Peer Evaluation for CET 521 INDE 546 Project/Presentation

Please evaluate the contribution of each member of your group, including yourself.

1. Professionalism and ability to work with others (Punctuality in group meetings, courtesy and integrity in interpersonal relationships, motivation)

2. Quality of work (Work done on time, attention to detail and accuracy of designs and reports).

3. Contribution to the group (Did the individual contribute to group planning and problem solving? Did the individual do his/her share of the work?)

These reports will be confidential and will be used to determine the individual evaluations points.

Please comment on your assessments and whether you used other criteria.

Group Members		**% effort**
Your name:	Christopher Salazar	40
Other group members	Siman Ning	35
	Robin Halfvorsson	25
MUST TOTAL TO 100%		**100.00 %**

Include any additional comments you have to justify/clarify the percent efforts listed.

Siman Ning
Siman was instrumental to our team regarding finding our data and discovering the R package that we used for extracting data. She also had experience with data visualization with R so she was a valuable asset. She was courteous, professional and voiced her opinions, some of which we acted upon for our project. Her quality of work was also exceptional as her modeling tasks were informative. She went beyond her tasks in trying to come up with some unique analysis. We could have used her more towards the end of the project (particularly with the report writing), but this was likely due to the Covid-19 worries and also general finals stress. This was not a huge issue as she did contribute to the literature review used for our references. Overall, Siman contributed more than enough to see our project hit some great strides.

Robin Halfvorsson
Robin was courteous, professional and punctual within the group meetings we had. He was receptive towards ideas and presented some himself. Unfortunately, I feel like we could have had

more contribution from him. While he had one primary task (logit and multinomial regression model), his delay with his results caused a bit of stress when putting the final report together. His contribution towards the final report was minimal as well. Again, I attribute much of stress coming from the fallout of Covid-19 and we knew that he had some issues to deal with regarding that; that being said, the rest of the team had to pick up some of the slack. Robin did eventually provide results, but I wish he could have been a bit more communicative if he felt like he was behind. Overall, Robin did contribute adequately, but given the effort that was put into the project, we could have used his skills a bit more.

<u>Christopher Salazar</u>
From the beginning of our group, I sort of took on leader role for the project. I felt it was important to at least do some project planning and delegate tasks among ourselves. Given the magnitude of this project, I understood that we needed to output a high quality report. Thus, our group needed to be organized and have a well executed plan in place, while understanding the dynamic nature of the project. I also took the lead on the report writing. While I wasn't as deeply involved with the modeling tasks, I did have to understand and interpret each person's code so that I could report on it accurately. I also took on most of the data visualization for the report, although Siman did some early legwork as well. I think I could have taken reduced role in the writing and contributed more to modeling to balance things out within out group, but I also felt that we needed someone to keep track of progress and keep a sight on the goals. Ultimately, I spend quite a bit of time on the report writing (some fairly late nights) and coordination of our group members. I am overall happy with the result of our project and the effort I put into it.