


REFERENCES

1. Payne A MSc, Slover J, Inneh I, Hutzler L, Richard R, Bosco JA III. Orthopedic Implant Waste: Analysis and Quantification. The American Journal of Orthopedics. 2015; 554-560
2. Scott RDII. The Direct Medical Costs of Healthcare-Associated Infections in U.S. Hospitals and the Benefits of Prevention. Division of Healthcare Quality Promotion National Center for Preparedness, Detection, and Control of Infectious Diseases Coordinating Center for Infectious Diseases Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. March 2009
3. Wanke TR, Brock JL, Basile R, Merk B, Azizi J. The Standard Reusable Orthopedic Depth Gauge: A Pilot Study of Residual Device Contamination Following Routine Cleaning. Accepted to peer-reviewed Orthopedic Trauma Association (OTA) presentation at annual meeting
4. Zimmermann G and Moghaddam A (2010). Trauma: Non-Union: New Trends. European Instructional Lectures; 10: 15–19.
5. EDGe Surgical analysis, including (but not limiting to) the following publications:
 - Südkamp, N., Bayer, J., Hepp, P., et al. Open Reduction and Internal Fixation of Proximal Humeral Fractures with Use of the Locking Proximal Humerus Plate: Results of a Prospective, Multicenter, Observational Study. The Journal of Bone & Joint Surgery. 2009; 91(6):1320–1328.
 - Brunner, F., Sommer, C., Bahrs, C., et al. Open Reduction and Internal Fixation of Proximal Humerus Fractures Using a Proximal Humeral Locked Plate: A Prospective Multicenter Analysis. Journal of Orthopaedic Trauma. 2009; 3(3):163-172.
 - Ganesh, D., Service, B., Zircibel, B., Kova, K. The Detection of Prominent Hardware in Volar Locked Plating of Distal Radius Fractures: Intraoperative Fluoroscopy Versus Computed Tomography. Journal of Orthopaedic Trauma. 2016; 30(11):618–621.
 - Woltz, S., Stegeman, S.A., Krijnen, P. et al. Plate Fixation Compared with Nonoperative Treatment for Displaced Mid-shaft Clavicular Fractures A Multicenter Randomized Controlled Trial . J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2017;99:106-112.
 - Esenwein, P., Sonderegger, J., Gruenert, J., Ellenrieder, B., Tawfik, J., Jakubietz, M. Complications following palmar plate fixation of distal radius fractures: a review of 665 cases. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg. 2013; 133:1155–1162.
 - Lovald, S., Mercer, D., Hanson, J., et al. Complications and Hardware Removal After Open Reduction and Internal Fixation of Humeral Fractures. J Trauma. 2011;70: 1273–1278.
6. Campbell DA, Crawford LA. Fractures of the Distal Radius and Distal Radioulnar Joint. Disorders of the Hand: Volume 1: Hand Injuries. 2014
7. EDGe Surgical survey of randomly selected surgeons at AAOS





THE NEW STANDARD

The Digital Depth Gauge (DDG) is the first and only single-use electronic depth gauge with superior measurement capabilities, optimized hook design and easy-to-read digital display that aims to improve accuracy, precision, and speed of these measurements while eliminating the risk of infection associated with reusable devices in orthopedic surgical procedures.

SINGLE USE


Minimize risk of bioburden contamination

ABILITY TO HOLD MEASUREMENT


OPTIMIZED HOOK DESIGN

EASY TO READ DIGITAL DISPLAY

DDG is More Accurate and Precise⁷



Surgeons prefer the DDG over several factors⁷



“...accurate selection of appropriate screw lengths will **reduce risks dramatically** and allow the implant to perform as it was designed.”⁶

THE OLD STANDARD

Poor Accuracy

- Inconsistent analog measurement
- Insufficient hook grip

Infection Risk

- Bioburden contamination

Over **\$200MM** in wasted implants per year in the US¹

Over **\$8.4B** per year in added healthcare costs to orthopedic and spine procedures²

Average Cost per Use of Standard Orthopedic Depth Gauge
>\$400 per case⁵



Actual microscopic images from inside orthopedic depth gauge³

“Several design features exist in orthopedic depth gauges that make effective cleaning difficult, if not impossible...and sterilization is not effective on an insufficiently cleaned surgical instrument.”³

Clinical Implications and Potential Costs

- Non-unions ~10% of cases⁴
- Implant related complications ~8% of cases⁵
- Unnecessary x-ray exposure and cost

