

Computational statistics

Homework 3

Salomé Do

February 8, 2019

Exercise 7.22. : Travelling salesman problem with Metropolis-Hastings Algorithm

The travelling salesman problem (TSP) can be formulated as follows : a salesmans has to visit $N \in \mathbb{N}$ customers, all living in different places $\{1, \dots, N\}$. The salesmans minds the planet, so he doesn't want to spend too much gas visiting his customers : he wants to drive as few miles as possible. Thus, he must choose the shortest route to visit his N customers. We simulate ¹ this problem with $N = 30$ places randomly determined.

Figure 1: Places to visit in a simulation of the TSP





Figure 2: A random route to visit the places (d=16.4)



The problem can be expressed as follows, considering d a distance on all the places $1, \dots, N$, and the permutations $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}^N$ which define the order in which the travelling salesman sees his customers:

$$\min_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}^N} \sum_i d(i, \sigma(i))$$

The TSP problem can be tackled through a Metropolis Hastings algorithm. Let's define:

$$H(\sigma) = \sum_i d(i, \sigma(i))$$

¹The code can be found [here](#)

Following a Simulated-Annealing scheme, we want to :
At step i , given a permutation σ_i :

- $$\rho_i = \min\{\exp(\frac{\Delta h_i}{T_i}), 1\}$$


3. Update T_i to T_{i+1}

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma(1) \\ \sigma(2) \\ \vdots \\ \sigma(N) \end{pmatrix}$$



We then run the algorithm on our toy example generated in Figure 1, with 100000 simulations.

S. DO

Figure 5: Total distance for each route generated by the algorithm



As this might be due to the temperature function, we chose to explore other temperature functions. We first tried functions of the form $T_t = \frac{1}{\log(t^a)}$, $a \in \mathbb{N}$. Although a acts just as a regularization constant (since $\frac{1}{\log(t^a)} = \frac{1}{a \log(t)}$), the parameter changes the appearance of the convergence plot. We tested value from 1 to 100 for a , and averaged the results of 20 runs of the algorithm per a value. The algorithm ran 1000 Simulated-Annealing iterations per simulation. The value giving the shortest route in average was $a = 86$, and the shortest distance was $d = 6.22$ (Figure 7) amongst 20 runs for this a value, thus a worse result than before. However, the convergence for $a = 86$ (Figure 6) was clearer.

Figure 6: Convergence for $a = 86$ Figure 7: Best route for all 20 runs in $a = 86$ 

We gave another try to another form of temperature function : $T_t = \frac{1}{t^2}$. Running 100000 simulations gives the following convergence scheme (Figure 8), which is satisfying. The shortest route has a total distance of 5.33 (Figure 9), which is near the results of the first temperature function $T_t = \frac{1}{\log(t)}$. The $T_t = \frac{1}{t^2}$ temperature function has thus the advantage of giving good results, while showing less variability than the previous functions.

Figure 8: Convergence scheme





Figure 9: Shortest route (d=5.33)



Exercise 10.10 Multi-stage Gibbs Sampler on Clinical Mastitis Data

Overview of the problem

Let $X_i, i = 1, \dots, m$ be the number of cases of mastitis (an inflammation caused by infection) in herd i . Assuming that the occurrence or not of a mastitis for a single animal is a Bernoulli random variable, a model for the herd could be: $X_i \sim \mathcal{P}(\lambda_i)$, where λ_i is the infection rate. The main problem here is the independence which could lead to larger parameter estimates variances. Schukken et al. 1991 use thus a hierarchical model :

$$\begin{aligned} X_i &\sim \mathcal{P}(\lambda_i) \\ \lambda_i &\sim \mathcal{Ga}(\alpha, \beta_i) \\ \beta_i &\sim \mathcal{Ga}(a, b) \end{aligned}$$

The objective is to estimate λ_i by simulation, using the Gibbs sampler.

Gibbs Sampler

In the Multi-stage Gibbs Sampler context, we want to simulate $X = (X_1, \dots, X_p)$ and we suppose that we are able to simulate from univariate conditionnal densities f_1, \dots, f_p , so that :

$$X_i | x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_p \sim f_i(x_i | x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_p)$$

The Gibbs Sampler simulates x^{t+1} from x^t by doing the following updates at time t :

Generate :

- $X_1^{t+1} \sim f_1(x_1 | x_2^t, \dots, x_p^t)$
- $X_2^{t+1} \sim f_2(x_2 | x_1^{t+1}, x_3^t, \dots, x_p^t)$
- ...
- $X_p^{t+1} \sim f_p(x_p | x_1^{t+1}, \dots, x_{p-1}^{t+1})$

In our case, λ_i, β_i are to be simulated. Let's first compute their posterior densities.

(a) Posterior densities computation

We know that $\lambda_i \sim \mathcal{Ga}(\alpha, \beta_i)$. Thus,

$$\pi(\lambda_i = \lambda \mid \alpha, \beta_i) = \lambda^{\alpha-1} \frac{\beta_i^\alpha e^{-\beta_i \lambda}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}, \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$$

Additionally : $f(x_i \mid \lambda_i = \lambda, \alpha, \beta_i) = \frac{\lambda^{x_i}}{x_i!} e^{-\lambda}$. Then, from Bayes Theorem :

$$\pi(\lambda_i = \lambda \mid x, \alpha, \beta_i) = \frac{f(x_i \mid \lambda_i = \lambda, \alpha, \beta_i) \pi(\lambda_i = \lambda \mid \alpha, \beta_i)}{\underbrace{\int_0^\infty f(x_i \mid \lambda_i = s, \alpha, \beta_i) \pi(\lambda_i = s \mid \alpha, \beta_i) ds}_A}$$

. Let's first compute the integral A .

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \int_0^\infty \frac{s^{x_i+\alpha-1} \times e^{-(\beta_i+1)s} \times \beta_i^\alpha}{x_i! \times \Gamma(\alpha)} ds \\ &= \frac{\beta_i^\alpha}{x_i! \times \Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\infty s^{x_i+\alpha-1} \times e^{-(\beta_i+1)s} ds \\ &= \frac{\beta_i^\alpha}{x_i! \times \Gamma(\alpha) \times \beta_i^{x_i+\alpha}} \int_0^\infty u^{x_i+\alpha-1} e^{-u} du \quad \leftarrow u := (\beta_i + 1)s \\ &= \frac{\beta_i^\alpha}{x_i! \times \Gamma(\alpha) \times \beta_i^{x_i+\alpha}} \times \Gamma(x_i + \alpha) \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\lambda_i = \lambda \mid x, \alpha, \beta_i) &= \frac{x_i! \times \Gamma(\alpha) \times \beta_i^{x_i+\alpha}}{\beta_i^\alpha \times \Gamma(x_i + \alpha)} \lambda^{\alpha-1} \frac{\beta_i^\alpha e^{-\beta_i \lambda}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \frac{\lambda^{x_i}}{x_i!} e^{-\lambda} \\ &= \frac{\lambda^{x_i+\alpha-1} (\beta_i + 1)^{x_i+\alpha} e^{-(\beta_i+1)\lambda}}{\Gamma(x_i + \alpha)} \end{aligned}$$

Which is the density of a $\mathcal{Ga}(x_i + \alpha, \beta_i + 1)$.

Now, show that $\pi(\beta_i \mid x, \alpha, a, b, \lambda_i) = \mathcal{Ga}(\alpha + a, \lambda_i + b)$. Denote, for clarity:

$$f(\beta) = \pi(x_i \mid \lambda_i, \beta_i = \beta, \alpha, a, b) \pi(\lambda_i \mid \beta_i = \beta, \alpha, a, b) \pi(\beta_i = \beta \mid a, b), \forall \beta \in \mathbb{R}^+$$

From Bayes Theorem, for any $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^+$,

$$\pi(\beta_i = \beta \mid x, \alpha, a, b, \lambda_i) = \frac{f(\beta)}{\int_0^\infty f(s) ds}$$

Using the respective laws of X_i, λ_i, β_i :

$$\begin{aligned} f(\beta) &= \frac{\lambda_i^{x_i}}{x_i!} e^{-\lambda_i} \lambda_i^{\alpha-1} \frac{\beta^\alpha e^{-\beta \lambda_i}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \beta^{a-1} \frac{b^a e^{-b\beta}}{\Gamma(a)} \\ &= \underbrace{\frac{\lambda_i^{x_i+\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda_i} b^a}{x_i! \Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(a)}}_C \beta^{\alpha+a-1} e^{-\beta(\lambda_i+b)} \end{aligned}$$

With C a constant regarding β . Thus, the integral value can be calculated as follows :

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^\infty f(s)ds &= \int_0^\infty C s^{\alpha+a-1} e^{-s(\lambda_i+b)} ds \\ &= C \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{(\lambda_i+b)^{\alpha+a}} t^{(\alpha+a)-1} e^{-t} dt \quad \leftarrow t = s(\lambda_i+b) \\ &= \frac{C}{(\lambda_i+b)^{\alpha+a}} \Gamma(\alpha+a)\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\pi(\beta_i = \beta | x, \alpha, a, b, \lambda_i) &= \frac{C \beta^{\alpha+a-1} e^{-\beta(\lambda_i+b)} (\lambda_i+b)^{\alpha+a}}{C \Gamma(\alpha+a)} \\ &= \beta^{\alpha+a-1} \frac{(\lambda_i+b)^{\alpha+a} e^{-\beta(\lambda_i+b)}}{\Gamma(\alpha+a)}\end{aligned}$$


Which is the density of a $\mathcal{Ga}(\alpha+a, \lambda_i+b)$.

(b), (c) Gibbs sampler implementation

We implemented ² the Gibbs Sampler for λ_i, β_i for 3 herds (5, 15, 100), with recommended parameters and $n = 5000$ simulations. Results are plotted in Figure 10, Figure 11, Figure 12.

Results show that the convergence for λ, β is precise up to a $10^{-1}, 10^{-2}$ factor.

Figure 10: Herd 5



²Code available [here](#)

Figure 11: Herd 15





Figure 12: Herd 100



(d) Sensitivity to the parameters

In this section, we explore the influence of the parameters on $\lambda_{100}, \beta_{100}$. We only play with one parameter at a time, and the results are given in Figure 13 and Figure 14.

Figure 13: Sensitivity of λ_{100}





Figure 14: Sensitivity of β_{100}



First, we can remark λ_{100} is only sensible to a value, which might be unexpected, showing a real importance of β_{100} parameters for λ_{100} in the hierarchical model. Second, β_{100} is very sensible to α , and a little to extreme values of a .

This settings seems to indicate that α, a are important parameters, and that the Gibbs-sampler setting gives a strong importance to β_i parameters for λ_i , and vice-versa.