

## Satisfiability Checking - WS 2016/2017

### Series 4

gereon.kremer@cs.rwth-aachen.de  
https://ths.rwth-aachen.de/teaching/

### Exercise 1

In this exercise, we give some more details on the concept of *logical theory* and how it is related to axioms.

We fix an arbitrary signature  $\Sigma$  and an arbitrary structure  $\mathcal{S}$  over  $\Sigma$ . In the following, all sentences are over  $\Sigma$  and  $\Phi^1$  is a set of sentences. We use the following notation:

- $\mathcal{S} \models \varphi$ :  $\mathcal{S}$  is a model of a sentence  $\varphi$ .
- $\mathcal{S} \models \Phi$ :  $\mathcal{S}$  is a model of all sentences  $\varphi$  from the set  $\Phi$ .

*Definitions:*

- A sentence  $\varphi$  is a **consequence** of  $\Phi$  ( $\Phi \models \varphi$ ) iff  $\mathcal{S} \models \varphi$  for each model  $\mathcal{S} \models \Phi$ .
- $\Phi \models := \{\varphi \mid \Phi \models \varphi\}$  denotes the **set of consequences** of  $\Phi$ .
- $\Phi$  is called **consistent** if there is no sentence  $\varphi$  with  $\Phi \models \varphi$  and  $\Phi \models \neg\varphi$ .
- A satisfiable set of sentences  $T$  is called a **theory** if for all sentences  $\varphi$

$$T \models \varphi \iff \varphi \in T.$$

- A theory  $T$  is **complete** iff for all sentences  $\varphi$

$$\text{either } \varphi \in T \text{ or } \neg\varphi \in T.$$

Prove the following three statements.

1. Each theory  $T$  is consistent.
2. Let  $\Phi$  be a set of sentences.  $\Phi$  is consistent iff  $\Phi \models$  is a theory.
3. The set  $\text{Th}(\mathcal{S}) := \{\varphi \mid \mathcal{S} \models \varphi\}$  is a theory. It is called the **theory of  $\mathcal{S}$** .
4.  $\text{Th}(\mathcal{S})$  is complete.
5. Let  $\Sigma = \{+, \cdot, \leq, =\}$ . Give one example each:
  - (a) a complete  $\Sigma$ -theory  $T_1$ ,
  - (b) an incomplete  $\Sigma$ -theory  $T_2$ .

*Hint:* You can use different ways to define a theory.

<sup>1</sup>Imagine  $\Phi$  to be a (finite) set of axioms.