

What is the relationship between

- purity criterion?
- log-likelihood criterion?

The data sets are generated following the below parameter setting:

$$y_{ki} = \mathbf{X}_i(\boldsymbol{\beta}_k + \mathbf{b}_{ki} + \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_k(\boldsymbol{\alpha}'_i \mathbf{x}_i)) + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{ki} \quad (1)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\beta}_k$ and $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_k(\boldsymbol{\alpha}'_i \mathbf{x}_i)$ present the fixed effect, \mathbf{b}_{ki} is the random effect and $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{ki}$ present the random error. We can rewrite the equation (1) as

$$y_{ki} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}_i & \mathbf{S}_i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\beta}_k \\ \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_k \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{b}_{ki} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{ki} \quad (2)$$

where

- $\mathbf{X}_i, \mathbf{S}_i$ are $n_{ti} \times 3$ matrix and $\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}_i & \mathbf{S}_i \end{pmatrix}$ is then the $n_{ti} \times 6$ design matrix (suppose it is a quadratic model with intercept, slope and concavity), n_{ti} is the number of time points.
- $\mathbf{S}_i = \mathbf{X}_i(\boldsymbol{\alpha}'_i \mathbf{x}_i) = \mathbf{X}_i(\boldsymbol{\alpha}_1 + \delta \boldsymbol{\alpha}_{2i})' \mathbf{x}_i$, $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_1$ is the 3 matrix with fixed value while $\boldsymbol{\alpha}_{2i}$ is the 3 matrix generated from some distributions.

Suppose we have n subject in each of the k group, the matrix of the outcome is

$$\mathbf{Y}_k = \begin{pmatrix} y_{k1} \\ y_{k2} \\ \dots \\ y_{kn} \end{pmatrix}_{N \times 1}, \text{ where } N = \sum_{i=1}^n n_{ti}, y_{ki} \text{ has the dimension } n_{ti} \times 1.$$

The fixed effect design matrix is

$$\mathbf{Z}_k = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}_{k1} & \mathbf{S}_{k1} \\ \mathbf{X}_{k2} & \mathbf{S}_{k2} \\ \dots & \dots \\ \mathbf{X}_{kn} & \mathbf{S}_{kn} \end{pmatrix}_{N \times 2t} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}_{k1} & w_1 \mathbf{X}_{k1} \\ \mathbf{X}_{k2} & w_2 \mathbf{X}_{k2} \\ \dots & \dots \\ \mathbf{X}_{kn} & w_n \mathbf{X}_{kn} \end{pmatrix}_{N \times 2t}, \text{ where } w_i = \boldsymbol{\alpha}'_i \mathbf{x}_i, t = 3 \text{ here since the we fit a quadratic model.}$$

The design matrix for random effect is

$$\mathbf{X}_k = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}_{k1} & 0 \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{X}_{k2} & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \mathbf{X}_{kn} \end{pmatrix}_{N \times (n \times t)}, \text{ the matrix of predictors } \mathbf{x}_k = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{k1} \\ \mathbf{x}_{k2} \\ \dots \\ \mathbf{x}_{kn} \end{pmatrix}_{N \times p} \text{ and random effect's coefficient matrix is } \mathbf{b}_k = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{b}_{k1} \\ \mathbf{b}_{k2} \\ \dots \\ \mathbf{b}_{kn} \end{pmatrix}_{3t \times 1}$$

The design matrix for random error is

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_k = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{k1} \\ \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{k2} \\ \dots \\ \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{kn} \end{pmatrix}_{N \times 1}$$

Therefore, the model can be written as

$$\mathbf{Y}_k = \mathbf{X}_k \otimes \boldsymbol{\beta}_k + \mathbf{X}_k(\mathbf{x}_k \boldsymbol{\alpha} \otimes \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_k) + \mathbf{X}_k \mathbf{b}_k + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_k \quad (3)$$

The estimation of fixed effect is then To make it easy, let's assume a simple scenario, where

- We only have two treatment group, $K = 2$
- Each group have n subjects
- Each subject in the group has same time points $\mathbf{X}_i = \mathbf{X}$ (\mathbf{X} is a $n_t \times t$ matrix)
- The subjects are assigned to group 1 and group 2 with same probability $\pi_1 = \pi_2 = 0.5$

Suppose: We have n_1 subjects, who should be in group1 and are actually assigned to group 1; n_1 subjects who should be assigned to group 2 and are actually assigned to group 2. Since we set $\pi_1 = \pi_2 = 0.5$, $n_1 = \frac{n}{2}$. That is

	True: Group 1	True: Group	Total
Assigned: Group 1	$n_1 = \frac{n}{2}$	$n - n_1 = \frac{n}{2}$	n
Assigned: Group 2	$n - n_1 = \frac{n}{2}$	$n_1 = \frac{n}{2}$	n
Total	n	n	$2n$

And also, to make it easy, let's suppose the subjects $1, 2, \dots, n$ are in group 1 and subjects $n + 1, \dots, 2n$ are in group 2.

$$\mathbf{y}_{ki} = \mathbf{X}_i(\boldsymbol{\beta}_k + \mathbf{b}_{ki} + \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_k(\boldsymbol{\alpha}'_i \mathbf{x}_i)) + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_{ki} \quad (4)$$

Since we assume the outcomes follow multivariate normal distributions the PDF for a subject in group i can be written as,

$$f(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^{n_t} |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k|}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{y} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k)' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k^{-1} (\mathbf{y} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_k)\right) \quad (5)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k = \mathbf{X} \mathbf{D}_k \mathbf{X}' + \sigma_k^2 \mathbf{I}$, $\boldsymbol{\mu}_k = \mathbf{X}(\boldsymbol{\beta}_k + \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_k(\boldsymbol{\alpha}' \mathbf{x}))$

Therefore, the likelihood function is

$$\begin{aligned} L(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\mathbf{x}) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^{n_t} |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1|}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i})' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i})\right) \\ &\times \prod_{i=n+1}^{2n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^{n_t} |\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2|}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2i})' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2i})\right) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

The log-likelihood function is

$$\begin{aligned} l(\boldsymbol{\theta}|\mathbf{x}) &= -n \cdot n_t \log(2\pi) - \frac{n}{2} \log(|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1|) - \frac{n}{2} \log(|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2|) \\ &- \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i})' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i}) \\ &- \sum_{i=n+1}^{2n} \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2i})' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2i}) \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

So we aim to find the $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ that maximizes the log-likelihood function. The value of the log-likelihood function depends on the outcome values \mathbf{y}_i and the predictors values \mathbf{x}_i .

Recall our purity function,

$$Purity(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = A_0 + A_1 \boldsymbol{\mu}'_x \boldsymbol{\alpha} + \frac{A_2}{2} [\boldsymbol{\alpha}' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_x \boldsymbol{\alpha} + \boldsymbol{\alpha}' \boldsymbol{\mu}_x \boldsymbol{\mu}'_x \boldsymbol{\alpha}] \quad (8)$$

where

- $A_0 = -t + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\mathbf{D}_2^{-1} \mathbf{D}_1) + \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}(\mathbf{D}_1^{-1} \mathbf{D}_2) + \frac{1}{2} (\boldsymbol{\beta}_1 - \boldsymbol{\beta}_2)' (\mathbf{D}_1^{-1} + \mathbf{D}_2^{-1}) (\boldsymbol{\beta}_1 - \boldsymbol{\beta}_2)$
- $A_1 = (\boldsymbol{\beta}_1 - \boldsymbol{\beta}_2)' (\mathbf{D}_1^{-1} + \mathbf{D}_2^{-1}) (\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_1 - \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_2)$
- $A_2 = (\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_1 - \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_2)' (\mathbf{D}_1^{-1} + \mathbf{D}_2^{-1}) (\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_1 - \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_2)$

that is, the purity function only depends on the predictors values \mathbf{x}_i .

To make them comparable, how about we calculate the expectation of the log-likelihood function and find $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ that maximizes the expectation?

$$\begin{aligned}
E(l(\boldsymbol{\theta})|\mathbf{x}) &= -n \cdot n_t \log(2\pi) - \frac{n}{2} \log(|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1|) - \frac{n}{2} \log(|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2|) \\
&\quad - n_1 E_1 \left[\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i})' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i}) \right] - (n - n_1) E_1 \left[\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i})' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i}) \right] \\
&\quad - (n - n_1) E_2 \left[\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2i})' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2i}) \right] - n_1 E_2 \left[\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2i})' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2i}) \right] \\
&= -n \cdot n_t \log(2\pi) - \frac{n}{2} \log(|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1|) - \frac{n}{2} \log(|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2|) \\
&\quad - \frac{n}{4} E_1 [(\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i})' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i})] - \frac{n}{4} E_1 [(\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2i})' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2i})] \\
&\quad - \frac{n}{4} E_2 [(\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i})' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i})] - \frac{n}{4} E_2 [(\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2i})' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2i})]
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned}
E_1[(\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i})' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i})] &= E_1[\text{tr}((\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i})' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i}))] \\
&= E_1[\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i}) (\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i})')] \\
&= \text{tr}(E_1[\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i}) (\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i})']) \\
&= \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1^{-1} E_1[(\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i}) (\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i})']) \\
&= \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1) = \text{tr}(\mathbf{I}_{n_t}) = n_t \quad (n_t \text{ is the number of time points})
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Similarly

$$E_2[(\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i})' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i})] - \frac{n}{4} E_2[(\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2i})' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2i})] = n_t \tag{11}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned}
&E_1[(\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2i})' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2i})] \\
&= E_1[(\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i} + \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2i})' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i} + \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2i})] \\
&= E_1[(\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i})' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i}) + (\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2i})' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i}) \\
&\quad + (\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i}) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2i}) + (\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2i})' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2i})] \\
&= E_1[(\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i})' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i})] + (\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2i})' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^{-1} E_1(\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i}) + \\
&\quad E_1(\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i})' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2i}) + (\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2i})' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2i}) \\
&= E_1[(\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i})' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i})] + 0 + 0 + (\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2i})' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2i}) \\
&= E_1[\text{tr}(\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i})' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i})] + (\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2i})' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2i}) \\
&= E_1[\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i})' (\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i}))] + (\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2i})' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2i}) \\
&= \text{tr}(E_1[\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i})' (\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i})]) + (\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2i})' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2i}) \\
&= \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^{-1} E_1[(\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i})' (\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i})]) + (\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2i})' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2i}) \\
&= \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1) + (\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2i})' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2i})
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Similarly

$$E_2[(\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i})' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1^{-1} (\mathbf{y}_i - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i})] = \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2) + (\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2i})' \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2i}) \tag{13}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
E(l(\boldsymbol{\theta})|\mathbf{x}) &\propto \frac{n}{2} \log(|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1|) - \frac{n}{2} \log(|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2|) - \frac{n}{4} \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1) \\
&\quad - \frac{n}{4} \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1^{-1} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2) - \frac{n}{4} (\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2i})' (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1^{-1} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^{-1}) (\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2i})
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2i})'(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1^{-1} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^{-1})(\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1i} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2i}) \\
&= (\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}_1 - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}_2 + (\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_1 - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_2)\boldsymbol{\alpha}'\mathbf{x}_i)'(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1^{-1} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^{-1})(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}_1 - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}_2 + (\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_1 - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_2)\boldsymbol{\alpha}'\mathbf{x}_i) \\
&= (\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}_1 - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}_2)'(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1^{-1} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^{-1})(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}_1 - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}_2) \\
&+ 2[(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}_1 - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}_2)'(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1^{-1} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^{-1})(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_1 - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_2)\mathbf{x}_i'\boldsymbol{\alpha} \\
&+ \boldsymbol{\alpha}'\mathbf{x}_i\mathbf{x}_i'\boldsymbol{\alpha}((\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_1 - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_2))'(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1^{-1} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^{-1})((\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_1 - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_2))]
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Therefore, the expectation of the log-likelihood is

$$\begin{aligned}
E(l(\boldsymbol{\theta})) &\propto -\frac{n}{2}\log(|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1|) - \frac{n}{2}\log(|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2|) - \frac{n}{4}\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1) - \frac{n}{4}\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1^{-1}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2) \\
&- \frac{n}{4}\{(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}_1 - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}_2)'(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1^{-1} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^{-1})(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}_1 - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}_2) \\
&+ 2[(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}_1 - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}_2)'(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1^{-1} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^{-1})(\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_1 - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_2)\boldsymbol{\mu}_x'\boldsymbol{\alpha} \\
&+ \boldsymbol{\alpha}'(\boldsymbol{\mu}_x\boldsymbol{\mu}_x' + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_x)\boldsymbol{\alpha}((\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_1 - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_2))'(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_1^{-1} + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_2^{-1})((\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_1 - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_2))\}
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Purity

$$\begin{aligned}
Purity(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) &\propto \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}(\mathbf{D}_2^{-1}\mathbf{D}_1) + \frac{1}{2}\text{tr}(\mathbf{D}_1^{-1}\mathbf{D}_2) + \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\beta}_1 - \boldsymbol{\beta}_2)'(\mathbf{D}_1^{-1} + \mathbf{D}_2^{-1})(\boldsymbol{\beta}_1 - \boldsymbol{\beta}_2) \\
&+ (\boldsymbol{\beta}_1 - \boldsymbol{\beta}_2)'(\mathbf{D}_1^{-1} + \mathbf{D}_2^{-1})(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_1 - \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_2)\boldsymbol{\mu}_x'\boldsymbol{\alpha} \\
&+ \frac{1}{2}(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_1 - \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_2)'(\mathbf{D}_1^{-1} + \mathbf{D}_2^{-1})((\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_1 - \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_2)[\boldsymbol{\alpha}'\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_x\boldsymbol{\alpha} + \boldsymbol{\alpha}'\boldsymbol{\mu}_x\boldsymbol{\mu}_x'\boldsymbol{\alpha}])
\end{aligned}$$