

LEVERAGING MULTI-MESSENGER ASTROPHYSICS FOR DARK MATTER SEARCHES

By

Daniel Nicholas Salazar-Gallegos

A DISSERTATION

Submitted to
Michigan State University
in partial fulfillment of the requirements
for the degree of

Physics—Doctor of Philosophy
Computational Mathematics in Science and Engineering—Dual Major

Today

ABSTRACT

I did Dark Matter with HAWC and IceCube. I also used Graph Neural Networks

Copyright by
DANIEL NICHOLAS SALAZAR-GALLEGOS
Today

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I love my friends. Thanks to everyone that helped me figure this out. Amazing thanks to the people at LANL who supported me. Eames, etc Dinner Parties Jenny and her child Kaydince Kirsten, Pat, Andrea Family. Roommate

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES	vi
LIST OF FIGURES	vii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	viii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
CHAPTER 2 DARK MATTER IN THE COSMOS	2
2.1 Introduction	2
2.2 Evidence for Dark Matter	3
2.3 The WIMP Miracle	6
2.4 Searching for Dark Matter	6
2.5 Multi-Messenger Dark Matter	6
2.6 Search Targets for Dark Matter	6
CHAPTER 3 DETECTING HIGH ENERGY NEUTRAL MESSENGERS	7
3.1 Cherenkov Radiation	7
3.2 HAWC	7
3.3 IceCube	7
3.4 Opportunities to Combine for Dark Matter	7
CHAPTER 4 HIGH ALTITUDE WATER CHERENKOV (HAWC) OBSERVATORY	8
4.1 The Detector	8
4.2 Events Reconstruction and Data Acquisition	8
4.3 Remote Monitoring	8
CHAPTER 5 NEURAL NETWORKS FOR GAMMA/HADRON SEPARATION	9
CHAPTER 6 ICECUBE	10
CHAPTER 7 GLORY DUCK	11
CHAPTER 8 NU DUCK	12

LIST OF TABLES

Proof I know how to include

LIST OF FIGURES

Make the figures document at some point...

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

MSU Michigan State University

LANL Los Alamos National Laboratory

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

Is the text not rendering right? Ah ok it knows im basically drafting the doc still

CHAPTER 2

DARK MATTER IN THE COSMOS

2.1 Introduction

tell a story about dark matter in a nutshell.

Dark Matter (DM) has been a whispering problem in physics for almost 100 years. Anomalies have been detected by way of weird galaxy behaviour, budding Cosmology, and more [NEEDS A SOURCE]. It was sometime in 1930's when the super duper smart Zwicky measured that it was definitely there. It's kind of a big deal because we have no idea what the nature of this stuff and there's a lot of it. According to Lambda CDM, the most legit model, [NEEDS A SOURCE]DM is about 85% [FACT CHECK THIS], of all mass in the universe. It's called dark in fact because we cannot see it. [NEEDS A SOURCE]Finding out what the hell it is, is an active field of research and hopefully it interacts with the standard model.

Here's what we do know about DM so far. . . DM is dark, it doesn't interact readily with light. DM also doesn't interact noticeably with the other standard model forces (EM, Strong, Weak) at a rate that matters [NEEDS A SOURCE]. DM is cold. By cold I mean that it is most likely not moving at relativistic speeds like neutrinos and photons. [NEEDS A SOURCE]If it was moving that fast, the structures we see like galaxies would be much more diffuse than what is observed. [NEEDS A SOURCE]DM is old. DM played a critical role in the formation of the universe and the structure within it. [NEEDS A SOURCE]We know this from Cosmology and computer universe simulations [NEEDS A SOURCE].

The search for DM is basically summarized by trying a bunch of different models and performing measurements of all kinds to test them. These models of course have to nominally agree with the known observations seen over the last century. Whenever we perform a test and don't see anything, the parameter spaces gets more constrained. I discuss some of the ideas and approaches further on. I Especially discuss the models that are relevant to my thesis.

We fortunately have the largest volume and lifetime ever for a particle physics experiment in the universe. This means we can do some pretty cool shit very efficiently. The drawbacks are the

backgrounds.

My these is organized like the following. **FILL THIS IN LATER.**Text should look like ...
Chaper x has blah blah blah.

2.2 Evidence for Dark Matter

Let me show you why we're pretty sure DM is a thing and why it might be particle like in nature. My thesis focuses on WIMP dark matter which is one of the better motivated things out there There were some weird as fuck anomolies early in the last century but we weren't 100% that it was legit. Then some great sciencist made some keen measurements of stars and their minds were blown. Read more to see what we know now. I promise you're about to get mind fucked.

2.2.1 First Clues: Stellar Velocities

Ok so someone **[FACT CHECK THIS]****[NEEDS A SOURCE]**started taking measurments with at. They were curious about what speed stars were orbitting the galaxies they were contained in. These measurements were done for things close by. At the time we were even that sure galaxies were a thing. Bu with the basical knowlwedge we had we used the virial theorem with the velocities of the stars to measure the mass inderectly of the galaxies.

INSERT EQN HERE.The virial eqn

FILL THIS IN LATER.explain the virial equation **[NEEDS A SOURCE]**you probably want to source the theory behind why this important

The verdict wasnt clear however until Vera Rubin made some awesome discoveries with more precise equipment and 21cm lines of Hydrogren gas in the galaxies. This really showed that there was some unexplained discrepancy between how much mass we were seeing in the stars and the mass measured indirectly. The issue is that it we're pretty sure now that we're not just under-estimating the mass of the stars **[NEEDS A SOURCE]**. The difference in mass was up to 5x which is way way too much for what our uncertainties were (somewhere around 20%)**[NEEDS A SOURCE]**.

FILL THIS IN LATER.insert a velocity dispersion FIGURE here. **[NEEDS A SOURCE]**the figure will need a source.

Nowadays we have more measurements of the stellar velocities and have even discovered small DM dense bodies called dwarf spheroidals (dSph) These measurements have been made by the community [FACT CHECK THIS] and there are compiled lists of how much DM these objects have. Most of these measurements are made from newtonian virial theorem measurements. There has since emerged new evidence. These innovative techs are discussed in the following sections. The evidence culminates into a story of particle dark matter.

2.2.2 Mounting Evidence for Dark Matter

Modern evidence for dark matter comes from new avenues. We got microlensing which supports DM in the general relativity sector. The Cosmic Microwave Background shows that the universe has DM in it from a very early stage. The CMB is the primordial light from the young universe. Basically a baby photo. Then we have computational models where we model the universe. Then we look at how the simulated universes look like compared to what we see. From those simulations we infer how much dark matter is in the universe. The fuller explanations and shortcoming of each of these methods is explained further in this section.

someone took a an observation of the bullet cluster. The microlensing of galaxy clusters are some of the most damning evidence that DM is actually matter and not just a flaw in our gravitational theories. There were two galaxy clusters [FACT CHECK THIS]. They clearly passed through each other at some point in the past and are in the process of merging [NEEDS A SOURCE]. Two observations of the clusters were made independantly of each other. The first was the microlensing of light around the galaxies due to their gravitational influences. When celestial bodies are large enough, the gravity they exert bends space and time itself. This bending effects light and will deflect light in a smilar way to how lenses will bend light.

FILL THIS IN LATER.insert gravitational lensing figure compared to glass lensing. [NEEDS A SOURCE].

With a sufficient understanding of light sources behind a celestial body, you can reconstruct the countours of the gravitational lenses. The gradient of the contours then tells you how dense the matter is and where it is.

They then made measurements of the x-ray emission from the clusters. The idea is that since these galaxies are mostly gas and are merging, then they should be getting hotter. If they're merging, the x-ray emissions should be the strongest where the gas is mostly moving through each other. The x-rays basically map out where the gas is in these merging galaxies.

FILL THIS IN LATER.insert bullet cluster photo. **[NEEDS A SOURCE]**.

The dope super interesting thing is that the map of the x-ray emissions totally doesn't align with the gravitational contours from the microlensing. This incongruence is really telling that there is a lot of matter somewhere that we just cannot see. Moreover this matter is NOT BARYONIC. So then what is it? This measurement didn't really tell us what exactly, but it did suggest that this DM also doesn't interact with itself very strongly. If it did, then it would have been more aligned with where the x-ray emission was. There's been other studies of galaxies with similar results although there are a handful that resemble something we expect for strongly self-interacting DM. **[NEEDS A SOURCE]**. This result really makes it hard to argue that DM is somehow something amiss in our gravitational theories.

we got the CMB and geometry of the universe. So there's this thing called the cosmic Microwave Background (CMB). It's the universe's baby photo from when all of the hydrogen de-ionized to form atoms. This happened because it was cold enough finally from the expansion of the universe. The recombination happened sometime around less than 1 million years after the universe was born **[FACT CHECK THIS]****[NEEDS A SOURCE]**. when hydrogen absorbs an electron, it releases a photon of a specific wavelength. This wavelength amounts to 13 eV or so according to the QM eqn. . .

FILL THIS IN LATER.insert hydrogen energy level equation

However the universe has been expanding since its creation. In fact the time and space itself is expanding away from us for as long as the universe is old. This red-shifts the combination light into the Microwave frequencies. This is the light we can detect with microwave observatories and is what was first detected by Penzias and Wilson in the 1960s **[NEEDS A SOURCE]****[FACT CHECK THIS]**

we got universe simulations

SPACE TIME CURVY THE UNIVERSE IS A SIMULATION. THE WIGGLES IN GEOME-

TRY ARE THICCCC

2.3 The WIMP Miracle

2.4 Searching for Dark Matter

2.4.1 Shake it, Break it, Make it

2.4.2 Break it: Standard Model Signatures of Annihilating Dark Matter

2.5 Multi-Messenger Dark Matter

2.6 Search Targets for Dark Matter

2.6.1 Dwarf Spheroidal Galaxies

CHAPTER 3

DETECTING HIGH ENERGY NEUTRAL MESSENGERS

3.1 Cherenkov Radiation

3.2 HAWC

3.3 IceCube

3.4 Opportunities to Combine for Dark Matter

CHAPTER 4

HIGH ALTITUDE WATER CHERENKOV (HAWC) OBSERVATORY

4.1 The Detector

4.2 Events Reconstruction and Data Acquisition

4.2.1 G/H Discrimination

4.2.2 Angle

4.2.3 Energy

4.3 Remote Monitoring

4.3.1 ATHENA Database

4.3.2 HOMER

CHAPTER 5

NEURAL NETWORKS FOR GAMMA/HADRON SEPARATION

CHAPTER 6

ICECUBE

CHAPTER 7
GLORY DUCK

CHAPTER 8

NU DUCK