MATH 2135 Linear Algebra

1.C Subspaces

Alyssa Motas

February 27, 2021

Contents

1	Def	inition	3
	1.1	Characterization of Subspaces	3
2	Exa	amples of Subspaces	4
3	Inte	ersection of Subspaces	9
	3.1	Theorem	9
	3.2	Notations	10
	3.3		11
	3.4		12
		3.4.1 Example	13
4	Sums of Subspaces 1		
	4.1	Definition of Sum of Subsets	13
		4.1.1 Example	13
	4.2		14
5	Dir	ect Sums	14
	5.1	Definition of direct sum	14
		5.1.1 Examples	
	5.2	Condition for a direct sum	
		Direct sum of two subspaces	16

1 Definition

Let V be a vector space over a field F. A subset U of B is called a *subspace* of V if U is also a vector space in its own right, using the same zero, addition, and scalar multiplication as V.

1.1 Characterization of Subspaces

A subset $U\subseteq V$ is a subspace if and only if U satisfies the following three conditions:

(1) Additive identity.

$$0 \in U$$

(2) Closed under addition.

$$\forall v, w, v, w \in U \Rightarrow v + w \in U$$

(3) Closed under scalar multiplication.

$$\forall a, v, a \in F, v \ inU \Rightarrow av \in U$$

Proof. " \Rightarrow " Given $U \subseteq V$, assume U is a subspace of V. We want to show that U satisfies all three conditions above.

- (1) By definition of subspaces, the zero vector of V is the zero vector of U. So $0 \in U$.
- (2) Since U is a vector space, the sume of two vectors in U is a vector in U. Also, U uses the same addition operation as V. So whenever $v, w \in U$, then $v + w \in U$.
- (3) Similar to (2).

Proof. " \Leftarrow " Another proof is this: To show that U is a vector space, we first need an element $0 \in U$ and operations

$$+: U \times U \to U$$
 and $\cdot: F \times U \to U$.

Second, we must show axioms (A1) - (M4).

- (1) By assumption, $0 \in U$, where 0 is the additive identity of V. So we can use 0 as the additive identity of U.
- (2) By assumption, U is closed under addition, so the addition function $+: V \times V \to V$ restricts to a function $+: U \times U \to U$. We can use the same function as the addition function on U.
- (3) We do the same with scalar multiplication.

Second: We must show (A1) - (M4) hold. We only do (A1) since the rest are similar. To prove (A1), take arbitrary $u, v \in U$. We need to show that

$$u + v = v + u$$

in U. But since V is a vector space, we know that

$$u + v = v + u$$

in V. This automatically holds.

The other parts of the definition of a vector space, such as associativity and commutativity, are automatically satisfied for U because they hold on the larger space V. Thus, U is a vector space and hence is a subspace of V. \square

2 Examples of Subspaces

(a) Let $V = \mathbb{R}^4$ and let

$$W = \{(x, y, z, w) \mid x = 3y + 2z\}.$$

Then W is a subspace of V.

Proof. We need to show that W is a subspace of V.

- $(1) (0,0,0,0) \in W.$
- (2) Assume $v = (x, y, z, w) \in W$ and $v' = (x', y', z', w') \in W$. We need to show that

$$x + x' = 3(y + y') + 2(z + z').$$

We know that $v \in W$ implies

$$x = 3y + 2z.$$

And we know that $v' \in W$ implies

$$x' = 3y' + 2z'.$$

Add these two equations together and we get

$$x + x' = 3(y + y') + 2(z + z').$$

- (3) Similar proof for scalar multiplication.
- (b) Recall that $V = \mathbb{R}^{[0,1]}$ is the vector space of functions from the unit interval

$$[0,1] = \{ x \in \mathbb{R} \mid 0 \le x \le 1 \}$$

to \mathbb{R} . Let $W = \{f : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ is continuous }\}$. Then W is a subspace of V.

Proof. We need to show that W is a subspace of V.

- (1) The zero function f(x) = 0 is continuous. From Calculus, any constant function is continuous.
- (2) The sum of two continuous functions is continuous. This is from Calculus.
- (3) If f is continuous, then so is kf, for any $k \in \mathbb{R}$. This is also from Calculus.

(c) Again, let $V = \mathbb{R}^{[0,1]}$, and $U = \{f : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ is differentiable }\}$. Then U is a subspace of V.

Proof. From Calculus, we know these hold true:

(1) The zero function f(x) = 0 is differentiable with the derivative of

$$f'(x) = 0.$$

(2) If f, g are differentiable then so is f + g and

$$(f+g)' = f' + g'.$$

(3) If f is differentiable and k is a scalar, then kf is differentiable.

$$(kf)' = kf'.$$

For example, the derivative of $(0+2\sin(x)-3\cos(x))'=0+2\cos(x)-3(-\sin(x))$.

We also know from Calculus that every differentiable function is continuous.

$$U \subset W \subset V$$

where U is the set of all differentiable functions, W is the set of all continuous functions, and V is the set of all functions.

(d) Let $V = \mathbb{R}^{[0,1]}$ and define

$$X = \left\{ f: [0,1] \to \mathbb{R} \mid \ f \text{ is differentiable and } f'\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = 0 \right\}.$$

We claim that X is a subspace of V.

Note. We already know that U, the set of differentiable functions, is a subspace of V.

Proof. Effectively, it is sufficient to show that X is a subspace of U.

(1) The zero function f(x) = 0 is differentiable since

$$f'(x) = 0 \text{ and } f'\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = 0.$$

So $f \in X$.

(2) Given $f, g \in X$, we must check that $f + g \in X$. Clearly f + g is differentiable. We must check that $(f + g)'(\frac{1}{2}) = 0$. From Calculus, we have

$$(f+g)'\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = f'\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + g'\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)$$
$$= 0+0$$
$$= 0.$$

So we have $f + g \in X$.

(3) Similar proof as follows for scalar multiplication:

$$(kg)'\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = k \cdot f'\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = 0.$$

(e) Let $V = \mathbb{R}^{\infty}$, the set of infinite sequences of real numbers.

$$\mathbb{R}^{\infty} = \{(a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots) \mid a_0, a_1, \dots \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Recall that V is a vector space. Let $W \subseteq V$ be the set of *convergent* sequences. From Calculus, we know that some sequences converge and some do not.

Some examples are:

• $a_i = i \Rightarrow (0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, \dots)$

 $\lim_{i\to\infty} a_i = \text{ does not exist, so it does not converge}$

•
$$b_i = \frac{1}{i} \Rightarrow (\frac{1}{1}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots)$$

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} b_i = \text{ converges to } 0$$

- $c_i = (-1)^i \Rightarrow (1, -1, 1, -1, 1, -1, \dots)$ does not converge.
- $d_i = 2 + \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^i \Rightarrow (3, 1.5, 2.25, 1.875, \dots)$

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} d_i = \text{ converges to } 2$$

Then W is a subspace of V.

Proof. We must show that this is true.

- (1) The zero sequence $a_i = 0 \Rightarrow (0, 0, 0, ...)$ converges to 0.
- (2) From Calculus, the sum of two convergent sequences converges. In fact,

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} (a_i + b_i) = \lim_{i \to \infty} a_i + \lim_{i \to \infty} b_i$$

(3) Similar proof to scalar multiplication.

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} (ka_i) = k \lim_{i \to \infty} a_i$$

Let U be the set of sequences that converge to 0. Then U is a subspace of V (and of W).

(f) A reccurence relation. Consider the Fibonacci sequence

$$1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, 55, 89, 144, \dots$$

Let F_n be the *n*th element of this sequence. Then, we have the following recurrence:

base case
$$F_0=1$$

base case $F_1=1$
reccurence $F_{n+2}=F_n+F_{n+1}$ for all $n\geq 0$.

If we forget the base cases, we can consider the set of *all* sequences satisfying the reccurence

$$U = \{(a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots) \mid \text{ for all } n \ge 0, a_{n+2} = a_n + a_{n+1}\}$$

A sequence of numbers is called a generalized Fibonacci sequence if it satisfies this recurrence, i.e. if it is a member of the set U.

Examples.

$$1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, \dots$$

 $7, -3, 4, 1, 5, 6, 11, 17, 28, 45, \dots$
 $0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \dots$

Claim. U is a subspace of \mathbb{R}^{∞} .

- (1) The sequence $0, 0, 0, \ldots$ is a generealized Fibonacci sequence.
- (2) U is closed under addition.

$$1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13 \dots$$

$$7, -3, 4, 1, 5, 6, \dots$$

$$8, -1, 7, 6, 13, 19, \dots$$

Proof. Suppose $a = (a_0, a_1, a_2, ...) \in U$ and $b = (b_0, b_1, b_2, ...) \in U$. Then $a + b = c = (c_0, c_1, c_2, ...)$ where $c_i = a_i + b_i$.

We must show $c \in U$, i.e. we must show that c is a generalized Fibonacci sequence.

So take an arbitrary $n \ge 0$. We must show $C_{n+2} = C_n + C_{n+1}$. Indeed, we have:

$$C_{n+2} = a_{n+2} + b_{n+2}$$

$$= (a_n + a_{n+1}) + (b_n + b_{n+1})$$

$$= (a_n + b_n) + (a_{n+1} + b_{n+1})$$

$$= c_n + c_{n+1}$$

(3) Closed under scalar multiplication: similar.

3 Intersection of Subspaces

3.1 Theorem

Let V be a vector space over a field F. Assume U and W are subspaces of V. Then $U \cap W$ is a subspace of V.

Proof. To show that $U \cap W$ is a subspace, we need to show the three properties.

- (1) We must show that $0 \in U \cap W$. But by assumption, U is a subspace, so $0 \in U$. Also, W is a subspace, so $0 \in W$. By definiton of intersection, we have $0 \in U \cap W$.
- (2) We must show that $U \cap W$ is closed under addition. Consider arbitrary $v, w \in U \cap W$ and we need to show that $v + w \in U \cap W$.

Indeed, we have:

- Since $v \in U \cap W$, we know $v \in U$.
- Since $w \in U \cap W$, we know $w \in U$.
- Since U is a subspace, it is closed under addition, so $v + w \in U$.

Similarly:

• Since $v \in U \cap W$, we know $v \in W$.

- Since $w \in U \cap W$, we know $w \in W$.
- Since W is a subspace, it is closed under addition, so $v + w \in W$.

From $v + w \in U$ and $v + w \in W$, by definition of intersection, we know

$$v + w \in U \cap W$$
.

(3) We must show that $U \cap W$ is closed under scalar multiplication. So consider arbitrary $k \in F$ and $v \in U \cap W$. We must show that $kv \in U \cap W$.

Since $v \in U \cap W$, we have $v \in U$. Since U is a subspace of V, we know that U is closed under scalar multiplication, so $kv \in U$.

Similarly, since $v \in U \cap W$, we know $v \in W$. Since W is a subspace of V, we know that W is closed under scalar multiplication, so $kv \in W$.

From $kv \in U$ and $kv \in W$, it follows that $kv \in U \cap W$ (by definition of intersection), as desired.

3.2 Notations

- (x_1, \ldots, x_n) is called an *n*-tuple.
- $(x_i)_{i \in \{1,\dots,n\}}$ is another notation for the same thing. This is called "family" notation. But, this notation also works for infinite index sets.

$$(x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}=(x_0,x_1,x_2,\dots)$$

$$\left(\frac{1}{i+1}\right)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} = \left(1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \dots\right)$$

• More generally, we can use the "family notation" for other families of things, not necessarily numbers.

 U_1, U_2, U_3

3 subspaces of V

 $(U_i)_{i \in \{1,2,3\}}$

Notation for the same thing.

 $(U_i)_{i\in I}$

Some family of subspaces.

• Other notations that go along with these:

$$\sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} x_i$$

- Product.

$$\prod_{i\in\mathbb{N}}x_i$$

- Limit.

$$\lim_{i \to \infty} x_i$$

- Union.

$$\bigcup_{i \in \{1,2,3\}} U_i$$

- Intersection.

$$\bigcap_{i\in I} U_i$$

3.3 Theorem

Let V be a vector space over a field F. Let I be a set. Let $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ be a family of subspaces of V. Then,

$$\bigcap_{i\in I} U_i$$

is a subspace of V.

Proof. Let $W = \bigcap_{i \in I} U_i$. To show that W is a subspace of V, we must show the three subspace properties.

- (1) We must show that $0 \in W$. Take an arbitrary $i \in I$. By assumption, U_i is a subspace of V. Therefore, $0 \in U_i$.
 - Since i was arbitrary, we have $0 \in U_i$ for all i, and therefore, by definition of intersection, $0 \in \bigcap_{i \in I} U_i = W$.
- (2) Must show W is closed under addition. Take an arbitrary $v, u \in W$. We must show that $v + u \in W$ or $v + u \in \bigcap_{i \in I} U_i$.

Take an arbitrary $i \in I$. We must show that $v + u \in U_i$. By assumption, $v \in W = \bigcap_{i \in I} U_i$, therefore $v \in U_i$.

Similarly, by assumption, $u \in W = \bigcap_{i \in I} U_i$, therefore $u \in U_i$.

Also by assumption, U_i is a subspace of V, therefore it is closed under addition. So $v + u \in U_i$.

Since i was arbitrary, we therefore know for all $i \in I$ that $v + u \in U_i$. It follows that $v + u \in \bigcap_{i \in I} U_i$ as desired.

(3) Closed under scalar multiplication: similar proof.

3.4 Meta-theorem

Let V be a vector space over a field F. Let P be any property of subspaces of V such that P is closed under arbitrary intersections.

This means that whenever we have a family of subspaces, $(U_i)_{i \in I}$ of V,

• If, for all $i \in I$, U_i has the property P, then

$$\bigcap_{i\in I} U_i$$

has the property P.

Then there exists a *smallest* subspace of V with the property P.

Proof. Let P be such a property, i.e. a property of subspaces of V that is closed under intersections.

We want to show that there exists a smallest subspace W of V with property P. Specifically, this means:

- (1) W is a subspace of V and has the property P.
- (2) Whenever W' is a subspace of V that has the property P, then $W \subseteq W'$.

To show it, let $(U_i)_{i\in I}$ be the family of all subspaces of V satisfying property P. Define

$$W = \bigcap_{i \in I} U_i.$$

We have to show (1) and (2).

(1) W is a subspace of V by the previous theorem. Also, W has the property P because all U_i have the property P and P is closed under intersections.

(2) We must show that W is smallest. So consider any subspace W' with property P. We must show that $W \subseteq W'$.

But the family $(U_i)_{i\in I}$ contains all subspaces with property P. So $W' = U_i$ for all some $i \in I$. Then

$$W = \bigcap_{i \in I} U_i \subseteq U_i = W'.$$

3.4.1 Example

Consider $v_1, v_2, v_3 \in V$.

There exists a smallest subspace W of V such that $v_1, v_2, v_3 \in W$. We normally call W the span of v_1, v_2, v_3 .

Proof. By the meta-theorem, the property "contains v_1, v_2 , and v_3 " is closed under intersections.

4 Sums of Subspaces

4.1 Definition of Sum of Subsets

Suppose U_1, \ldots, U_m are subsets of V. The **sum** of U_1, \ldots, U_m , denoted $U_1 + \cdots + U_m$, is the set of all possible sums of elements of U_1, \ldots, U_m . More precisely,

$$U_1 + \cdots + U_m = \{u_1 + \cdots + u_m \mid u_1 \in U_1, \dots, u_m \in U_m\}.$$

4.1.1 Example

For $U = \{(x, 0, 0) \in \mathbf{F}^3 \mid x \in \mathbf{F}\}$ and $W = \{(0, y, 0) \in \mathbf{F}^3 \mid y \in \mathbf{F}\}$, we have

$$U + W = \{(x, y, 0) \mid x, y \in \mathbf{F}\}.$$

For $U = \{(x, x, y, y) \in \mathbf{F}^4 \mid x, y \in \mathbf{F}\}$ and $W = \{(x, x, x, y) \in \mathbf{F}^4 \mid x, y \in \mathbf{F}\},$ then

$$U + W = \{(x, x, y, z) \in \mathbf{F}^4 \mid x, y, z \in \mathbf{F}\}.$$

4.2 Sum of subspaces is the smallest containing subspace

Suppose U_1, \ldots, U_m are subspaces of V. Then $U_1 + \cdots + U_m$ is the smallest subspace of V containing U_1, \ldots, U_m .

Proof. We know that $0 \in U_1 + \cdots + U_m$ and $U_1 + \cdots + U_m$ is closed under addition and scalar multiplication. Thus, $U_1 + \cdots + U_m$ is a subspace of V. U_1, \ldots, U_m are contained in $U_1 + \cdots + U_m$, and every subspace of V containing U_1, \ldots, U_m contains $U_1 + \cdots + U_m$.

Thus, $U_1 + \cdots + U_m$ is the smallest subspace of V containing U_1, \ldots, U_m . \square

Note. Sums of subspaces in theory of vector spaces are analogous to unions of subsets in set theory. Given two subspaces of a vector space, the smallest subspace containing them is their sum. Analogously, given two subsets of a set, the smallest subset containing them is their union.

5 Direct Sums

Suppose U_1, \ldots, U_m are subspaces of V. Every element of $U_1 + \cdots + U_m$ can be written in the form

$$u_1 + \cdots + u_m$$

where each u_i is in U_i .

5.1 Definition of direct sum

- The sum $U_1 + \cdots + U_m$ is called a *direct sum* if each element of $U_1 + \cdots + U_m$ can eb written in only one way as a sum $u_1 + \cdots + u_m$, where each u_i is in U_i .
- If $U_1 + \cdots + U_m$ is a direct sum, then $U_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus U_m$ denotes $U_1 + \cdots + U_m$, with the \oplus notation serving as an indication that this is a direct sum.

5.1.1 Examples

For $U = \{(x, y, 0) \in \mathbf{F}^3 \mid x, y \in \mathbf{F}\}$ and $W = \{(0, 0, z) \in \mathbf{F}^3 \mid z \in \mathbf{F}\}$, then

$$\mathbf{F}^3 = U \oplus W.$$

Suppose $U_j = \{(0, 0, 0, \dots, j) \in \mathbf{F}^n \mid x \in \mathbf{F}\}.$ Then

$$\mathbf{F}^n = U_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus U_n$$
.

Non-example: Let $U_1 = \{(x, y, 0) \in \mathbf{F}^3 \mid x, y \in \mathbf{F}\}, U_2 = \{(0, 0, z) \in \mathbf{F}^3 \mid z \in \mathbf{F}\}, U_3 = \{(0, y, y) \in \mathbf{F}^3 \mid y \in \mathbf{F}\}.$ Then, $U_1 + U_2 + U_3$ is not a direct sum.

Proof. Clearly $\mathbf{F}^3 = U_1 + U_2 + U_3$ because every vector $(x, y, z) \in \mathbf{F}^3$ can be written as

$$(x, y, z) = (x, y, 0) + (0, 0, z) + (0, 0, 0).$$

This is not equal to the direct sum because the vector (0,0,0) can be written in two different ways.

$$(0,0,0) = (0,0,0) + (0,0,0) + (0,0,0)$$

and

$$(0,0,0) = (0,1,0) + (0,0,1) + (0,-1,-1).$$

5.2 Condition for a direct sum

Suppose U_1, \ldots, U_m are subspaces of V. Then $U_1 + \cdots + U_m$ is a direct sum if and only if the only way to write 0 as a sum $u_1 + \cdots + u_m$, where each u_j is in U_j , is by taking each u_j equal to 0.

Proof. Suppose $U_1 + \cdots + U_m$ is a direct sum. Then by definition, the only way to write 0 as a sum is by taking each u_j equal to 0. To show that $U_1 + \cdots + U_m$ is a direct sum, let $v \in U_1 + \cdots + U_m$. We can write

$$v = u_1 + \dots + u_m$$

for some $u_1 \in U_1, \ldots, u_m \in U_m$. To show that this is unique, suppose we also have

$$v = v_1 + \dots + v_m$$

where $v_1 \in U_1, \ldots, v_m \in U_m$. Subtracting them, we have

$$0 = (u_1 - v_1) + \dots + (u_m - v_m).$$

This implies that $u_i = v_i$.

5.3 Direct sum of two subspaces

Suppose U and W are subspaces of V. Then U+W is a direct sum if and only if $U \cap W = \{0\}$.

Proof. Suppose that U+W is a direct sum. If $v \in U \cap W$, then 0 = v + (-v) where $v \in U$ and $-v \in W$. This implies v = 0 since it is unique. To prove the other direction, suppose $U \cap W = \{0\}$. To prove that U+W is a direct sum, suppose $u \in U, w \in W$, and

$$0 = u + w$$
.

By the previous theorem, we know that u=w=0. The equation above implies $u=-w\in W$, so $u\in U\cap W$ and u=w=0 is true.

Note. Sums of subspaces are analogous to unions of subsets. Similarly, direct sums of subspaces are analogous to disjoint unions of subsets. No two subspaces of a vector space can be disjoint, because both contain 0. So disjointness is replaced, at least in the case of two subspaces, with the requirement that the intersection equals $\{0\}$.