

Data, Ethics, and Law

Group Project 6

Stephanie Loreck, Julia Ekman, Joakim Michalak, Hardy Hasan, Salman Khan

Case 1

- What contract was signed between Tina and xAMS?
- If no clause, comes down to law
- Swedish law based on EU directive: *"an employee's computer program passes to the employer if the program is in line with the employees job tasks"*
- Hackathon was held during weekend, presumably spare time and therefore non-mandatory

Case 2

- What is LAMS doing? Profiling, art 35 GDPR

- Ethical analysis: viewpoints, aspects, interests
 - Ministry of education
 - Teachers and schools
 - Students

DPIA

- Video recording unnecessary
- Audio + Pseudonymisation
- Memorylessness

Case 3

- Clearly illegal, violates GDPR
- Who is responsible?
- Risk of company being sued
- Opportunity to negotiate with the school

Case 4

- Generally GDPR conform
- Right on human intervention → controvertible
- Freedom of education method on stake
- Privacy invasion
- Heteronomy (constrained) vs. Autonomy (holistic) → Autonomy
- Tradeoff accuracy vs. explainability
- Use LAMS as second opinion

Case 5

- Equal opportunities
- Not intentional, still discriminating
- Not assume they are anti-social
- Swedish discrimination act
- Norms closely related to power
- Suppression techniques - Try to break them

Case 6

- GDPR Art. 22
- Fair examination code
- What is ethically right and what is legally right?
- How can this be mitigated?
- What consequences will different rulings in the case have for the future of LAMS?