

# **MATH 2135 Linear Algebra**

## Fields

Alyssa Motas

February 13, 2021

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>What is Abstract Algebra?</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Fields</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Elementary Properties of Fields</b>	<b>6</b>
3.1	Cancellation of addition . . . . .	6
3.2	Cancellation of multiplication . . . . .	6
3.3	$0a = 0$ . . . . .	6
3.4	$ab = 0$ . . . . .	7
3.5	$z + a = a$ . . . . .	7
3.6	Unique additive inverse . . . . .	8
3.7	Laws of negative and subtraction . . . . .	8
3.7.1	Laws of negative . . . . .	8
3.7.2	Laws of subtraction . . . . .	9

# 1 What is Abstract Algebra?

In algebra, which is a broad division of mathematics, abstract algebra (occasionally called modern algebra) is the study of algebraic structures. Algebraic structures include groups, rings, fields, modules, vector spaces, lattices, and algebras.<sup>1</sup>

Arithmetic involves  $2 + 3 = 5$ , and basic algebra involves using laws in  $2 + x = 5$ . For abstract algebra, we use laws ( $x + y = y + x$ ) *without* any arithmetic.

*Example.* Let  $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \{0, 1\}$ , the integers modulo 2. We can define the following addition and multiplication rules:

$$\begin{array}{ll} 0 + 0 = 0 & 0 \cdot 0 = 0 \\ 0 + 1 = 1 & 0 \cdot 1 = 0 \\ 1 + 0 = 1 & 1 \cdot 0 = 0 \\ 1 + 1 = 0 & 1 \cdot 1 = 1. \end{array}$$

*Examples of laws.* For all  $x, y$ ,  $x + y = y + x$

$x$	$y$	$x + y$	$y + x$
0	0	0	0
0	1	1	1
1	0	1	1
1	1	0	1

$$xy = yx \quad (x + y) + z = x + (y + z)$$

... plus many additional laws.

---

<sup>1</sup>Definition taken from Wikipedia.

## 2 Fields

*Definition.* A *field* is a set  $F$ , with distinct elements  $0, 1 \in F$ , and together with two binary operations

$$+ : F \times F \rightarrow F \quad \cdot : F \times F \rightarrow F,$$

called *addition* and *multiplication*, respectively, and satisfying the following nine axioms:

(A1) *Commutativity of addition.* For all  $a, b \in F$ , we have

$$a + b = b + a.$$

(A2) *Associativity of addition.* For all  $a, b, c \in F$ , we have

$$(a + b) + c = a + (b + c).$$

(A3) *Additive identity.* For all  $a \in F$ , we have

$$0 + a = a.$$

(A4) *Additive inverse.* For all  $a \in F$ , there exists  $b \in F$  such that

$$a + b = 0.$$

(FM1) *Commutativity of multiplication.* For all  $a, b \in F$ ,

$$ab = ba.$$

(FM2) *Associativity of multiplication.* For all  $a, b, c \in F$ ,

$$(ab)c = a(bc).$$

(FM3) *Multiplicative identity.* For all  $a \in F$ ,

$$1a = a.$$

(FM4) *Multiplicative inverse.* For all  $a \in F$ , if  $a \neq 0$ , then there exists  $b \in F$  such that

$$ab = 1.$$

In  $\mathbb{R}$ , it would look like

$$b = \frac{1}{a}.$$

(D) *Distributivity*. For all  $a, b, c \in F$ , we have

$$a(b + c) = ab + ac.$$

*Note.* There are many additional laws of fields besides the above 9. But they are all consequences of the 9 axioms stated above.

*Examples of fields.*

1. The set  $\mathbb{R}$  of real numbers, with the “usual” addition and multiplication, is a field.
2. The set  $\mathbb{C}$  of complex numbers is a field.
3. The set  $\mathbb{Q}$  of rational numbers is a field.
4. The set  $\mathbb{Z}$  of integers is *not* a field. It only satisfies 8 of the 9 axioms and the one that fails is (FM4).
5. The set  $\mathbb{N}$  of natural numbers is *not* a field. It only satisfies 7 of the 9 axioms and the ones that fail are (A4) and (FM4).
6. The set  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  of integers modulo 2 is a field (with the above addition and multiplication).
7. Let  $n \geq 2$ , and let  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  be the integers modulo  $n$ , with addition and multiplication taken modulo  $n$ . Then, there are two cases:
  - (a) If  $n$  is prime, then  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is a field.
  - (b) If  $n$  is not prime, then  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is not a field. The only failed axiom is (FM4).

### 3 Elementary Properties of Fields

#### 3.1 Cancellation of addition

For all  $x, y, a \in F$ , if  $x + a = y + a$ , then  $x = y$ .

*Proof.* Take arbitrary<sup>2</sup> elements  $x, y, a \in F$ . Assume<sup>3</sup>  $x + a = y + a$  and we need to show that  $x = y$ . By (A4),  $a$  has an additive inverse. So, let  $b$  be its additive inverse,  $a + b = 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} x &= 0 + x && \text{by (A3)} \\ &= x + 0 && \text{by (A1)} \\ &= x + (a + b) && \text{because } b \text{ is the additive inverse of } a \\ &= (x + a) + b && \text{by (A2)} \\ &= (y + a) + b && \text{by assumption} \\ &= y + (a + b) && \text{by (A2)} \\ &= y + 0 && \text{because } b \text{ is the additive inverse of } a \\ &= 0 + y && \text{by (A1)} \\ &= y && \text{by (A3).} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $x = y$ , which is what we had to show. □

#### 3.2 Cancellation of multiplication

#### 3.3 $0a = 0$

For all elements  $a$  of a field  $F$ , we have

$$0a = 0.$$

*Proof.* Consider an arbitrary element  $a \in F$ . We must show that  $0a = 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} 0 + 0a &= 0a && \text{by (A3)} \\ &= (0 + 0)a && \text{by (A3)} \\ &= a(0 + 0) && \text{by (FM1)} \\ &= a0 + a0 && \text{by (D)} \\ &= 0a + 0a && \text{by (FM1)} \end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>2</sup>When we need to prove a “for all” statement, we do it by taking arbitrary elements and prove it.

<sup>3</sup>When we need to prove an “if-then” statement, we do it by assuming the if-part then proving the else-part.

Therefore, by cancellation of addition (Proposition 3.1), it follows that

$$0 = 0a.$$

□

### 3.4 $ab = 0$

In any field  $F$ , for all  $a, b \in F$ , if  $ab = 0$ , then  $a = 0$  or  $b = 0$ .<sup>4</sup>

*Proof.* Take arbitrary  $a, b \in F$  and assume that  $ab = 0$ . We need to show that  $a = 0$  or  $b = 0$ .

*Case 1.* When  $a = 0$ , then the conclusion holds.

*Case 2.* When  $a \neq 0$ , by (FM4),  $a$  has a multiplicative inverse. Let  $c$  be such an inverse, i.e.  $ac = 1$ . Then

$b = 1b$	by (FM3)
$= (ac)b$	by definition of $c$
$= (ca)b$	by (FM1)
$= c(ab)$	by (FM2)
$= c0$	by assumption
$= 0c$	by (FM1)
$= 0$	by Proposition 3.3

So  $b = 0$  as desired.

□

### 3.5 $z + a = a$

In any field  $F$ , if  $z \in F$  is an element that acts like a zero, i.e. such that for all  $a \in F$ ,  $z + a = a$ , then  $z = 0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $z \in F$  be such an element. Assume that  $z + a = a$ . Then we have

$z = 0 + z$	by (A3)
$= z + 0$	by (A1)
$= 0$	by assumption.

□

---

<sup>4</sup>We use this all the time when solving equations such as  $x^2 + 3x + 2 = 0 \Rightarrow x = -1, -2$ .

### 3.6 Unique additive inverse

Let  $F$  be a field. For every  $a \in F$ , the element  $b \in F$  in axiom (A4) is *uniquely* determined. In other words, if  $b, c \in F$  are two additive inverses of  $a$ , then  $b = c$ .

*Proof.* Because  $b$  is an additive inverse of  $a$ , we have

$$a + b = 0. \tag{1}$$

Similar to  $c$ , we also have

$$a + c = 0. \tag{2}$$

From (1) and (2), we get

$$a + b = a + c.$$

From (A1), we get

$$b + a = c + a.$$

By Proposition 3.1 (cancellation of addition), we get

$$b = c.$$

□

*Definition.* Since the additive inverse of  $a$  is unique, we can introduce a notation for it. We write  $b = (-a)$  when  $b$  is the additive inverse of  $a$ .

From now on, we can write

$$a + (-a) = 0.$$

We define *subtraction* as  $a - b$  which is an abbreviation for  $a + (-b)$ .

All of the “usual” laws of negative and subtraction follow from the field axioms.

### 3.7 Laws of negative and subtraction

#### 3.7.1 Laws of negative

1.  $-(-a) = a$
2.  $-(ab) = (-a)b = a(-b)$   
 $(-a)(-b) = ab$
3.  $-a = (-1)a$



### **3.7.2    Laws of subtraction**

1.  $(a - b)(c - d) = ac - ad - bc + bd$