**Max generation:** 300

**Population size:** 400

**N:** 10

**Mutation case:** Case2

**Survivor selection type:** Generational

Results of x\_over methods:

(The green color shows the value in this dimension is approximately zero)

(f\_array is function values in different dimensions)

* **XOVER\_METHOD = LOCAL\_DISC**

fitness = 0.00012727566263492918 (the result rounded)

x = [420.9687468, 420.9687467, 420.9687462,

420.968746, 420.9687451, 420.9687469,

420.9687472, 420.9687453, 420.9687467,

420.968745]

f\_array = [1.2727566286230285e-05, 1.2727566286230285e-05,

1.2727566229386866e-05, 1.2727566229386866e-05,

1.272756651360396e-05, 1.2727566343073704e05,

1.2727566343073704e-05, 1.2727566399917123e-05,

1.2727566286230285e-05, 1.272756651360396e-05]

* **XOVER\_METHOD = LOCAL\_INT**

fitness = 1362.1149460891397

x = [420.9687465, 203.8142529, 65.5478653,

65.5478648, 203.8142533, 420.9687462,

203.8142524, 420.9687466, 420.9687466,

420.9687463]

f\_array: [1.2727566229386866e-05, 217.13968211813918,

355.34791804844554, 355.34791804844554,

217.13968211813923, 1.2727566229386866e-05,

217.13968211813915, 1.2727566286230285e-05,

1.2727566286230285e-05, 1.2727566229386866e-05]

* **XOVER\_METHOD = GLOBAL\_DISC**

fitness = 0.00012727566263492918

x = [420.9687471, 420.9687455, 420.9687457,

420.9687475, 420.9687471, 420.9687469,

420.9687465, 420.9687475, 420.9687467,

420.9687475]

f\_array: [1.2727566343073704e-05, 1.2727566343073704e-05,

1.2727566343073704e-05, 1.2727566399917123e-05, 1.2727566343073704e-05, 1.2727566343073704e-05, 1.2727566229386866e-05, 1.2727566399917123e-05, 1.2727566286230285e-05, 1.2727566399917123e-05]

* **XOVER\_METHOD = GLOBAL\_INT**

fitness = 868.5588048379536

x = [203.8142527, 203.8142524, 203.814253,

420.968746, 203.8142524, 420.968746,

420.9687462, 420.9687469, 420.9687465,

420.9687462]

f\_array: [217.13968211813918, 217.13968211813915,

217.1396821181392, 1.2727566229386866e-05, 217.13968211813915, 1.2727566229386866e-05, 1.2727566229386866e-05, 1.2727566343073704e-05, 1.2727566229386866e-05, 1.2727566229386866e-05]

**Max generation:** 200

**Population size:** 400

**N:** 10

**Xover method:** LOCAL\_DISC

**Survivor selection type:** Generational

Results of mutation methods:

* **MUTATION\_MODE = CASE1**

**fitness = 355.34803259654154**

x = [420.9687455, 420.9687462, 420.9687467,

420.9687468, 420.9687466, 65.547864,

420.9687469, 420.9687472, 420.9687462,

420.968746]

f\_array: [1.2727566343073704e-05, 1.2727566229386866e-05,

1.2727566286230285e-05, 1.2727566286230285e-05, 1.2727566286230285e-05, 355.34791804844565, 1.2727566343073704e-05, 1.2727566343073704e-05, 1.2727566229386866e-05, 1.2727566229386866e-05]

* **MUTATION\_MODE = CASE2**

**fitness = 0.00012727566263492918 (the result rounded)**

x = [420.9687468, 420.9687467, 420.9687462,

420.968746, 420.9687451, 420.9687469,

420.9687472, 420.9687453, 420.9687467,

420.968745]

f\_array = [1.2727566286230285e-05, 1.2727566286230285e-05,

1.2727566229386866e-05, 1.2727566229386866e-05,

1.272756651360396e-05, 1.2727566343073704e05,

1.2727566343073704e-05, 1.2727566399917123e-05,

1.2727566286230285e-05, 1.272756651360396e-05]

For 10 time running with mutation Case 1 and observe count non zero dimensions every time:

1 time non zero appears in two dimensions

3 time non zero appears in no dimensions

7 time non zero appears in one dimension

For 10 time of Case2:

0 time non zero appears in two dimensions

7 time non zero appears in no dimensions

3 time non zero appears in one dimension

Compare X-over methods:

**LOCAL\_DISC:** 10/10 of dimensions get approximate zero

**LOCAL\_INT:** 5/10 of dimensions get approximate zero

**GLOBAL\_DISC:** 10/10 of dimensions get approximate zero

**GLOBAL\_INT:** 6/10 of dimensions get approximate zero

(The orange color show that this method got better value in compare with other)

**GLOBAL\_DISC**

f\_array: [1.2727566286230285e-05, 1.2727566229386866e-05, 1.2727566456760542e-05, 1.2727566286230285e-05, 1.2727566286230285e-05, 1.2727566229386866e-05, 1.2727566286230285e-05, 1.2727566229386866e-05, 1.2727566343073704e-05, 1.2727566172543447e-05]

**LOCAL\_DISC**

f\_array: [1.2727566343073704e-05, 1.2727566343073704e-05, 1.2727566343073704e-05, 1.2727566229386866e-05, 1.2727566343073704e-05, 1.2727566286230285e-05, 1.2727566229386866e-05, 1.2727566343073704e-05, 1.2727566286230285e-05, 1.2727566172543447e-05]

for comparing **GLOBAL\_DISC** and **LOCAL\_DISC** as we look at their f\_array(function values in dimensions):

in **6** of dimensions **GLOBAL\_DISC** got **better** value.

In **3** of dimensions **LOCAL\_DISC** got **better** value.

and in **1** dimension they **got the same** value.

**10 time running of each Xover configuration**

N = 10

MUTATION\_MODE = CASE2

SURVIVOR\_SEL\_TYPE = GENERATIONAL

MAX\_GENERATION = 40

POPULATION\_SIZE = 50

LOCAL\_DISC solutions:

0.00015094456466613337

236.8768963543107

0.0003000986180268228

0.0005415958430603496

0.0001942444359883666

118.43852588414347

0.0002481079254721408

0.000507095779539668

0.0002352570727452985

0.0007377771980827674

**average: 35.531833735989174**

**variance: 75.83748173232169**

LOCAL\_INT solutions:

3099.3409534010916

2586.238949801562

2605.753199263469

2823.480701842223

3178.8554887896566

3316.6526517083344

3040.2350163409747

2764.4121095245473

2665.154069110832

2822.9355104730344

**average: 2890.3058650255725**

**variance: 240.86941088199526**

GLOBAL\_DISC solutions:

0.022856812952340988

118.45108254002889

118.48857073668842

118.44280131897949

0.008484420666718506

0.005451880581858859

0.005813965529341658

0.023427051593898796

0.010449188122038322

0.040173583998694085

**average: 35.549911149914166**

**variance: 54.27793164502578**

GLOBAL\_INT solutions:

2862.703566071283

2724.314037300498

2981.4974372961615

3119.2021268522544

3040.3268200350035

3119.165429527669

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Method | Average | Variance |
| Local discrete | 35.531833735989174 | 75.83748173232169 |
| Local intermediate | 2890.3058650255725 | 240.86941088199526 |
| Global discrete | 35.549911149914166 | 54.27793164502578 |
| Global intermediate | 2821.177757958189 | 263.43883447631646 |

2428.264431321057

2724.2654276406556

2310.0546264640657

2901.9836770732454

**average: 2821.177757958189**

**variance: 263.43883447631646**

**10 time running of each Mutation configuration**

N = 10

XOVER\_METHOD = LOCAL\_DISC

SURVIVOR\_SEL\_TYPE = GENERATIONAL

MAX\_GENERATION = 40

POPULATION\_SIZE = 50

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Method | Average | Variance |
| Non Adaptive | 207.38739721690513 | 125.17673368351377 |
| Adaptive (Case 2) | 35.531833735989174 | 75.83748173232169 |

**10 time running of each Survivor Selection configuration**

N = 10

XOVER\_METHOD = LOCAL\_DISC

MUTATION\_MODE = CASE2

MAX\_GENERATION = 40

POPULATION\_SIZE = 50

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Method | Average | Variance |
| generational | 35.531833735989174 | 75.83748173232169 |
| Elitism | 23.688428345270175 | 47.37508298528252 |

**Conclusion**

Discrete methods of recombination’s are performing better that intermediate methods. intermediate method instead of combining the goodness of parents,

Calculate their genes average and it may not mean any good feature. (can be really bad even).

Other side discrete methods just transfer genes from parent and doesn’t change them.

Discrete Methods have Xover properties but intermediate methods acts like mutation.