

MATH 6364: Statistical Methods

Mid term

Author: Md Salman Rahman

problem 1:

Solution:

Matricee $X =$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_1 \\ 1 & x_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_n \end{bmatrix}_{n \times 2}$$

and $x^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_n \end{bmatrix}_{2 \times n}$

$$x^T x = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_n \end{bmatrix}_{2 \times n} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_1 \\ 1 & x_2 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_n \end{bmatrix}_{n \times 2}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 \times 1 + 1 \times 1 + \cdots + 1 \times 1 & x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n \\ x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n & x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_n^2 \end{bmatrix}_{2 \times 2}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} n & \sum_i x_i \\ \sum_i x_i & \sum_i x_i^2 \end{bmatrix}_{2 \times 2}$$

Inverse:

$$(X^T X)^{-1} = \frac{1}{n \sum_i x_i^2 - (\sum_i x_i)^2} \begin{bmatrix} \sum_i x_i^2 & -\sum_i x_i \\ -\sum_i x_i & n \end{bmatrix}$$

The linear regression model:

$$Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x$$

Estimates of β_0 and β_1 :

The method of estimating β_0, β_1 by minimizing $S(\beta_0, \beta_1) = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i)^2$

is referred to as method of least square. There are other principle available but for this problem I will use least square estimates.

$$\text{The error } \epsilon_i = y_i - \mu_i = y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i \\ (i=1, 2, \dots, n)$$

To obtain a line $\mu_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_i$ that is closest to the point (x_i, y_i) , the error ϵ_i should be as small as possible.

Our aim is to minimize the function.

So, let us write,

$$S(\beta_0, \beta_1) = \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mu_i)^2 \\ = \sum (y_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i)^2$$

Now, taking derivative with respect to β_0 and β_1 and setting the derivatives to zero.

$$\frac{\partial S(\beta_0, \beta_1)}{\partial \beta_0} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_0} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (\bar{y}_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i)^2 \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow (+2) \sum_{i=1}^n (\bar{y}_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i) (-1) = 0 \quad \dots \dots \dots \quad (1)$$

$$\text{And, } \frac{\partial S(\beta_0, \beta_1)}{\partial \beta_1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (\bar{y}_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i)^2 \right)$$

$$\Rightarrow (+2) \sum_{i=1}^n (\bar{y}_i - \beta_0 - \beta_1 x_i) (-x_i) = 0 \quad \dots \dots \dots \quad (2)$$

From equation (1) and (2) \Rightarrow

Normal equation

$$\begin{cases} n \beta_0 + (\sum x_i) \beta_1 = \sum \bar{y}_i \\ (\sum x_i) \beta_0 + (\sum x_i^2) \beta_1 = \sum x_i \bar{y}_i \end{cases}$$

Now solving, $n \beta_0 + (\sum x_i) \beta_1 = \sum \bar{y}_i$

$$\Rightarrow n \beta_0 = \sum \bar{y}_i - (\sum x_i) \beta_1$$

$$\Rightarrow \beta_0 = \frac{\sum \bar{y}_i}{n} - \beta_1 \frac{\sum x_i}{n}$$

$$\Rightarrow \beta_0 = \bar{y} - \beta_1 \bar{x}$$

where, $\bar{y} = \frac{\sum \bar{y}_i}{n}$

$$\bar{x} = \frac{\sum x_i}{n}$$

$$\hat{\beta}_1 \text{ can be written as } \hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2} = \frac{s_{xy}}{s_{xx}}$$

because, $\frac{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2}$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{\sum x_i y_i - \bar{y} \sum x_i - \bar{x} \sum y_i + n \bar{x} \bar{y}}{\sum x_i^2 - 2 \bar{x} \sum x_i + n(\bar{x})^2} \\ &= \frac{\sum x_i y_i - \frac{\sum y_i}{n} \sum x_i - \frac{\sum x_i}{n} \sum y_i + n' \frac{\sum x_i}{n} \frac{\sum y_i}{n}}{\sum x_i^2 - 2 \frac{\sum x_i}{n} \sum x_i + n' \frac{1}{n^2} (\sum x_i)^2} \\ &= \frac{\sum x_i y_i - \frac{1}{n} \sum x_i \sum y_i}{\sum x_i^2 - \frac{1}{n} (\sum x_i)^2} \quad \left(\text{this is we got in previous page} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\left\{ \text{So, } \hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{\sum x_i y_i - \frac{(\sum x_i)(\sum y_i)}{n}}{\sum x_i^2 - \frac{(\sum x_i)^2}{n}} = \frac{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2} = \frac{s_{xy}}{s_{xx}} \right.$$

$$\text{and, } \hat{\beta}_0 = \bar{y} - \hat{\beta}_1 \bar{x} \quad \text{whence, } \bar{y} = \frac{\sum y_i}{n} \quad \& \quad \bar{x} = \frac{\sum x_i}{n}$$

called least square estimates (LSE) of β_0 and β_1 .

Finding the variance of β_0 and β_1 :

In the previous page we find,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{\beta}_1 &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \\
 &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x}) y_i - \bar{y} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \\
 &= \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x}) y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \quad \left[\text{Note: } \sum (x_i - \bar{x}) = 0 \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{s_{xx}} \left[\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x}) y_i \right] \quad \left[\because s_{xx} = \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \right] \\
 &\therefore \hat{\beta}_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i y_i \quad \left[\text{where, } c_i = \frac{(x_i - \bar{x})}{s_{xx}} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Varc}(\hat{\beta}_1) &= \text{Varc} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n c_i y_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2 \text{Var}(y_i) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2 \sigma^2
 \end{aligned}$$

Since, the y_i 's are independent and $\text{Var}(y_i) = \sigma^2$ is constant.

$$\text{Varc}(\hat{\beta}_1) = \frac{\sigma^2}{s_{xx}} \quad \left[\because \sum c_i^2 = \frac{1}{s_{xx}} \right]$$

From properties of c_i

$$\text{we find, } \hat{\beta}_0 = \bar{y} - \hat{\beta}_1 \bar{x}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{y_i}{n} \right) - \bar{x} \sum_{i=1}^n c_i y_i \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{n} - \bar{x} c_i \right) y_i \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n k_i y_i
\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{where, } k_i = \frac{1}{n} - \bar{x} c_i$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} - \frac{\bar{x}(x_i - \bar{x})}{s_{xx}}$$

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_0) = \text{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n k_i y_i\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n k_i^2 \text{Var}(y_i)$$

$$= \sigma^2 \sum_{i=1}^n k_i^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{we have, } \sum_{i=1}^n k_i^2 &= \sum \left[\frac{1}{n} - \frac{\bar{x}(x_i - \bar{x})}{s_{xx}} \right]^2 \\
&= \sum \left[\frac{1}{n^2} - 2 \frac{1}{n} \frac{\bar{x}(x_i - \bar{x})}{s_{xx}} + \left(\frac{\bar{x}(x_i - \bar{x})}{s_{xx}} \right)^2 \right] \\
&= \sum \left[\frac{1}{n^2} + \left(\frac{\bar{x}}{s_{xx}} \right)^2 \left(\frac{x_i - \bar{x}}{s_{xx}} \right)^2 \right] \\
&\quad \left[\because \sum (x_i - \bar{x}) = 0 \right] \\
&= n \times \frac{1}{n^2} + \left(\frac{\bar{x}}{s_{xx}} \right)^2 \frac{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2}{s_{xx}^2}
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} + (\bar{x})^2 \frac{s_{xx}}{s_{xx}^2}$$

$$= \left[\frac{1}{n} + \frac{(\bar{x})^2}{s_{xx}} \right]$$

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\beta}_0) = \sigma^2 \left[\frac{1}{n} + \frac{(\bar{x})^2}{s_{xx}} \right]$$

Entries of the H(hat) matrix:

We can write (i, j) -th element of the hat matrix H as

$$h_{ij} = x_i^T (x^T x)^{-1} x_j$$

earlier we find,

$$(x^T x)^{-1} = \frac{1}{n \sum_i x_i^2 - (\sum_i x_i)^2} \begin{bmatrix} \sum_i x_i^2 & -\sum x_i \\ -\sum x_i & n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\sum_i x_i^2}{n \sum_i x_i^2 - (\sum_i x_i)^2} & -\frac{\sum x_i}{n \sum_i x_i^2 - (\sum_i x_i)^2} \\ -\frac{\sum x_i}{n \sum_i x_i^2 - (\sum_i x_i)^2} & \frac{n}{n \sum_i x_i^2 - (\sum_i x_i)^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

----- (1)

We can write this

$$(X^T X)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{n} + \frac{\bar{x}^2}{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2} & -\frac{\bar{x}}{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \\ -\frac{\bar{x}}{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2} & \frac{1}{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \end{pmatrix} = A$$

We find this by resolving each element of matrix in eq (1). Let us proof this, by reverse approach:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{element } 11 &= \frac{1}{n} + \frac{\bar{x}^2}{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2} = \frac{1}{n} + \frac{(\bar{x})^2}{\sum x_i^2 - 2\bar{x}\sum x_i + n(\bar{x})^2} \\
 &= \frac{1}{n} + \frac{\left(\frac{\sum x_i}{n}\right)^2}{\sum x_i^2 - 2\frac{\sum x_i}{n}\sum x_i + n\left(\frac{\sum x_i}{n}\right)^2} \\
 &= \frac{1}{n} + \frac{\left(\frac{\sum x_i}{n}\right)^2}{\sum x_i^2 - 2\frac{(\sum x_i)^2}{n} + \frac{(\sum x_i)^2}{n}} \\
 &= \frac{1}{n} + \frac{\left(\frac{\sum x_i}{n}\right)^2}{\sum x_i^2 - \frac{(\sum x_i)^2}{n}} \\
 &= \frac{1}{n} + \frac{(\sum x_i)^2}{n\sum x_i^2 - (\sum x_i)^2} \\
 &= \frac{n\sum x_i^2 - (\sum x_i)^2 + (\sum x_i)^2}{n(n\sum x_i^2 - (\sum x_i)^2)} \\
 &= \frac{n\sum x_i^2}{n(n\sum x_i^2 - (\sum x_i)^2)}
 \end{aligned}$$

This is same as first element of matrix in eq (1)

5

Now coming into second element, (A_{12}), and 3rd element (A_{21})
 (both are same)

$$= - \frac{\bar{x}}{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2}$$

$$= - \frac{\bar{x}}{\sum x_i^2 - 2\bar{x} \sum x_i + n(\bar{x})^2}$$

$$= - \frac{\frac{\sum x_i}{n}}{\sum x_i^2 - 2 \frac{\sum x_i}{n} \sum x_i + n \left(\frac{\sum x_i}{n} \right)^2}$$

$$= - \frac{\frac{\sum x_i}{n}}{n \sum x_i^2 - 2 (\sum x_i)^2 + (\sum x_i)^2}$$

$$= - \frac{\sum x_i}{n} \times \frac{n}{n \sum x_i^2 - (\sum x_i)^2}$$

$$= - \frac{\sum x_i}{n \sum x_i^2 - (\sum x_i)^2}$$

Now the last element (A_{22})

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\sum x_i^2 - 2 \bar{x} \sum x_i + n(\bar{x})^2} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\sum x_i^2 - 2 \frac{\sum x_i}{n} \sum x_i + n \cdot \left(\frac{\sum x_i}{n}\right)^2} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\frac{n \sum x_i^2 - 2 (\sum x_i)^2 + (\sum x_i)^2}{n}} \\
 &= \frac{n}{n \sum x_i^2 - (\sum x_i)^2}
 \end{aligned}$$

So, we can say that elements of both matrix are

Same so,

$$(X^T X)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{n} + \frac{\bar{x}^2}{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2} & -\frac{\bar{x}}{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \\ -\frac{\bar{x}}{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2} & \frac{1}{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{So, } h_{ij} = x_i^T (X^T X)^{-1} x_j$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{n} + \frac{\bar{x}^2}{\sum(x_i - \bar{x})^2} & -\frac{\bar{x}}{\sum(x_i - \bar{x})^2} \\ -\frac{\bar{x}}{\sum(x_i - \bar{x})^2} & \frac{1}{\sum(x_i - \bar{x})^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x_j \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{n} + \frac{\bar{x}^2}{\sum(x_i - \bar{x})^2} - \frac{\bar{x}x_i}{\sum(x_i - \bar{x})^2} & \left(-\frac{\bar{x}}{\sum(x_i - \bar{x})^2} + \frac{x_i}{\sum(x_i - \bar{x})^2} \right) \\ \frac{1}{n} + \frac{\bar{x}^2}{\sum(x_i - \bar{x})^2} - \frac{\bar{x}x_i}{\sum(x_i - \bar{x})^2} & \frac{1}{n} + \frac{\bar{x}^2}{\sum(x_i - \bar{x})^2} - \frac{\bar{x}x_j}{\sum(x_i - \bar{x})^2} + \frac{x_i x_j}{\sum(x_i - \bar{x})^2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\bar{x}^2 - \bar{x}x_i - \bar{x}x_j + x_i x_j$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} + \frac{\bar{x}^2 - \bar{x}x_i - \bar{x}x_j + x_i x_j}{\sum(x_i - \bar{x})^2}$$

$$x_j(x_i - \bar{x}) - \bar{x}(x_i - \bar{x})$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} + \frac{\sum(x_i - \bar{x})^2}{\sum(x_i - \bar{x})^2}$$

$$\text{So, } h_{ij} = \frac{1}{n} + \frac{(x_i - \bar{x})(x_j - \bar{x})}{\sum(x_i - \bar{x})^2} \quad [\text{Answer: showed}]$$

Prroblem 2 :

Solution:

Condition : intercept $\beta_0 = 0$

This is called Regression through the origin.

Hence, the regression model :

$$y = \beta_1 x \quad (\text{According to question & condition})$$

We will estimate the slope β_1 by least square estimates.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{The error } \epsilon_i &= y_i - \hat{y}_i \\ &= y_i - \beta_1 x_i \quad (i=1, 2, \dots, n) \end{aligned}$$

Our aim is to minimize the error,

$$\text{so, } S(\beta_1) = \sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{y}_i - \beta_1 x_i)^2$$

$$\text{Now, } \frac{\partial S(\beta_1)}{\partial \beta_1} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n (\hat{y}_i - \beta_1 x_i) (-x_i) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_1 x_i^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \hat{y}_i = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \beta_1 \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \hat{y}_i$$

$$\therefore \hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \hat{y}_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}$$

Answer
[Showed]

problem 3:

Solution:

Given three models

$$(i) E(y_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1i}$$

$$(ii) E(y_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1i} + \beta_2 x_{2i}$$

$$(iii) E(y_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_2 x_{2i}$$

where, x_{1i} = right leg strength

x_{2i} = left leg strength.

(a) - plot of residuals for these three models is given
in next page.

*Note: In data cleaning step, I convert the response (y) into one unit feet
- plot against \hat{y} is also shown in the next page.

Comment on three models:

Using R programming (code is attached at the
end of problem)

(i) For first model - Coefficient $\beta_0 = 14.9093$

$$\beta_1 = 0.9027$$

and Residual standard error = 16.58 on 11 DF
(Degree of freedom)

Also for model (i),

$$\text{Adjusted } R^2 = 0.5926$$

$$\text{Multiple } R^2 = 0.6266 \quad (\text{multiple means multiple regression } R^2)$$

$$F \text{ statistics} = 18.46$$

$$p \text{ value} = 0.001264 \quad (\text{from regression model})$$

(ii) For second model:

Coefficient of regression,

$$\beta_0 = 12.7483$$

$$\beta_1 = 0.7213$$

$$\beta_2 = 0.2012$$

And, Residual standard error = 17.25 on 10 DF

$$\text{Multiple } R^2 = 0.6328$$

$$\text{Adjusted } R^2 = 0.5594$$

$$F \text{ statistics} = 8.617$$

$$p \text{ value} = 0.006675$$

(iii) For third model:

Coefficient of Regression, $\beta_0 = 26.9112$

$$\beta_1 = 0.8434$$

Residual Standard error = 18.13

Multiple $R^2 = 0.5537$

Adjusted $R^2 = 0.5131$

F statistics = 13.65

P value = 0.003537

Comparison:

From the residual plot, we know that positive value for the residual (on y axis of Residual vs Fitted values plot) means the prediction was too low, and negative means prediction is too high. 0 means (in the residuals) the guess was exactly correct.

From the above data & residual plot the three model look alike having some outliers. There is no noticeable normality among them. Hence analyzing all the information I will say model 2 is more appropriate having largest R^2 and better fitting.

3 (b) : we will test the hypothesis for model 2 & model 3 as β_2 is involved in this two model:

Hence Null Hypothesis: $H_0: \beta_2 = 0$

Alternative " $H_1: \beta_2 \neq 0$

(i) Model 2: From 3(a) we find (using R) [All the R code attach at the end of problem]

$$\hat{\beta}_2 = 0.2012 \text{ with}$$

$$t \text{ value} = 0.412$$

$$\text{and } p \text{ value} = 0.689 > 0.05$$

So, there is not enough evidence to reject the null hypothesis $\beta_2 = 0$.

Also, we can verify the result using ANOVA Table.
(more details in R code)

Source	DF	SS	MS	F	P
$\hat{\beta}_1$	1	5076.7	5076.7	17.0644	0.002041
$\hat{\beta}_2$	1	50.5	50.5	0.1696	0.689115
Residuals	10	2975	297.5		

Also we can see that the confidence interval

for β_2 is : $(-0.8871681, 1.289544)$

we see that this interval contains 0 inside it.

So, we can conclude, from p value, Anovatable,
and CI that, there is not enough evidence to
reject null hypothesis for model 2.

(ii) Model 3 :

$$\text{For model 3, } \hat{\beta}_2 = 0.8434$$

$$t \text{ value} = 3.694$$

$$p \text{ value} = 0.00354 < 0.05$$

So, we can say that, the null hypothesis is rejected. $\hat{\beta}_2$ is statistically different from 0.

Verifying the result using ANOVA Table.

Source	DF	SS	MS	F	P
$\hat{\beta}_2$	1	4486.3	4486.3	13.648	0.003537
Residuals	11	3615.9	328.7		

Also, the confidence interval for β_2 is (0.34090, 1.345807) and we see that CI doesn't contain 0.

From Anova table (with small p value), CI we can conclude that we reject the null hypothesis as there is not enough evidence to support it.

Final Conclusion:

we fit three model for problem 3(a) and analyze the result (All result are provided) previous, we find in problem (a) model seems most appropriate

And we test the hypothesis for β_2 in problem 3(b) and for model 3 the hypothesis is rejected and for model 2, we do not have enough evidence to reject the null hypothesis.

problem 4:

Solution:

(a) Multiple Regression Model:

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1i} + \beta_2 x_{2i} + \beta_3 x_{3i} + \beta_4 x_{4i} + \beta_5 x_{5i} + \varepsilon_i$$

The residual for this multiple regression

is plotted in the next page:

— The value of Residual (given in next page)

— Also, For more easy visualization I

provide a Residual vs No of obs. Plot.

Also, we find the coefficient.

$$\hat{\beta}_0 = -6.5122$$

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = 1.9994$$

$$\hat{\beta}_2 = -3.6751$$

$$\hat{\beta}_3 = 2.5245$$

$$\hat{\beta}_4 = 5.1581$$

$$\hat{\beta}_5 = 14.4012$$

(b) 95% Confidence intervals of the mean response
given in the next page, with lower
& upper
limit

95% prediction interval on a new observation
given in the next page, (for 22
specimen)

problem 4 (a)

(i) New multiple regression Using R

x_2, x_4, x_5 :

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_2 x_{2i} + \beta_4 x_{4i} + \beta_5 x_{5i} + \epsilon_i$$

Using R [Code is attached at the end of problem]

the coefficient of regression:

$$\hat{\beta}_0 = -5.9804$$

$$\hat{\beta}_2 = -2.8898$$

$$\hat{\beta}_4 = 6.8793$$

$$\hat{\beta}_5 = 17.1090$$

s^2 : From R code, Residual standard error, = 0.6783
 $s^2 = 0.4600908$

Standard error of predictions:

standard error, intercept $\hat{\beta}_0 = 0.6591$

standard error, $\hat{\beta}_2 = 2.3465$

standard error, $\hat{\beta}_4 = 2.7828$

standard error, $\hat{\beta}_5 = 3.0819$

[R code is given at the end]

95% confidence intervals on the mean response

at the regressor location for the 22 specimen:

is shown in the next page.