Giving the programmer AST recommendations is less than useful. It takes too long to parse that mess in my head than to just look at the error and sort it out. Part of the problem is the lack typing in JavaScript, so I would recommend using another language as JS is a lost cause for correctness. The only way this tool would be helpful would be if it directly changed the code for me (with a preview to be sure the model isn't doing anything ridiculous). Some of the buggy code issues seems can be identified by the static typed languages easily, I guess the target usage for this method is for dynamic typed languages, e.g. JS and Python? some of the patches appeared to be only portion of the line This is going to be very helpful for coders. The approach seems to be very helpful in reducing debug time Novice users will often mistakenly use reserved names (or at least ones similar to them) like Object, array, etc. for variable names. Clarifying fixes with both type and a user's choice of variable names seems essential here. Plus, why not have the ML model directly suggest the working line of code instead of convoluted AST information? Not all of us learned to program by suffering through XXXX or XXXX or otherwise.;) It think suggestions that are shown based on AST are very confusing for many developers. It think there is a bit of disconnect in these tools being immediately helpful for industry developers - as a relatively JS/TS developer, it feels like there is a moderately slearning curve to understand the proposed ML fixes. Sometimes the machine learning solution is too long and it's hard to follow it. I also like ID and LIT much more than other long syntax. And I think if it wants to be a handy tool, it should be integrated to IDE such as VSCode Plugin and visualize its solution more from what it's now.	Comments	
and sort it out. Part of the problem is the lack typing in JavaScript, so I would recommend using another language as JS is a lost cause for correctness. The only way this tool would be helpful would be if it directly changed the code for me (with a preview to be sure the model isn't doing anything ridiculous). Some of the buggy code issues seems can be identified by the static typed languages easily, I guess the target usage for this method is for dynamic typed languages, e.g. JS and Python? some of the patches appeared to be only portion of the line This is going to be very helpful for coders. The approach seems to be very helpful in reducing debug time Novice users will often mistakenly use reserved names (or at least ones similar to them) like Object, array, etc. for variable names. Clarifying fixes with both type and a user's choice of variable names seems essential here. Plus, why not have the ML model directly suggest the working line of code instead of convoluted AST information? Not all of us learned to program by suffering through XXXX or XXXX or otherwise.;) It think suggestions that are shown based on AST are very confusing for many developers. It think there is a bit of disconnect in these tools being immediately helpful for industry developers - as a relatively JS/TS developer, it feels like there is a moderately slearning curve to understand the proposed ML fixes. Sometimes the machine learning solution is too long and it's hard to follow it. I also like ID and LIT much more than other long syntax. And I think if it wants to be a handy tool, it should be integrated to IDE such as VSCode Plugin and visualize its solution more from what it's now.	I could understand all the suggestions, but the clearest suggestions kept to the language syntax.	
dynamic typed languages, e.g. JS and Python? some of the patches appeared to be only portion of the line This is going to be very helpful for coders. The approach seems to be very helpful in reducing debug time Novice users will often mistakenly use reserved names (or at least ones similar to them) like Object, array, etc. for variable names. Clarifying fixes with both type and a user's choice of variable names seems essential here. Plus, why not have the ML model directly suggest the working line of code instead of convoluted AST information? Not all of us learned to program by suffering through XXXX or XXXX or otherwise.;) It think suggestions that are shown based on AST are very confusing for many developers. It think there is a bit of disconnect in these tools being immediately helpful for industry developers - as a relatively JS/TS developer, it feels like there is a moderately slearning curve to understand the proposed ML fixes. Sometimes the machine learning solution is too long and it's hard to follow it. I also like ID and LIT much more than other long syntax. And I think if it wants to be a handy tool, it should be integrated to IDE such as VSCode Plugin and visualize its solution more from what it's now.	Giving the programmer AST recommendations is less than useful. It takes too long to parse that mess in my head than to just look at the error and sort it out. Part of the problem is the lack typing in JavaScript, so I would recommend using another language as JS is a lost cause for correctness. The only way this tool would be helpful would be if it directly changed the code for me (with a preview to be sure the model isn't doing anything ridiculous).	
This is going to be very helpful for coders. The approach seems to be very helpful in reducing debug time Novice users will often mistakenly use reserved names (or at least ones similar to them) like Object, array, etc. for variable names. Clarifying fixes with both type and a user's choice of variable names seems essential here. Plus, why not have the ML model directly suggest the working line of code instead of convoluted AST information? Not all of us learned to program by suffering through XXXX or XXXX or otherwise.;) I think suggestions that are shown based on AST are very confusing for many developers. I think there is a bit of disconnect in these tools being immediately helpful for industry developers - as a relatively JS/TS developer, it feels like there is a moderately slearning curve to understand the proposed ML fixes. Sometimes the machine learning solution is too long and it's hard to follow it. I also like ID and LIT much more than other long syntax. And I think if it wants to be a handy tool, it should be integrated to IDE such as VSCode Plugin and visualize its solution more from what it's now.	Some of the buggy code issues seems can be identified by the static typed languages easily, I guess the target usage for this method is for dynamic typed languages, e.g. JS and Python?	
The approach seems to be very helpful in reducing debug time Novice users will often mistakenly use reserved names (or at least ones similar to them) like Object, array, etc. for variable names. Clarifying fixes with both type and a user's choice of variable names seems essential here. Plus, why not have the ML model directly suggest the working line of code instead of convoluted AST information? Not all of us learned to program by suffering through XXXX or XXXX or otherwise. ;) I think suggestions that are shown based on AST are very confusing for many developers. I think there is a bit of disconnect in these tools being immediately helpful for industry developers - as a relatively JS/TS developer, it feels like there is a moderately slearning curve to understand the proposed ML fixes. Sometimes the machine learning solution is too long and it's hard to follow it. I also like ID and LIT much more than other long syntax. And I think if it wants to be a handy tool, it should be integrated to IDE such as VSCode Plugin and visualize its solution more from what it's now.	some of the patches appeared to be only portion of the line	
Novice users will often mistakenly use reserved names (or at least ones similar to them) like Object, array, etc. for variable names. Clarifying fixes with both type and a user's choice of variable names seems essential here. Plus, why not have the ML model directly suggest the working line of code instead of convoluted AST information? Not all of us learned to program by suffering through XXXX or XXXX or otherwise.;) I think suggestions that are shown based on AST are very confusing for many developers. I think there is a bit of disconnect in these tools being immediately helpful for industry developers - as a relatively JS/TS developer, it feels like there is a moderately slearning curve to understand the proposed ML fixes. Sometimes the machine learning solution is too long and it's hard to follow it. I also like ID and LIT much more than other long syntax. And I think if it wants to be a handy tool, it should be integrated to IDE such as VSCode Plugin and visualize its solution more from what it's now.	This is going to be very helpful for coders.	
fixes with both type and a user's choice of variable names seems essential here. Plus, why not have the ML model directly suggest the working line of code instead of convoluted AST information? Not all of us learned to program by suffering through XXXX or XXXX or otherwise.;) I think suggestions that are shown based on AST are very confusing for many developers. I think there is a bit of disconnect in these tools being immediately helpful for industry developers - as a relatively JS/TS developer, it feels like there is a moderately slearning curve to understand the proposed ML fixes. Sometimes the machine learning solution is too long and it's hard to follow it. I also like ID and LIT much more than other long syntax. And I think if it wants to be a handy tool, it should be integrated to IDE such as VSCode Plugin and visualize its solution more from what it's now.	The approach seems to be very helpful in reducing debug time	
I think there is a bit of disconnect in these tools being immediately helpful for industry developers - as a relatively JS/TS developer, it feels like there is a moderately slearning curve to understand the proposed ML fixes. Sometimes the machine learning solution is too long and it's hard to follow it. I also like ID and LIT much more than other long syntax. And I think if it wants to be a handy tool, it should be integrated to IDE such as VSCode Plugin and visualize its solution more from what it's now.	Novice users will often mistakenly use reserved names (or at least ones similar to them) like Object, array, etc. for variable names. Clarifying fixes with both type and a user's choice of variable names seems essential here. Plus, why not have the ML model directly suggest the working line of code instead of convoluted AST information? Not all of us learned to program by suffering through XXXX or XXXX or otherwise.;)	
there is a moderately slearning curve to understand the proposed ML fixes. Sometimes the machine learning solution is too long and it's hard to follow it. I also like ID and LIT much more than other long syntax. And I think if it wants to be a handy tool, it should be integrated to IDE such as VSCode Plugin and visualize its solution more from what it's now.	I think suggestions that are shown based on AST are very confusing for many developers.	
if it wants to be a handy tool, it should be integrated to IDE such as VSCode Plugin and visualize its solution more from what it's now.	I think there is a bit of disconnect in these tools being immediately helpful for industry developers - as a relatively JS/TS developer, it feels like there is a moderately slearning curve to understand the proposed ML fixes.	
The suggested fixes are not readable. They should only suggest code when it is available (not the AST types)	Sometimes the machine learning solution is too long and it's hard to follow it. I also like ID and LIT much more than other long syntax. And I think if it wants to be a handy tool, it should be integrated to IDE such as VSCode Plugin and visualize its solution more from what it's now.	
The dangerous miss are not resident. They should only buggest eval which it is ununable (not the rist types).	The suggested fixes are not readable. They should only suggest code when it is available (not the AST types).	