

GA optimization wit cuda

- Problem description

The goal it to find the target string (HELLO WOLRD) from possible character combinations.

- GA specification

- **Representation:**

Combination of capital English alphabet:

chromosome = EQNDM UDGGD

- **Fitness**

String compare of chromosome string with target string.

- **Xover**

Uniform xOver : by 50% probability each gene choose from either first or second parent.

```
if (rand()%10 < 5){  
    child_chor1[i] = p1->chromosome[i];  
    child_chor2[i] = p2->chromosome[i];  
}  
else{  
    child_chor2[i] = p1->chromosome[i];  
    child_chor1[i] = p2->chromosome[i];  
}
```

- **Mutation**

Switch mutation: one randomly chromosome position value replace with random alphabet.

- **Parent selection**

Tournament selection with size 5: best of randomly 5 chosen individual selected.

- **Survivor selection**

Elitism mode with 10%: 10% of current generation goes directly to next generation to improve GA memory history.

- Running and profiling command:

- Running

Parameters: population size, parallel mode
Like for serial mode with size 1000:

```
./ga_out 1000 0
```

- Profiling

```
nvprof ./ga_out 10000 1
```

- GA results:

MUTATION_RATE = 0.1

MAX_GENERATION = 300

TOURNAMENT_SIZE = 5

XOVER_METHOD = UNIFORM

- Population = 100

```
iteration 309 best: chromosome = HELLO WORLC    fitness = 1
iteration 310 best: chromosome = HELLO WORLC    fitness = 1
iteration 311 best: chromosome = HELLO WORLC    fitness = 1
iteration 312 best: chromosome = HELLO WORLC    fitness = 1
iteration 313 best: chromosome = HELLO WORLC    fitness = 1
iteration 314 best: chromosome = HELLO WORLC    fitness = 1
iteration 315 best: chromosome = HELLO WORLC    fitness = 1
iteration 316 best: chromosome = HELLO WORLC    fitness = 1
iteration 317 best: chromosome = HELLO WORLC    fitness = 0
solution founded:
chromosome = HELLO WORLD    fitness = 0
```

- Population = 500

```
iteration 29 best: chromosome = IELLO WORLD    fitness = 1
iteration 30 best: chromosome = IELLO WORLD    fitness = 1
iteration 31 best: chromosome = IELLO WORLD    fitness = 1
iteration 32 best: chromosome = IELLO WORLD    fitness = 1
iteration 33 best: chromosome = IELLO WORLD    fitness = 1
iteration 34 best: chromosome = IELLO WORLD    fitness = 1
iteration 35 best: chromosome = IELLO WORLD    fitness = 1
iteration 36 best: chromosome = IELLO WORLD    fitness = 1
iteration 37 best: chromosome = IELLO WORLD    fitness = 1
iteration 38 best: chromosome = HELLO WORLD    fitness = 0
solution founded:
chromosome = HELLO WORLD    fitness = 0
```

- Population = 1000

```

iteration 20 best: chromosome = JEJLO XOSLD fitness = 6
iteration 21 best: chromosome = HDLJO WORLD fitness = 3
iteration 22 best: chromosome = HDLJO WORLD fitness = 3
iteration 23 best: chromosome = HDLJO WORLD fitness = 3
iteration 24 best: chromosome = HELLO WORLF fitness = 2
iteration 25 best: chromosome = HELMO WORLD fitness = 1
iteration 26 best: chromosome = HELMO WORLD fitness = 1
iteration 27 best: chromosome = HELMO WORLD fitness = 1
iteration 28 best: chromosome = HELLO WORLD fitness = 0
solution founded:
chromosome = HELLO WORLD fitness = 0
===== Warning: No profile data collected.

```

- Population = 10000

```

iteration 21 best: chromosome = HDLMO WNRKD fitness = 4
iteration 22 best: chromosome = HDLMO WNRKD fitness = 4
iteration 23 best: chromosome = HDLMO WNRKD fitness = 4
iteration 24 best: chromosome = HDLMO WNRKD fitness = 4
iteration 25 best: chromosome = HELLO WOQKD fitness = 2
iteration 26 best: chromosome = HELLO WOQKD fitness = 2
iteration 27 best: chromosome = HELLO WOQKD fitness = 2
iteration 28 best: chromosome = HDLLO WORLD fitness = 1
iteration 29 best: chromosome = HDLLO WORLD fitness = 1
iteration 30 best: chromosome = HELLO WORLD fitness = 0
solution founded:
chromosome = HELLO WORLD fitness = 0

```

- GA parallelism:

For parent and survivor selection we need all population so it could not be parallel.
But fitness evaluation is a good choice of parallelism so we do as below:

```

if(parallel){

    cudaMemcpy( dev_pop, next_pop, pop_size * sizeof(Individual*),
    cudaMemcpyHostToDevice );

    parallel_eval<<< pop_size/numThread , numThread >>>(dev_pop,pop_size,
    target);

    cudaDeviceSynchronize();

    cudaMemcpy( next_pop, dev_pop, pop_size * sizeof(Individual*),
    cudaMemcpyDeviceToHost );

}

```

- Profiling data:

	Type	Time(%)	Time	Calls	Avg	Min	Max	Name
▶	API calls:	77.31%	602.96ms	300	2.0099ms	687ns	602.66ms	cudaDeviceSynchronize
↗		22.27%	173.66ms	1	173.66ms	173.66ms	173.66ms	cudaMalloc
		0.18%	1.3933ms	300	4.6440us	1.0820us	898.65us	cudaLaunchKernel
		0.13%	1.0498ms	600	1.7490us	448ns	35.235us	cudaMemcpy
		0.07%	513.42us	1	513.42us	513.42us	513.42us	cuDeviceTotalMem
		0.04%	328.00us	97	3.3810us	160ns	149.73us	cuDeviceGetAttribute
		0.00%	27.562us	1	27.562us	27.562us	27.562us	cuDeviceGetName
		0.00%	4.0470us	1	4.0470us	4.0470us	4.0470us	cuDeviceGetPCIBusId
		0.00%	2.7560us	1	2.7560us	2.7560us	2.7560us	cudaFree
		0.00%	2.7130us	3	904ns	186ns	1.3070us	cuDeviceGetCount
		0.00%	2.0260us	2	1.0130us	500ns	1.5260us	cuDeviceGet
		0.00%	376ns	1	376ns	376ns	376ns	cuDeviceGetUuid

As the profiling data shows most of algorithm time spent for threads process synchronization (like before parent and survivor selection and population fitness sorting).