

Games theory

- + Theory of games
- + Competitive strategies

J. von. Neumann - father of games theory.

+ Based on 'minimax principle'.

You should know

1. What are the characteristics of game?
2. What do you understand by 'pure strategy' and 'mixed strategy'.
3. Explain the following terms.
 - i) player
 - ii) Game
 - iii) play
 - iv) strategy
 - v) pure strategy
 - vi) mixed strategy
 - vii) Optimal strategy
 - viii) Two person zero sum game
 - ix) Zero sum game.
 - x) pay off.
4. What are the limitations of games theory.
5. Explain principles of dominance.

Rules for solving games theory.

1. Look for saddle point.
2. Reduce the game by dominance.
3. Solve for mixed strategy

Prob 1

(2)

Solve the game. Find out the game value and optimum strategies for each player

		Player B	
		1	2
Player A	1	4	6
	2	3	5

Solution

		B		
		1	2	Max (min)
A	1	4	6	(4)
	2	3	5	3
min (max)		(4)	6	

$$\text{As } \min(\max) = \max(\min)$$

Saddle point exists

\therefore value of game = $v = 4$

Optimum strategies for player A = $\{1, 0\}$

and

Optimum strategies for player B = $\{1, 0\}$

Prob 2

3

Solve the game
Player B

Player A

	1	2	3	4	5
1	-4	-2	-2	3	1
2	1	0	-1	0	0
3	-6	-5	-2	-4	4
4	3	1	-6	0	-8

Solution

		1	2	3	4	5	max (min)
A	1	-4	-2	-2	3	1	$\underline{-4}$
	2	1	0	-1	0	0	$\underline{-1}$
	3	-6	-5	-2	-4	4	-6
	4	3	1	-6	0	-8	-8
min(max)		3	1	$\underline{-1}$	3	4	

As $\min(\max) = \max(\min)$

Saddle point exists

\therefore Game value = $v = -1$

Optimum strategy for player A = $\{0, 1, 0, 0\}$

Optimal strategy for player B = $\{0, 0, 1, 0, 0\}$

Dominance properly / Principles of dominance

(4)

Dominance properly for column.

Every value in the dominating column(s) must be less than or equal to the corresponding value of the dominated column.

+ Avg. dominance for column.

Dominance properly for rows.

Every value in the dominating row(s) must be greater than or equal to the corresponding values of the dominated rows.

+ Average dominance for rows.

+ Any number column/row can dominate any number of column/row.

+ While solving game, do not reduce the game by dominance after 2×2 .

Prob 3 Reduce the game by dominance and solve it. (5)

		1	2	3	4	5
1		1	3	2	7	4
2		8	4	1	5	6
3		6	5	7	6	5
4		2	0	6	3	1

Solution

			1	2	3	4	5	
			1	3	2	7	4	max (min)
1			1	3	2	7	4	1
2			8	4	1	5	6	1
3			6	5	7	6	5	(5)
4			2	0	6	3	1	0
min(max)			6	(5)	7	7	6	

As $\min(\max) = \max(\min)$
Saddle point exists.

\therefore Game value = 5

Row 3 dominates row 4

			1	2	3	4	5
1			1	3	2	7	4
2			8	4	1	5	6
3			6	5	7	6	5

(6)

Column 1 and 2 dominates Column 4

Column 2 dominates Column 5

		1	2	3
A	1	1	2	2
	2	3	4	1
	3	6	5	7

Row 3 dominates Row 1 and 2

		1	2	3
	3	6	5	7

Column 2 dominates Column 1 & 3

		2
	3	5

 \therefore Game value = $v = 5$ Optimum strategy for player A = $\{0, 0, 1, 0\}$ Optimum strategy for player B = $\{0, 1, 0, 0, 0\}$

Q4] Reduce the game by dominance principles.

		1	2	3	4	5	6	max (min)
A	1	4	2	0	2	1	1	0
	2	4	3	1	3	2	2	(1)
	3	4	3	7	-5	1	2	-5
	4	4	3	4	-1	2	2	-1
	5	4	3	3	-1	2	2	-2
min(max)		4	3	7	3	(2)	2	

As $\min(\max) \neq \max(\min)$

There is no saddle point.

Row 2 dominates Row 1

Row 4 dominates Row 5

		1	2	3	4	5	6
2	2	4	3	1	3	2	2
	3	4	3	7	-5	1	2
	4	4	3	4	-1	2	2

Col 6, 5 & 4 dominates Col. 1 & 2

Column 5 dominates Col. 6.

		3	4	5
2	2	1	3	2
	3	7	-5	1
	4	4	-1	2

(8)

Average of Column 3 & 4 dominates Col. 5.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1+3}{2} \\ \frac{7-5}{2} \\ \frac{4-1}{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \\ 3/2 \end{bmatrix}$$

	3	4
2	1	3
3	7	-5
4	4	-1

Avg. of Row 2 and 3 dominates Row 4

1	3
7	-5