \frac{\partial g(\theta)}{\partial \alpha_2} \\ \frac{\partial g(\theta)}{\partial \beta_2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1/\beta_1 \\ -\alpha_1/\beta_1^2 \\ 1/\beta_2 \\ -\alpha_2/\beta_2^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\ln(\hat{\theta} - \theta) \xrightarrow{\text{d.s.}} N(0, \Sigma).$$

By Delta Method.

$$\ln \left(\left(\frac{\hat{\alpha}_1}{\beta_1} + \frac{\hat{\alpha}_2}{\beta_2} \right) - \left(\frac{\alpha_1}{\beta_1} + \frac{\alpha_2}{\beta_2} \right) \right) \xrightarrow{\text{d.s.}} N \left(0, \left(\frac{1}{\beta_1} - \frac{\alpha_1}{\beta_1^2}, \frac{1}{\beta_2} - \frac{\alpha_2}{\beta_2^2} \right) \Sigma \begin{pmatrix} 1/\beta_1 \\ -\alpha_1/\beta_1^2 \\ 1/\beta_2 \\ -\alpha_2/\beta_2^2 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

Example :- Approximate Mean & Variance Using Taylor expansion -

$\{x\} \sim R.V.$ with mean m & variance σ^2 .

Let, $h(x)$ be a smooth function. (use transformation to get distribution)

Taylor Expansion $h(x) \approx h(m) + h'(m)(x-m)$.

$$\Rightarrow (h(x) - h(m))^2 \approx (h'(m))^2 (x-m)^2$$

$$\Rightarrow E[(h(x) - h(m))^2] \approx (h'(m))^2 \cdot E[(x-m)^2]$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Var}(h(x)) \approx (h'(m))^2 \cdot \text{Var}(x).$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{Also, by the Taylor expansion, } h(x) \approx h(u) + h'(u)(x-u) + \frac{1}{2} h''(u)(x-u)^2 \\
 \Rightarrow & E[h(x)] \approx h(u) + h'(u) \cdot E(x-u) + \frac{1}{2} h''(u) E[(x-u)^2] \\
 \Rightarrow & E[h(x)] \approx h(u) + h'(u) \cdot 0 + \frac{1}{2} h''(u) \cdot \sigma_x^2 \\
 \Rightarrow & E[h(x)] \approx h(u) + \frac{h''(u)}{2} \sigma_x^2
 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem: Quantiles of SRS - also follows CLT. (proof using Lyapunov CLT.)

Let f be a CDF that is continuously differentiable in a neighborhood of ξ_p .

ξ_p : When $F(\xi_p) = p$. ξ_p = Sample Quantiles Assume $F'(\xi_p) = f(\xi_p) > 0$.

We have $T_n(\xi_p - \hat{\xi}_p) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \frac{p(1-p)}{f^2(\xi_p)})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem: Finite population Central Limit theorem (Simple Random Sample).

$\{y_i\} = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_N\} \sim R.V.S.$ N : Population size. n : Sample size.

Each f -samples have equal probability of selection

Let $\bar{y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i$ Approximate to Normal distribution as $n, N \rightarrow \infty$.

Let \mathcal{L} = one of the C_N^n samples.

$E(\bar{y})$ = Average Sample mean over all C_N^n samples.

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{C_N^n} \cdot \sum \bar{y}_{\mathcal{L}} = \frac{1}{C_N^n} \cdot \sum \frac{1}{n} (y_{s1} + y_{s2} + \dots + y_{sn}) \\
 &= \frac{(N-n)! (n-1)!}{N!} \cdot \sum_{s=1}^N y_{si} \quad \{ \# \text{ of samples } y_s \text{ that containing } y_{si} \} \\
 &= \frac{(N-n)! (n-1)!}{N!} \cdot \sum_{s=1}^N y_{si} \cdot c_i \cdot C_{N-1}^{n-1} \\
 &= \frac{(N-n)! (n-1)!}{N!} \times \frac{(N-1)!}{(N-n)! (n-1)!} \cdot \sum_{s=1}^N y_{si} \\
 &= \frac{1}{N} \cdot \sum_{s=1}^N y_{si} = \hat{m}_y \Rightarrow \hat{m}_y \text{ is unbiased for } m_y \text{ in SRS.}
 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem: finite population correction factor.

Let $z_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if unit } i \text{ is selected} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

$$P(Z=1) = \frac{C_1 C_{N-1}^{n-1}}{C_N^n} = \frac{n}{N} = E(Z).$$

$$P(Z_i, Z_j=1) = \frac{C_2 C_{N-2}^{n-2}}{C_N^n} = \frac{n(n-1)}{N(N-1)} = E(Z_i, Z_j).$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(Z_i, Z_j) &= E(Z_i, Z_j) - E(Z_i)E(Z_j), \\ &= \frac{n(n-1)}{N(N-1)} - \frac{n}{N} \cdot \frac{n}{N} = 0. \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow Z_i, Z_j \text{ are not independent.}$$

Example: Delta Method on Survival Analysis.

Simulating survival time in a Cox model with covariate x , with

cumulative hazard function $H(t) = \alpha t$. (Generating survival time to

simulate Cox proportional hazard models. Bender, Statistics in Medicine).

$$T = H^{-1}[-\log(u) e^{-\beta x}] \sim \text{survival time}.$$

$$u \sim \text{uniform}(0, 1). \quad -\frac{1}{\alpha} \log(u) \cdot e^{-\beta x}.$$

Goal: Find β that give a specific percentile.

i.e. $P = P^{\text{th}} \text{ percentile}$ & we want $P = P(T \leq 12) \sim \text{probability of death in year}$.

$$P(-\frac{1}{\alpha} \log(u) e^{-\beta x} \leq 12) = P. \text{ Solve for } \beta.$$

$$P(-\frac{1}{\alpha} \log(u) < e^{\beta x}) = P. \quad \text{Let } y = -\frac{1}{\alpha} \log(u) \sim \exp(12/\alpha). \\ w = e^{\beta x} \sim \text{log-normal}. \\ x \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2).$$

$$P(T \leq 12) = P(y \leq w).$$

$$= \int_0^{+\infty} \int_0^w 12 \alpha e^{-12\alpha y} \cdot \frac{e^{-\frac{(12\alpha y - w)^2}{2\sigma^2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma} \cdot dy dw.$$

$$= 1 - F\left[e^{-12\alpha w}\right]. \quad \beta = 0, \quad \mu = 0.$$

We can estimate $E\left[e^{-12\alpha w}\right]$ by Delta Method with $g(w) = e^{-12\alpha w}$.

$$w \sim \text{lognormal}(\mu, \sigma^2) \quad E(w) = e^{\frac{\mu^2}{2}}, \quad \text{Var}(w) = (e^{\mu^2} - 1)e^{\mu^2}.$$

By Mean & Variance Approximation from Delta Method

$$E\left[e^{-12\alpha w}\right] = \exp\{-12\alpha \cdot e^{\frac{\mu^2}{2}}\} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot (12\alpha)^2 \cdot \exp\{-12\alpha \cdot e^{\frac{\mu^2}{2}}\} \cdot (e^{\mu^2} - 1) e^{\mu^2}.$$

Chapter 6. Principle of Data Reduction.

Principles of Data Reduction:

1. Sufficient principle: Use a statistic, that contain more information about θ .
2. Likelihood principle: A function of parameters determined by data \Rightarrow what value of θ makes the observed data most likely.
3. Equivalent principle: Are the data reduction method possessing those important features.

Definition: Sufficient Statistics

A. Statistics $T(x)$ is sufficient statistics for θ if conditional distribution of sample x , given the value of $T(x)$ does not depends on θ .

Example: Sufficient Statistics.

$\{x_i\}$ denotes number of accident in NYC on a particular day

Assume independent with Poisson distribution -

$x_i \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$.

$$\begin{aligned} f(x|\lambda) &= f(x_1, \dots, x_n | \lambda) \quad \text{Joint. PDF} \\ &= \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{x_1}}{x_1!} \cdot \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{x_2}}{x_2!} \cdots \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{x_n}}{x_n!} \\ &= \frac{e^{-n\lambda} \lambda^{\sum x_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^n x_i!} \end{aligned}$$

$T(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ is the sufficient statistics.

Proof. $T(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ is a sufficient statistics by definition of sufficient.

$$P(x_1=x_1, x_2=x_2, \dots, x_n=x_n | T(x)=t).$$

$$= \frac{P(x_1=x_1, \dots, x_n=x_n, T(x)=t)}{P(T(x)=t)} = \frac{P(x_1=x_1, \dots, x_n=x_n, \sum_{i=1}^n x_i=t)}{P(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i=t)}.$$

$$= \frac{P(x_1=x_1, \dots, x_n=x_n)}{P(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i=t)} \quad \text{Since } \{x_1=x_1, \dots, x_n=x_n\} \subseteq \{T(x)=t\}$$

$$= \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{x_1}, \dots, e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{x_n}}{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{\sum x_i}} = \text{N. independent of } \lambda.$$

Theorem 6.2.2.

If $f(\underline{x}|\theta)$ is a joint PDF of \underline{X} and $g(t|\theta)$ is PDF or PMF of $T(\underline{x})$

Then, $T(\underline{x})$ is a sufficient statistic for θ , if for every x in the sample space, the ratio of $\frac{f(\underline{x}|\theta)}{g(T(\underline{x})|\theta)}$ is constant as a function of θ .

Proof:

$$P_\theta(X_1=x_1 \dots X_n=x_n) = P_\theta(X_1=x_1 \dots X_n=x_n, T(\underline{x})=t(\underline{x})) \cdot \{P_\theta(T(\underline{x})=t(\underline{x}))\}.$$

$$P_\theta(X=\underline{x}) = P_\theta(X=\underline{x}, T(\underline{x})=t(\underline{x})) \cdot P_\theta(T(\underline{x})=t(\underline{x})).$$

$$\frac{P_\theta(X=\underline{x})}{P_\theta(T(\underline{x})=t(\underline{x}))} = \underbrace{P_\theta(X=\underline{x}, T(\underline{x})=t(\underline{x}))}_{\text{independent of } \theta, \text{ if } T(\underline{x}) \text{ is a sufficient statistic.}}$$

Theorem: Factorisation Theorem (LBB 6.6.2).

Let $f(\underline{x}|\theta)$ denote the joint PDF or PMF of \underline{X} . A statistic $T(\underline{x})$ is a sufficient statistic for θ , if there exists function $g(t|\theta)$ and $h(x)$, s.t. for all sample points x and all parameter points θ ,

$$f(\underline{x}|\theta) = g(T(\underline{x})|\theta) h(\underline{x}).$$

Proof = discrete case. prove both necessary & sufficient.

Suppose, $T(\underline{x})$ is a sufficient statistic. Choose $g(t|\theta) = P_\theta(T(\underline{x})=t)$ and $h(x) = P(X=\underline{x}, T(\underline{x})=t(\underline{x}))$. Because, $T(\underline{x})$ is sufficient, the conditional probability, defining $h(x)$ does not depends on θ . Thus, the choice of $h(x)$ & $g(t|\theta)$ is legitimate, and for this choice, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(\underline{x}|\theta) &= P_\theta(X=\underline{x}) \\ &= P_\theta(X=\underline{x}, T(\underline{x})=t(\underline{x})). \\ &= P_\theta(T(\underline{x})=t(\underline{x})) \cdot P_\theta(X=\underline{x} | T(\underline{x})=t(\underline{x})). \\ &= g(T(\underline{x})|\theta) h(\underline{x}) \end{aligned}$$

The above exhibited the factorisation theorem. We also see from the last

two. (lines above). That.

$P_\theta(T(x)=T(x)) = g(T(x)|\theta)$. so, $g(T(x)|\theta)$ is PDF or PMF of $T(x)$.

Now, we assume factorization theorem exists. Let $g(T|\theta)$ be the PMF of $T(x)$. Show that $T(x)$ is sufficient. We examine the ratio. $\frac{f(x|\theta)}{g(T(x)|\theta)}$

Define $A_{T(x)} = \{y : T(y) = T(x)\}$. Then,

$$\frac{f(x|\theta)}{g(T(x)|\theta)} = \frac{g(T(x)|\theta) h(x)}{g(T(x)|\theta)} \quad \text{Since factorization theorem exists.}$$

$$= \frac{g(T(x)|\theta) h(x)}{\sum_{A_{T(x)}} f(y|\theta)} \quad (T(x) = \bar{x}, \begin{array}{l} x_1=1 \\ x_2=2 \end{array}, \begin{array}{l} x_1=2 \\ x_2=1 \end{array})$$

$$P(T(x)) = f(x_1=1, x_2=2) + f(x_1=2, x_2=1).$$

$$= \frac{g(T(x)|\theta) h(x)}{\sum_{A_{T(x)}} g(T(y)|\theta) h(y)}$$

$$= \frac{g(T(x)|\theta) h(x)}{g(T(x)|\theta) \sum_{A_{T(x)}} h(y)} \quad \text{Since } T \text{ is constant on } A_{T(x)}$$

$$= \frac{h(x)}{\sum_{A_{T(x)}} h(y)} \quad \sim \text{not depends on } \theta.$$

Since the ratio does not depends on θ . by theorem b.s.2.

$T(x)$ is a sufficient statistics for θ .

Various Version of this proved by Fisher & Savage.

See "Testing Statistical Hypothesis" by F. Lehman

Also see Billingsley, Dati. for general framework.

Example: Poisson. Revisit.

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{e^{-nx} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n x_i!}{\prod_{i=1}^n x_i!} = h(x) e^{-nx} \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n x_i^n. \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \text{ is a sufficient statistics.} \\ \Rightarrow \text{one-to-one function.} \\ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = \bar{x} \text{ is also a sufficient statistics.} \end{array} \right\}$$

This form holds for any distribution in general.

Example: Uniform distribution. German Tank Problem

Use serial number on tank. To estimate total number of tank. θ

Set. $\{x_i\} \sim$ uniform distribution

$$f(x|\sigma) = \frac{1}{\sigma} \text{ for } x = 1, 2, \dots, \sigma.$$

Select. n . serial. number without replacement We will have C_n^{σ} possible samples. each with DPF $\frac{1}{C_n^{\sigma}}$

$$\begin{aligned} f(x|\sigma) &= \frac{1}{C_n^{\sigma}} \cdot I(1 \leq x_1 \leq \sigma, 1 \leq x_2 \leq \sigma, \dots, 1 \leq x_n \leq \sigma) \\ &= (C_n^{\sigma})^{-1} \cdot I(\max(x_i) \leq \sigma). \\ &= (C_n^{\sigma})^{-1} \cdot I(x_{(n)} \leftarrow \text{n}^{\text{th}} \text{ order statistic.}) \end{aligned}$$

Let. $h(x) = 1$. by. factorization theorem.

$X_{(n)}$ is a sufficient. Statistic for σ .

However, $E[X_{(n)}] < \sigma$. so. it's a biased. Sufficient. Statistic

So. it need. to be. rescaled. to get. the. unbiased. estimator.

Note: Sufficient. Statistics. with. extreme. value. Statistics.

Typically, in examples where support. of. distribution. depends on some unknown. parameters (e.g. uniform $(0, \sigma)$) The. sufficient. statistics. will involve some.

extreme order. Statistics.

Example: Sufficient. Statistics. for. Normal. distribution.

$\{x_i\} \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$. Both. μ, σ^2 are. unknown.

$$\begin{aligned} f(x|\mu, \sigma^2) &= (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}} (\sigma^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2 \right\} \\ &= (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x} + \bar{x} - \mu)^2 \right\} \\ &= (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 + n(\bar{x} - \mu)^2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})(\bar{x} - \mu) \right\} \\ &= (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 + n(\bar{x} - \mu)^2 \right\}, \quad S^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \\ &= (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} (n-1)S^2 + n(\bar{x} - \mu)^2 \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By. factorization. Theorem. $T(x) = (\bar{x}, S^2)$ are. sufficient. Statistics for (μ, σ^2) .

Example: Sufficient Statistics for Multi-variate Normal distribution.

$$\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_i = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{i1} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{x}_{ip} \end{pmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}(\underline{\mu}, \Sigma).$$

$$f(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n | \underline{\mu}, \Sigma) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} |\Sigma|^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{x}_i - \underline{\mu})' \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_i - \underline{\mu})\right\}$$

$$= (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} |\Sigma|^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \text{tr}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{x}_i - \underline{\mu})' \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_i - \underline{\mu})\right)\right\}$$

$$= (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} |\Sigma|^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{tr}(\Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{x}_i - \underline{\mu})' (\mathbf{x}_i - \underline{\mu}))\right\}.$$

$$= (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} |\Sigma|^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \text{tr}\left(n \Sigma^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{x}_i - \underline{\mu})' (\mathbf{x}_i - \underline{\mu})\right)\right\}$$

$$= (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} |\Sigma|^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \text{tr}\left(n \Sigma^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}} + \bar{\mathbf{x}} - \underline{\mu})' (\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}} + \bar{\mathbf{x}} - \underline{\mu})\right)\right\}.$$

$$= (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} |\Sigma|^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \text{tr}\left(n \Sigma^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})' (\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) + n(\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \underline{\mu})' (\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \underline{\mu}) + \cancel{\sum_{i=1}^n (\mathbf{x}_i - \bar{\mathbf{x}})' (\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \underline{\mu})}\right)\right\}.$$

$$= (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} |\Sigma|^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \text{tr}\left(n \Sigma^{-1} (\bar{\mathbf{x}}' + n(\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \underline{\mu})' (\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \underline{\mu}))\right)\right\}.$$

By factorization theorem, $(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{\mathbf{s}}^2)$ are sufficient statistics for $(\underline{\mu}, \Sigma)$.