Exemplar_Explore hypothesis testing

June 3, 2024

1 Exemplar: Explore hypothesis testing

1.1 Introduction

You work for an environmental think tank called Repair Our Air (ROA). ROA is formulating policy recommendations to improve the air quality in America, using the Environmental Protection Agency's Air Quality Index (AQI) to guide their decision making. An AQI value close to 0 signals "little to no" public health concern, while higher values are associated with increased risk to public health.

They've tasked you with leveraging AQI data to help them prioritize their strategy for improving air quality in America.

ROA is considering the following decisions. For each, construct a hypothesis test and an accompanying visualization, using your results of that test to make a recommendation:

- 1. ROA is considering a metropolitan-focused approach. Within California, they want to know if the mean AQI in Los Angeles County is statistically different from the rest of California.
- 2. With limited resources, ROA has to choose between New York and Ohio for their next regional office. Does New York have a lower AQI than Ohio?
- 3. A new policy will affect those states with a mean AQI of 10 or greater. Would Michigan be affected by this new policy?

Notes: 1. For your analysis, you'll default to a 5% level of significance. 2. Throughout the lab, for two-sample t-tests, use Welch's t-test (i.e., setting the equal_var parameter to False in scipy.stats.ttest_ind()). This will account for the possibly unequal variances between the two groups in the comparison.

1.2 Step 1: Imports

To proceed with your analysis, import pandas and numpy. To conduct your hypothesis testing, import stats from scipy.

Import Packages

[1]: # Import relevant packages

YOUR CODE HERE

```
import pandas as pd
import numpy as np
from scipy import stats
```

You are also provided with a dataset with national Air Quality Index (AQI) measurements by state over time for this analysis. Use pandas to import the file c4_epa_air_quality.csv as a dataframe named aqi.

Note: For purposes of your analysis, you can assume this data is randomly sampled from a larger population.

Load Dataset

```
[2]: # Use read_csv() to import your data

### YOUR CODE HERE ###

aqi = pd.read_csv('c4_epa_air_quality.csv')
```

1.3 Step 2: Data Exploration

1.3.1 Before proceeding to your deliverables, explore your datasets.

Use the following space to surface descriptive statistics about your data. In particular, explore whether you believe the research questions you were given are readily answerable with this data.

```
Use head() to show a sample of data
  Unnamed: 0 date local
                             state name
                                          county_name
                                                           city_name \
           0 2018-01-01
                                                             Buckeye
0
                                Arizona
                                             Maricopa
1
           1 2018-01-01
                                   Ohio
                                             Belmont
                                                           Shadyside
2
           2 2018-01-01
                                                Teton Not in a city
                               Wyoming
```

```
3
             3 2018-01-01 Pennsylvania Philadelphia
                                                            Philadelphia
4
                2018-01-01
                                     Iowa
                                                     Polk
                                                              Des Moines
                                                           parameter_name
                                        local_site_name
0
                                                BUCKEYE
                                                          Carbon monoxide
1
                                              Shadyside
                                                          Carbon monoxide
   Yellowstone National Park - Old Faithful Snow ...
                                                        Carbon monoxide
3
                                North East Waste (NEW)
                                                          Carbon monoxide
4
                                              CARPENTER
                                                          Carbon monoxide
    units_of_measure
                       arithmetic_mean
 Parts per million
                               0.473684
                                            7
1 Parts per million
                                            5
                               0.263158
                                            2
2 Parts per million
                               0.111111
3 Parts per million
                                            3
                               0.300000
4 Parts per million
                               0.215789
Use describe() to summarize AQI
        Unnamed: 0
                     date_local
                                  state_name
                                               county_name
                                                                  city_name
        260.000000
                             260
                                          260
                                                        260
                                                                        260
count
unique
                NaN
                               1
                                           52
                                                        149
                                                                        190
                     2018-01-01
                                                             Not in a city
top
                NaN
                                  California
                                               Los Angeles
                             260
                                           66
                                                         14
                                                                         21
freq
                NaN
mean
        129.500000
                             NaN
                                          NaN
                                                        NaN
                                                                        NaN
         75.199734
                             NaN
                                          NaN
                                                        NaN
                                                                        NaN
std
min
          0.000000
                             NaN
                                          NaN
                                                        NaN
                                                                        NaN
25%
                                          NaN
                                                        NaN
                                                                        NaN
         64.750000
                             NaN
50%
        129.500000
                             NaN
                                          NaN
                                                        NaN
                                                                        NaN
75%
        194.250000
                             NaN
                                          NaN
                                                        NaN
                                                                        NaN
        259.000000
                                          NaN
                                                        NaN
                                                                        NaN
max
                             NaN
       local_site_name
                                             units_of_measure
                                                                 arithmetic_mean
                           parameter_name
count
                    257
                                       260
                                                           260
                                                                      260.000000
                    253
unique
                                         1
                                                             1
                                                                             NaN
                Kapolei
                          Carbon monoxide
                                            Parts per million
                                                                             NaN
top
                      2
                                       260
freq
                                                           260
                                                                             NaN
mean
                    NaN
                                      NaN
                                                           NaN
                                                                        0.403169
                    NaN
                                      NaN
                                                           NaN
                                                                        0.317902
std
min
                    NaN
                                       NaN
                                                           NaN
                                                                        0.000000
25%
                    NaN
                                      NaN
                                                           NaN
                                                                        0.200000
50%
                    NaN
                                      NaN
                                                           NaN
                                                                        0.276315
75%
                    NaN
                                      NaN
                                                           NaN
                                                                        0.516009
                    NaN
                                      NaN
                                                           NaN
                                                                        1.921053
max
                aqi
        260.000000
count
unique
                NaN
top
                NaN
                NaN
freq
```

```
6.757692
mean
std
          7.061707
          0.000000
min
25%
          2.000000
          5.000000
50%
75%
          9.000000
max
         50.000000
For a more thorough examination of observations by state use values_counts()
California
                         66
Arizona
                         14
                         12
Ohio
Florida
                         12
Texas
                         10
New York
                         10
Pennsylvania
                         10
                          9
Michigan
Colorado
                          9
Minnesota
                          7
New Jersey
                          6
Indiana
                          5
North Carolina
                          4
Massachusetts
                          4
Maryland
                          4
Oklahoma
                          4
Virginia
                          4
                          4
Nevada
Connecticut
                          4
                          3
Kentucky
                          3
Missouri
                          3
Wyoming
                          3
Iowa
                          3
Hawaii
Utah
                          3
                          3
Vermont
Illinois
                          3
                          2
New Hampshire
District Of Columbia
                          2
New Mexico
                          2
                          2
Montana
Oregon
                          2
Alaska
                          2
                          2
Georgia
                          2
Washington
                          2
Idaho
Nebraska
                          2
                          2
Rhode Island
Tennessee
                          2
Maine
                          2
```

South Carolina 1 Puerto Rico 1 Arkansas 1 Kansas 1 Mississippi 1 Alabama Louisiana 1 Delaware South Dakota 1 West Virginia 1 North Dakota 1 Wisconsin

Name: state_name, dtype: int64

for a more

HINT 1

Consider referring to the material on descriptive statistics.

HINT 2

Consider using pandas or numpy to explore the aqi dataframe.

HINT 3

Any of the following functions may be useful: - pandas: describe(),value_counts(),shape(), head() - numpy: unique(),mean()

Question 1: From preceding data exploration, what do you recognize?

- You have county-level data for the first hypothesis.
- Ohio and New York both have a higher number of observations to work with in this dataset.

1.4 Step 3. Statistical Tests

Before you proceed, recall the following steps for conducting hypothesis testing:

- 1. Formulate the null hypothesis and the alternative hypothesis.
- 2. Set the significance level.
- 3. Determine the appropriate test procedure.
- 4. Compute the p-value.
- 5. Draw your conclusion.

1.4.1 Hypothesis 1: ROA is considering a metropolitan-focused approach. Within California, they want to know if the mean AQI in Los Angeles County is statistically different from the rest of California.

Before proceeding with your analysis, it will be helpful to subset the data for your comparison.

HINT 1

Consider referencing the material on subsetting dataframes.

HINT 2

Consider creating two dataframes, one for Los Angeles, and one for all other California observations.

HINT 3

For your first dataframe, filter to county_name of Los Angeles. For your second dataframe, filter to state_name of Calfornia and county_name not equal to Los Angeles.

Formulate your hypothesis: Formulate your null and alternative hypotheses:

- H_0 : There is no difference in the mean AQI between Los Angeles County and the rest of California.
- H_A : There is a difference in the mean AQI between Los Angeles County and the rest of California.

Set the significance level:

```
[5]: # For this analysis, the significance level is 5%

significance_level = 0.05
significance_level
```

[5]: 0.05

Determine the appropriate test procedure: Here, you are comparing the sample means between two independent samples. Therefore, you will utilize a **two-sample -test**.

Compute the p-value

```
[6]: # Compute your p-value here

### YOUR CODE HERE ###

stats.ttest_ind(a=ca_la['aqi'], b=ca_other['aqi'], equal_var=False)
```

[6]: Ttest_indResult(statistic=2.1107010796372014, pvalue=0.049839056842410995)

HINT 1

Consider referencing the material on how to perform a two-sample t-test.

HINT 2

In ttest_ind(), a is the aqi column from the "Los Angeles" dataframe, and b is the aqi column from the "Other California" dataframe.

HINT 3

Be sure to set equal_var = False.

Question 2. What is your p-value for hypothesis 1, and what does this indicate for your null hypothesis? With a p-value (0.049) being less than 0.05 (as your significance level is 5%), reject the null hypothesis in favor of the alternative hypothesis.

Therefore, a metropolitan strategy may make sense in this case.

1.4.2 Hypothesis 2: With limited resources, ROA has to choose between New York and Ohio for their next regional office. Does New York have a lower AQI than Ohio?

Before proceeding with your analysis, it will be helpful to subset the data for your comparison.

```
[7]: # Create dataframes for each sample being compared in your test

### YOUR CODE HERE ###

ny = aqi[aqi['state_name']=='New York']
ohio = aqi[aqi['state_name']=='Ohio']
```

HINT 1

Consider referencing the materials on subsetting dataframes.

HINT 2

Consider creating two dataframes, one for New York, and one for Ohio observations.

HINT 3

For your first dataframe, filter to state_name of New York. For your second dataframe, filter to state_name of 'Ohio'.

Formulate your hypothesis: Formulate your null and alternative hypotheses:

- H_0 : The mean AQI of New York is greater than or equal to that of Ohio.
- H_A : The mean AQI of New York is **below** that of Ohio.

Significance Level (remains at 5%)

Determine the appropriate test procedure: Here, you are comparing the sample means between two independent samples in one direction. Therefore, you will utilize a two-sample -test.

Compute the p-value

-2.025951038880333

0.030446502691934697

HINT 1

Consider referencing the material on how to perform a two-sample t-test.

HINT 2

In ttest_ind(), a is the aqi column from the "New York" dataframe, and b is the aqi column from the "Ohio" dataframe.

HINT 3

You can assign tstat, pvalue to the output of ttest_ind. Be sure to include alternative = less as part of your code.

Question 3. What is your p-value for hypothesis 2, and what does this indicate for your null hypothesis? With a p-value (0.030) of less than 0.05 (as your significance level is 5%) and a t-statistic < 0 (-2.036), reject the null hypothesis in favor of the alternative hypothesis.

Therefore, you can conclude at the 5% significance level that New York has a lower mean AQI than Ohio.

1.4.3 Hypothesis 3: A new policy will affect those states with a mean AQI of 10 or greater. Will Michigan be affected by this new policy?

Before proceeding with your analysis, it will be helpful to subset the data for your comparison.

```
[9]: # Create dataframes for each sample being compared in your test

### YOUR CODE HERE ###

michigan = aqi[aqi['state_name'] == 'Michigan']
```

HINT 1

Consider referencing the material on subsetting dataframes.

HINT 2

Consider creating one dataframe which only includes Michigan.

Formulate your hypothesis: Formulate your null and alternative hypotheses here:

- H_0 : The mean AQI of Michigan is less than or equal to 10.
- H_A : The mean AQI of Michigan is greater than 10.

Significance Level (remains at 5%)

Determine the appropriate test procedure: Here, you are comparing one sample mean relative to a particular value in one direction. Therefore, you will utilize a **one-sample -test**.

Compute the P-value

```
[10]: # Compute your p-value here

### YOUR CODE HERE ###

tstat, pvalue = stats.ttest_1samp(michigan['aqi'], 10, alternative='greater')
print(tstat)
print(pvalue)
```

- -1.7395913343286131
- 0.9399405193140109

HINT 1

Consider referencing the material on how to perform a one-sample t-test.

HINT 2

In ttest_1samp), you are comparing the AQI column from your Michigan data relative to 10, the new policy threshold.

HINT 3

You can assign tstat, pvalue to the output of ttest_1samp. Be sure to include alternative = greater as part of your code.

Question 4. What is your p-value for hypothesis 3, and what does this indicate for your null hypothesis? With a p-value (0.940) being greater than 0.05 (as your significance level is 5%) and a t-statistic < 0 (-1.74), fail to reject the null hypothesis.

Therefore, you cannot conclude at the 5% significance level that Michigan's mean AQI is greater than 10. This implies that Michigan would most likely not be affected by the new policy.

1.5 Step 4. Results and Evaluation

Now that you've completed your statistical tests, you can consider your hypotheses and the results you gathered.

Question 5. Did your results show that the AQI in Los Angeles County was statistically different from the rest of California? Yes, the results indicated that the AQI in Los Angeles County was in fact different from the rest of California.

Question 6. Did New York or Ohio have a lower AQI? Using a 5% significance level, you can conclude that New York has a lower AQI than Ohio based on the results.

Question 7: Will Michigan be affected by the new policy impacting states with a mean AQI of 10 or greater? Based on the tests, you would fail to reject the null hypothesis, meaning you can't conclude that the mean AQI is greater than 10. Thus, it is unlikely that Michigan would be affected by the new policy.

2 Conclusion

What are key takeaways from this lab?

Even with small sample sizes, the variation within the data is enough to allow you to make statistically significant conclusions. You identified at the 5% significance level that the Los Angeles mean AQI was stastitically different from the rest of California, and that New York does have a lower mean AQI than Ohio. However, you were unable to conclude at the 5% significance level that Michigan's mean AQI was greater than 10.

What would you consider presenting to your manager as part of your findings?

For each test, you would present the null and alternative hypothesis, then describe your conclusion and the resulting p-value that drove that conclusion. As the setup of t-test's have a few key configurations that dictate how you interpret the result, you would specify the type of test you chose, whether that tail was one-tail or two-tailed, and how you performed the t-test from stats.

What would you convey to external stakeholders?

In answer to the research questions posed, you would convey the level of significance (5%) and your conclusion. Additionally, providing the sample statistics being compared in each case will likely provide important context for stakeholders to quickly understand the difference between your results.

Congratulations! You've completed this lab. However, you may not notice a green check mark next to this item on Coursera's platform. Please continue your progress regardless of the check mark. Just click on the "save" icon at the top of this notebook to ensure your work has been logged.