## Chapter 3 - $L^p$ Spaces

## Salvador Castagnino scastagnino@itba.edu.ar

## Exercise 3.

Let's suppose that  $\phi$  is not convex in (a,b) then there exist  $x,y\in(a,b)$  and  $t_0\in[0,1]$  such that

$$\phi((1-t_0)x+t_0y) > (1-t_0)\phi(x)+t_0\phi(y)$$

and assume x < y. Let's define  $g, h : [0, 1] \to R$  such that,

$$g(t) = \phi((1-t)x + ty)$$

$$h(t) = (1 - t) \phi(x) + t\phi(y)$$

and let f = h - g. We define the nonempty sets  $A = \{t \in [0, t_0) \mid f(t) = 0\}$  and  $B = \{t \in (t_0, 1] \mid f(t) = 0\}$  given that f(0) = f(1) = 0, and let  $t_a = \sup A$  and  $t_b = \inf B$ , we want to see that  $t_a \in A$  and  $t_b \in B$ . Let  $\{a_n\}$  and  $\{b_n\}$  be sequences in A and B which converge to  $t_a$  and  $t_b$  respectively, by continuity of f we can see that

$$0 = \lim_{n \to \infty} f(a_n) = f\left(\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n\right) = f(t_a)$$

With this and the fact that  $t_a < t_0 < t_b$  as  $f(t_0) < 0$  we have that  $t_a \in A$  and the proof for  $t_b \in B$  is analogous. Now by the definition of  $t_a$  and  $t_b$  we have that f(t) < 0 for all  $t \in (t_a, t_b)$ . Finally we take  $x' = (1 - t_a)x + t_ay$  and  $y' = (1 - t_b)x + t_by$  and by working algebraically over  $f\left(\frac{t_a + t_b}{2}\right) < 0$  we arrive at the following inequality

$$\phi\left(\frac{x'+y'}{2}\right) > \frac{\phi\left(x'\right) + \phi\left(y'\right)}{2}$$

which is a clear contradiction, as we wanted. This concludes the proof.

**Observation** This proof shows that to see that convexity holds it suffices to show that given each pair of points  $x, y \in (a, b)$  there exists a  $t \in [0, 1]$  (not necessarily  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) such that  $\phi((1-t)x + ty) \leq (1-t)\phi(x) + t\phi(y)$ .

**Exercise 4.** Let f be a complex measurable function on X and  $\mu$  a positive measura on X define

$$\phi(p) = \int_X |f|^p d\mu = ||f||_p^p \quad (0$$

and now let  $E = \{p: \phi(p) < \infty\}$  and assume  $0 < \|f\|_{\infty}$ . Let's begin by characterizing E. Let  $0 and <math>x \in [0, \infty)$  then  $x^p < x^s$  iff 1 < x and  $x^s < x^p$  iff x < 1. With this in mind if  $0 < r < p < s < \infty$  then  $|f|^p \le \max\{|f|^r, |f|^s\} \le |f|^r + |f|^s$  and we get,

$$\int_X |f|^p d\mu \le \int_X |f|^r d\mu + \int_X |f|^s d\mu$$

Suppose that  $s, r \in E$  then  $p \in E$ , which proves statement (a).

As a consequence of (a) we see that E is a connected set and with this in mind, supposing E is nonempty, we can see that E is an interval with endpoints  $a = \inf E$  and  $b = \sup E$ , this interval will be closed or not if E contains them or not, but for sure  $E^o = (a, b)$ .

To prove that  $\log \phi$  is convex in  $E^o$  we take  $x, y \in (a, b)$  and  $t \in (0, 1)$ . By the properties of the logarithm we get,

$$(1-t)\log\phi(x) + t\log\phi(t) = \log(\phi(x)^{1-t}\phi(y)^t)$$

given that the logarithm is a nondecreasing function, convexity holds if and only if

$$\phi\left((1-t)x+ty\right) \le \phi\left(x\right)^{1-t}\phi\left(y\right)^{t}$$

rewriting  $\phi$  on both sides in terms of Lp norms we get the following,

$$||f^{(1-t)x}f^{ty}||_1 \le ||f^{(1-t)x}||_{(1-t)^{-1}}||f^{ty}||_{t^{-1}}$$

finally, given that  $1 \le (1-t)^{-1}$  and  $1 \le t^{-1}$  are conjugate exponents, the last inequality hold by Holder's inequality and this concludes the proof.

To prove that  $\phi$  is continuous in E we start by noticing that  $\log \phi$  is convex in (a,b) which implies convexity of  $\phi$  in (a,b) which in turn implies continuity of  $\phi$  in (a,b), so we only need to prove continuity on a and b, in case they are elements of E.

Let  $\{p_n\}$  be a sequence in (a, b) which converges to a and let's suppose  $a \in E$ , we will show that  $\phi(p_n)$  converges to  $\phi(a)$ , the proof for b is analogous. By linearity of the integral and properties of the absolute value we get

$$|\phi(p_n) - \phi(a)| = |\int_X |f^{p_n}| - |f^a| \, d\mu| \le \int_X ||f^{p_n}| - |f^a|| \, d\mu \le \int_X |f^{p_n} - f^a| \, d\mu$$

Also, by continuity of the exponential we know that  $f^{p_n}$  converges pointwise to  $f^a$  as n goes to infinity so it suffces to find a real function  $g \in L^1(\mu)$  such that  $|f^{p_n}| \leq g$ . Let  $g = |f^a| + |f^{p_M}|$  with  $p_M$  the greatest element of the sequence  $\{p_n\}$  which exists given the convergence of the sequence. Then we can see that  $a \leq p_n \leq p_M$  for all  $1 \leq n$  and as mentioned above we have

$$|f|^{p_n} \le \max\{|f|^a, |f|^{p_M}\} \le |f|^a + |f|^{p_M} = g$$

Finally by Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem we get that

$$\int_X |f^{p_n} - f^a| \, d\mu \to 0$$

which concludes the proof of (b).

## Observation

Let  $0 < r < p < s < +\infty$  with p - r = s - p which is the same as 2p = r + s then using Holder's inequality we get,

$$||f||_p^p = ||f^p||_1 = ||f^{\frac{r}{2}}f^{\frac{s}{2}}||_1 \le ||f^{\frac{r}{2}}||_2 ||f^{\frac{s}{2}}||_2 = (||f||_r^r ||f||_s^s)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

thus,

$$||f||_p^p \le \sqrt{||f||_r^r ||f||_s^s}$$

**Exercise 5.** In order to prove that  $||f||_p \le ||f||_r$  if 0 we start by looking at case in which both <math>p and r are finite. For every  $x \in (0, \infty)$  the function  $x^c$  is twice differentiable and we have that

$$(x^c)'' = (x^{c-1}c)' = c(c-1)x^{c-2}$$

Observe that if  $1 \leq c$ , the expression above is nonnegative for all  $x \in (0,\infty)$  and thus  $x^c$  convex over that interval. With this in mind and the fact that  $\mu\left(\Omega\right) = 1$  and  $1 \leq \frac{r}{p}$  we will use Jensen's Inequality to prove the result. Let  $A = \{x \in \Omega | f\left(x\right) \neq 0\}$  (the integral over it's complement is exactly 0 and in this way  $f\left(A\right) \subset (0,\infty)$ ), then

$$||f||_p = \left(\int_A |f|^p d\mu\right)^{\frac{r}{r_p}} \le \left(\int_A |f|^{\frac{r_p}{p}} d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} = ||f||_r$$

and this concludes the proof for the finite case.

Now let  $r = \infty$ 

**Exercise 10.** Given that  $fg \geq 1$  and both f and g are positive we have  $f \geq \frac{1}{g}$  and using Holder's inequality and the fact that  $\mu(\Omega) = 1$ ,

$$1 = \|1\|_1 = \|g^{\frac{1}{2}}g^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|_1 \le \|g^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_2 \|g^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|_2 = \left(\|g\|_1\|g^{-1}\|_1\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le \left(\|g\|_1\|f\|_1\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

thus

$$1 \le \int_{\Omega} f \, d\mu \int_{\Omega} g \, d\mu$$